

indigenous civil courts¹⁷⁵ for prosecution and *adjudication (UN DRIP)*... using strategic planners and leaders to fix a “template penal system.” (*See: FM 3-39. Indigenous Penal system 9-11*). The UN’s Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, 2007, specifies the *grant* and *assignment* of “adjudication” powers to member UN states, encoded “protection” for indigenous limited and supervised rights under ‘innovative international law.’ In absence of an “engaged war” the “use of riot control agents” [NLW] is governed by CJCSI 3110.07A, FM 3-19.15, [Nonlethal Individual Weapons Instructor Course compliance], PSYOP resources,

¹⁷⁵ The encoding indigenous civil courts means those non-profit corporations, such as in the Browning, Mt., Blackfeet tribal court, and the funding and control mechanism, e.g. capstone doctrine and interoperability is demonstrated by, ‘... local police departments are incorporated as specialized non-profits, e.g. associations.’ These corporations are subject to corporate law and Uniform Commercial Codes. When dissolved these corporations are not allowed by law to “conduct business.” They are “withdrawn,” and can not provide police services. This means that any arrest, ticket, or police service performed is then an illegal act, e.g. tantamount to a citizen impersonating a police officer. In 2012, Quijas, OSLE, US DHS, espoused coordination and partnership with state, local, and tribal law enforcement, e.g. DHS-wide policies relating to tribal law enforcement’s role in terrorism, such as “if you see something, say something,” the Blue Campaign, Suspicious Activity Reporting (SAR) Initiative (NSI), and countering Violent Extremism. FEMA maintains Local Police Department funding, e.g. National Preparedness Grant Program. Federal law Enforcement Training Centers (FLETC) ensure the local police departments continue to meet the requirements, “remain vigilant to protect our communities from all threats...” Title 6 U.S.C, Section 607, Terrorism prevention, sets up guidelines to take over LPDs, including tribal court and police contractors. The White House Homeland Security Partnership Council and Steering Committee ties agencies, departments, contractors, and private [corporate] institutions together. Congress has encourage (2011) to have private [corporate] sector “police companies” to replace law enforcement on the state, local and tribal levels, and to charge fees for police services, e.g. 911 calls or reports. In 2012 the DHS issued a report entitled "Homeland Security and Intelligence: Next Steps in Evolving the Mission" which outlined in part on how to redirect efforts of the federal government from international terrorism toward home-grown terrorists and build a DHS-controlled police force agency that would control all cities and towns through the use of local police departments. DHS maintains that "the threat grows more localized" which necessitates the *militarization of local police* in major cities in the US and the training of staff from local agencies to make sure that oversight is restricted to the federal government. The contracted courts and police departments [corporations] have ‘been parading as public servants policing’ reservations, villages, and cities. The austerity programs have produced ‘failed reservation states,’ e.g. predatory economies, with extremely high levels of poverty, followed by despotic governmental policies, high crimes, and abusive police misconduct. The tribal justice systems, correctional systems, and enforcement programs are highly co-dependent upon the DHS funding mechanism, traveling under ‘professionally trained’ personnel requirements, certified as documented above. The tribal courts claim not to be CFR courts, while these state registered corporations and contractors abide by federal Indian law, federal law, and state law, above “custom and tradition.” “Indigenous civil courts” for “adjudication” is for appearance sake, e.g. reliance on ‘substantive federal law,’ and state ‘compacts,’ e.g. P.L. 280, 638, etc. There is a frequent occurrence of DHS level lethal arms and weapons, equipment and vehicles appearing in Indian country, with a very obvious small number of suspect terrorist indictments, as the contracted corporate courts are precisely limited in jurisdiction. **See: “Blackfeet emergency” U-tube tribal membership protests 2013, documentary.**

Designated Marksman (H-43) contingents, and approval authority may be lower than the President. “Political-military advisors ensure the integration with civilian ministries” and establishment of “loyalty to the central government” and serve national interests.

Domestic violence, social disruption, anti-social behavior, protest, radicalism, extremism, priority espionage, arrest, prosecution, removal/detainment/treatment in “Indian country” **are big business**. In 2012, the Corrections Corporation of America said, “We’ll purchase and manage your jails, and in return you (the state) must promise to keep the jails at least 90 percent full.”¹⁷⁶ (*Cross reference: Prison Inmate Demographics,*

¹⁷⁶ “The financial motive to engage... was clearly detailed in CCA’s 2010 Annual Report (Sentencing Project): obtain new contracts to develop and manage new correctional and detention facilities... factors... sentencing patterns in various jurisdictions... acceptance of privatization... our facilities are adversely affected by the relaxation of enforcement efforts, leniency in conviction or parole standards, decriminalization of certain activities...” (*See: AlterNet, America’s Corrupt Justice System: Federal Private Prison Populations Grew by 784% in 10 Year Span, cross posted, Tikkun Daily, David Harris-Gershon, 5-23-13*). This extremist justice protocol benefited from the applications of the Anti-Defamation Leagues (ADL) theory of prosecuting “potentiality,” while the “elements of criminality” (proof of fact) are supplanted by paid ‘expert judgment elicitation,’ e.g. ‘opinions.’ The “plausible guilt scenarios” advanced to a widened scope of surveillance, potential offender profiling, police stalking, stop & frisk, house raids, warrantless search & seizure, phony arrests, detainment, imprisonment, punishment and treatment regimes. In various municipal ‘jails,’ such as in the Lane County, Springfield, Oregon demonstration, the inmates are charged for their detainment and imprisonment. This creates a compound debt on the inmate. The civilian inmate labor system provides a sub-standard (below federal minimum wage) as a work rehabilitation incentive. Essentially, the inmates are coerced into working as slave/employees to pay off their compound debt, e.g. corporate fee for imprisonment. The authorities use sophistry, SPIN and public impression management to wit, ‘making criminals pay for their keep, pay back their ‘debt to society.’ The quasi-slave labor (skill, semi-skilled, un-skilled) is thus ‘rationalized.’ Those incarcerated persons have many asset faces. The “Yes Men” (www.theyesmen.org) presented a slave labor proposal to the World Trade Organization (WTO), and the proposal was favorably received by the U.S. representatives. The WTO functions under “collaboration” schemes. (*Cross reference: Dieseling: rotation and selling of prison bed space, and social bond breaking strategies— psyops*). Dieseling is likewise used when inmates determine systematic corruption scheme with the ‘system,’ document and make record application complaints as affiants. Inmates not classified as high risk ‘trouble makers,’ are preferred clients in the *corporatized*, so called privatized correctional and detainment systems, which are co-operated under federal and state ‘guidelines.’ The facility and performance ‘civil rights audits’ are controlled white papers, and are utilized with the approval of CCA and facility commanders. Reprisals against ‘whistle blowers’ are the rule, not the exception. Confidentiality and non-attribution agreements (contracts) control administrators, sub-contractors and correctional line officers. Indian country is a pork BARrel for correctional/treatment/prison enterprises, extending to tribal agencies, academic universities, colleges, vocational schools, utility service providers, social engineers, psychologists, doctors, pharmacy suppliers and IRS tax shelter, exclusion, exemptions, access to public funded subsidies, grants, and status. (*Cross reference: Capstone— interoperability, memorandums of understanding (MOUs), Civilian Inmate Labor Program, US Army Counter-Insurgency Manual*).

American Correctional Association, FEMA internment & resettlement, reservation rural cleansing, Metroplexing; Correctional Corporation of America). The matter of ‘s’ is abused by the court officers, in Indian country, continuously. The co-mingling of the legislative branch (tribal business committees, councils) with the judicial branch (courts), particularly where civil disobedience is tested. This co-mingling practice is evident in history of law and sets off the matter of hidden proxy, e.g. directives from business committee, council and corporate authorities.¹⁷⁷ The “war on terror” prosecutes a state of mind. The interdiction campaigns (tandem action probes) apply ‘plausible guilt scenarios,’ include Characterizing, Disenfranchising and Criminalizing. FM 3-39. 10.5 Civil-military operations are activities “that establish, maintain, influence, or

¹⁷⁷ ***Letters de cachet***. Issued and signed by *kings* and countersigned by a secretary of state [executive], authorizing the imprisonment of a person. Under these [MOUs, unlawful resolutions, protective writs] persons were imprisoned for life or for a long period on the most ***frivolous pretexts*** [potentiality, thought crimes, political dissent, justified civil disobedience], for the [prerogatives] gratification of private pique or revenge, and without any lawful reason being assigned for such punishment. These removals and detainments were and are executed for the purpose of ***shielding*** favored associates, partners, political allies, or social affiliates from consequences for wrong doing; and thus were and are as pernicious in their operation as the protection afforded by the church. The compound effect is to disenfranchise the target from social, political, economic opportunity and employment. Secretive tribal business committee and council ***executive sessions*** afford corporate attorneys and lawyers, while acting outside of the judicial branch of tribal government, to create legal ***architectures***, strategies, logistics, tactics, such as temporary restraining orders and imposed ***conditions of release*** to disrupt, frustrate, thwart, interfere with, and stress the target and those sympathetic or emphatic to the cause or actions of the primary target. ***Stressing*** the target, socio-economic sector, or whole system goes to ***destruction***. (***Cross reference: plausible guilt scenarios, police stalking, malicious prosecution, extrinsic fraud, abuse of process, consensus reality, aversive therapy, UN WHO DSM IV, V, oppositional defiance disorder, cognitive reduction, status conscious individual— band wagon affect***). The special role of the attorney, lawyer, is further perverted by accepting “titles of nobility,” (Chief; ESQ, state/federal/tribal BAR membership) such as in the Sioux Indian demonstration (2013). In the infamous Wounded Knee, Pelletier case, a indispensable feature of the prosecution was to provide a public deterrence and example to other potential ‘trouble makers,’ such as the American Indian Movement (AIM). The Pelletier case was politically tainted by suppression of favorable defense evidence, fabrication of evidence and false testimony of witnesses. (***Cross reference: USDC federal Judge Akin, Eugene, Oregon, Eco-terrorism/enterprise terrorism- factored and stated sentencing enhancement as deterrent others blocking collaborative participation methods and direct actions***). Several Washo people signed, dated and delivered (11-2013) a Notice and” Declaration of Vindication” to the United States Department of Justice, Attorney General; South Dakota, Attorney General; Governor of South Dakota, and relatives of ***inmate*** Pelletier and media.

exploit relations between U.S. Armed forces, governmental¹⁷⁸ and non-governmental civilian organizations. This is consistent with the United Nations *eternal, international religious corporation* in fact (i.e. temple of understanding), “Capstone doctrine (2008). (*See: DODD 2000.13*).

¹⁷⁸ The military governors of the US Corporation’s sub-departments, e.g. states (estates, dioceses) manipulated indigenous soldiers and policemen to enforce the BAR courts prerogatives and foreign policy. The uniformed military/police agents appeared to be of the sovereign. The enrolled tribal police officers are required to take an oath of office, allegiance to the United States, protect and preserve the US constitution. Lakota history (Seven Fires Council) and statements of natural hereditary chiefs and headmen recount a tribal police officer assisting in the murder of Crazy Horse. The ‘Indian’ officer, being a relative of Crazy Horse, restrained Crazy Horse, while the Army officer bayoneted the Lakota leader. The Tribal justice system history is fraught with official corruption, nonfeasance, misfeasance and malfeasance; and heinous crimes of murder, rape, rape of detained persons by tribal police officers, pornographic displays of prisoners, constructive and extrinsic fraud, and theft. In the Sioux account, past tribal enforcement officer Emerson Elk, is a material witness to such crimes, e.g. incidents, times, places, and names of those officials that either participated directly in crimes and/or were complicit before and after the fact. Officer Emerson Elk was recruited (application, documentation) by the tribal Community Action Program (CAP) director and trained police investigator and enforcement officer/administrator, in whose judgment was held trustworthy to hold the position, e.g. BIA academy, federal guidelines, certification. One tribal member challenged his appointment alleging he ‘wasn’t married.’ This exemplified the backwardness of the challenger’s understanding of civil rights, equal access and opportunity employment. The tribal nepotism structure provides for special immunities for offenders and actual instructions to officers to exempt tribal council (village council) directors from civil action, charges and criminal prosecution, e.g. absolute and limited immunity. The dysfunctional methodology can be viewed as ‘social roulette.’ Upon challenging actual crimes by tribal officials, tribal officer Emerson Elk was isolated, alienated, demonized and terminated from his position. Over population loading of correctional facilities, such as the ‘jail’ in Pine Ridge with higher risk *Goon Squad* tactics of eliminating witnesses are commonplace in ‘Indian country,’ often disguised as ‘our ways.’ Out of court settlements amount to ‘hush money,’ to circumvent rightful prosecution of offenders. The Child Protective Service (CPS) system is coincidentally used in ‘reprisal takings’ of children for allegations of ‘abuse,’ supported by expert hearsay elicitation (psychologist, sociologist), and in documented cases the ‘abused children’ are coached (embedding perceptions and thoughts) by sympathetic case workers, protected by confidentiality of the political court records, e.g. victim interview methodologies. This provides a legal mechanism (BARrier tool) that precludes cross examination of the expert, resulting in the court’s reliance on ‘hearsay,’ ‘normative rationale’ and ‘institutional credulity.’ The elements of criminality (proof of fact) are supplanted by “potentiality” (ADL). In the alleged “accidental” shooting between the eyes of officer Glenn HollowHorn, HollowHorn previously disclosed to the other officers his intention to be a witness pertaining to the rape of detained women by other officers. The tribal justice system recognizes veteran “military preference” in hiring officers. The veteran ‘soldiers’ are oriented to military use of force, such as “use of lethal force,” “dynamic entry,” and “extra-judicial killing” at security check points during foreign armed forces occupation, e.g. Iraq, etc. The practice of returning veteran “resocialization” has an acutely high failure rate (*Cross reference: DOD & Veteran Administration reports, Capstone doctrine: civil/military interoperability- Internment & resettlement operations*). The indigenous ways of healing from foreign serial warfare engagements are treated as alternative, and are not subjugated to typical PTSD recovery models. The use of “military preference” for the hire of tribal law enforcement officers is about “jobs,” which does not carefully and diligently respond to the mental status and trustworthiness of the (veteran) armed tribal agents. This is a foreseeable risk to the public safety of the community.

In the *modern era* applications of *sumptuary law* the exploitation of indigenous association is illustrated by, ‘the [Glacier county, Montana] deputy sheriff RedHorn, a member of the Blackfeet tribe, came to warn me that they were going remove me [Arrowtop] and fence my place next week” pursuant to the Montana, Glacier county taking, e.g. notice and warrant of eviction, government tax lien certificates and tax deed sale of Arrowtop real and personal property within the City of Browning, Montana, inside of the Blackfeet Indian reservation. “I told him that I needed to talk to

Responsibility for Civil Affairs, 10-7 CA planning “is based on national military strategy” and is consistent with legal obligations “especially those incorporated in *treaties* where US. Armed forces are employed. Pursuant to the ‘theory of uniformity’ the military/civil infrastructure is “privatized.” (*Cross reference: Continuity of Government Act, internment and resettlement*). ‘Privatized’ is a word product of conversational hypnosis, predictive programming, and distracts the ‘public’ from the real infrastructure of “corporatized,” and international “cartelization.” Appendix C-4 FM 3-39, C-18 references the Hague Convention, Article 13. Status of Contract Employees. These strawman are not combatants or non-combatants. HUMINT collectors, interrogators, and other MERCS follow SOPs, MOUs, contract performance stipulations and are protected by quasi-judicial limited immunities from prosecution for violence to life and person, murders, mutilation, environmental depredations, moral coercion, abrogation of spiritual convictions (religious freedoms), cruel treatment, torture hostage taking, lack of custodial protection, non-nutritious diet, real human dignity, and protection from public curiosity (Art. 93, GC, Art. 34, GPW) (*Cross reference: Geneva Convention*).

In the interest of ‘economic development’ the Lakota ‘Wounded Knee’ (sacred site) is targeted as a public curiosity (archeological horizon display above and below ground surface) and is cloaked in heritage / cultural education. The IRA corporate tribal authorities intend to apply *superstitious use*¹⁷⁹ of the actual bodies (bones, remains, possession) of the dead. (*Cross reference: HR 3874 (2012), tribal leasing of Indian trust land- purposes of religion, economic channeling to provisional*

¹⁷⁹ The Sioux tribal administrators (business committee, economic planners) intend to use land, tenements, rents, goods, or chattels, which are secured, or appointed for and toward the maintenance of a religious leader and/or holy man to say prayers, or seemingly say prayers for the *soul* of any dead person in the *atrocious* site, and to have and maintain perpetual curiosity, *interpretive centers*, banners, flags, symbolic objects, to help save the *souls* of men, women and children out of *purgatory*. The IRA corporate tribal executives and their royal courts of the tribe will use force of several statutes, to direct and appoint such uses to such purposes as appear to be charitable. The natural indigenous Lakota (original being), real human relatives of the deceased are subjugated to *Supervening negligence*, e.g. (1) Put in a position of psychological and spiritual peril; (2) The injuring parties (business council, economic planners) are aware, or should become aware, that the indigenous original beings, real humans, either reasonably cannot escape from it or apparently will not avail himself (themselves) of opportunities open to him for doing so; (3) The injuring parties have the opportunity by the exercise of reasonable care to save the indigenous original beings, real humans, from harm; (4) The injuring parties fail to exercise such care. Even when confronted of harming the personal property estates belonging to the surviving families the injuring parties ignore *last clear chance doctrine*. (*Cross reference: malicious intent*).

beneficiaries, franchising, Sherman Anti-trust, RICO statutes). There are several parallel aspects among present day Indian ‘reservations,’ indigenous penal systems (justice systems), and Resettlement Operations infrastructure. Probable anti-trust and racketeering practices are characterized as “public / private partnership,” absent of *partnership* disclosures of vested financial interests, priority assignments, conflicts of interest and official duty within the ‘justice system,’ and civil ‘indigenous penal system.’ See: Implied Partnership.

The social / economic class distinctions are face value in Indian (reservation) HUD housing, and rural area profiles. These economic units are outcomes of *inclusionary rezoning*, e.g. specialized demographic profiling. Those not consenting to “de-naturalization” are denied traditional absolute individual allodial property and ownership. Nepotism is common practice, e.g. the agencies, courts and enforcement actions are bias, prejudicial and *privileged*. The use of writ of *mandamus*¹⁸⁰ as recourse is often chilled due to the co-mingling of the tribal branches (legislative, executive, judicial) of government. The para-military police en mass dog depopulation sweeps, warrantless dynamic entry animal (domestic pet) kills, and as *reprisals* illustrate social regime class structure conflicts and sectarian tensions. (*See: Infraspect case file, Souix, Seven Fires Council, 5-2012*).

¹⁸⁰ The co-mingling of the legislative, executive and judicial branches of tribal government in its face appears nepotistic. The National American Indian Court Judges Association, Code of ethics, articles have bearing on this acute situation. The tribal councils frequently *interfere* the judicial duties of tribal court judges. The judges of the court have a substantial affect on the community “attitude,” e.g. confidence, fidelity and trustworthiness. The chilling affect is such that ‘Mandamus’ may not be considered as recourse when justified. *Writs of Mandamus*, “a high prerogative writ, which issues from a court of superior jurisdiction and is directed to a private or municipal corporation, or any of its officers, or to an executive, administrative or judicial officer, or to an inferior court, commanding the performance of a particular act therein specified, and belonging to his or their public, official, or ministerial duty, or directing the restoration of the complainant to rights or privileges of which he has been illegally deprived.” See: *Nebel v. Nebel, 241 N.C. 491, 85 S.E.2d 876, 882*. “a want of any other appropriate and adequate remedy.” *Cohen v. Ford, 19 Pa. Cmwlth. 417, 339 A.2d 175, 177*. “The United States District Courts have *original jurisdiction* of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee... any agency thereof to perform a duty owned...” *28 U.S.C.A. § 1361*. Mandamus has traditionally issued in response to abuses of judicial power, e.g. where a district judge refuses to take some action he is required... or “some action he is not empowered to take, mandamus will lie.” *Bankers Life & Cas. Co. v. Holland, 346 U.S. 379, 384, 74 S.Ct. 145, 98 L.Ed. 106. McClellan v. Carland, 217 U.S. 268, 30 S.Ct. 501, 503, 54 L.Ed. 762*. A drastic action. *Will v. U.S. 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 273, 19 L.Ed.2d 305*. Quoting “to confine an inferior court to a lawful exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction or to compel it to exercise it authority when it is its duty to do so.” *Roche v. Evaporated Milk Assn., 319 U.S. 21, 26, 63 S.Ct. 938, 941, 87, L.Ed. 1185*. The BAR has influenced rules practice in favor of a *complaint* in the nature of mandamus which accomplishes the same object, e.g. *Mass.R.Civ.P. 81(b)*.

Although tribal employment programs are qualified under various federal funding titles, preferential hiring practices, protected group status and affirmative action nepotism is an accepted practice and contributes to dysfunctional organizations. **Entrenched nepotism**¹⁸¹ affects the recruitment, appraisal, hiring, special appointments, evaluations, compensation, disciplinary procedures, grievance hearing, suspension and termination of personnel. The social class distinctions appear to be **hard wired** in Indian country (reservations) and affect the orientations of administrators, officers, agents, and their relationship to other members of the community. Those affecting prosecutions and enforcement actions are likely to protract reprisals on the basis of social family, race (blood), religion, or political persuasion. This dysfunction surrounds the dynamics of police action concurrent with ‘orientation’ and plausible guilt scenarios. Prejudicial delusions and gossip can potentially have the same weight as

¹⁸¹ In the Sioux tribe demonstration, the process is marked by the ‘director of the ambulance service hiring his nephew, circumventing recruitment appraisal, ignoring probable conflicts of time dedication, e.g. dispatcher / school; arbitrary appointment of supervisors; omission of formal training, orientation and testing; failure to keep personnel file complete and secure (recruitment announcements, intake documents, back ground checks, training, disciplinary action, etc.); omitted and untimely personnel evaluations; records of grievance hearings, statements; director’s failure to formally process critical evaluations affecting public safety and potential civil actions. In a specific case the director’s nephew fell asleep on watch, and repeatedly fell asleep on watch unable to dispatch emergency service, twice made awake by an EMT after a serious delay in providing emergency service. The nephew told the EMT, “nothing is going to happen, my uncle is the director.” This matter was brought to the dispatch supervisor, who documented and reported the failure to perform to the director. The supervisor’s immediate and follow up calls were ignored, except, “I’ll handle it.” The nephew continued dispatching and schooling at the same time. The nephew’s excuse was being extremely “tired” from the intense school curriculum and working at night as a dispatcher. The dispatch supervisor was insistent on formal action as there was potential risk of claims resulting from the death associated to delayed emergency response and a matter of public trust in the service. The director “demoted” the dispatch supervisor and placed [her] on leave for 2 weeks, and quietly hired a new supervisor outside of the normal recruitment, appraisal, hiring, and appointment practices. No visible action was taken upon the director by the tribal Human Resources Officer. *(See: 7 Fires Council, Interview of emergency services dispatch supervisor, 11-8-12).* Those subordinate employees who formalize critical reports are more likely to be subjected to reprisal actions in instances when nepotism is in play. The administrative tribal regimes’ conduct reflects taking special opportunities related to the high Indian reservation unemployment rates, government and quasi municipal ‘jobs’ monopoly. Sexual harassment assaults are difficult to document in the tribal ‘work place,’ as the victim’s fear of reprisal actions, being ‘black balled’ from further tribal employment, if [he, she] reports the assault and/or battery, even as a witness providing testimony.

probable cause.¹⁸² The lack of experience, training, and extreme application of the ‘precautionary principle’ increase the probability of errors.

It is likewise historically known as the Lakota men were “not suitable to be farmers.” The Lakota case demonstration includes senior citizen men and women “getting divorced to get benefits.” The agency stakeholder are significant beneficiaries of the funding “thieftom.” The pattern is lowest quality, marginal housing construction, and new tribal government offices. The tribal government talks about “millions for economic *empowerment zones*, jobs, and builds more jails.” The *leadership roles* for women are manifest from domestic violence, designed by officials with reputations as “man haters,” (victimology) and the “green movement.” (eco-feminism).

¹⁸² *Malice aforethought* is a predetermination to commit an act without legal justification or excuse. *Harrison v. Commonwealth*, 279 Ky. 510, 131 S.W. 2d 454, 455. *A malicious design to injure. State v. Thomas*, 157 Kan. 526, 142 P.2d 692, 693. In the Sioux case demonstration the ‘mother while drinking provoked a hostile and aggressive argument with the daughter residing with the mother and the boyfriend present. The boy friend left to avoid the hostile situation. The daughter gathered her child and exited the house. The mother said, ‘I’ll get you and your boy friend, and get your daughter taken away.’ The fleeing daughter left the care child seat behind. The daughter and boy friend went to a near by store and purchased milk for the child, then proceeded to the daughter’s grand-mother’s house on the reservation. The mother reported to the police that the boyfriend was fighting with the daughter, took her under force along with the child, was highly intoxicated, liked to fight and didn’t like police.’ Three police units were deployed (three routes) to intercept the vehicle, its driver as armed and dangerous. The pursuit officer followed the vehicle for approximately two miles, anticipating unlawful vehicle maneuvers, direction and speed. The vehicle was intercepted and the boyfriend was ordered out of the care. The car was generally inspected. The boyfriend was subjected to walk back, 9 steps, and balance test of 30 seconds. The tests were passed except that the one leg balanced test was failed by one second. The officers interviewed the daughter—no alcohol consumption or marks from fighting. The daughter (passenger) explained the circumstances for no child seat. The boyfriend was taken into custody, transferred to another police unit. The daughter was allowed to drive and leave the incident scene without being secured in an legally approved child restraint seat. The boyfriend was administered a chemical test for intoxication, at a distant highway location. The reading was .070, under the .078. The boyfriend obtained bond in the amount of \$125.00, and was released 2+ days later with conditions. At the bail hearing, the court and prosecutor called “Emerson Elk” to stand. The prosecutor appeared to be happy, elated, until “Emerald Elk” identified himself. The prosecutor, by facial features, appeared to be disappointed. (*See: Infraspect, interview Lakota 7 Fires Council, witness Emerald Elk; CR-12-3440, citation, court docket*). “A willful or corrupt intention of the mind. It includes not only anger, hatred and revenge, but also every other unlawful and unjustifiable motive.” *State v. Scherr*, 243 Wis. 65, 9 N.W.2d 117, 119. Malice in fact. It implies desire or intent to injure... implied malice means wrongful act done intentionally, without just cause or excuse, and jury may infer it as a deduction from want of probable cause. *Lyons v. St. Joseph Belt Ry. Co.*, 232 Mo.App. 575, 84 S.W.2nd 933, 944. Malice prepense. Malice aforethought; deliberate, pre-determined malice. Malicious abuse of legal process. Willfully misapplying court process to obtain object not intended by law... perversion of court process to accomplish, and does not arise from a regular use of process, even with ulterior motives. *Capital Elec. Co. v. Cristaidi, D.C.Md.* 157 F.Supp. 646, 648. Malicious injury. An injury committed against a person at the prompting of malice or hatred towards him, or done spitefully or wantonly. The willful doing of an act with knowledge it is liable to injure another and regardless of consequences. *Panchula v. Kaya*, 59 Ohio App. 556, 18 N.E.2d 1003, 1005, 13 O.O. 301. Punitive damages may be awarded to plaintiff for such injury.

Lakota women applying for benefits “know they are lying” to get assistance and are ashamed. An incident of an assault and battery, e.g. “gang rape” of a Lakota man by six “white women” is laughed at by enforcement officers. The CDC comprehends Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD), the court’s causes of action, while the tribal enforcement officers do not diligently investigate the allegation of a violent crime.

The UN Declaration ON the rights of Indigenous peoples (DRIP), focused on collective / institutional rights, and the “global citizenship role model.” The UN Declaration was unknown among the natural Lakota women in recent decades, and was never read, translated or explained as to the proscribed declaration rights by legal counsel. (*See: Infraspsect on UN DRIP critical discourse, 2007*).

The UN Declaration was never presented as a constitutional amendment or ratified by the Lakota people. The educational institutions sponsoring the UN Declaration are directly engaging in Indian Country outreach to gather support, post facto. (*Cross reference: National Treaty Council, Bill Means*). While the USDI, BIA has been primarily demonized, the state, political sub-divisions, counties, cities, municipals, institutions and private government organizations (non-profit organizations) have been “partners” and vested “stakeholders” *synthesized* in the breaking of the Lakota family bonds, isolation of the Lakota man (father, teacher, guardian, loved one), alienation of the family one from another, expatriation from the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land,” while surging public *conversational hypnosis, predictive programming*, media PR campaigns, social and behavioral engineering, eugenics and compliance, through Indigenous identity Theft (deception & fraud). (*Cross reference: extrinsic fraud, UN Millennium Development Goals, Capstone doctrine, limited genocide, austerity, eugenics, identity cloning, labeling “dead beat dads,” values neutral, market driven solutions, Inclusionary rezoning, rural cleansing, nation buidling, the Great Law of Peace*). The Communist:Fascist/Marx ideologies, there derivative political persuasions (i.e. progressive; liberal; collaborator; party member, left wing, right wing), mandate the value of the ‘citizen’ as to the degree the ‘person’ contributes, e.g. “productive member of society.” The original being, real human, indigenous man’s *personality* and *value* is thwarted, interfered with, obstructed, supplanted and destroyed by means of protracting alien *surrogate powers*-- accredited, certified, licensed, permitted and authenticated, e.g. artificial person—citizen, resident, inhabitant, civilized, educated scholar, fraternity and/or enrolled member. (*Cross reference:*

collectivism, global citizenship role model, UN DSM IV-V oppositional defiance disorder, plausible guilt scenarios).

'Identity cloning' is appears in several journals, such as "Cloning of the American Mind." The author Beverly K. Eakman's bio includes: writer English grammar curriculum for foreign students (B.S. in education, Texas Tech. Univ. (1968), graduate work: U. of California; Science & Tech. Editor-in-Chief NASA's official newspaper; speechwriter on the Bicentennial of the US Constitution; writer: USDOJ; author: Agenda Games (2012), Eradicating Morality Through Education, Educating for the New World Order, How to Counter Group Manipulation Tactics; Executive Dir. 1994-2006 National Education Consortium; Expert witness/consultant (2000). The secular political ideology "collaborative governance," e.g. 'formal decision making of the group,' 'positivism,' application of the 'Delphi techniques' (thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing) are institutional models now used in the ***protracted*** 'Indian country revolution,' federal Indian reservations, e.g. "Nation Re-Building" (Bush Foundation). The Indian women and children are primary UNHRC targets, e.g. UN D.R.I.P. articles. (***See: Seven Fires Council Lakota, Emerson Elk, 2012).***

The concept and speech encoding "***domestic dependent nations***" stems from the misconstruction of 'ward ship,' (conquest, discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain) and ignores the ***principles of political conservation*** visible in the execution of a "treaty" between adverse 'parties' and interests. The ***bounds*** of the treaty ***area*** are manipulated as "liquid."¹⁸³ The ***public laws*** carry ***codified*** civil rights applications of "protected group status" and "affirmative action," and have been lobbied across the lawful treaty line in ***socio-political*** theories of "equal access and

¹⁸³ The doctrines of "flexibility" and "innovative" are relied upon and cloaked as "policy decisions." This is illustrated by "innovative international law." Specific 'charters' (articles of incorporation) of tribal ***business committees*** recite "liquid" in context and terms of describing the boundaries of their reservations, preserves and territories. The Continuity of Government moved collaborative 'decision making' into a frame of spiking ***authorities*** for agency regulators. The agency "Secretary," ***transformed*** as "Ministers" assume an omnibus level of "discretion," "judgment call," "no data analysis decision making," under ***administrative law***. The secular political ideology of collaborative governance has moved from ***establishment***, to the second phase of ***building*** and perfecting the ***transformation*** to a global goal value priority and functional specialization (interoperability) of inner circles of decision makers. This transformation embraces codified participation roles in stewardship contracting, e.g. enfranchising tax sheltered Private Government Organizations (PGOs). The legal ***applications*** to courts of jurisdiction are restricted to "review." (***Cross reference: absolute and qualified immunity***). This is evident in the institutional normative rationale presented in the University of Oregon, Law School, Public Information Environmental Law Conference, e.g. "Political Cross Roads" (March 2012).

opportunity,” exclusively tested by the Supreme Court of the United States, applied *settled case law*, and NOT the tests of ‘*indigenous settled law*.’” The university and college law schools rely upon the academic *theory of uniformity* as it applies to the *institutional normative rationale*

of the BAR court and its officers.¹⁸⁴ Collaborative governance and alternative dispute resolution are **purposefully co-mingled** exemplified by: “Native American and Alaska Native Environmental Program and Native Dispute Resolution Network... for those seeking assistance from a

¹⁸⁴ The various *Indian BAR Associations* are incorporated as non-profit, and not-for-profit registered entities, operating with sub-divisions of the UNITED STATES. Several are Internal Revenue Service Section 501 (C)(3) and 501 (c)(6). *Political activities, fraternal bonds, professional partnerships* characterize the “brothers in the bond.” The Northwest Indian BAR Association (NIBA) is a non-profit licensed with the Washington Secretary of State as an *incorporated* non-profit organization pursuant to the Washington Non-profit Corporation Act, RCW 24.03.015. NIBA operates pursuant to its own By-laws. NIBA is also organized under Section 501 (C)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code, which allows the group to engage in *political outreach* efforts. NIBA’s sister organization, the Northwest Indian BAR Association Foundation is also licensed as an incorporated not-for-profit entity under Washington State law, IRS 501 (c)(3) educational outreach. The National Native American BAR Association Chapters have a vote on the NNABA Board of Directors. As the name Native American implies, NNABA *represents the interests of all populations indigenous to the lands which are now collectively the United States:* American Indians, Native Alaskans, and Native Hawaiians. The term “United States” ordinarily refers to the federal government and does not include the states of the union (*10 U.S.C.S., § 2231(4). History; Ancillary Laws and Directives, P. 19.*). Projects: The term “United States” ordinarily refers to the federal government and does not include the states of the union (*10 U.S.C.S., § 2231(4). History; Ancillary Laws and Directives, P. 19.*). Include Indian Law on *State BAR Exams*. Although this BAR claims to represent the interests of “all populations indigenous,” it omits the matters of “Extrinsic fraud,” which specifies the lawful requirements of obtaining the powers of attorney before claiming to represent a ‘client.’ Hidden proxy and ‘phantom clients’ are serious matters of concern in Indigenous identity Theft (fraud). There is an aggressive, pervasive and ‘conclusive presumption’ alleged by the “Indian” BAR associations that they have lawful power to “represent the interests of all populations indigenous to the lands which are now collectively the United States.” This statement sets off misprisions of felony, extrinsic fraud, perjury and treason, and is not supported by the Constitution of the United States or any of its subdivisions or subordinate departments. While lawyers routinely step outside of the ‘judicial branch of government’ to represent, advise and direct incorporated ‘tribal business committees’ they use federal, state, and tribal membership funds to advocate for the corporation, against enrolled members, as shareholders and stakeholders in the ‘Indian’ IRA established corporations. During the University of Oregon, Public Interest Environmental Law Conference 2012, the school PIELC sponsor deemed the conference the “Political Cross Roads.” **Infraspect’s suggested panel “Indigenous identity Theft & fraud” was said to be “not appropriate for the conference,” 2012.** The Panel participation included various indigenous people from Lakota, Washoe, Siletz, Kalamath, and so on. The select panels addressed: Value of Critical Habitat; Costs & Benefits of Natural Resource Policy; Economic Development, **Collaborative Decision Making**, Water Law Doctrine, **Environmental Justice and Indigenous Peoples Rights:** Reducing Carbon Emission; Sustainability; Ocean Policy; Cooperative Federalism; Trust Litigation: Climate Crisis; Keystone Pipeline; **Allocating** Water Resources; Losing Our Right to Appeal; Media Training; Territorial Sea; Wilderness Act; Proactive Aspects for lawyers... NGOs; **Population** and the Environmental Movement; Tribal Land **Acquisition**; Regulation of natural resources; Public **Lands**; Public **Trusts**; Failure of Government; Women Environmentalists; FOIA; Global Warming **Litigation**; fracking; **Invasive** Species; Environmental Advocacy; Recreation Enhancement Act; **Water Rights**; **Collaborative Groups**; Public Interest Ideals; Campaign Finance Reform. These itemized issue were to be addressed in Infraspect’s PIELC panel: Indigenous identity Theft & fraud, and are included in the *technical brief* 2011-12-13. The PIELC solicited donations (\$5- \$25), “Help offset your travel-related carbon emissions with a donation to the Bonneville Environmental Foundation. To calculate your general travel-related carbon footprint you can go to <http://pielc.org/pages/carboncalc.html>., checks payable to F.L.A.W. Essentially carbon certificates are franchise ‘products,’ e.g. Project[s] must be certified through a third-party carbon standard such as the American Carbon Registry (ACR), Climate Action Reserve (CAR), Gold Standard (GS), or Verified Carbon Standard (VCS).

collaborative conflict resolution practitioner... trust lands (including cultural property and *sacred sites*)." The PGO system is top-down, e.g. "U.S. Institute is part of the Morris K. Udall Foundation (executive branch) with Trustees appointed by the U.S. President." "Facilitators" and "mediators" are utilized. The environmental program applies "effective use of collaborative dispute resolution," "government to government" under NEPA Section 106. The Indian (Native) targets are "practitioners, who *build* collaborative *capacity* through Bridging... (vision)... foster *healing* and create *sustainable relationships* that yield high quality of resolution (Mission)... Fosters a deeper understanding of underlying principles..." The model is "collaborative conflict resolution." The stakeholder "network" is controlled by a "coordination team." The vested stakeholder interests are represented by, "Native Nations *Institute* (Begay); Dawson, *Esq.*; Fairbanks, *Esq.*; *EPA* (Jorgensen); *USDI*, Collaborative action and Dispute Resolution (Lynn); *Mediator* (Moore); Ramirez, *Esq.*; EPA, Conflict Prevention (Scharf); *Mediator* (Sherman); Mediator (Townsend); Arizona State *University*, Lincoln *Professor*, Indian *Legal* Program (Tsosie); Yavapi *Tribal* Court (Umtuch); Oneida Appeals *Commission* (Webster); University of Colorado, Professor of Law (Wilkinson); Navajo Nation, Chief *Justice* (Yazzie). The framework is "*change agency*." The BAR association is encoded "Esq." The term "Esq." Denotes allegiance to the Crown. The Udall Foundation is affiliated to the Bush Foundation, both espousing, promoting and implementing "Nation Re-Building," under the collaborative governance political form of government system. The EPA EMS is aligned with the TNC (PGO) umbrella organizations and UN permanent forum on the rights of Indigenous Peoples, expert judgment elicitation processes, e.g. academic *tenure* franchises. These experts apply the UN DRIP, granting authorities to UN "member" states. (*Cross reference: centers for excellence, total quality leadership, Delphi technique*).

The *law of contracts* is embedded in "collaborative governance," e.g. PGO source and stewardship contracting, and has been mis-interpreted as the legal basis for treaty covenants, by the "Bench and BAR." The current modern era application of 'collaboration' systems is illustrated by "*Contract law in Soviet society*," such as "stakeholder councils." "The socialist societies, where the means of production are owned by the state [tribe-state, domestic dependent nation] and economic life is subject to relatively strict governmental regulation [charter, inclusionary rezoning, aggregate re-allocation, community development quotas, Agenda 21: ICLEI: smart meters, over-arching—ISO Environmental Management

Standards, market driven solutions, so called green certification] the predetermination of contract terms goes much father than in free economics... planned economy of the Soviet state type... subject to instructions from central *authorities* [vertical decision teams], which “prescribe” terms of the contracts, e.g. charters, by-laws, compacts, and private government organizations (PGOs). The so called Constitutional amendments, the “bill of rights” is actually *enumerated* particular “*prohibitions*.” “Contracts between Soviet state economic enterprises are usually viewed as a means of making general plans more detailed, e.g. times of delivery, quality of goods, assortment, means of delivery [chain of custody], method of payment.” The soviet state is a “third party” to the contract and the agreements are “consciously controlled by governmental authorities.” (*See: The Nature and Function of Law, 4th edition, Berman, Greiner, 1980, Contract Law, Jurisprudential Postscript, p755-6*).

The tribe-state (domestic dependent nation) corporate triballawyers operate outside of the judicial branch of tribal government. While this ‘practice’ is found to be unconstitutional, therefore unlawful, the wide spread ‘*collusive presumption*’ is found in “Professional Responsibility” of attorneys, lawyers, and legal counsel. *The “lawyer as one who designs the framework of collaborative effort.”* (Ch. 8, Sec 31, p.770). These personalities abide by “loyalty,” as “brothers in the bound,” and “ESQ.” Bear in mind, collaboration goes to ‘treasonable,’ while failing an *oath of allegiance* to a sovereign people. (*See: Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th edition*). The voluntary organization among “affected parties” collaborate and form corporations (for profit, not-for-profit, non-profit public benefit, ‘some-profit’ NGOs, PGOs, regional PGOs, ICOs, ICLEIs, collaboratives), partnerships, labor unions, clubs, and churches, with advantageous rights and duties defined. The SEC has co-mingled these entities, e.g. (501C(3)(6), political activities. (*Cross reference: Exempt organizations from the Civil Rights Act of 1964*). Collaboration is initiated by externalities and prescribed in a “formal charter, defining the terms of the collaboration, anticipating and forfending against possible disputes.” “In our society the natural architect of this framework is the lawyer... his [her] task is to design a framework of collaboration that will function in such a way that litigation will not arise.” “Concentric rings of control” (Delphi cult techniques: decision makers, preferred partners, vested stakeholders, useful social personalities, re-socialization engineers, facilitators) are applied systematically by *pre-decisional criteria*, which answers the *underlying motives* of the contracting *participants*. These *particularistic arrangements* as “instruments defining the terms of

collaboration may affect [do affect] persons not present and often not born.” These persons are involuntarily, without knowledge and informed consent may be damaged. (*See: Professional Responsibility: Report of the Joint Conference,* 44 *American BAR Association Journal* 1159, 1160-1161 (1958).

The National American Indian Court Judges Association adopted its code of ethics to demonstrate the court’s integrity and fairness that in turn defines the communities’ faith and health in utilizing a tribal court. In the Blackfeet case demonstration (2012) Judge Shaun Fagstrom appeared on a internet U-tub presentation to disclose the Business committee’s “interference” with the Blackfeet tribal court, e.g. the politically convenient, untimely delay in the prosecution of tribal councilman Jay Wells. Councilman Wells was not brought to trial prior his ‘suspension’ by the tribal executive business committee of 5. Tribal attorney McKay notified the tribal court clerk that the **Defendant tribal council would select a judge**. Judge Fagstrom’s suggestion of a “mutually agreed upon judge” was marginalized and ignored in fact. Tribal Councilman Shannon Augare (defendant) made a meeting motion to halt the seating of a judge in the Jay Well (plaintiff) case. Tribal Judge Fagstrom was “excused” according to the ‘official story’ distributed to the Glacier Reporter, having notified the council that an outside judge (in the interest of integrity and fairness), in accordance with the Blackfeet Tribal Ordinance number 58, would be selected, through the referral processes of the Montana, Wyoming, Judges Association. The pro tem Judge Johnson was turned back.

In totality, the federal Indian reservation political precincts represent an inferior political force, when tested under *rule of law* that is defined as “to the advantage of the majority.” Political, social, economic and infrastructure *convergent impact affect* is relied upon to effect *attrition* of the lesser Indian population of *registered voters into the majoritarian hearsay*. This causes a subjective rationale of dual citizenship, as a strategy of holding offices in distinctly difference political entities and jurisdictions —so comes the *secular political ideology*: collaborative governance. The county/state/federal majority creates a need for reciprocal Indian political offensives. Together the Indian ‘caucus’ is persuaded to use ‘natural asset’ *assignments* and gratuities to leverage continued benefits and distributions to the *tribal beneficiaries*.

The 'blood quantum' and total enrolled tribal memberships has been the bench mark for *line item budget* allotments to "tribal programs" and their city, county, and state "partners." The global 'depopulation' proposition presents a paradox through its political measures, such as "*environmental justice*," and "*global justice*." The tribal '*policy makers*' are influenced to invoke 'credits' to those indigenous women that will forego their rights to *natural* conception and birth. (*Cross reference: Planned parenthood*). In late 2011, a national television news anchorwoman (CFR member) characterized Indian women as having children so they could get welfare payments. Indian women did not originate the Adult and Family Services (welfare) programs. Under terms of the '*pro-choice*' doctrine, Indian men are excluded from decision making, while remaining subjugated to taxation without representation, pertaining to conceptions, birthing, rearing, and education of their children. The US Supreme Court was more concerned with population management. Sustainable population goes to *community development quotas*, as a result of austerity regimes effecting

environmental carrying capacity.¹⁸⁵ Austerity planning on federal Indian reservations is followed by population management (eugenics, planned parenthood, depopulation, tax funded abortion). Sterilization of Indian women on reservations has approached 40 per cent. The genetic profiling included “pre-crime” screening.

The reservations are subjugated to convergent impact stressors. The construction of reservation ‘food deserts’ essentially means that the aggregate supply lines are limited and remote, e.g. food, energy, and medical health care. The ‘controllers of the environmental movement’ (Alex Jones) are co-operative with the eugenics movement, within the parameters en mass depopulation management, e.g. planned parenthood. (**Cross reference: Trans-humanism**). The economic command model is purposefully limited to the “moderate living needs” aggregate re-allocation’ system in the name of ‘environmental protection.’

¹⁸⁵ Carrying capacity under market driven standards (ISO EMS) equates the quality of life as a product of the economic monetarist system subject to floating currency exchange rates. In 1798 an “Essay on the Principle of Population” was authored by Thomas Malthus. Unchecked the population out runs increases in the food supply. The application of the theory requires population control, either by war, disease, famine, eugenics, and/or en mass depopulation. The US national security interests deem ‘population’ a threat to national and global security. The standing population is measured against the capacity of Trans-national Corporations (TNC) in the model “globally integrated enterprises.” The *failed reservation states* are subjugated to a systematic ‘predatory economy.’ “The Malthusian model has been strongly attacked by those who argue that the reasoning behind it ignores the fact that it is only the poor who go hungry, and claim that poverty is due to poor distribution of resources, not physical limits on production.” “... the notion of food supply increasing only arithmetically is not supported by the evidence of the past two centuries.” The Malthusian model has been revived in a modern form with the extension of the ecological concept of CARRYING CAPACITY to human populations, and by the debate over the existence of finite LIMITS TO GROWTH. The IPCC expert judgment elicitation process (EPA) solicits scientific opinion, while the peer system utilizes the “precautionary principle,” e.g. consensus reality. While the carbon foot print taxation mechanism moves on an individual and community development quota basis, the derivatives speculation models (CCX, CMX): global cap & trade, exchanges, offsets, carbon certificates, carbon credit banking, tax sheltered land trust, conservation easements, swaps, stewardship contracting satisfy the global market collaborations among the TNC public agency/corporate ‘partnership.’ These schemes, by proof of concept, exclude the profits (income) shared among the ‘stakeholders.’ Stakeholder means banker, financial investor/speculator. Basically, the for-profit and non-for-profit corporations realize an income from the spin offs from “disaster economics.” The Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CMC) and Chicago Carbon Exchange (CCX) are part of the predatory economy strategy. (TNC dependent business structure, trans-national sub-contracts and networks). The scheme involves the GMO methods of specialized terminator seeds and patented seeds and stocks marketed on the basis of legal franchising and scarcity. The controlled reservation populations have been subjugated to carrying capacity, austerity and the actual termination of buffalo as food and dynamic part of the quality of life for indigenous people. The sovereignty of indigenous people is treated as a **BARrier** to “trade liberalization” under “innovative international law.” The indigenous real humans, original beings, have been subsidized (foreign banking credits & currencies) to a commonly known fiscal fault. The nation state, and sovereign indigenous communities are said to be “dead.” **Collaboration** among TNC, states and tribe-states are addressed in “Dynamics of conflict and collaboration: ‘both transnational corporations and states matter.’ (See: *Transforming the World Economy, Global Shift, third edition, Peter Dicken, 1998*).

The over-state fore planning is exemplified by, "... lecture of the Pittsburgh Pediatric Society... Dr. Richard Day Professor of Pediatrics at Mount Sinai Medical School... served as Medical Director of Planned parenthood Federation of America. Dr. Day as an insider of the "Order." "Everything has two purposes. One is the ostensible purpose which will make it acceptable to people and second is the real purpose which would further the goals of establishing the new system."¹⁸⁶

Concurrently, this interpolates to an affect on the number of registered voters in political precincts. The austerity formulas use "inter-temporal human capital transport to optimal consumption pathways." Essentially, women and men are manipulated into moving to the "metroplexes" (Blair). This has been viewed as "rural cleansing" (including Indian country) and it flies in the face of indigenous culture: heirship, custom, and living places. (*Cross reference: Proportional democracy*).

¹⁸⁶ The March 20, 1969 Pittsburgh Pediatric Society meeting was recollected by Dr. Lawrence by topics as follows: Is there a power, a force, or a group of men Organising and Redirecting Change?, Everything is in Place and Nobody can stop us now, People will have to get used to Change, The Real and the Stated Goals, Population Control, Permission to have Babies, Redirecting the Purpose of Sex, Contraception Universally Available to all, Sex education as a tool of world governance, Tax Funded Abortion as Population Control, Encouraging Homosexuality, Families to Diminish in Importance, Euthanasia, Limiting Access to Affordable Medical, Planning the Control over Medicine, Elimination of Private Doctors, New Difficult to Diagnose and Untreatable Diseases, Suppressing Cancer Cures as a Means of Population Control, Inducing form(s) of Assassination, Educational acceleration of Puberty, Blending All Religions, Key word Revisions In Bible, Education as Indoctrination, More Time in School— functional specialization, Controlled access to Information, Schools as Hub of Community, Purging of Public Libraries, Changing law, Encouragement of Drug Abuse, Increase of Institutionalized 'treatment,' Restrictions on Travel, Crime used to manage society, domestic industrial austerity, Population removal & resettlement, Entertainment distractions, Food control---chain of custody, media predictive programming, Falsified Scientific Research, State/synthetic terrorism, Financial / monetary terrorism, Surveillance, espionage (full spectrum), abrogation of allodial property and ownership, totalitarian decision making, weather warfare. (Cross reference: Infraspect, Profiling Intrusions on community action groups, activists and citizens, p.1-708, demonstration case file, 2002-present). The conformal representation is substantive and significant, e.g. pattern recognition to current events (2012). The eugenics depopulation movement is contingent to the 'environmental movement.' (Cross reference: Millennium Development Goals, Agenda 21, ICLEI: community development quotas, smart meters, nation re-building, ISO: EMS, community education, no child left behind, conservation psychology, rural cleansing---metroplexing, global risk governance). It is noteworthy that the 'public mind' through 'public perception management', e.g. thought reform, mind control, predictive programming, values and brain washing believed that the "new order," or "new world order" was mere 'conspiracy theory.' President Bush announced its "implementation" phase. "Interdependence, innovative international law, and inter-operability" are the hinge pins of the "capstone doctrine" (UN, 2008).

The so called “un-documented immigration” events¹⁸⁷ are of interests to political precincts as the ‘anchor babies’ establish a factor of citizenship or residency. (*Cross reference: felony entry and trespass*). The existing ‘parties’ and agencies have a vested tax revenue sharing interest in the population of potential registered voters and payers to be realized from *amnesty*, and *naturalization* of “un-documented immigrants.” While the ambitions embedded in the North American Union are face value in the globalism movement, the amnesty and pardons auto-judicially granted to the felony trespassers, amounts to vindication. This vindication embraces several types of crime. The political courts mitigate the terms of entry, civil rights attorneys protract their status as registered voters in precincts, depending the prerogatives of the dominating political party and executive

¹⁸⁷ The USDOJ constructive paradox of ‘illegal felony trespass across a federal boundary’ and ‘undocumented immigrant’ effects mitigation *tools* to achieve “deep immigration.” The statutory application of *‘immigration rights’* goes to ‘global justice,’ ‘environmental justice,’ ‘protected group status,’ and ‘affirmative action.’ These legislated artificial person identifications (disadvantaged social & economic class) create a ‘civil rights’ (US Constitution-- Bill of rights) atmosphere, which may be wrongfully applied to the original being’s “sacred indigenous estate held in the land.” (George, Blair, 2007). The legal case law *clusters* surrounding law arbitrary, and applications of the letter of *rule of law* are without conclusion. The various *Titles* invoked through ‘federal law,’ and ‘federal Indian law’ required “equal access and opportunity,” and prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, creed, color, gender and sexual preferences. (*Cross reference: Racial restriction*). The IRA tribal *business committees* and respective subordinate departments, in order to qualify for grants, stipends, gifts, subsidies and funding under ‘public law’ criteria, submit to *‘fundamental public policy’* and ‘civil rights’ statutes. The constructive *false right claims* to services and benefits are masked in *cultural diversity, shared values, and values clarification*. The matter of *sovereign immunity* of the ‘tribes’ (IRA 1934), and the *qualified immunity* of individual tribal officials is dealt with by innovative court practices of *comity*, within and without the original indigenous being’s lawful free will. As long as the public perception management campaign (social engineering toolkit) is kept in the context of Indian *victimology*, the original beings are aligned with *cultural diversity*, e.g. deep immigration.

administrators, as agency stakeholders, invent mechanisms,¹⁸⁸ needs assessments to capture a share of the *legal plunder* available for special accommodations of socially and economically disadvantaged classes.

¹⁸⁸ The pretenses of ‘socially and economically disadvantaged classes’ has been the foundation of the ‘political parties’ that rely on ‘never ending crisis,’ both natural and human caused. Cultural diversity, non-discrimination, anti-defamation and group status are justifications for establishing and enforcing special linguistic service line announcements (federal, state, county, city, municipal, corporate), such as for Hispanic speaking persons, in specifically the same jurisdictions where original beings, e.g. indigenous people, native Americans, Africans, Indians, Asians and others remain disenfranchised from these essential communications services. The US government statistical and demographic profiles depict the exceptionally high *federal Indian reservation* dysfunctional food, nutritional and health care programs, marginal self-employment regimes, unemployment coincidental to military service recruitment, priority arrest and high incarceration rates. This is contrasted by the *human capital value* of the Hispanic relative to present, probable and future tax debtor status; priority marketing targets—consumers of goods and services, and favorable resocialization and behavioral conformity models, e.g. labor pools in *globally integrated enterprises*. This is evidentiary in the white papers, e.g. proof of concept, in the North American Union (NAU), the Security & Prosperity Partnership (SPP), and working groups of the NACC. *Deep Integration* is an integral part of the UNDP, Agenda 21, ICLEI, ISO: EMS dynamic models of sustainable development and population management. The matters of the Capstone doctrine—divine right of kings, right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain are augmented by the ulterior motives of the Hispanic La Raza territorial reacquisition movement. There is an active re-insurgency of presumed land grant claims, which fit the economic command initiatives of globally integrated enterprises and ICO PGOs, e.g. former Non-Governmental Organizations. The first nations people, through a protracted process of austerity are manipulated into piece meal liquidations of natural assets, being real and personal property and ownership interests in order to fund the cost of ‘services’ and ‘benefits’ that are appraised and subject to ‘market value,’ and the ‘floating currency exchange rates.’ The concept and speech for controlling the target include: 1. Maintain strict discipline. 2. Instill belief of personal inferiority. 3. Develop awe of master’s power (instill fear). 4. Accept master’s standards of “good conduct.” 5. Develop a habit of perfect dependence. (*Cross reference: UN security council-- global interdependence; conversational hypnosis; Willie Lynch speech, 1800s*).

The matter of “*pardon*”¹⁸⁹ is omitted from the United Nations Human Rights report,¹⁹⁰ re: Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. The exclusive power of the indigenous sovereign to “pardon” offenders and offenses is subordinate to the *state*, by and through *pre-decisional criteria* protracted by the *over-state*. In response to repetitious collusive and conclusive presumptions, the Declaration of Vindication (*Attachment VII*), Decree of original beings, Sovereign Personal political power holders, addresses free standing sovereigns in inherent rights of life and immutable Liberty; and, right of leadership; right of plea; right of congress; right of natural association; right of assembly; right of travel, trade, treaties and intercessions with all other indigenous people by covenant in the first instance. The declaration is a solemn proclamation by people holding specifying conclusions of Justice and Judgment, diplomacy and immunity from political crimes, legislative offenses, judicial

¹⁸⁹ *Clemency* means the forgiveness of a crime or the cancellation (in whole or in part) of the penalty associated with it. It is a general concept that encompasses several related procedures: pardoning, commutation, remission and reprieves. A pardon is the forgiveness of a crime and the cancellation of the relevant penalty; it is usually granted by a head of state (such as a monarch or president) or by a competent church authority. Today, pardons are granted in many countries when individuals have demonstrated that they have fulfilled their debt to society, or are otherwise considered to be deserving. Pardons are sometimes offered to persons who are wrongfully convicted or claim they have been wrongfully convicted. Cases of wrongful conviction are ‘nowadays’ more often dealt with by appeal than by pardon however, a pardon is sometimes offered when innocence is undisputed to avoid the costs of a retrial. Clemency plays a very important role when capital punishment is applied. When the legislators **no longer listen** to the citizens, the citizens are absolved from public disobedience. Public grant juries can execute the right of assembly and hear a plea. The matter of Clemency for wrongful prosecution, conviction and imprisonment of a original being, real human, does not rest with the courts. The EXTENT of the FAILURE of the tribal ‘decision teams’ to *listen* is a failure to adhere to the very principles and truths that the Indian government ‘institutions’ pretend to regard and respect. (*See: Infraspect, interview and video tape of traditional/cultural educator, Blackfeet, 2011*). The tribal departments, pursuant to corporate charters, are constricted to “economic development” and trans-state/tribal “partnership” creation. “Listening” is beyond the *understanding* of ‘collaborators’ whose *frame* is based on ‘*positivist theory*,’ e.g. effective public agenda, issue development, fixed options and outcomes. The tribal *economic command* is agency/corporate controlled. The matters of *traditional* and *cultural “Listening”* goes to the known and concealed “*Golden Ratio*.” The insight of the traditional indigenous people that are *listening* are marginalized, isolated, disenfranchised and alienated as subordinate ‘inputers’ in action plans, already ‘framed’ by ‘*pre-decisional criteria*.’

¹⁹⁰ Human Rights Council, First session, Item 6 of the agenda, 19-30 June 2006, Report of the General Assembly on the First Session of the Human Rights Council, Vice-President and Rapporteur: Mr. Musa Burayzat (Jordan). The determination and decisions of the council are arrived at by a “consensus” of those parties “seated at the table.”

indolence, and applications of foreign corporations bearing symbolic marks.¹⁹¹

The Lakota indigenous hereditary Chiefs and Headmen of the 7 Fires Council entered and captioned, “Notice of Special Appearance, Supplemental Complaint of Sovereign Hereditary Chiefs, re: Supplemental Complaint Abuse of Discretion by Court Officers, Administrators and Persons, Case No. 1:96VC01285-JR, Objections to and applications of the District of Columbia Court protocols, procedures rules; and to the actual “Cobell Settlement” with those persons identified pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act, 1934; followed by a letter to President Obama of the United States, December 2010.” The 7 Fires Council additionally sent the judge an educational purpose letter. The Court Judge sent a letter of reprisal to the US Marshall, alleging the 7 Fires Council (traditional organized body) made an “implicit threat.” Since, this event, the seated U.S. District of Columbia judge has been ‘retired,’ and ‘replaced.’ The Chiefs and Headmen hold *sovereign personal political powers* as real humans, are entitled and hold “diplomatic class standing.” The chiefs and headmen were held inferior to other sovereigns and were denied *liberty* within the *due process clause*

¹⁹¹ The “Declaration of Vindication” was developed, drafted, and encoded, with the spirit and intent of off setting the reckless indifference, unequal treatment, bias and prejudice toward the indigenous people convicted of the several types of crimes, while felony trespass across a sovereign borderline was politically tainted and corrupted as “un-documented immigration,” particularly Hispanics, whose felony trespass and entry was auto-judicially answered with clemency, amnesty and pardon in concert with the “*Global Democratic Strategy*” (weaonized immigration), and democratic/socialist political movement at the national and state precinct levels. The probability of clemency, pardon and amnesty for incarcerated indigenous people convicted of misdemeanors is not likely. While the indigenous people deemed to be hostile or potentially violent (DHS threat matrix) are prosecuted to the full extent of security interests, the international southern border states experience (out in the open) the Hispanic/Mexican (La Raza) movement to take back portions of the U.S. (*Cross reference: Sedition, treason*). The number of indigenous men incarcerated in the U.S. Prison system (correctional/treatment institutions) is a pathetic indicator of the ‘failed reservation state,’ and the “*institutional economy*” (re-inventing government, President Clinton, Al Gore) and ‘predatory economics’ practiced therein. The Declaration of Vindication is cited as attachment VII.

meanings to issue “*letters of marque and reprisal*,”¹⁹² and thereby not entitled *equal footing* of the treaty covenant, and their hiership of the blood, customary/traditional perpetual succession, and right of soil. The rights of the 7 Fires Council, the diplomatic class standing of its Chiefs and Headmen is beyond the adjudication of the U.S. District Court, In and For the District of Columbia.

The *absolute* and *limited immunity* doctrines create a *legal shield* against civil actions; criminal prosecutions of officials and agents of the state. In the context of constructive intent words can be considered criminal acts, e.g. threats, perjury, conspiracy and solicitation. The courts consistently uphold the rationale that an agent-of-the-state words are held in higher esteem based on credulity and state’s corporate association to its loyal officers. Omnibus escape mechanisms are built into all levels of administration.

Among the mechanisms are “Declarations of Non-significance,” which are codified and enacted by state agents, agency strawmen, for the purpose of preempting full & explicit “worst case scenarios” pertaining to promulgations, strategic plans, environmental assessments (EA), Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), legislative acts, agency actions, records of decision and measures. The collaborative governance secular political ideology provides for ‘no data analysis decision making’ and extreme degrees of ‘discretion’ and ‘flexibility’, e.g. escape from standards and consequences for ‘*planning*.’

The agents treat ‘planning’ as mere ‘ideas,’ *objectified* and *rationalized* with an outward appearance of no real significant impact, foreseeable consequence or injury associated to the *strategic* plan’s *specifications* and

¹⁹² “No state shall enter into any treaty, alliance, or confederation; grant letters of marque and reprisal.” (*US federal Constitution, Article I, Section 10*). See: *Prize law; United States v. The Ambrose Light [25 Fed. 408]; Dow v. Johnson* the Court noted that the supremacy of the civil law at home, and, in time of peace, is essential to the preservation of liberty; All Cestui Quae (Vie) Trusts are created on presumption; Papal Bull of Roman Cult leader Pope Paul III, 1540, the soul of the baby, by Baptismal Certificate is conveyed to a “3rd” CQV Trust owned by Roman Cult... Since 1815, the 3rd Crown of the Roman Cult and 3rd CQV Trust, representing Ecclesiastical Property has been managed by the BAR as the reconstituted “Galla”, e.g. Real Property (earth), Personal Property (body) and Ecclesiastical Property (soul) corresponding to the three forms of law available to BAR courts: corporate commercial law (judge is landlord), maritime and canon law (judge is the banker), and Talmudic law (judge is the priest); *Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 465-500, Spring 2005*. (See: *Roman law, law of the land*). Uniform Commercial Code (law) is associated to the Vatican.

stipulations. Exceptionalism is applied under the “*precautionary principle*” of “potentiality” to achieve the actual effectiveness of the plan. (*Cross-reference: stewardship contracting- proof of concept*). This demonstrates duplicity and paradox created by the plan cohorts. This prejudicial mindset is reserved for the state’s agents and their cohorts. The doctrine embraces “belief in management.”

The ‘proof of concept’ doctrine stems from the Organization for International Standardization (ISO) Environmental Management Systems (EMS), market driven standards (solutions). This econometric belief relies on “values neutral,” “situational ethics” and “ethical environmental tradeoffs” (innovative international law, environmental justice, global justice). The ‘equal rights’ and ‘fairness doctrines,’ and legal paradigms submit the “Quality of life” (QoL) as monetary “Income” and “benefits.” The sophists are careful to keep this linguistic encoding as an ‘empty adjective,’ e.g. “higher quality products for a higher quality of life.” (Junk English, Smith, p. 109). The collaborative governance secular political ideologists implement ‘aggregate re-allocation’ among enfranchised ‘users’ by selling the quality of life as a government “product” resulting from forms of taxation and pricing economies (global market conditions).¹⁹³ The welfare subsidies, settlements and restitutions are the direct protracted result of austerity programs applied to indigenous dominium.

The planning words of a mere citizen, resident, or person can be construed as threats, conspiracy and collusion to establish constructive criminal intent. The over-arching theories of absolute immunity and limited immunity rely on the belief of ‘collapsing wrong doing to the authority of the high cause,’ greater interest, and *supremacy of remote interests*. (*Cross reference: Papal bulls, right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain*). The process of discovery in strategic planning is skewed by fixing the options and outcomes, alternatives, by and through disallowing contrary evidentiary testimony (standing) and facts to be “entered to [on] the record.” This dynamic is perfected by characterizing ‘far flung’ notions, and invoking “expert judgment

¹⁹³ The “quality of life” as “income” is a product recreated by taxation and pricing economies. These elements together demonstrate the foreigners ‘ulterior motives’ as vested interests in so called ‘original jurisdiction’ of the creditor. The burden of proofing ‘original jurisdiction’ rests upon the court judge’s claim of the same.

elicitation” which controls the favorable evidence as it applies.¹⁹⁴ It is essential to comprehend the methods of characterizing, disenfranchising and criminalization. The indigenous natural hereditary Chiefs and Headmen have not presented a “*waiver of sovereign immunity*” as to their “*originality*” (Chief Dave Bald Eagle, 2011) and indigenous identity. In 2010, Lakota natural hereditary Chief Dave Bald Eagle, used attaché, Infraspect, Auditor Directorate, William Blair, to speak aloud, and hand delivered the following message to USDI, Under Secretary for Indian Affairs, Echo Hawk, as publicly witnessed at the University of Oregon, Law School, the following: From: Chief Dave Bald Eagle (acknowledged), “I am a La Kota Chief. I am your Chief. I will not give up the land and what is under it. The government [IRA Indians] is a white government, they are destroying our culture.” Along with this message, a true and complete copy of the Lakota hereditary Chief’s and Headmen’s Notice, and Special Appearance before the United States and the US District Court, in and for the District of Columbia, was hand delivered. No immunity what so ever have been granted to agency ‘planners’ et. al., by the natural indigenous hereditary Lakota Chiefs and Headmen of the 7 Fires Council. (See: 7 Fires Council records; Infraspect case file 2010). (Cross reference: Declaration by indigenous People, www.readthedeclaration.blogspot.com).

(7) The indigenous hereditary Chiefs and Headmen are misconstrued as *tenant* ‘debtors,’ NOT ‘creditors,’ and are classified as provisional ‘beneficiaries’ via assignments, allotments, enrollments, census, listed IRA tribal memberships rolls, and special *legal* classes in federal district court *approved settlements*, presented by BAR association lawyers who ‘represent’ parties to the cause of action. The net aggregate allocations of compensation resulting ‘class actions’ has never been made transparent to ‘Indians’ in terms of multiple valuations provided reciprocally through discharges, indemnifications, and immunities embedded in omnibus escape clauses engineered by BAR lawyers, admitted to practice, and advising ‘clients’ outside of the judicial branch of US government. Although the natural real human hereditary chiefs and headmen, as a diplomatic class, have no standing before the district court, the ‘attorney of record,’ ‘admitted to practice’ (ESQ), claim an over-board *auto-judicial proxy* to *represent* their interest as ‘Indians,’ without the specific

¹⁹⁴ The expert judgment elicitation process relies on market driven standards (solutions), commercial “proof of concept,” which are controlled by thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing (global goal value priorities, operational goal value priorities).

hereditary chiefs and headmen consent and instruction.¹⁹⁵ While the *corporation's* supreme (political) courts use the *letter of the law* to dismiss the rights of those not actually signing the constitution, the 'doctors of juris prudence' are specific in alleging that the indigenous treaty signers represented all of their people, families, territorial Chiefs and Headmen. This exhibits a legal mechanism to execute a theft of the indigenous identity in itself. This creates an atmosphere of unequal treatment, and distrust.

(8) The dominant surrogate powers are not of the indigenous hereditary chiefs and headmen, but rather 'expert judgment elicitation,' '*authentication*,' institutional '*accreditation*' and are controlled within incorporated academic institutions operating pursuant to the standards of *federal entities* and *sub-divisions* thereof. The scope of control ranges from those institutions created, approved pursuant and in accordance with the provisions of the *Indian Reorganization Act of 1934*, as amended, included *concurrent jurisdictions of county and state agencies*,¹⁹⁶ not to the co-operation of the United Nations over-arching *standards*, such as designed in the UN Permanent Forum on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, precepts of the UN Temple of Understanding, and umbrella systems of Private Government Organizations claiming 'authorities' to discuss, *deliberate*, *arbitrate* and *decide* upon the

¹⁹⁵ The foreign agent administrators, having a parallel consciousness, and interdisciplinary collaborative working groups, administrated the taking of absolute individual Indian access, use, possession, *allodial property and ownership* of "indigenous sacred estates held in the land," by forgery and fraudulently converting the real human's sovereign personal political power to a "tribal right," (IRA corporate person) designed to make inferior the freedom and liberty bestowed upon the indigenous people by the creator of the universe.

¹⁹⁶ "The power not delegated to the United States by the Constitution not prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or the people." (*10th Amendment, Bill of rights*). The Supreme Court held up the principle "that federal jurisdiction extends only to the areas wherein it [Congress] possesses the power of exclusive legislation... incorporated into all subsequent decisions." (*US v. Bevans 16 U.S. (3 Wheat.) 366 (1818)*). "Concurrent Jurisdiction" reserves the state's ability to file a case in either state or federal Court. "In a dispute over federal jurisdiction to title to real property, the Supreme Court held that "the United States never held any municipal sovereignty, jurisdiction, or right of soil in and to the territory..." because "the United States have no constitutional capacity to exercise municipal jurisdiction, sovereignty, or eminent domain, with the limits of a State or elsewhere, except in the cases in which it is expressly granted. (*see: Pollard v. Hagan, 44 U.S. (3 How.) 212 (1845), US Constitution Article 1 § 8 c.3, c 18*). Levels of jurisdiction: exclusive, concurrent, partial, proprietary. The courts have said to the record in context of the reading the constitution: "The courts have stated repeatedly that law relating to the same subject (such as land disposal law) must be read in pari materia (all together)." (*see: congressional record, 10-23-2000 E1883, Gibbons, Nevada*). Furthermore, "The doctrine of *Swift v. Tyson* is, "an unconstitutional assumption of powers by the Courts of the United States which no lapse of time or respectable array of opinion should make us hesitate to correct... lower courts have invaded rights which in our opinion are reserved by the Constitution to the several states."

personality (spiritual, social, legal), possession of living places and the 'interests' of natural individual indigenous people; and, heirship according to each one's perception of immutable *indigenous identity* and *reality*.

The 'civil rights' movement presents a spiritual, social, economic and legal paradox to the sovereignty of real humans, and indigenous people at large. Civil rights movement has mutated to collectivism, treating law as 'that which is to the advantage of the majority.' Collaboration is

misconstrued as ‘participatory democracy.’¹⁹⁷ Indian country has been systematically subjugated to ‘proportional democracy’, bearing institutionalized political, social, legal and economic franchises, special and particularistic arrangements, while supplanting ‘perpetual succession,’ as realized in the actuality of their intrinsic personal identity, not being

¹⁹⁷ The “environmental movement” follows the order of the corporate estate. Consensus reality is arrived at by the ‘inner circle’ of ‘stakeholders’ ‘seated at the table.’ The stated “sovereign immunity” of treaty and executive order federal Indian reservations provided an opportunity to capitalize on the unique association of “Indians” and “mother earth.” It noteworthy that Black’s Law Dictionary, by definition relates “collaborative” to “treason.” Infraspect’s case file (2002-present) documented and tracks the secular political movement, “collaborative governance.” Critical discourse pertaining to the pretext, ethics, and practices are illustrated by, “... cooperation that is emerging between some prominent environmental groups and world’s largest corporations... World Resource Institute... General Electric... Home Depot... General Motors... ConocoPhillips... Bank of America.” “Many of the new initiatives are being pursued in direct collaboration with environmental groups.” Examples: Wal-Mart, Conservation International; Texas Pacific Group and KKR. The dynamic evolution is between corporate executives and activists, e.g. a “new wave of corporate greenwash.” See: The Greening of Corporate America. CERES encourages moderate green groups (PACs) and corporations to endorse a “common set of Principles.” See: Green Transformation. Alcoa, Caterpillar, Dupont and General Electric have established associations with the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC). See: Green bandwagon, bandwagon affect. The extent of ‘green washing’ includes, “... the decision of Britain’s BAE Systems to develop environmentally friendly munitions, including low-toxin rockets and lead free bullets... the new business environmentalism... eco-friendly policies.” (See: Is Big Business Buying Out the Environmental Movement?, Corporate Research Project, Phil Mattera, 06-05-2007). In the context of the reservation food desserts, maximized consumption of ‘sugar’ among adults and Indian children, public perception management, is illustrated by, “Greenpeace lauded Coca-Cola for its “commitment to use climate-friendly coolers and vending machines... the Coke deal was Greenpeace testing the waters of corporate collaboration, hiring Berman... found Forest Ethics... broke new ground in the “collaborative approach” to conservation... [values neutral] creating dialogue and finding the [market driven] solutions... undertook a series of collaborations... with Home Depot, Dell, Staples... General Electric.” “Berman headed up PowerUP Canada... funded by the Tides and Ivey Foundations that pushed the privatization of British Columbia’s river...elimination of public oversight... as negotiator of the Great Bear Rainforest deal... 70 people... “renounce collaboration and partnership with destructive corporations... testimonies... disenfranchised, no consultation, no transparency... more concerned with getting a seat at the table... overreach of Greenpeace’s turn toward corporate collaboration... demand an end to corporate collaboration... unaccountable, corporate-partnered big greens... corporate collaboration will never do more than slightly curtail environmental destruction.” (See: *Greenpeace’s Corporate Overreach, Dru Oja Jay, co-author, Offsetting Resistance, The effects of foundation funding from the Great Bear Rainforest to the Athabasca River, Dominion collective, Montreal, Canada, 03-11-2010*). The operational goal value priority of the ‘environmental movement’ is en mass depopulation, e.g. “overpopulation is the world’s most serious problem, global conditions of overpopulation, problems of overpopulation, mental and other blocks to addressing overpopulation, overpopulation is a threat to the stable societies, make high quality family planning assistance- Rapid population decrease, emancipation of women, giving women freedom to make their own... reproductive... decisions... equal opportunity [except males]... modest, less glamorous and less complex roles that can improve the quality of life.” Presently (2012) the “quality of life” is treated as a “product” (LCOG) of the state and is measured by “income” level. “Moderate living needs” has been injected to Indian ‘tribal planning’ since the 1970s. (See: The Meaning of Sustainability, BARTlett Professor Emeritus, Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Colorado, Teachers Clearinghouse for Science and Society Education, Vol. 31, No.1 Winter 2012). The 2012 IRA tribal government proposals for “open enrollment” is marked by contradiction and duplicity in the context of “over population.”

that of human capital and resource. Invoking the theory of ‘invading assumed wrong, by presumed right’ has become a ‘mitigation failure.’¹⁹⁸

The ‘collaborative’ enfranchise methodology (participationism, Marx) is addressed in Infraspect’s Community Auditing Handbook, Public Participation Processes Covert Applications, Chapter 13, and Fixed Options/Outcome Participation Trace, Chapter 16. Chapter 13, part B. Use of Relative Travel Commitments, Item 10, specifies, “Organized the critical meeting place in a place just too far away, i.e. travel time and funds, to permit persons with competing and indispensable individual interests and/or rights to participate. Bear in mind Chapter 13 questions were interpolated from a government overt operations manual, which designed and standardized ‘interference, disruption, and thwarting’ citizens from effectively participating in ‘government’ ‘public hearings,

¹⁹⁸ The use of ‘protected group’ and ‘affirmative action’ status have kept the Indian under class thinking as victims, ‘economically’ and ‘socially disadvantaged.’ This model is co-dependent upon taxation as inflation, an externally imposed pricing economy, including floating currency exchanges, derivatives speculation: non-profit subsidies, ecosystem services, cap & trade, carbon foot print taxation, carbon certificates, swaps, conservation easement, trust lands, health reform (eugenics), education (orientation, indoctrination), institutional (corporate) conversion of natural assets (Buffalo belonging to indigenous people) to IRA corporate subsidiary property, credit banking and debt collateral. The actual model is demonstrated by the white paper trail, e.g. Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR), and the co-operation of ‘anonymous bonds.’

and meetings.’ These methods are commonly utilized on ‘reservations’ and ‘Indian country.’¹⁹⁹

The foreign social security administration, HMO industries, and health care professionals benefit from liens, quit claim deeds, forced fee patents, exchanges and assignments to realize financial gains and assets through the Indian senior institutionalized care provider mechanism. Austerity programming generates the circumstances to cause an extreme debt, known to out weight the disposable income of the targeted social and economic class. Securing real estate holdings, natural assets, titles, deeds, allotments as debt collateral for payment of medical coverage is the final straw. This requires converting the indigenous identity to the artificial person of the state, e.g. resident, citizen, tribal citizen, user, ward of the state, etc. Disabled, challenged, and senior “citizen” family estates are seized upon through voluntary sales, assignments, quit claim deeds, tax deed sales, and predatory banking practices as a final liquidation and exploitation of the indigenous individual family properties, ownership and sacred estates held in the land, e.g. theft by deception and fraudulent conversion and conveyance. The absence of ‘secretary approval’ on these instruments demonstrates willful and complicit intent.

¹⁹⁹ The IRA system of tribal corporate government is provided county, state, tribal and federal funding, e.g. travel vehicles, per diem, expenses (food, entertainment costs), for enfranchised and appointed persons to attend ‘collaborative’ meetings, tuition payments and other perks afforded those ‘participants.’ The pre decisional criteria are controlled under qualifications of the Delphi techniques, and orientation to “formal consensus of the group, and enforcement of the group’s decision.” This system of enfranchisement applies from global to local ‘mechanisms.’ The perfected mechanisms dictate that troublesome ‘inputers’ are avoided, e.g. “under their radar.” The scope of the ‘collaborators’ effect transcends the boundaries among the legislative, executive judicial branches territorial government, e.g. regionalism. (***Cross reference: Agenda 21, ICLEI, federal partnership, ELAW, FIBA***). The collaborative groups hold “discussion sessions,” in which ‘stakeholder’ ‘partnerships’ deliberate and decide on advantageous public policy (jurisdiction/authority), aggregate re-allocation of ‘resources,’ and natural resource ‘recovery’ ‘monitoring,’ e.g. source and stewardship contracting. In the Washoe tribal council example, the council convened its business meeting at Lake Tahoe, Meeks Bay tribal resort. Art George, enrolled tribal member, was on the meeting agenda (9-2013) to present the Indigenous identity Theft & fraud, technical brief. His personal vehicle was disable and the significant distance from Minden to the Washoe tribal resort. George was told, if you could not attend the tribal council meeting why did you put your name on the agenda? It is noteworthy; the Washoe tribal council was financially able to host the luncheon, BARbecue for special non-Washoe guests. Simultaneously, the council hosted its luncheon, BARbecue, for special participants. The general enrolled membership is under or near the federal determined ‘poverty line.’ No tribal vehicles were available for enrolled tribal members to attend the Meeks Bay, tribal council meeting and luncheon. The tribal government pattern method is to hold remote tribal council meetings, special sessions, consensus seeking discussion groups, retreats, workshops, away from the ‘Res,’ to avoid tribal member’s who may present **contentious** subject matter, evidentiary statements, unfavorable live controversies and **grievances**. These methods are taught through **change agency** to the **decision teams**, inner circle **collaboration**. Infraspect has developed a survey form used for “Determination of Experience & Exposure to: Collaborative governance & Formal Consensus Decision Making re: Surveys & Questionnaires on social capital and civic engagement In the Current Population Surveys’ re: Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress (Columbia University, Prof. J.E. Stiglitz; Harvard University, Prof. A. Sen; Prof. J.P. Fitoussi, IEP).

The indigenous people realized a quality of life, not as a ‘product’ of the tribe-state, article of commerce, or the result of ‘income,’ especially not, the alleged ‘benefits’²⁰⁰ derived from a floating currency exchange rate applied in “trust doctrines.” The actuality is that ‘oppositional defiance behavior’ is conversely and appropriately applied to misperceptions by those using ‘consensus reality’ to support their ‘institutional normative rationale.’ The right of leadership remains a ‘matter of personal liberty.’

The Civil Rights Act of 1871, Law bans discrimination enacted under color of state law. Its primary purpose was to provide a “private remedy” for violations of federal law. It is a specie of tort liability. The Civil Rights Act of 1871 is found in Title 42, section 1983 of the United States Code and so is commonly referred to as *section 1983*.

42 U.S.C. § 1983 Provides: “Every person who under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the

²⁰⁰ The principle trust responsibilities of the US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and contracting agencies (state, county, city, municipal) has been administration of benefits, IIM distributions, titled grants, adult & family services, community policing & safety, bearing massive infrastructure, personnel regimes, salaries, insurance, and SSI payments.

United States²⁰¹ or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges,²⁰² or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, *Suit in equity*, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's **judicial** capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was

²⁰¹ The concept of "sovereignty" in America is different from "sovereignty" in Europe. The European model of government was fashioned under the notion of "the Divine Right of Kings." The authors and signers of the *Declaration by Independence* stated that "all men are created equal and are endowed by their Creator with inalienable rights. Church dogma, and capstone doctrine follows *consensus reality* in that the King of England is the "ruler of the world," until the savior returns. The treaties negotiated with His Britannic Majesty by the representative of the United States stated "citizens of the United States" and "American Citizens;" while the people of Britain are "subject of His Britannic Majesty" and "British subjects." (See: *Articles of Peace (1782); Definitive Treaty of Peace Between the United States of America and his Britannic Majesty (1783); Treaty of Amity, Commerce and Navigation, Between his Britannic Majesty and the United States of America, by their president, with the Advice and Consent of their Senate (1794). See: United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196; 1S.Ct. 240 (1882)*). The 'myth of the rule of law applies.' People are sovereign over their 'creations,' social orders, "the state," and so called "government," which are convenient "abstractions. "**Practical sovereignty**," implies arbitrary negotiations among "consensus of the group" of "policy," being a "numbers racket." (See: *innovative international law*). Sovereignty is determined by the price (sacrifice) that people are singularly, jointly and severally collectively willing to pledge to ensure their enjoyment of education, identity, perception, responsibility, access to free flow of unmanaged information, and the balance of power between the majority and minority that 'control' them within the social compact. Morays and tradition exist in the sovereign individual (people) against the institutional normative rationales of "common purpose," "greater interests," advantage to the majority, indigenous world view, world view, shared vision, world mind, and world spirit." (See: *Peoples Pottage (Garet), meetings between Mr. Woodrow Wilson, Colonel Edward Mandell House, circa 1920, federal serve system, Phillip Dru Administrator (Handell House), Franklin Delano Roosevelt*). The conclusive presumption existing in the rank and file of 'enrolled members' of "Indian tribes" is submit to the present "evil" because the next regime will be a "worse evil." (See: *Infraspect, Washoe case file demonstration, May, 2013*).

²⁰² A *privilege* is a special entitlement to immunity granted by the state or another authority to a restricted group, either by birth or on a conditional basis. It can be revoked in certain circumstances. In modern democratic states, a privilege is conditional and granted only after birth. By contrast, a right is an inherent, irrevocable entitlement held by all citizens or all human beings from the moment of birth. Various older privileges, such as the old common law privilege to title deeds, may still exist, but be of little relevance today.[1] Etymologically a privilege (privilegium) means a "private law", or rule relating to a specific individual or institution. Boniface's abbey of Fulda, to cite an early and prominent example, was granted privilegium, setting the abbot in direct contact with the pope, bypassing the jurisdiction of the local bishop.

In a broader sense, "**privilege**" can refer to special powers or de facto immunities held as a consequence of political power or wealth. Privilege of this sort may be transmitted by birth into a privileged class, membership in a particular group, or achieved through individual actions. One of the objectives of the French Revolution was the abolition of privilege. This meant the removal of separate laws for different social classes (nobility, clergy and ordinary people), instead subjecting everyone to the same common law. **Privileges were abolished by the National Constituent Assembly on August 4, 1789**. The "Esq" used by lawyer, attorney, or legal counsel is a "title of nobility," as assumed or granted goes to an immutable promise of loyalty to the King and Queen [England].

unavailable. For the purposes of this section, any Act of Congress applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia shall be considered to be a statute of the District of Columbia.” The Supreme Court has held that one of the substantive elements of the Due Process Clause protects those rights that are fundamental... they apply to the states through the “liberty” interest of the Due Process Clause. In terms of defense and immunities, local governments, individual capacity defendants are protected by qualified immunity, unless their conduct violates “clearly established statutory or constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known,” the “act is so obviously wrong, in the light of preexisting law.”²⁰³ *Seignoirage* is the practice of wrongfully assigning powers that were not held in the first instance. This method embraces theft by deception and fraudulent conversion in that it is intended to circumvent the rightful promulgation of moral standards and laws.

Hidden proxy (Blair) is meant to *off-set* levels of traditional (real human) and customary surrogate powers: diplomatic class standing, delegation, hiership, trusteeship, body politic voting rights, deliberative negotiation capacities, prescribed limited authorities, duties and responsibilities sworn to in oaths of office, oaths of allegiance, which are *grants* and *bonds* for democratically elected marshals, mayors, commissioners, senators, congressmen, and official state personalities, such as *officers of the court* (judge, justice, jurist, panelist, administrator, bailiff, clerk, court recorder, attorney of record, district attorney, prosecutor) “*pro tem.*” ²⁰⁴ In face value the use of “pro tem” appointments (assigns) is a breach of public trust where the *court* is *interpreting* constitutional matters. (*Cross*

²⁰³ (See: *Monroe v. Pape* 365 U.S. 167 (1961); *Mudge v. Macomb County*, 458 Mich 87; 580 NW2d 845); (*Pierce v. Board of Education of the City of Chicago*, 69 Ill. 2d 89 (1977); *Justice O’Connor, Beazley’s Family Litigation* (1993-2002); *Robertson v. Wegmann*, 436 U.S. 584 (1978); 42 U.S.C. sec. 1988; *Garcia v. Superior Court*, 42 Cal. App. 4th 177 (1996); *Williams v. City of Oakland*, 915 F. Supp. 1074 (N.D. Cal. 1996); *Memphis Community School Dist. V. Strachura*, 477 U.S. 299, 305 (1986); *Will v. Michigan Dept. of State Police*, 491 U.S. 58 (1989); *Hafter v. Melo*, 502 U.S. 25, 31 (1991); *Kentucky v. Graham*, 473 U.S. 159, 165 (1985); *Dean v. BARber*, 951 F. 2d 210 (11th Cir. 1995); *Board of Regents v. Tomanio*, 446 U.S. 478 (1980); *Hudson v. Winnebago County Dept. of Social Services*, 489 U.S. 189 (1989); *Lassiter*, 28 F. 3d at 1149; *Ensley v. Soper*, 142 F. 3rd 1402, 1406 (11th cir. 1998); *Behrens v. Pelletier*, 516 U.S. 299, 313 (1996); *Richardson v. McKnight*, 521 U.S. 399 (1997). *McDuffie v. Hooper*, 982 F. Supp. 817 (M.D. Ala. 1997); *Imbler v. Pachtman*, 424 U.S. 409, 430 (1976).

²⁰⁴ The appointments, delegations, assignments, and/or hiring officers of the court “pro tem” creates a constitutional paradox for those officers co-operating outside of the judicial branch of government. Officers paid by IRA tribal corporations, are **bound to loyalty to the corporation**, its adopted charter, constitution, by-laws, and administrative procedures. This paradox includes the vested interests, conflicts of interests, and duties of the officers of the court belonging to fraternities, associations, federal/state/tribe/ county/city/municipal admissions to practice, *licenses*, and insurance binders. The payments made to the officers of the court are evidentiary to this paradox, which can be scrutinized as “unconstitutional,” and therefore “unlawful.” **The lawfulness of issuing a license to a ‘lawyer,’ or ‘attorney’ has been questioned.**

reference: legislating from the bench). It is not the duty of the courts to change the spirit, intent, purpose and settled constitutional law, but to *interpolate* the doctrine into inter-operating systems, specified by lawfully promulgated Act, codes, statutes, and ordinances; and to strike down lawful statutes, regulations, being unconstitutional. The Supreme court, being comprised of officers (judges, law clerks, BAR attorneys-lawyers, legal counsel, prosecutor, bailiff, reporter), has been empowered to *prescribe rules of procedures* to be followed by the lower US District courts. (28 U.S.C.A. §§ 2071-2076 re: Civil, Appellate, Bankruptcy, Evidence Rules; 18 U.S.C.A. §§ 3771, 3772, re Criminal Rules), now in force *promulgated* by the court, admiralty cases, copyright cases, appellate proceedings and minor criminal offense before magistrates. (*Cross reference: comity, venue shopping- through the political courts*). Essentially, the promulgation of law is left in the hands of the “brothers in the bond.” The applications of legislative *policy* are used primary to create ordered chaos, and subsequent universal conformity. (*Cross reference: theory of uniformity, Uniform Commercial Code, Model code*). The assignment may include persons effecting “*alternative dispute resolution*” (mediation of standards among parties) whose secular political, social, economic, faith and religious ideologies are constructively concealed as to make an appearance of an *impartial* and *honest* proceeding. (*Cross reference: fruit of evil, nullity*). The courts as a matter of form rely on “discretion of the court” to hide arbitrary, capriciousness, insurance indemnification, limited and hard veils of immunity. There is an embedded underlying motive of the court to maintain its own authority, even to the extent of committing extrinsic frauds. *Prerogatives* are likewise driven by the court officer’s ‘*order of fraternity*’ among the “*brothers in the bond.*” The court officers regularly practice *comity*, e.g. *venue* shopping, *plea bargains* and secreted *settlements* approved *among the engaged court officers*. The special powers bestowed are not arbitrarily transferable, as open proxy is predicated upon demonstrations of wisdom and trustworthiness, known and associated to the flesh and blood real human (man, woman) personality, e.g. ‘sovereign personal political power holders,’ ‘oath of allegiance.’ **There is a major contradiction between the corporate loyalty of a ‘pro tem’ appointment and that of an elected judicial officer.**

In the Private Government Organization (corporation) scenario *authorities* and management *rights* over are codified to the advantage of’ the *participatory* group deciders, select and enfranchised *beneficiaries*. (*Cross reference: Millennium Development Goals, globally integrated enterprise, partnership, stewardship contracting, watershed council, stakeholder council, coalition*).

Dependent upon the personalities of the plaintiff and defendant, tribal courts will slide the ‘scales of justice.’ This is face value in the Blackfeet Tribe v. Augare, 2013 (tribal member, tribal council member, Senator (SD-D)). There is little doubt that South Dakota, State Senator Augare’s political personality is embedded in the Blackfeet Tribal Council, Blackfeet tribal council Executive Committee (2012), engagement in interior tribal programs and the tribal court judge’s decision. The doctrine “law of the case” is a principle under which determination of questions of law will generally be held to govern case throughout all its subsequent stages where such prior determination has been part of an application. (*See: Allen v. Michigan Bell Tel. Co., 61 Mich App. 62, 232 N.W. 2d 302, 303*). When the higher court has requires that rule to be followed in all subsequent proceedings in the same action, the rule provides for compelling circumstances, same facts. (*See: People v. Scott, 10 Cal.3d 242, 128 Cal.Rptr. 39, 44, 546 P.2d 757, 762*). The doctrine expresses practice to court to refuse to reopen what has been decided. (*See: White v. Higgins, C.C.A. Mass., 116 F.2d 312, 317, 318; Flemming v. Campbell, 148 Kan. 516, 83 P.2d 708, 709*). The political *compelling circumstance* is the multiple political and executive status of tribal member, tribal council member, tribal corporate officer, and S.D. Senator (SD-D). The doctrine is merely a rule of procedure and does not go to the power of the court and will not be adhered to where its application will result in an unjust decision. (*See: People v. Medina, Cal., 99 Cal.Rptr, 630, 492 P.2d 686*). The matter of sovereign immunity and jurisdiction was raised in another court where state of Montana ‘interests’ are tainted by *conflict of law*, republican / democratic political rivalry. At the tribe’s interior level, Augare and the executive committee conveniently disposed of ‘Constitutional’ challenges pertaining to his participation in suspension of political adversaries elect in 2012, supported by the Bureau of Indian Affairs; later to challenge the tribal council chairman’s request for his resignation and suspension, relying on his tribal/private attorney’s challenge as to the chairman’s removal did not comply with the tribal Constitution, supported by an legally unsubstantiated Bureau of Indian Affairs letter stating his removal was not constitutional in 2013. (*Cross reference: Comity*).

This is self-evident when a candidate for office is elected, and the predecessor is voted out of office. The predecessor relinquishes all rights and bonds. The officer elect assumes the office by administrative transfer of powers, and by oath, promise and is granted an open proxy. To reside in equivalent powers the predecessor capitulates the public’s trust in the ‘new government.’ Retaining the predecessor’s administrative agents may be *convenient*, yet demonstrates a *logical fallacy*, collateral degree of

nonfeasance, and failure to execute voter *goal value priorities*, e.g. “change” or “regime change.”

*Hidden proxy*²⁰⁵ may not be conspicuous due to *predictive programming*, *public perception management* and established *mindsets*. (e.g. ‘eyes wide shut,’ ‘hidden in plain sight’). Voluntary blindness may be an intentional failure to discover or prevent the wrong, passive consent, acquiescence to false rights. See: *Connivance. Pierce v. Crisp, 260 Ky. 519, 86 S.W.2d 293, 296*. The hidden proxies may be symbolic, organizational and methodological. The *symbols* are occult (cult), Masonic, political emblems, flags, heraldic devices, crests, motifs and language speech encoding, municipal directories, travel ways:²⁰⁶ highways, roads, streets, maps, events and geographic name places. The *organizations* include: churches, secret societies, brotherhoods, political parties, unions, non-

²⁰⁵ *Proxy*. A *person* who is substituted or deputed by another to represent him and act for him, particularly in some meeting or public body. An agent representing and acting for principal. Also the instrument containing the appointment of such *person*. *Cliffs Corporation v. United States, C.C.A. Ohio, 103 F.2d 77, 80*. The formal consensus process is actually “Consensus facit legem,” e.g. Consent makes the law. (A contract is law between the parties agreeing to be bound by it). In the consensus context, Consent is the united will of several interested in one subject-matter. The collaborative governance movement is a secular political ideology, that espouses enforcing the group’s decision, while preventing the ‘public initiative’ legislative process from over turning the groups decision within the ‘next legislative secession.’ “**Collaboration**” in associated to “**treason**” as defined in *Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th ed.*

²⁰⁶ The markings on facilities, travel directories, maps and travel ways: inter-state, state, county, roads, streets use government agency names, corporate names, commercialized (tourism marketing) caricatures known to exploit, preempt indigenous spiritual (sacred), political, cultural, traditional, church and psychological public impressions that obstruct, preempt, supplant, and extinguish the vital and natural attachment, and indispensable sense of original being required to realize the continuing development of human psyche, as expressed in the “general welfare” embedded in the Constitutions. The case demonstrations include, the GPS, GIS locations, designations, surveys, names of agency sub-units on the majority of ‘reservations’ and particularly the Sioux, Blackfeet federal Indian reservations; and counties and municipals within federal Indian reservations, and the bounds of indigenous dominium. The alien (foreign) occupation of geographic areas known as “lay lines,” existing in “indigenous sacred estates held in the land” is a occult mandate of possession, and ownership of real property. The public benefit ‘takings,’ and quasi-taking ‘lease agreement’ likewise alienate the indigenous original beings, under formulas of ‘sustainable economic development,’ and extend to corporate derivatives speculation hidden in ‘ecosystem services.’ (*Cross reference: troscopes.com, originsandoracles.com, email: neter@jps.net, Subversive Use of Sacred Symbolism in the Media, Michael Tsarion*).

profit corporations,²⁰⁷ and collaborative inner circles (*Vatican law: Lex fori* [local law]). These are illustrated by, “Templars, committee of 300, club of Rome, skull & bones, black blood society, collaborative surveillance intelligence agencies (Interpol, Mussad, NSA, CIA, FBI, corporate fusion centers), Hell Fire, and other secular fraternities. The *methodology* includes: financial exchanges, abstractions, consensus reality, ordered chaos, confusion, DSM-IV oppositional defiance disorder (Obedience defiance disorder), Delphi techniques, strategies, interdiction campaigns, tactics, offensives, such as inner circle consensus reality, covert operations, Jesuit *oath*, rendition torture, extra-judicial killing and the contested *protocols* of the elders of Zion. (*Cross reference: Atonism; hyksos*). Order out of chaos is an ‘on the ground’ strategy. Albert Pike stated, “We shall provoke a formidable social cataclysm,” and declared, “Lucifer is god.” (*Cross reference: United Nations eternal, temple of understanding, Lucifer [ian] trust, holy crown, Goy nations*). It is noteworthy that PC and MAC computers ‘auto-formatting’ capitalizes [L]ucifer.

An infamous exhibit of *over-arching* hidden proxy is the abrogation of the 1st Constitution for the united states for America, in 1871, visa vi the Act of 1871, covertly establishing a “separate form of government for the District of Columbia” (3 red stars: Vatican city, London, Washington, D.C., empire of the city). America was changed from a “*country*” to a “corporation.” In *Bernadine Arrowtop v. Tony Sitzman, Glacier County Commissioner, Order Denying Request for Stay of Judgment and Dismissing Appeal for Lack of Jurisdiction, [consolidated] Case no. 2011-CA-210, 2011-AP-32, 2011-AP-33*, the tribal appellate court Justices (Parsons, Cross Guns, Merchant) express an attitude about “emotional sovereignty” absent of a lawful definition, “in this *country*,” and “in this *modern era*.” In fact of law there is only a corporation. The matter of establishing the “Requirement of a Live Controversy” is set off in the ‘consolidated’ (tribe, judge, Arrowtop) case. The ‘tribe’ and Glacier

²⁰⁷ In *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2009)* US Supreme Court Justice Kennedy wrote, “The Court has recognized the First Amendment protection extends to corporations.” “By taking the right to speak from some and giving it to others, the Government deprives the disadvantaged person or class of the right to use speech to strive to establish worth, standing, and respect for the speaker’s voice.” Although the President, his cabinet, the Congress and Senate give lip service to depriving disadvantaged social and economic classes of speech, the legislative collaborators, decision teams (panels, committees) are expeditious in omnibus granting of power, authorities and financial energy to the United Nations eternal, an international religious corporation, and its umbrella organizations (civil rights organizations, environmental PGOs, IMF FDIC banking cartels, USAID corporates and contractors), e.g. “collaborative governance.” The collaborative governance mechanism spans all disciplines. The collaborators embed absolute and limited immunity as part of their interdiction campaign strategy.

County (Montana) maintain a mutual interest and derive benefits from “tax revenue sharing” agreements. The types of subordinate “agreements” do not hold sway over matters of the original beings, real humans, Indians and B. Arrowtop as applied to treaty status.²⁰⁸ The court, its officers, separated Arrowtop from the litigation. In effect ‘she’ and attorney of record, Cross Guns, were **silenced** (*planned participation*) in the federal district courtroom. (*See: US Const., 14th Amendment*). The federal; tribal; and tribal appellate judges never ask Arrowtop *direct questions* specific to [her] “contentions as to the points and facts in dispute.” Arrowtop was not deposed in any reasonable context of duty, diligence, by the courts officers considering the importance of each and every word said in her pleadings. (*See: Committee of the American BAR Association, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, issue formulation*). The plaintiff Commissioner Sitzman, Glacier County and the Blackfeet Tribe, its court administrators, had ‘no real conflict of interest between them; that the plaintiff and defendant (except Arrowtop) have the same interest, and that interest adverse and in conflict with the interest of third persons, whose rights would be seriously affected if the question of law was decided in the manner that [both] the parties to this suit desire it to be.’ See: *US Supreme court, Lord v. Veazie, 1850, 49 U.S. (8 How.) 251, Howard Reports; United States v. Johnson, 319, U.S. 302, 63 S.Ct. 1233 (1943)*. Arrowtop’s controversy (contention) was not only taking her home, business, real and personal property, but also Glacier County advantageously spending the money, e.g. tax revenues, and profits realized there-from in concert with the tribal department’s mutual benefits. See: *Frothingham v. Mellon, 262 U.S. 447, 43 S.Ct. 597 (1923), Cf. Flast v. Cohen, 392 U.S. 83, 88 S.Ct. 1942 (1968)*. Isolated defendant Arrowtop remains in a state of ‘adversity.’ See: *Chicago & Grand Trunk R. Co. v. Wellman, 143 U.S. 339, 344-345, 12*

²⁰⁸ “In *United States v. Higgins, 103 Fed. 348, 352 (C.C, Mont. 1900)*, it was held that one born of a white father and an Indian mother, and who was a recognized member of the tribe of Indians in which his mother belonged, was **not subject to taxation under the laws of the state** in which he resided.”

S.Ct. 400, 402. [She] Arrowtop has made, however brief,²⁰⁹ the ‘honest and actual antagonistic assertion of rights’ (real, earnest, vital) to be adjudicated—a safeguard essential to the integrity of the judicial process... indispensable to adjudication of constitutional questions,’ which embrace enforcement of the Blackfeet Constitution, sovereign consent of people. See: *United States v. Johnson, 319, U.S. 302, 305, 63 S.Ct. 1075, 1076.* Blackfeet Community members and enrolled tribal members have assembled at Arrowtop’s home/business in Browning, Montana, to express their contention and adversity, openly (in person and published internet website, 2012), to the co-operation between Glacier County and the Blackfeet ‘administration’ in this matter of takings. The plaintiff, Commissioner Sitzman, Glacier County, and the segregated Blackfeet Tribe secured advantageous rulings from the federal district court’s special judge, the Blackfeet tribal court and the appellate tribal court Justices. “Such cases may not be “collusive” in the derogatory sense of *Lord v. Veazie, 8 How. 251*--- in the sense of merely colorable disputes got up to secure an advantageous ruling from the court.” While the Glacier County attorney extended “courtesy” to the Blackfeet tribal judge, the attorney is marked on the defendant’s federal courtroom record, as witnessed, as

²⁰⁹ Ignorance is a lack of information, while error is a misapprehension or confusion of information. In Arrowtop, tribal judge Edwards asked Arrowtop if she knew who she was, and where she was. Involuntary ignorance is that which does not proceed from choice, and which cannot be overcome by the use of any means of knowledge known to a person and within his power. Culpable ignorance is that which results from a failure to exercise ordinary care to acquire knowledge which could be acquired by the exercise of ordinary care is by law imputed to the person and he is held to have constructive knowledge. *Luck v. Buffalo Lakes, Tex.Civ.App. 144 S.W. 2d 672, 676.* The state of Montana, the BAR associations, require the judges, attorneys be authenticated (doctors of juris prudence) and ‘admitted to practice,’ and ‘licensed’ on the basis of possessing ‘constructive knowledge’ as it applies to the practice of law. *Writ of Supervisory Control.* A writ which is issued only to correct erroneous rulings made by the lower court within its jurisdiction, where there is no appeal, or the remedy by appeal cannot afford adequate relief, and gross injustice is threatened as the result of such rulings. It is in nature of summary appeal to control course of litigation in trial court when necessary to prevent miscarriage of justice, and may be employed to prevent extended and needless litigation. *State ex rel. Regis v. District Court of Second Judicial Dist. In and for Silver Bow County, 102 Mont. 74, 55 p.2d 1295.* Wrong. A violation of the legal rights of another; an invasion of right to the damage of the parties who suffer it, especially a tort. *State ex rel. and to Use of Donelon v. Deuser, 345 Mo. 628, 134 S.W.2d 132, 133. Public wrongs.* Violations of public rights and duties which affect the whole community, considered as a community; crimes and misdemeanors. *3 Bl. Comm. 2; 4 Bl. Comm. 1., County of DuPage v. Kussel, 12 Ill.App.3d 272, 298 N.E.2d 323, 326. See: the Nature and Functions of law, 4th ed., Berman, Greiner, 1980; Blacks Law Dictionary, 5th ed., 1979.*

stating Arrowtop (Blackfeet women) is a “bad person.”²¹⁰ See: *South Springs Hill Gold Mining Co. v. Amador Medean Gold Mining Co.*, 145 U.S. 300, 301 12 S.Ct. 921. The state of Montana, remains diametrically opposite to the Blackfeet’s “culture and tradition,” e.g. State Constitution, oath of office, allegiance & appointment criteria, while its agencies continue to practice (2012) ‘marketeting’ Indian names, places, real estate, sacred sites, religious objects, natural assets, products, curiosities, as “tourism” attractions, which yield tax revenues to the state departments, embracing Glacier County, and its incorporated 501 (c)(3) ‘partners’ co-operating within the ‘federal Indian reservation.’ (*Cross reference: Indian Country*). The unemployment rates among ‘enrolled tribal members’ and viable self-employment initiatives flies in the face of “economic development” themes and grants. The question of the lawful existence of Glacier County, forced patents, and perpetual tax deed sales (takings) remains un-settled before the Blackfeet people. Arrowtop is being involuntarily subjugated to harm, as well as other Blackfeet people. The protracted *alienability* doctrine was and is a perversion against ‘settled

²¹⁰ The Code of Professional Responsibility is comprised of Canon I, Alawyer Should Assist in Maintaining the Integrity and Competence of the Legal Profession, Ethical Considerations, EC 1-5, Disciplinary Rules, DR 1-102 Mis-Conduct, DR 1-103 Disclosure of Information to Authorities; Canon 4, Alawyer Should Preserve the Confidences and Secrets of a Client, EC 4-1, DR-4-101, (A) (B)(C)(D); Canon 7, Alawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law, EC 7-1, EC 7-3, EC 7-4. EC 7-5, EC 7-6, EC 7-10, EC 7-23, EC 7-26; DR 7-101, DR 7-102, DR 7-106 (A)(B)(C). By saying before the US District Court that “Arrowtop” is a “Bad Person,” the BAR lawyer, admitted to Practice, by words spoken, draws attention to sections and sub-section of the Canons, e.g. Canon I, EC 1-5, DR 1-102 (A)(1), (5); Canon 7, EC 7-1, EC 7-3, EC 7-4, EC 7-5, EC 7-23, EC 7-26, DR 7-101 (A)(1), (B)(2), DR 7-102 (A)(1, 5), DR 7-106, (B)(1), (C)(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). Given that the USDC presiding judge pro tem, and legal counsels present did not redirect or rebuke the unwarranted statement, each contributed to the defamatory act. Furthermore, B. Arrowtop, being summoned to the USDC court, was not allowed to speak or refute the unwarranted accusation, that [she] “is a bad person.”

indigenous law.’²¹¹ The honorary councils, treaty councils and medicine bundles have been construed as mere ‘advisory’ institutions. The reality is substantiated in the omission of settled indigenous law, actual custom and tradition beyond ancillary functions and ceremonies. This perversion is cause for [her] standing, as well as all third persons damaged and under threat of damage. See: *Stearns v. Wood*, 236 U.S. 75, 35 S.Ct. 229; *Texas v. Interstate Commerce Comm*, 258 U.S. 158, 42 S.Ct. 261; *United Public Workers v. Mitchell*, 330 U.S. 75, 89-90, 67 S.Ct. 556, 564-565.

²¹¹ The supreme court’s ‘saga,’ the “attack on Jim Crow” relied upon abrogating the black original being’s natural identity, making each one a person, citizen, resident, inhabitant of a state. “*Racial restriction*” was the factual matter applied to “equal protection” under the 14th Amendment. Two cases were protracted, *Brown v. Board of Education* and the district of Columbia litigation, *Bolling v. Sharpe*. The cases were frame worked under “civil rights,” while the matter of “settled indigenous law,” (Blair) was held silent, as the Tribal courts were mandated to apply custom, tradition and cultural attributes to aspect of society. “Reasoning from case to case” requires: similarity is seen between cases; next the rule of law inherent in the first case is announced; the rule of law is made applicable to the second case. The BAR courts cite several cases in the context of the 14th amendment’s application to public education: *Plessy v. Ferguson*; *Cumming v. County board of Education*, 175 U.S. 528, 20 S.Ct. 197; *Gong Lum v. Rice*, 275 U.S. 78, 48 S.Ct. 91 (validity of doctrine); *Missouri ex rel. Gains v. Canada*, 305 U.S. 337, 50 S.Ct. 232; *Sipuel v. Oklahoma*, 332 U.S. 631, 68 S.Ct. 299; *Sweatt v. Painter* 399 U.S. 637, 70 S.Ct. 851, *Slaughter-House Cases*, 16 Wall. 36, 67-72 (1873). The *confusion of rights* persists in the modern era, e.g. Treaty covenants distinguished from ‘civil rights.’ Essentially, Jim Crow parallel vector management yielded an invisible side of changing the indigenous original beings from *creditors* into *debtors*, possessing limited and supervised entitlements. This judicial doctrine included the use of “unitary schools.” *Griffin v. County School Board*, 377 U.S. 218, 234, 84 S.Ct. 1226, 1235, 12 L.Ed.2d 256 (1964); *Green v. County School Board of New Kent County*. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 streamlined the bench books for *unitary school* decisions, e.g. it is unlawful to deny any person access to these facilities because of race, creed, color, religion or national origin. Although out of kind, sexual orientation was added according to the wishes of the “gay rights movement.” These doctrine are in concert with the secular political ideologies of the “Global democratic strategy,” “Indian country revolution,” and “Nation Re-building.” *Exemptions* to the Act’s coverage include: alien workers (Merces) outside the federal U.S (National Peace Services Act – Obama administration); employment by religious groups; educational activities by educational institutions- occupational qualifications; discrimination against *communists* or members of *communist front organizations* (as determined by the federal Subversive Activists Control Board); preferential treatment of Indians (IRA enrolled members); government owned corporations; Indian tribes; non-profit private membership clubs. Recently, *the Sioux tribal business council has abrogated “Indian preference,” while U.S. military veterans maintain ‘veterans preference,’ especially in Indian ‘police’ service. (Cross reference: Military indoctrination and “resocialization.”)* Following suit, the dogma and doctrine of “open enrollment” is espoused, encouraged and supported by tribal authorities, as tribe-state (partnership) *stakeholder* beneficiaries, e.g. funding, grants, stipends, ‘source,’ ‘stewardship’ and “faith based organization” (DHS) contracts. “Diversity” goes to “market driven solutions” and the psychological appearance of “values neutral.” This *social engineering* methodology causes “sectarian tension” and is assisted by invoking “open enrollment” in IRA recognized Indian tribes. Housing assistance is broadened to accommodate “anchor babies,” where sterilization, chemical preventative (birth) health, carbon footprint taxation credits and planned parenthood for women have failed to meet operational goal value priorities. *(Cross reference: problem, reaction, solution, thesis-anti-thesis-synthesis, theory of uniformity)*. The Indigenous wealth separation index depicts the disappeared mid level socio-political class on reservations, as a product of austerity programming, resulting in social/economic poverty. The current rationale of increasing the tribal “justice systems,” e.g. increased “jail” space substantiates this matter of fact. On September 16, 2013, President Obama, stated publicly the disappearance of the middle class in the context of recovering those persons that experienced intertemporal human capital transport to the low economic classes. *(Cross reference: moderate living needs, community development quotas, per capita income limits)*.

(Cross reference: Citizenship Act of 1924; 14TH Amendment citizenship, Treaty of Paris- 1783; capstone doctrine: Capstone Concept for Joint Operations version 2.0, 8-2005, ROMO, Joint Vision Implementation Master Plan [JIMP], CJCSI 3010.02A, 4-15-2001; New Millennium, anonymous bonds; government tax lien certificates, tax deed sales, revenue sharing agreements, easements- natural support, forced fee patents). Hidden proxies are embedded in the understandings (MOUs) among the executive branch and favorable ‘partners.’ *(Cross reference: ‘Liquid’ [reservation] boundaries, spirit of confidentiality, FACA).* The frequency of tribal council and tribal business committee “executive sessions” has increase concurrently with the application of the secular political ideology of “collaborative governance,” e.g. forced consensus, non-attribution agreements, media censorship, official story blackouts, arbitrary gage orders).

Indigenous original beings, real humans, are inferior in modeled “tribal courts.” While the accredited, certified, licensed, BAR associated and authenticated “judges” (corporate administrators- elect; appointed, hired), “attorneys of record,” and “lawyers” enjoy equal footing, equal protection, and “titles of nobility (ESQ),” those indigenous men and women, being real spokesman or women, are held in less esteem by the court officers as “traditional speakers.” *(See: Washoe Tribal Court of Nevada, California, Court of Appeals).* The modeled tribal courts, that proceed as noted above, are fraudulent as the spirit, intent and purpose as were to be “cultural, customary and traditional.” While the authenticated tribal judges and lawyers, admitted to practice take on increasing appearance of being ‘*Royal courts*,’ the actual indigenous original beings, real humans, acting on behalf of themselves or appointment are disenfranchised, marginalized, alienated— their pleas, causes of action, and words are disposed of under common practices of legal quackery, concept and double speak encoding. The special structural stagger extends to incorporate “law schools,” “colleges,” and “universities” traveling under superior pretences. *(Cross reference: Indian BAR Association Code of Professional Responsibility; National Indian Tribal Judges Association, code of ethics, Model tribal court rules and procedures).* Complaints pertaining to legal quackery, malicious prosecution, abuse of process, court officer malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance, misconduct charges, violations of the codes of ethical and professional conduct are routinely and summarily ‘dismissed,’ by perversion of the “letter of the law.”

Under the democratic “Clinton administration,” the “office of Re-inventing government” (Al Gore) brought forward “collaborative governance.” This secular political doctrine travels as part of the capstone doctrine: the *unitary executive* decision maker, and collective institutional

rights. Essentially, the separation of the branches of government²¹² is *rationaly* abridged and abolished. The pattern in “Indian country” is the executive and judicial *partnership* is making collaborative legislative decisions and the constitutions are interpreted as *BARriers* to efficient government. The agency directorates use hidden proxy methodologies, grounded in “no data analysis decision making” (ecosystem management taskforce, mission agencies: DOD, DOC, USDOJ, EPA, USDI, USFS, BLM, state sub-division), and “discretionary authority” e.g. “consensus reality” (“Belief in management.”).²¹³ (*Cross reference: law arbitrary, authoritarian rule*).

"Special structural staggers" were coerced into 'tribal' / 'state' expert judgment elicitations, and settlement agreements as exemplified in the "Policy For Conserving Species Listed Or Proposed For Listing Under The Endangered Species Act While Providing and Enhancing Recreational Fisheries Opportunities", USDI, UDFWS, NOAA, NMFS: M. Beattie

²¹² The President's Committee on Administrative Management reported in 1937 that the agencies "constitute a headless 'fourth branch' of the Government, a haphazard deposit of irresponsible agencies and uncoordinated powers. They do violence to the basic theory of the American Constitution... view supported by President Franklin D. Roosevelt. The "quasi" nature, e.g. comingled executive, legislative, judicial of the 'agencies' is a "smooth cover which we draw over our confusion..." In 1881 the Supreme Court declared in *Kilbourn v. Thompson* that all powers of government are divided into executive, legislative and judicial... essential to the successful working of this system... limited to the exercise of the powers appropriate to its own department..." "Blackstone stated a century before Kilbourn, "In all tyrannical governments, the supreme magistracy, or the right of both making and enforcing the laws is vested in one and the same man, or one and the same body of men; and wherever these two powers are united together, there can be no public liberty." Justice Jackson, "They (administrative bodies) have become a veritable fourth branch of the government, which has deranged our three-dimensional thinking." The Infraspect, "Profiling Intrusions on community action groups, activists and citizens" audit document states, "People can not live legally in a tyranny." (Blair, 2002-2012). "Collaboration" is cited as "**treasonable**" in Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Special Ed., 1979. The ICLEI stakeholder councils, press the secular political ideology, "Collaborative governance," e.g. formal consensus of the selected group, cloaked in enhancement of "participatory democracy." The dynamic sophistry includes; public/private partnership (private government organizations), stakeholder networks, watershed councils, stewardship groups. Collaboration has permeated the IRA tribal government system, e.g. "nation [re]building" (Bush Foundation, working orientation packets). (*Cross reference: President Clinton / Gore, US Office on Re-inventing Government; Ho Chi Minh, Vietnam hamlets, "nation buidling"*). While the states of the union are bound to abide by a "republican form of government," their respective 'administrators' move with inclusionary 'positivism,' and 'science as positivism.' They loyalty lies with the master corporation of 'decision makers.'

²¹³ Infraspect has a letter form titled as, "SPECIAL NOTICE of Sovereign Natural Person Political Standing & Powers: Non- Assignment of Proxy & Representation And Right to Contract." Basically, it says, "Any particular arrangements, agreements, or contracts that are executed; or in the exercise of my sovereign personal political power, shall be authenticated by my sealed autograph, or by power of my agent executed before a notary and/ witnesses to the fact. I autograph with all Liberty, natural rights reserved, without prejudice." People are at liberty to use this form as they see fit. The form letter can be directed to a specific person and issue at hand.

(USFWS), R. Schmitten (NMFS) 1996. The Policy omits indigenous personal use, subsistence and ceremonial use. Policy 2.k. states, "Assisting the States and Tribal governments in meeting their recreational fishing goals." Tribal governments have no treaty right to recreational fishing or seigniorage over individual right of taking for subsistence/ceremonial purposes. According to the executive summary this policy stagger generates "2.1 billion dollar (annually) in taxation revenues."

“Conformity Behavior is controlled by group pressure, e.g. peer review and “joint expert opinion.” Groups have NORMS that group members are expected to abide by in order to maintain the integrity of the group. During the US vs. Washington, Civil No. 9213, technical experts placed into the ‘tribes’ held associations to trophy hunting and fishing groups. ‘Tribal’ biologists used conservation rhetoric and repression models to embed trophy ‘sport fishing’ and recreational trophy “Big Game” Hunting regulations. An individual feels the pressure of the group’s expectations and tends to conform to them. Social psychologists (Allport, 1924; Sherif, 1935; Asch 1952; Crutchfield, 1955) have investigated the effect of group pressure when the groups are impermanent and the members unknown to each other, as in an experimental situation. The degree of conformity has been found to depend on certain variables, such as the **perceived prestige** of the group, the amount of **ambiguity in the judgments** to be made, and the **size of the group**. These investigators also distinguish two types of conformity: (a) internalization – the group’s **opinions are believed** and internalized; (2) **compliance** – involving outward agreement but internal disagreement.” The pre-decisional criteria of acquiring ‘fisheries experts’ during the US vs. Washington, TRO, and court plans for ‘Implementation’ was dominated by the US Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife. The *tribe* and *state* negotiations were made in the context of the “treaty” and “non-treaty” “user groups.” The “Indian subsistence and ceremonial fishers were programmed into a “opportunity realization” in the “all citizen sport fishery,” if each tribal enrolled member would “forego” their treaty fishing right. It is essential to note—domestic dependent nations are NOT “states,” and as such indigenous real humans are NOT citizens. In the “Black Hills” settlement agreement the provisions specified “citizens

of the state.”²¹⁴ (*See: Northwest Subsistence Fisherman’s Association, Information Compendium, Co-Chairs, Christian Penn, Sr., and William Grubb; Black Hills Settlement Agreement, Treaty Area Council; Proposed 2010 Washoe Tribal Hunting and Fishing Code, Commissioner Art George*).

In the Washoe Tribal Hunting and Fishing Code amendment proposal, Commissioner Art George, stated, “...conservation, management, regulation and enforcement are UP SIDE DOWN... alien practices of ‘recreational’ sport trophy hunting and fishing... alien concept of recreational opportunity realization... flies in the face of perpetual succession, hereditary culture and tradition of family.”

²¹⁴ Art George resignation letter: “Please take notice of this annulment & resignation from my tribal council appointment as a Washoe tribal Hunting & Fishing Commissioner for cause as specified-

1. I was misled to believe that the Washoe tribal Hunting & Fishing Commission as established under the corporate tribal umbrella would have a goal value priority of sovereign integrity, an effective role in perpetuating the actuality of hereditary, cultural, and traditional people’s hunting and fishing in accordance with treaty covenants and real Washo ways.

2. The tribal council, its sub-department administrators, perceptions of cultural and traditional goal value priorities, pertaining to hunting and fishing conservation, management, regulation and enforcement are UP SIDE DOWN, as exhibited by management designs and enforcement protocols, e.g. alien practices of ‘recreational’ sport trophy hunting and fishing take precedence over actuality and needs of authentic Washoe people within the bounds of ancestral places: individual, family and community.

3. The tribal council, its sub-department administrators and general legal counsel are confused and convoluted by merely supplying the tribal council’s draft of the 2010 pre-decided Washoe tribal Hunting & Fishing Code to the commissioners for adoption. The appearance is bad faith and the commissioners merely function within the dysfunction, act as a ‘rubber stamp’ for the council and its lead agency authorities.

4. The council’s code draft supplied to the commissioners for adoption evidence as it follows the tribal council’s Tahoe compact of interdependence across sovereign bounds, as the tribal council’s supplied draft merely furthers the alien concept of ‘recreational opportunity realization,’ aggregate allocation of inferior user privileges to the highest payer and those trophy hunters ‘winning’ a trophy opportunity. Unfortunately these alien practices are not shameful to the tribal council, and its sub-department authorities. This is part of the UP SIDE DOWN thinking.

During 2010 I presented a copyrighted proposed draft of a “Title 28, Washoe Hunting and Fishing Code, Commission Secretary Obligations and transmittal cover letter. As a natural real human I possess a sovereign political power to do so.

The tribal council’s supplied draft for adoption flies in the face of perpetual succession, hereditary culture and tradition of family hunting and fishing. The council’s draft makes a special recognition and permitting for ‘Washoe’ methods and arts, while furthering the ‘recreational’ trophy ideals. It is scientifically and morally indolent to presume that recreational trophy hunting and fishing on species of interest, at risk, or endangered species amounts to ‘conservation psychology.’ The credibility of the tribal council supplied draft is impeachable.”

The *arts* and *practices* of indigenous gathering of plants, medicines, fish and game enlisted the assistance of cats, dogs, birds, to locate species on the landscape, and to assist in the capture of fish and game for their total benefits. These arts and practices included tools, mechanisms and actual captures of food fish and animals. The alien concept of commercialized

recreational amusement is well documented,²¹⁵ ranging from the ulterior motives of sport hunting the north American buffalo, to *modern era* regulated sport fishing *derbies*, big game *trophy* hunts and lotteries. Among the sport *recreational opportunity spectrum* is the use of hunting

²¹⁵ The arts and practices of indigenous hunters and fishers are significantly opposed to recreational ideologies, e.g. “Present Hook & Release fishery management programs, implemented by the Washington State Game Department, are designed to target recreational participants on wild native steelhead, occurring in active spawning areas... by definition cruelty is “any act intended to torment, vex, or afflict, or which actually afflicts or torments, without necessity, or any act of wrong, inhumanity, oppression, or injustice... cruelty to animals is held to include every act, omission, or neglect whereby unnecessary or unjustifiable pain or suffering is caused, permitted, or allowed to continue, when there is reasonable remedy or relief.” (p.5). “Restriction of fishing participation to recreational angling raises a serious constitutional question concerning cultural and religious requirements, both material and intangible.” (p.6).” (*See: Supplement to Testimony of the Northwest Subsistence Fishermans Association, concerning Proposals to Reduce or Terminate a Specific Fishing Commerce Opportunity of the Northwest Indian People on Steelhead (salmo gairdneri), Conference of Elders, June 1980, William Grubb, Christian Penn, Sr., Thomas Penn, 062100/680, encl., 171337/0383; 170837/0283, to: US Supreme Court Justices: Brennan, Marshall, Powell, O’Connor, White, Blackmun, Rehnquist, Stevens, President Reagan, USDOJ, Rep. Bonker, US Congress; Quileute Tribal Council Resolution 78-A-5).*

The *mass mobile* dynamic of sport fisherman and hunters is exhibited by, “H. The double identity of the sport fisherman is perpetuated further through the use of hatcheries such as the Bear Springs Project on the Solduck River.” The facilities are utilized to execute massive broodstock and eggs transfers on a super-regional basis. “Non-treaty non-settler **persons** are allowed to fish in the Quileute ceded area. Non-resident state fishing licenses are not required on the major portion of the Quileute river... lower Dickey... upper portions of the Bogichiel, Calawah, Solduck river and other adjacent coastal streams... There is a calculable compound opportunity and resulting harvest of salmon and steelhead.” “Forced accommodation of the sport fishing act by the Quileute Tribe will result in alinement of a separate race of people with “user groups,” which do not have unique religious attachments to the fish.” (*See: William Grubb, fisheries practitioner, attachment: affidavit, 06-13-1978*). The multiple identities, constructed to the sport fisherman advantage are exhibited by, “All members & Record, Pacific Fishery Management Council, Quit defiling the livelihood arts of the Native People... You are knowledgeable that your fisherm[e]n have many hats. When you have regulated their ‘ocean’ share, you change their names and places providing them with another bonus share. If that does not satisfy them, you change the name of the fishes... surplus fish to insure their harvest.” (Lillian Pullen, Quileute Senior Citizen).

On February 8, 1980, the Quileute Tribe Fish & Game Resources Committee adopted a resolution, citing the testimony of Ethel Payne Black, daughter of Thomas Payne, and Lillian Pullen, grand daughter of Thomas Payne, and member Indian Shaker Church, concerning the American Indian Religious Freedoms Act. The resolution specifies interference from non-religious entities, such as ‘sport user groups’, that results in the mis-alinement of a ‘people’, having significant religious attachments to fishing sites and fish, to a group that acts personally, and by Washington State Department of Fisheries & Game policy to ‘torture,’ ‘torment,’ and ‘be cruel to’ animals; set aside the steelhead fish for the use and benefit of... an artificial person... designed to promote the use of the fish as a recreational attraction... to promote commercialization... permit killing and/or molesting (hook and release) endangered wild fish runs, while the Quileute ‘people’ have been forced to accommodate the ‘sport’ fishing act.” “Sport fisherman’ constitute an intensive negative cultural, and environmental impact upon our sacred fishing place... further diminish our Treaty fisheries... and are not justifiable on endangered fish runs.” The committee resolved IAW authorities, QTC, Quinaielt Treaty of 1855, Article 2., QTFO 74-A-5, P.L. 95-341, to adopt “annual emergency fishing regulation, prohibiting angling and business for the purpose recreation and amusement within the bounds of the reservation. (See: QTFRC, resolution, 3 for, 0 against, 02-08-80, Chairman, Tom Jackson, secretary certification, Priseilla Williams. On February 8, 1980, Christian Penn, sr., Fisheries Representative, Quileute Tribe, addressed the “Native American People of All Tribes and Bands & Settlers of the land, quoted Article 2 of the treaty at Quinalt

dogs and birds trained to track, chase, herd and capture the game. The use of dogs to pursue and tree raccoons, bears and other species is aimed at maximizing the amusement and *leisure time experiences*, as is evidentiary in constricting the hunt to fairness and an appearance of humane treatment of the target and abide according to “*conservation psychology*.”

Indigenous identity Theft (fraud) is an ongoing contentious and hurtful matter to the so legislated “Indian” people. On March 18, 1993 President Clinton was delivered a “Complaint and Briefing Document [111718/1292wb] on VIOLATIONS of the Stevens Treaties of 1855, 1856; and, NON-COMPLIANCE with the “Boldt Decision,” United States v. Washington, Civil No. 9213.” There are 85 items listed in the table of contents, which substantiate grounds for the complaint, with special attention invited to P. 325. Sec. 19, S. Cohen’s Handbook on Federal Indian law. The “Relevant Citations from “INDIAN TRIBES, A Continuing Quest for Survival”, A Report of the United States Commission on Civil Rights, June 1981, UNCOMMON CONTROVERSY Fishing Rights of the Muckleshoot, Puyallup, and Nisqually Indians, A Report Prepared for the American Friends Services Committee, 1970; Basis and Merits of Unique Rights Felix S. Cohen’s Handbook of Federal Indian law, Pertaining to Subsistence, Ceremonial fishing Arts reserved by Rights and Entitlements **not Ceded** by “Treaty Negotiations”, INFRASPECT, William Blair” was annexed to the complaint. The report is captioned, “Treaties are covenants. Misconstruing this instrument as a contract within itself reduces it to an arbitrary means of indiscriminately invading, negotiating exclusive and distinctively different applications of rights which are the indispensable substance of initiating the treaty in the first instance” (Blair, 1992). See attachments I-II-III-IV-V-VI-VII. The entire case file demonstration is extensive and particular to the deviated intrusions as to aggregate re-allocation (globally integrated enterprise), opportunity realization (moderate living needs), behavioral conformity (positivism, resocialization), economic channeling (sustainable development) to provisional beneficiaries (institutional economy) and favorable corporate ‘partnerships.’

The false flags of “sustainable economic development” and economic channeling to provisional beneficiaries is substantiated by the tribal governments role in institutionalized culture, e.g. entitlements of public benefit corporations, private government organizations, not-for-profit, non-profit corporations, religious corporations, and faith based organizations. The government enacts its secular vision, goal value priority, and economic command models, e.g. sustainable management, sustainable development, Trans-Pacific Partnership, Globally Integrated Enterprises, North American Union, Security & Prosperity Partnership,

and Federal Partnership, under scheme such as “Nation buidling.” Culture no longer comes from the original beings, real humans, but from ‘institutional normative rationale,’ the ‘academic establishment.’ The people become less creative, functionally specialized, subject to social engineering- behavioral conformity- behavioral health (citizenship/ psychological role model). Essentially, “*nation buidling*” is “Economic development of American Indian Reservations,” via an “*Institutional Economy*” (President Clinton) by “Re-inventing Government” (Office of Re-Inventing Government, Al Gore). The “reservations” remain federal reservations, under the foreign monetarist system, and floating currency exchange rate. (Cross reference: Enterprise Zones). These models stem from “economic command,” e.g. positivist theory. The operational goal value priority is “sustainable development.” The original being, real human, is transformed to a “tribal citizen,” (tenants) whose “goal value

priority” is limited to functional specialization as part of the collectivist concept of “*practical sovereignty*.”²¹⁶

²¹⁶ “Nation building” is a socio-political theme (“An Indian Country Revolution”). Harvard University was a significant part of constructing the demise of the sovereign indigenous peoples; and, the “Harvard Project” is a modern era experiment in ‘synthesizing.’ (Thesis, anti-thesis, synthesis). The Harvard Project is subordinate to the UN’s Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, in the global ‘collectivists’ context, e.g. “peoples.” The Nation building institutional model recites the ‘collaborative’ basis of “decision making,” by the legitimized governing institutions. The empowerment and stability of the ‘decision making’ teams within the reservation is designed in collaborative governance to out weight the public initiative and referendum processes. The reservation departments are ‘interoperable’ with state, county, city and municipal authorities. **The Nation building approach is, “practical sovereignty, effective governing institutions, cultural match, strategic orientation, and nation-building leadership.”** **The institutions are ‘in the driver seat,’ not the people, as the actual source of sovereignty.** Whether self-determination, self-regulation, self-rule, the pre-decisional criteria is established by market driven standards. Self-regulation is NOT sovereignty. The Harvard project studies show “tribally owned and operated business... insolated from tribal council or tribal presidential interference are far more to be profitable.” This finding is contrary to Infraspect case demonstration files. Clan and family nepotism predominates the hiring, evaluation, corrective measures, compensation and employment termination practices, especially under externally managed austerity programming. This is the affect of implementing the “collaborative governance” secular political ideology on ‘reservations.’ The development process under Nation building is, “control, building capacity, thinking strategically, [institutional] policy making, [economic channeling] development projects, and implementation.” The blur among sovereignty, practical sovereign, autonomy, self-rule, interoperability, is protracted through ‘innovative international law,’ e.g. law arbitrary being merely the will of the ‘decision makers.’ Sustainable institutional management under “appropriate rules of the game” are guided by “flexibility.” Standards are subjected to “mediation” (mitigation) by those selected partners “seated at the table.” The “Open enrollment” doctrine has significantly transformed the meaning of indigenous culture and tradition to that of ‘tribal citizen’ consumers. The human values of the mainstream consumers, as manipulated, has prevailed in ‘Indian Country.’ (See: *Two Approaches to Economic Development on American Indian Reservations: One Works, the Other Doesn’t*, Stephen Cornell and Joseph P. Kalt, JOPNA no. 2005-02, Harvard Project on American Indian Economic Development and the Native Nations Institute for Leadership, Management, and Policy on behalf of the Arizona Board of Regents, *Resources for Nation building: Governance, Development, and the Future of American Indian Nations*, Miriam Jorgensen, S. Cornell). The framing of assembling people for redress of their greivances has been subjugated to S.P.I.N., and conversational hypnosis in the context of model civil rights movements, e.g., “Idle No More is a *campaign* for indigenous rights, sovereignty and *environmental justice*... omnibus bill C-45... taking away treaty rights... rallies and *flash mobs*... Attawapiskat Chief Theresa Spence... broking relationship... peoples... *state* of Canada... First Nation communities... do not get a share of the profit... Portland flashmob... now come into *one movement*... brothers and sisters... alter our lifestyles of over consumption [Eugene Weekly, Camilla Mortensen, 01-03-13]“Idle No More... Movement... *solidarity* to our first nations... indigenous rights and *environmental justice*... *Flash mob Round Dance*... Solidarity rallies... *resistance* efforts... *environmental activists*... *dialogue*... First Nations resistance... *unity* among Natives and non-natives... resistance must be worldwide... solidarity against... oppression... ignite the entire planet... *flames of revolution*...” [Kayla L. Godowa-Tufti, CT Warm Springs]. (See: *Eugene Weekly, News, Activist Alert, Native American Idle No More, Camilla Mortensen, 01-03-13; Viewpoint, Idle No More, Kayla L. Godowa-Tufti, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, 01-03-13*). The speech encoding “flash mob” is designed to caricaturize those assembled for redress of greivances, as a quick and unrulely body. The participants are contextualized as solidarity, worldwide unity, among resisters (native American, non-native), a movement and environmental activists. The doctrine of “environmental justice” is presented. The concept and speech encoding are alinged in the *dialogue* of the operative journalist and activist. The over-arching caricaturization is the “flash mob.” The real humans assembled are gathered presenting the contention violation of

The most insidious aspect of the ‘total warfare’ interdiction campaign against the indigenous people, such as the infamous annihilation of the buffalo and its habitat, **is the aerobatic dispersals (chemtrails)** effecting the distribution and aggregate re-allocation of ecological and human habitat (inclusionary rezoning, en mass depopulation, re-settlement) under sustainable development (market driven solutions), **mercantile exchange participation**, weather management *derivatives speculation* and futures on resource (wild and domestic—land, soil, water, game, fish, air, geo-thermal) ‘mitigation failures’ (super storm, drought, flood) at the community, sector, state, ‘domestic dependent nation’ and whole system levels. (*See: Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME), partners, weather futures*).

The operational goal value priorities of Pueblo governors (IRA tribal entities) responded to their membership and the public expressing serious concerns of health depredation and purposeful environmental terrorism protracted by “chemtrails,” forecast by indigenous seers as the “death winds.” Thirteen (13) Pueblo governors voted unanimously to remain “*silent*” about the chemtrails, as disclosure could keep customers away from their respective Indian gambling “casinos,” reducing tribal “profits.” Essentially, the IRA tribal authorities demonstrated the patterns of deception and fraud, as presented by federal collaborating military (USAF) and civilian (EPA) authorities. The tribal membership and public at large were subjugated to thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing through comprehensive public perception campaigns to dispel serious health issues and marginalize contrary evidentiary facts and scientific testimony. The doctrine of ‘collapsing wrongdoing to the high cause,’ e.g. depopulation eugenics and global “climate change” universal applications of rule, measures, and actions. The scientific testimonies presented substantiate the affect and effect of barium, aluminum, magnetic properties used in creating a plasma atmosphere useful in ELF and HAARP technologies. (*Cross reference: HEPA weapons; biological operations, Geo-physical operations, Drought inducement (locking up moisture); conductive aerosols; plasma frequencies; military weather warfare*). (*See: Aerosol Crimes, (aka Chem Trails) Clifford E. Carnicom, First Edition 2005; A Merklinger; Gwen Scott, N.D health affects; works of Nikola Teslas*).

The use of Genetically Modified Organism (species) **stress tolerance patent** (utility, design) issuance creates an ‘insider’ market franchise and multiple monopoly. Terminator seed patents are used to ‘establish, hold and build’ a predatory corporate system of economic channeling (economic command model) to provisional beneficiaries. (*Cross reference: proof of concept*). The indigenous people (families) are

subjugated to ‘crisis economics’ (*Cross reference: Disaster Capitalism*). These models are designed to consolidate ownership of natural assets and convert each to corporate (profit & non-profit) collateral, equity, and profits. The “quality of life” is sold as a product of the agency and stakeholder partnership to the highest (income) payers. (*Cross reference: HAARP, chemical crimes against humanity and nature*). Weather modification and warfare has been a US national priority for more than 50 years. The military/civilian ‘interoperability’ aspect is integrated into the “Capstone doctrine” of the United Nations treaty conventions and compacts. Stanford Research participated in project “Strom Fury.” (*See: Ben Livingston, Navy Physicist briefings to US President Johnson*). The construction of “food deserts” on federal Indian reservations is an intended consequence attributed, in part, to weather control, e.g. manipulation of the severity and adverse weather for ‘purposes.’ The Carnegie Institute derives public benefits from the ‘global warming economy,’ e.g. anthropogenic global warming. The Institute’s Department of Global Ecology conducts field trials on how to “distribute artificially added sulfates to the atmosphere. Academic personalities include Ken Caldeira (London Guardian, 2008) re: Geoengineering...dispersing (scatterers into the stratosphere) sulphur dioxide to *combat* global warming. Project funding sources include Bill Gates, et al in the interest of ‘lowering population.’ Scientific experimentation links USDOE, Savannah River National Laboratory using “porous-walled glass microspheres,” while the project is tied to dispersals conceived by Paul Crutzen. Collaboration is with John P. Holdren (Obama administration), advisor to the ‘white house.’ The global governance network includes the Council on Foreign Relations, as one of the main ‘steering committees,’ e.g. “Workshop on Unilateral Planetary Scale Geoengineering.” The United Nations eternal, ICO religious corporation’s interoperability (capstone doctrine) matrix embraces the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Program (1989), DOE, Office of Biological and Environmental Research; and applied research program, e.g. Indirect and Semi-Direct Aerosol Campaign (ISDAC), e.g. “cloud simulations” and “aerosol retrievals.” The known effect is the bombarding of the atmosphere with acid-rain causing pollutant sulphur dioxide, with convergent environmental impact affect and effect (Blair). Health effects linked with exposure to sulphur: Neurological and behavioral changes, disturbance in blood circulation, heart damage, effects on eye sight, damage to immune systems, gastrointestinal disorder, liver & kidney damage, hearing defects, disturbance of the hormonal metabolism, lung embolism, serious vascular damage in veins of the brain (LennTech), a methodology of involuntary inoculation. (*Cross reference: Pandemic and All Hazards Preparedness Act*). The ‘side effects’ are carefully guarded to preclude public outcry and causes of action. (*See: What in the World are They*

Spraying, G. Edward Griffin, Steve Watson, Alex Jones, infowars.net, Prisonplanet.com, 2012; Healing Codes for the Biological Apocalypse, L. Horowitz). Intentionally delivered Air born pathogens rely on crossing viruses and bacteria to produce the predictable results. (***Cross reference: Prion crystals associated to yeast, melathion, apoptosis***). Mad cow 'disease' has been scientifically associated to "contaminated grains." Wildlife subjugated to contaminated (fungal infected) grain crops are subsequently 'diseased.' "Brain holes" are linked to "frequencies from the environment." (Horowitz). (***Cross reference: US Army Chemical Corps, Mind Control & Depopulation, Projects: Blue Bird, Dream Land, Bio Chip technology; Project EISCAT; brain training- frequency following responses***). Several related patents (Genome projects) are held by Cold Springs Harbor Laboratory, N.Y., a Rockefeller vested interest. (***Cross reference: HAARP, 702 class satellites***).

Black's Law Dictionary defines conspiracy. Transparency in legislation presented to the 'public mind' is received at the indolent level of consciousness. The Capstone symbol is displayed at the Denver, Colorado, International Airport, by the New World Commission.

ATTACHMENTS FOLLOW:

- I. [a] The immutable promise of a covenant e.g., "God's Perfect Law of Liberty."
- II. [a] "Indigenous Worldview."
- III. [21] "Values washing" (Brain washing) used to condition the ___ sector, ___ whole system to accept: ___ controlled obedient behavioral conformity, ___ austerity programming (resource scarcity management) ___ torture, ___ limited genocide, ___ depopulation by destructive means, ___ serial warfare, ___ psychological applications of destruction, degradation, denial, disruption, deceit (deceptions, omissions, misinformation) and exploitation, along with electronic and psychological attacks on defenseless citizens, as 'public policy.'
- IV. Matters of Liberty – Codes, Case law references.
- V. Constellation of words and phrase, sophistry, concept and speech encoding.

**Attachment I to:
Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud
“Matters of Liberty”**

*Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs
William Blair, Auditor Directorate
Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs
Rev.251051/1013*

_____ [2] The *legal values* were [not] grounded on-

_____ [a] The *immutable promise* of a *covenant*,
e.g., “*God’s Perfect Law of Liberty.*”

[Auditor’s Notation: The Constitution of the United States of America, 9th Amendment states, “The enumeration in the Constitution shall not be construed to deny or disparage other rights retained by the People.” The global political philosophy of Collaborative governance moves against and contrary to the 9th amendment that which is Constitutional *settled law*.

During the 1970s proceedings of the US v. Washington, Civil No. 9213, known as the Boldt Decision on Indian Treaty Fishing Rights, an Organization named the “Northwest Subsistence Fisherman Association” (NWSFA) was founded by Christian Penn, Sr., and William Grubb, representing both ‘Indians’ and ‘non-Indians’ in an hand written charter, signed and dated by the

several charter members. The NWSFA “Information Compendium” contained signed, dated and notarized documents. Among these exhibits are declarations that specified ‘intrinsic beings with the attributes of personality.’ The “superlative degree” of natural being is stipulated in declarations and “resolutions” and “consistency determinations” of the people that were formally delivered to the listed state Congressional representatives, Governors, sub-departments, USDA, USDI BIA, US Commission on Civil Rights, regional federal government solicitors, the USDC in and for Western Washington District, Federal Court Fisheries Advisory Board, Pacific Fisheries Management Council, State Attorney General, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Treaty Area, tribal councils, fisheries committees, tribal attorneys, and the United Nations Secretary General. (Certified, return receipt).

These declarations by indigenous people went to sovereignty of the individual, e.g. indigenous powers. The state and federal sub-department agencies moved constantly to strike at individual liberty, while using academic experts to establish a new breed of person, e.g. “user groups,” for the institutional purposes of “aggregate re-allocation of resources.” The individual indigenous natural beings were being systematically stripped of their real human personality, and the collectivists implemented “tribal” (IRA- corporate) “fishing and hunting rights,” under management by ‘experts’ designated by the alien academic establishment. The lead academic institution was the University of Washington, its ‘professors,’ which were co-dependent upon specific financial grants, agenda, issue development and outcomes. “Negotiation” and “compromise” were the basis of the tribal/state/federal “institutional normative rationale.” The preemption of the indigenous superlative being was implemented under market driven standard of “income” = “quality of life,” fake ‘self regulation’ federal and state subsidies granted to the IRA tribal business councils, et. al. The FED system of the ‘floating currency exchange rate’ controlled the economic channeling to provisional tribal beneficiaries.

“People of the North Coast” were extinguished, replaced with “artifact identities,” e.g. tribal membership identification cards. The conservation crisis was used to contravene the original indigenous powers, and replace indigenous powers with the dictates of “sound science,” “joint biological statements,’ an array of “false rights” and social programme “entitlements.” As the years and decades pasted, the recreational sport commerce model was applied to ‘Indian’ and ‘tribal’ fishing and hunting regulations, as planned in the first instance. The indigenous cultures are ‘up side down’ according to those indigenous persons not ‘on board’ with the interjected *institutional group consensus* process ideology. Tribal legal counsels were controlled and *admitted to practice* under the British Accredited Registry (BAR) application of foreign agents, political courts and the myth of the ‘rule of law.’ The expert judgment elicitation process concluded in “failed mitigation” in terms of tradition, culture, indigenous sovereign economy and prosperity. The ‘tribal’ entities now function within the master dysfunction, e.g. *functional specialization* as follows the alien religious *right of discovery* dogma, and belief in *manifest destiny*.

The US v. Washington white papers created by the *judicial branch of government* were the products of experts, anthropologists, and lawyers subject to ‘Admiralty’ and commercial / political courts, tainted with pretext, context, bias and prejudicial errors that merely amounted to misprisions of perjury, conflicts of duty and interest in the matter of representing the indigenous natural sovereign individuals of the north coast *treaty case area*.

The tribes of the Pacific Northwest are not “free states,” but rather are legislated as “domestic dependent nations,” (Oregon Governor’s legal counsel), executive order corporations, subject to conventions such as P.L. 280. At the request of indigenous leader, Art George, indigenous Washo (Nev), Infraspect’s auditor directorate, Blair, drafted the “Declaration by indigenous People.” This declaration may be signed by all people of the earth. It was preceded by the Declaration of Sacred Indigenous Estates Held in the Land, 2007-8. The Washo declaration was filed with the state of Nevada, and delivered to US sub-departments, USDI BIA, governors, Washoe tribal authorities, and the UN prior to the UN’s Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Essentially, these declarations, the signers hold the Vatican’s “Papal Bull” null and void, having no force and effect in “Indigenous Sacred estates Held in the Land,” as well as the Christian’s Right of discovery,” and *belief* in “manifest destiny.” It is noteworthy, Roman “Catholic *faith*,” is distinguished from “Christian *Religion*,” and the Romans “*unus homo sustinet plures personas*, i.e., one man has many persons, or sustains many *status*, or many different conditions.” (Cross reference: dissenters, activists, demonstrators, protestors, radicals, extremists). **Dissenter is inseparable from dissenting religion.** The Anti-Defamation League (ADL) , linked to Jewish religious sects, ACLU, SPLC,

forwarded the legal theory of ‘*status based*’ hate crimes *offenses*, prosecution and sentence enhancement, a *condition of the artificial person*, rather than elements of criminality, stipulated by and through ‘criminal law.’ The pattern of preemptive and *compensatory* legislation is applied austerity programming followed by capitulation of sovereignty. (*Cross reference: Kill and Cuddle*). *Perpetual succession* was treated as inferior by the social, economic planners, legal and scientific experts *assigned* to the ‘tribes.’

“Planners” use techniques such as economic and demographic analysis, citizen participation, land, natural and cultural resources evaluation, goal-setting, and strategic planning (American Planning Association (APA), Sarah Polster). The planners are focused on “whole world” “quality of life,” applying “logic” through “one solution.” The planning profession is “inherently political, social, economic, technical, scientific and environmental”. The planners “envision, analyze, communicate toward “change.” Social justice is modeled to that “which is to the advantage of the majority,” being their rationalized basis of law. Regional planners are centered on “metropolitan areas.” ‘Rural re-development’ (rural re-investment) is subordinated to “human capital transport to optimal consumption pathways” (Metroplexing, Blair). The “enviropacs”, such as River Network (Portland, Oregon) have acted as change agents (agency) in the “national watershed movement,” which will evolve to the status of ‘private government organizations,’ formerly non-government organizations (NGO), participating in soviet style “stakeholder councils.” The “ethical environmental tradeoffs” are determinant at the “regional level,” while local “grass roots” *intermediaries* negotiate terms of functional specialization. (*Cross reference: derivatives speculation—ecosystem services, cap & trade, trusts, exchanges, off sets, conservation easements, credit banking, carbon foot print taxation, exclusions, exemptions, subsidies, environmental justice, enterprise environmentalism*).

The agency and PGO system of ‘International Risk Governance’ (IRGC) is being applied under UN *resolutions*, such as the UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. (*Cross reference: UN Global Citizenship Role Model, Agenda 21- ICLEI; Cruden v. Neale, 2 N.C. 338 (1796 2 S.E. 70. - man is only bound by the laws of nature, commercial law: criminal law)*). In Anderson’s Business law on the Uniform Commercial Code, when a statute refers to artificial beings, natural people are not to be included. (*See: 26 USC 7701 (a) (1).*). The UN has advanced from UN Security Council resolutions, which are not laws, to ‘Declarations,’ linguistically convenient to its purposes of global government, e.g. UN’s “Global Citizenship Role Model.”

People embrace real human (intrinsic being) Liberty as an immutable Covenant bona fide, and reserve power over the instruments they create and the ‘agent state’ *administrator’s* promulgated laws. Liberty is not an Article created by the government, rulers, or crowns. These personalities exist by *grace* and consent of the governed. To manifestly take freedom, enslave beings, in the name of protecting Liberty, is a perversion of justice and judgment and psychological derangement. Liberty stands outside of all social contracts. Liberty stands outside of any social contract. The word “person” in legal terminology is perceived as a general word, which normally includes in its scope a variety of entities other than human beings. See e.g. *1U.S.C. sec 1. Church of Scientology v. U.S. Department of Justice (1979) 612 F. 2d 417, 425*. The 14th Amendment Section 1 states: All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the UNITED STATES and of the state wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law, which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the UNITED STATES; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, nor deny any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. The legislature creates the office of “person” which is a mask. The legislature can not create real people, nor can its sub-divisions; ONLY God can do that. The paradox of civilization is evident in “indigenous powers v. surrogate powers. “God alone created you and by “Right of Creation,” God alone can control you. This natural law is the force that binds a creature to its creator. The way the state gets around God’s law and thereby controls the people is by creating only an office, and **not a real human.** It is unlawful for any attorney to hold any position or office outside of the judicial branch, this includes legislators, mayors, police, commissioners, and council persons. Multiple office holding is prohibited by the Constitution. When you accept and fill a state office, you cease to be a free man. **All political power is inherent in the people.** The people are sovereign over their created government. People maintain control over the *instruments* they create. You may declare yourself as “a *Sovereign political power holder*.” (*Cross reference: Wilson v. Omaha Tribe, 442 U.S. 600, 604 (1941); U.S. v. Mine Workers, 330 U.S. 258, 275 (1947)*). The *natural indigenous hereditary chiefs and headman*, of the *Seven Fires Council* (La Kota) have declared their sovereign class standing, and recently by a 2010 “Special Appearance before the United States of America; and

USDC in and for the District of Columbia, re: Cobell Settlement.” The listed addressees in the notice included: USDOJ, USDI, USDA, BIA, UN, and state governors. As *enacting natural indigenous sovereigns* the chiefs and headmen are not bound by any act of Parliament unless named therein by special and particular words (See: *Dollar Savings Bank v. U.S., 19 Wall 227, 239 (1874)*). There are no listed definitions for “human,” “being,” or “human being” in Black’s or in Webster’s law dictionaries, or Oran’s Dictionary of the Law published by the West Group © 2000. These terms are indispensable, yet are purposefully omitted. (See: Office of the Person, The official state office known as “person.” What manner of PERSON are you?).

Volunteering for a *office position*, such as in a “Collaborative governance (PGO),” “stakeholder council,” or “water shed council” put into co-operation before and pursuant to *rider components* (mechanisms) embedded in federal and state legislative Acts, applies to *accepting* and *filling* an office. In this instance, the status of *real human* is extinguished. The secular political ideology of “collaborative governance”, e.g. formal consensus of the group decision making, enforcement of the group decision, by case file exhibits, utilizes the “Delphi technique.”

The group psychological dynamic becomes *leveling the field* among the “*folks*.” Informality and summaries are the rule while orientation to a new set of participant values (values washing) are establishing under social engineering and resocialization.

The role of “Office of the person” becomes *confused with the sovereign person of a local community, ‘grass roots’ organization*. During a scheduled public meeting of the “McKenzie” (Oregon, Lane Co.) watershed council, when solicited, Infraspect auditor directorate, Blair, requested those being just community members to identify themselves. The greater assembled group was not “*real*” people (*humans*). The group reacted with outraged sentiments. Auditor Blair, requested a *show of hands*, to identify each person signing in and providing their *government email address*. The exhibited records show that the majority of the convened ‘groups’ are agency and quasi-government personnel (employees, line officers, partnerships, trusts, etc).

The *advisory* / educational ‘council’ has political prestige, has an effective co-mingled participation, e.g. double control of stakeholder interests over agendas, issue development, fixed options and outcomes pertaining to natural resources aggregate allocation, management rights & ownership, impact/stressor monitoring. The general collaborative groups use consensus, and ‘spirit of confidentiality’ to circumvent the Federal Advisory Committee Act as a “Barrier.” As codified, participate authentication requires abiding by the collaborative governance political ideology, use of the Delphi techniques, and institutional credulity. The treatment of the Act as a “barrier” as *adopted* and *published* by the “Mission Agencies” (USDOJ, USDI, USDA, BLM, DOE, DOC, etc) in the “Ecosystem Management Approach.”

The *Blessings of Liberty* are bound to all people, protected by *natural law* and *reserved* in the US Constitution, Articles and Amendments. *Liberty may not be misconstrued as ‘a balance of power’ between the rulers and the governed. Treaties, marriage, attributes of life- personality, association, spiritual cognition, freedom of thought and expression, and inter-generational promises* are examples of *covenants bona fide*. The word Liberty *embraces* a “body of *principles, standards* and the *rules* promulgated by government, State ex rel.” (See: *Conway v. Superior Court within and for Greenlee County, 60 Ariz. 69, 131 p. 2d 983, 986; obliges generally to acts or forbearances of a class; constitution or constitutional provision, Boston Elevated Ry. Co. v. Commonwealth, 310 Mass. 528, 39 N.E.2d 87, 109*).

The perversion of *Liberty* by abstract speech encoding is exemplified by, “words which the would-be wise men of the *goyim* could *make nothing of in their abstractness*, and did not note the contradiction of their meaning and inter-relation... [to bring] under their direction and control ‘legions’... he [Rothschild, Red Schield, Rothschild] reasoned that there is no place in nature for equality, liberty, or fraternity.” (See: *Pawns In the Game, Commander, William G. Carr*). Case law applies Liberty to *common law ordinances*, “from which equity is a departure” “as a doctrine or procedure of the common law.” (See: *People v. Ziady, 8 Cal.2d 149, 64 p.2d 425*).

Law is regarded in different aspects: “Absolute law; Adjective law; Administrative law; Arms, law of; Bankruptcy Act; Canon Law; Case law; Citations; law of; Civil Law; Commercial Law; Common law; Conclusions of Law; Custom and usage; Ecclesiastical law; Edict; Enabling statute; Equity; Evidence, law of; Flag, law of; Foreign laws; Forest law; General Law; International Law;

Local law; Maritime; Maritime law; Marques, law of; Martial law; Mercantile law; Military law; Moral law; Municipal law; Natural law; Oleron, law of; Ordinance; Organic law; Parliamentary law; positive law, penal laws; Positive law; Private law; probate; procedural law; Prospective law; Public law; Remedial laws and statutes; Retrospective law; Revenue law or measures; Road; Roman law; Special law; Staple; Statute; Substantive law; Unwritten law; War; Written law; practice of law.” (*law p.796.*)

Academic colonialism is substantiated by the institutional accreditation system of colleges of law, operation of the British Administrative Registry (BAR, Esq.), and authentication franchises issued through local to global recognition of Doctors of Juris Prudence, etc. Advancement of “*Innovative International law,*” follows the precepts of the “Right of conquest,” “Right of discovery,” “manifest destiny,” and “eminent domain.” The derivative forms of speech encoding, e.g. “tribal law,” “indigenous law,” “aboriginal law,” follow the Papal dogma and ‘capstone doctrine,’ being ‘force of law arbitrary.’ **There is no federal common law, and Congress has no power to declare substantive rules of Common law applicable in a state, whether they be local or general in their nature, be they commercial law or part of law of torts (See: ERIE Railroad Co. vs. Thompkins, 304 U.S. 64, 82 L. Ed. 1188.)** This applies to types of “tribal law.” This is significant in that the Erie Decision, no cases are allowed to be cited that are prior to 1938, to prohibit the mixing of the ‘old’ law with the new law. *Common law* was the source of Substantive and Remedial Rights. In 1945 the United States abrogated its sovereignty by signing the United Nations Treaty. The United Nations eternal operates under the “capstone doctrine.”

Concept and speech encoding in western English dialogue among legal scholars are illustrated by, “tribal law” is used in this article to differentiate the law of United States Indigenous nations from federal Indian law. Tribal law includes... the incorporation of traditional values and precepts into the written law of tribes, which has come to overwhelmingly reflect western law. See: Associate Professor and Director, Southwest Indian Law Clinic, University of New Mexico (UNM) School of Law, Isleta/ San Juan Pueblo, Member, Pueblo of Isleta. B.A., Stanford University; Legal Tech. Cert., Antioch School of Law; J.D., UNM School of Law.

The dominant influence of the British Administrative Registry (Brothers in the bond) is exhibited by, “25th Annual Federal BAR Association Indian Law Conference in April 2000” and before the Navajo Nation Council at the Navajo Common law Symposium in Window Rock, Arizona in September 2000; the Law and Society Association’s Eighth Summer Institute on Race and the Law: Critical Discourses Exploring Law and Society, Methods and Traditions... LEON SHELEFF, THE FUTURE OF TRADITION, Customary Law, Common law and Legal Pluralism 121 (1999). 4 See generally, Christine Zuni, Strengthening What Remains, _7 KAN. J. L. & PUB. POL’Y 17, 28 app. A (1997)(Appendix A contrasts the Anglo-American adversarial system of justice with indigenous concepts of justice.)”

“Strengthening What Remains, an essay that addressed the role of the tribal *judiciary* in strengthening the place of traditional law in tribal jurisprudence highlighting the difficulty given (1) the colonialistic history of tribal courts in indigenous communities and (2) existing tensions between Anglo-American legal concepts and indigenous approaches to *settling disputes*.

“The Department of Interior’s Commissioner of Indian Affairs Hiram Price compiled regulations and Secretary H. M. Teller adopted these in 1883 for Courts of Indian Offenses. They were circulated among agents for all Indians except the Five Civilized Tribes, Indians of New York, the Osage, the Pueblos, and the Eastern Cherokees. See WILLIAM T. HAGAN, INDIAN POLICE AND JUDGES EXPERIMENTS in ACCULTURATION AND CONTROL 109-110 (1966).”

Regulations “were aimed specifically at *changing undesirable behavior* of the indigenous peoples subject to the regulations and included provisions against dances, multiple marriages, medicine men, and destruction of property upon death of its’ owner.”

The regulations for *C.F.R. courts* are presently codified at 25 C.F.R. §§ 11.100, et seq. (2000). See COURTS OF *INDIAN OFFENSES*, Extract from the Annual Report of the Secretary of the Interior (Nov. 1, 1883), reprinted in DOCUMENTS OF UNITED STATES *INDIAN POLICY*, at 160 (Francis Paul Prucha 2nd ed. 1990). See also RULES FOR *INDIAN COURTS* (Aug. 27, 1892), supra at 186. 7 Russel Lawrence Barsh & J. Youngblood Henderson, Tribal Courts, The *Model Code*, and the Police Idea in American *Indian Policy* in AMERICAN *INDIANS* AND THE LAW

25 (Lawrence Rosen, ed., 1976)(footnote omitted)(“[Courts of *Indian* Offenses], originally established under the auspices of the Bureau of *Indian* Affairs, have been replaced on virtually all reservations by ‘tribal courts,’ which are free from Bureau control. However, tribal courts usually follow procedural codes derived from, if not identical to, those governing Courts of Indian Offenses because the latter are readily available without development costs and are assured of the requisite approval of the Secretary of the Interior.”) Id.” See: Bruce B. MacLachlan, Indian Law and Puebloan Tribal Law, in NORTH AMERICAN INDIAN ANTHROPOLOGY, Essays on Society and Culture 340, 343 (Raymond J. DeMallie & Alfonso Ortiz eds., 1994). 8 Gloria Valencia-Weber & Christine P. Zuni, Domestic Violence and Tribal Protection of Indigenous Women in the United States, 69 ST. JOHN’S L. REV. 69, 129, app. B (1995)(examination of the internal law of several U.S. indigenous nations on domestic violence). An important aspect of corporate encoding is the use of capital letters in words, titles, official documents, and other security papers, e.g. RULES FOR INDIAN COURTS.

Speech encoding includes, but is not limited to: Tribal trial court judge, Chief Judge, Judge Pro tempore, Associate Judge, Governor’s Court, Intertribal Court of Appeals, Administrator for the Court, Court of Tax Appeals, comprised of judges;lawyers. (See: NNC § 354 (a) and (e) (requiring applicant for judicial appointment be an enrolled member and able to speak both Navajo and English).

“... sovereignty is no value in itself. **It’s only a value insofar as it relates to freedom and rights**, either enhancing them or diminishing them...” Beings are *persons* of *flesh* and *blood*, not abstract political and legal constructions like corporations, or states, or capital.” (Cross reference: *sovereign personal political powers, real human, artificial person-resident, citizen, person in the office of the state, Indian*).”

“Many Indigenous *nation-states* who possess sub-division status, they are still in a stupor of neo-colonialism as reflected in their inability to shake off their colonial mentality.” (Cross reference: *Washoe tribal ‘colonies’ in Nevada*).”

“Multiple terms are used interchangeably in reference to *traditional law*... Customary law is the term used in papers discussing African traditional law. See Derek Asiedu-Akrofi, Judicial Recognition and Adoption of Customary Law in Nigeria, 37 AM. J. COMP. L. 571 (1989); T.W. Bennett and T. Vermeulen, Codification of Customary Law, 24 J.A.L. 206 (1980); David M. Bigge and Amelie von Briesen, Conflict in the Zimbabwean Courts: Women’s Rights and Indigenous Self-Determination in Magaya v. Magaya, 13 HAR. HUM. RTS. J. 289 (2000); Akin Ibidapo-Obe, The Dilemma of African Criminal Law: Tradition Versus Modernity 19 S.U.L.REV. 327 (1992).”

“Canadian and Australian authors also use the term customary law. See Bruce L. Benson, An Evolutionary Contractarian View of Primitive Law: The Institutions and Incentives Arising Under Customary Indian Law, 5 REV. AUSTL. ECON. 41 (1991); Roger F. McDonnell, Contextualizing the Investigation of Customary Law in Contemporary Native Communities, 1992 CAN. J. CRIMINOLOGY 299. 24 Common law is the term used by the Navajo Nation. It is also used by the Hopi *Nation*. See Pat Sekaquaptewa, Evolving the Hopi Common law, 9 KAN. J.L. & POL’Y 761 (2000).”

“Sekaquaptewa restricts the meaning of common law to the written opinion of judges, and does not use the term to apply generally to traditional law outside written opinions. In this restricted sense, “common law” would refer only to that traditional law addressed in written court opinions. See also, Robert D. Cooter & Wolfgang Fikentscher, Indian Common law: The Role of Custom in American Indian Tribal Courts (Part I of II), 46 AM. J. COMP. L. 287 (1998); Robert D. Cooter & Wolfgang Fikentscher, **Indian Common law**: The Role of Custom in American *Indian* Tribal Courts (Part II of II), 46 AM. J. COMP. L. 509 (1998).”

“Custom is used in tribal code provisions to refer to *traditional law*. See e.g., Pueblo of Isleta Legal Code, § 1-1-17(b)(“Where any doubt arises as to the customs and usages of the Tribe, the Judiciary may request the advice of *counsellors* familiar with these customs and usages.”). The term “norms” is used in defining custom by Sekaquaptewa. Sekaquaptewa, supra note 22. 30 Karl N. Llewellyn and E. Adamson Hoebel used the term primitive law to contrast Cheyenne law or law-ways with *modern law*. See KARL N. LLEWELLYN & E. ADAMSON HOEBEL, THE CHEYENNE WAY (1941).”

Likewise, MacLachlan states, “[a] number of significantly different interpretations of law are productively used in anthropology. Some imply that law ‘in the strict sense’ is an institution of developed societies that has evolved from human situations in which at best there existed only *prelaw, protolaw, or primitive law*.”

“Alan Watson, An Approach to *Customary Law*, 1984 U. ILL. L. REV. 561(1984) discussing the dominant theory of how custom in Western private law is transformed into law- *opinio necessitatis*, the thrust of which is that individuals purposely follow a certain rule because they believe it to be law, and analysis of an alternative theory that custom becomes law only when it is the subject of statute or judicial decision.”

For the development of a theory of custom in *Roman law*, insofar as there is one. See Nörr, Zur Entstehung der Gewohnheits-rechtlichen Theorie, in FESTSCHRIFT FÜR W. FELGENTRAEGER 353 (1969). For a very different view of the formation of customary rules, particularly in *international law*, See J. Finnis, *NATURAL LAW* and NATURAL RIGHTS 238 (1980).”

The Lakota Seven Fires Council indigenous natural hereditary Chiefs and Headmen, in their “Notice & Special Appearance,” e.g. Cobell Settlement, before the United States of America, and USDC in and for the District of Columbia stipulated their diplomatic class standing, and specified the application of “*Natural law*.”

“Law that is not preexisting, articulated, and accessible does not comport with the plain meaning of ‘law’ under the FTCA’s ‘law of the place’ language. In the absence of preexisting, articulated, and accessible *Acoma law*, it is incumbent upon the Court to look to the law of New Mexico.” Trial Brief On The Issue Of Applying Acoma Law To This Case at 2, *Cheromiah v. United States*, 55 F. Supp. 2d 1295 (D.N.M. 1999)(Civ. No. 97-1418 MV/RLP). _See *Cheromiah*, 55 F. Supp. 2d 1295 (D.N.M. 1999)(holding that under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), the “*law of the place*” to be applied to a medical malpractice claim occurring on tribal lands, was tribal law).” See Katherine C. Pearson, Departing from the Routine: Application of Indian Tribal Law under the Federal Tort Claims Act,” 32 ARIZ. ST. L. J. 695 (2000) for an analysis of the *Cheromiah* decision. 37 Benson, supra note 21, at 61(“resulting changes [from European settlement] set the stage for amendments to implicit social contracts within some tribes, even before the American government subjugated them, suppressed their law, and put them on reservations.”

“In truth, the Cherokee conception of law was simply different from the more traditional Western idea of law. To the Cherokees law was the earthly representation of a divine spirit order. They did not think of law as a set of civil or secular rules limiting or requiring actions on their part. Public *consensus and harmony* rather than confrontation and dispute, as essential elements of the Cherokee *world view*, were reflected in the ancient concepts of the law. See P.H. Gulliver, Case Studies in Non-Western Societies in LAW IN CULTURE AND SOCIETY 11, 12 (Laura Nader, ed. 1969).”

“Robert Tonkinson’s anthropological definition, for example, is specific to the Mardudjara he has studied, but his suggestion that customary law ‘connotes a body of jural rules and moral evaluations of customary and socially sanctioned behavior patterns’ is of wider application. Perhaps the best definition, however, is one as to content rather than description, and to this end Ronald Berndt offers an acceptable amalgam. Indigenous customary law, he writes, is the sum of three sets of relationships—people and land, people and deities, and people and people—and of three highly interdependent factors which act upon these relationships—religion, natural environment, and social organization/kinship.” Rob McLaughlin, _Some Problems and Issues in the Recognition of Indigenous Customary Law (visited Dec. 30, 2000) CONSTITUTION OF THE PUEBLO OF LAGUNA, art. I, §§ 2, 3 (1984). 55 _Id., _art. III, §2. 56 Id., art. IV, §1. 57 Id. 58 Id., art.V, §5. 59 Id. 60 Pat Sekaquaptewa, *Evolving the Hopi Common law* (1999).”

Professor Strickland refers to the post-contact period of 1786 to 1828 as “White Ascendancy,” the “period the Cherokees addressed themselves to the question of how the white legal system could be adapted to Cherokee needs and which elements would best serve Cherokee tribal goals.” STRICKLAND, supra note 50, at 5. 72 Vilhelm Aubert, Case Studies of Law in Western Societies, in LAW IN CULTURE AND SOCIETY 273, 277 (Laura Nader ed. 1969). 73 “The first major change the United States brought about in Indian tribal governments came during the treaty-making

era. Through its efforts to simplify and speed treaty negotiations, the United States often pressured tribes to centralize their governments. Traditional tribal governments incorporated guards against concentration of power to preserve values of freedom, respect, and harmony. Decisions generally required the approval of leaders of several bands, each of whom needed the consensus of all band members. This democratic nature inconvenienced and exasperated the U.S. government, which urged tribes to select a principal chief with authority to make decisions on behalf of the tribe. See generally, Daniel L. Lowery, Developing a Tribal Common law Jurisprudence: The Navajo Experience, 1969- 1992, 18 AM. IND. L. REV. 379 (1993); Sekaquaptewa, *supra* note 22.

75 Saddle Lake First Nation is located approximately 120 miles northeast of Edmonton, Alberta in Canada. It covers 70,500 acres. By 1985 figures, its population of approximately 3,000 citizens lived on the territory of Saddle Lake and nearly 1000 lived off of the territory. See SADDLE LAKE TRIBAL JUSTICE MANUAL 2 (1985). 76 *Id.* In 1983, Saddle Lake prepared a funding proposal to the Alberta Law Foundation to research and develop a model or plan for a tribal justice system. Funding was approved and the Tribal Justice Centre was created and mandated to develop a proposed model justice system. *Id.* at 21.”

“Customs and traditional laws shall be recognized and entrenched in tribal codes and justice procedures to the full extent possible and where appropriate as determined by the Tribe.” *Id.* at 42. It is this first point that influences the notion of basing written law on fundamental principles and precepts of traditional law. Interview with James Zion, Solicitor, Navajo Nation Supreme Court, in Albuquerque, N.M. (September 1, 2000)(Former Mentor to the Tribal Justice Centre).” SADDLE LAKE TRIBAL JUSTICE MANUAL, *supra* note 73, at 21. 81 Because of the autonomy of each indigenous nation, I do not seek to set forth this approach as “the” approach to follow. Rather, it is the idea and the process of assessing traditional law, extracting principles or precepts from that law, then basing the written law an indigenous nation finds necessary to adopt on these principles and precepts that is important. Therefore, I describe in the text of this paper only the most general approach used, and footnote the specifics.” These included involvement and participation in various Elders meetings, band meetings, general *discussion* with band members and personal interviews with Elders of the community, either by appointment or Elders coming forward requesting an interview. See SADDLE LAKE TRIBAL JUSTICE MANUAL, *supra* note 73, at 29. 83 Tribal customs for Band elections, customary law for Chief and Council, childcare, domestic relations, property, disputes/resolution of conflicts, land, and “reservation living” were set forth in statements. *Id.* at 29-36. 84 Saddle Lake’s “*Jurisprudential Considerations*” were set out in five propositions. These propositions generally addressed justice, *common values*, and *institutional requirements*. *Id.* at 23-27. The most significant, for purposes of addressing traditional law, is the final proposition:

PROPOSITION: The realms of custom and law may be differently defined and each plays different roles in a community/society, yet one must be based upon and complementary to the other. Customs are norms or rules about the ways in which people must behave if social institutions are to perform their task and society is to endure. Law, on the other hand, is defined as a body of binding obligations regarded as right by one party and acknowledged as the duty to the other. Seen in this light, some customs are re-institutionalized for the more precise purposes of legal institutions. Law, therefore, may be regarded as a custom that is restated in order to make it amendable to the activities of the legal institutions. Thus, law is a body of binding obligations regarded as right by one party and acknowledged as the duty to the other which has been reinstitutionalized within the legal institution so that a community or society can continue to function in a orderly manner on the basis of the rules (customs) so maintained.” *Id.* at 26-27. The Tribal Mechanisms of Justice Proposition recommended a two level system for the *administration of justice*. *Id.* at 46. The first was a Peacemaker system and the second, a Tribal *Tribunal of Jurors*. *Id.* “The Tribunal shall be of the administrative model, non-adversarial, but carrying the powers to mediate, conciliate, negotiate and arbitrate disputes filed and brought before it.” *Id.* at 45. It also recommended passage of a statute creating the system and appropriate rules and regulations for the system. *Id.* at 46. 87 “Ethical standards should reflect the traditions, laws, and customs of the Tribe.” *Id.* at 48. Higher Indian (Cree) law can be divided as follows: Affirmation of the Whole-*Continuit* Affirmation of the Creator-World, Affirmation of the Community-Nationhood; Law of Harmony; Law of Relationships; Law of Discourse-Oral tradition and “Good Talk”; *Law of Truth*; Law of Personal Responsibility; Law of Pity (civil); Law of Consequence; Law of Consensus; Law of Fairness and Equity; Law of Duty; Law of History.” (*See: Tribal Law as Indigenous Social Reality and Separate Consciousness [RE] Incorporating Customs and Tradition into Tribal Law*).

Pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, several ‘tribal business committees’ were authorized, as sub-divisions of the UNITED STATES CORPORATION, to adopt and enact ‘constitutions’ as approved by the superintendent, Bureau of Indian Affairs. Within the body of these ‘constitutions’ particular articles stipulated “*perpetual succession*,” as distinguished from “economic development.” The matter of “*natural law*” is silenced by the function of law arbitrary. The natural indigenous people, maintain reserved rights that are specified and silent in the treaty covenants. Although the academics modeling the tribal justice system avoid the Papal ‘right of kings,’ ‘right of conquest,’ ‘right of discovery,’ ‘manifest destiny,’ and ‘eminent domain,’ and ‘*ecclesiastical law*’ these instrument are embedded in the applications of law arbitrary administered within the federal Indian reservation system. The clear and concise omission of “indigenous settled law” is fact to disregarding the hereditary, cultural attributes, and aspects of society. In the final end, these methodologies significantly contribute to “Indigenous identity Theft.” (Blair, 2011). Likewise, the matters of Liberty are marginalized, in favor of various limited, qualified and supervised rights. (*Cross reference: Right of Plea, Right of Resistance, Right of Leadership, Great Law*).

Liberty is immutable, as it is not an article belonging to legislatures, judges, lawyers, administrators or agents of the state. “A concurrent or joint resolution of legislature is not “a law”, *Koenig v. Flynn*, 258 N.Y. 292, 179 N.E. 705, 707; *Ward v. State*, 176 Okl. 368, 56 P.2d 136, 137; a resolution of the House of Representatives is not a “law”, *Flournoy v. First Nt. Bank of Shreveport*, 197, La. 1067, 3 So.2d 244, 248.” An unconstitutional statute is not a “law.” *Flournoy v. First Nat. bank of Shreveport*, 197 La. 1067, 3 So.2d 244, 248. Law arbitrary is defined as, “Opposed to immutable, a law not founded in the nature of things, but imposed by the mere will of the legislature.” Jesus’s *avator* is beyond the comprehension of the legislatures.

The psychological conception of “*innovative international law*” is a unitary application of force of law, developed within the institutional rationale prescribed in Prussian systems of higher education, e.g. law schools, colleges of law, universities, and colleges. This application appeals to the sentiments of proponents of “global risk governance.” The inclusionary doctrine of global risk governance stems from the ideology of the ‘*precautionary principle*’ and “*potentiality*” (ADL). Dogma and theory outweigh proof of fact, *consensus reality*. (*Cross reference: Para-religious organization, Occult, Cult, Catholic church Inquisition*). Essentially innovative international law co-mingles “values neutral” (ISO), Market Drive Solutions (Proof of concept) with “all acts are prohibited unless otherwise authorized” and “collapsing wrong doing to the authority of the higher cause.” This *belief system* follows “imposed by the mere will of the legislature,” e.g. law arbitrary. Collaborative governance, characterized as a “stake in the heart of democracy” (Sierra Club, McCloskey), is a secular political ideology used by selected “decision makers, partners, stakeholders and facilitators,” e.g. *formal consensus* of the participatory group in the ‘collaboration.’

Common law (crude mosaic of Anglo-Saxon customs was encoded to distinguish it from ecclesiastical (church) law, is still considered “*discovered law*.” It was suited for the colonies as it was very pragmatic aimed at settling real problems, not at expounding abstract and intellectually satisfying theories; the judges look at the past and follow court precedents (*Stare decisis*- let the decision stand). In the matters of political personalities charging a person is mentally ill, following precedence, is *defamatory* and *libelous* (Goldwater v. Ginzburg 1969).

Lower courts are bound to follow appellate courts and the Supreme Court. Oliver Wendell Holmes stated, “The life of the law has not been logic; it has been experience (The Common law, 1881). *Law of Equity* is called judge-made law, as a supplement to common law. The ‘myth of the rule of law’ is to provide an appearance of “fairness.” All courts are ‘political courts.’ British common law and equity law were American law until the Revolution in 1776.

Equity suits are not tried before a jury, but rather a judicial decree is rendered. Statutory laws are collected in codes and law books, as adopted by the legislature and approved by the executive branch. The means of these laws is subjected to courts, e.g. statutory construction. It is noteworthy that Great Britain (British Empire) does not have a Constitution.

The British Empire’s presumption to manage the affairs of the UNITED STATES Corporation became *contravened* with the congressional *promulgation, adoption and ratification* of the first

ten Amendments, known as the Bill of rights, which guarantee inalienable and unalienable fundamental rights to individuals. A **law is overboard** if it sweeps at establishing wide scopes of “plausible guilt scenarios” not *specified within* the purpose of the convention (Blair). Siegnoirage was used to convey control and ownership of the monetary security bond system of the US to the Federal Reserve Corporation (FED) and International Monetary Fund (IMF). This covert action may be viewed as a financial Papal bulls. Statutory laws born from springboard legislation, out of kind ‘riders,’ mediated collaborations, are akin to legislative offense, because they are intended to escape the will of people and intent of the original purpose of the legislation in the first instance of public trust. Administrative rules required specialized knowledge, e.g. **expert judgment elicitation processes**. As scientific consensus gained power, e.g. scientific dictatorship, the sub-departments of the federal united States became known as the “fourth department (branch) of government.” The **political ideology** of ‘collaborative governance’ is **embedded** in the agencies (sub-departments). The collaborative groups mutated from grass roots participation to Private Government Organizations (PGOs). (**Cross reference: soviet style stakeholder councils, interstate commerce commission, FCC**). The groups use “formal consensus of the group and enforcement of its decision” effecting **public policy making**, natural resource aggregate allocation, management, and scientific monitoring through ‘stewardship contracting authorities,’ e.g. commercial law. The courts are enabled to review and over turn administrative agency rules if (1) the original Act that established the commission is unconstitutional, (2) the commission exceeds its authority, (3) commission violates its own rules, (4) if there is no evidentiary basis to support the ruling.

The “separation of the church from the state” was invented to intensify the hatred the Illuminati had for the Church of Christ. This history is indispensable, including the most important document of jurisprudence, e.g. “Corpus Juris Civilis.” King Edward I, directed the Parilment in 1275 to promulgate the “statutes of Jewry.” The “World Revolutionary Movement” embraced the Inquisition, wherein Pope Innocent III to “unmask” “heretics and infidels that used COINTELPRO to destroy Christian religion from within. Calvinism’s purpose was to split church and state. Calvin’s name was Cohen. The “Joint Stock Company,” now veiled under “**globally integrated enterprise**,” was employed to direct **cells** to “cause trouble between the king and government.” (**Cross reference: Jesuit oath, ISO: EMS: FSC, SFI, enterprise environmentalism, collaborative governance, formal consensus**).

A resolution of the United Nations General Assembly and the UN Security Council is not a law. The UN posts a **Messianic flag**, above the American “Flag of Peace,” alleged to be the symbol of knowledge, peace, tranquility of the individual mind, will remain, e.g. UN “eternal,” and all the nations will center round the emblem. To be a recognized flag, it must be granted by England. Doctors of jurist prudence have distinguished “war law.” The “Gospel gives Liberty in a degree, and with a completeness, unknown under the Law and unthought of in any other religion.” Scripture has little to say on the mere power of choice, while everywhere recognizing this power as the condition of moral life, and sees real Liberty only in the possession and exercise of wisdom, godliness, and virtue. There is no Liberty where there is “bondage to the letter of the law.” (**See: Gal. 4-24, 25**). “The instrument in freeing from bondage is ‘the truth’ (Jn 8-22); the agent is the Spirit of God. ‘Where the Spirit of the lord is, there,’ of necessity, ‘is Liberty’ (2 Co 3-17). (**Cross reference: Natural aristocracy, Predestination**).

“The word “Liberty” **includes and comprehends** all personal rights and their enjoyment. Rosenblum v. Rosenblum, 181 Misc. 78, 42 N.Y.S. 2d 626, 630. It embraces freedom from duress; freedom from governmental interference in exercise of intellect, in formation of opinions, in the expression of them, and in action or inaction dictated by judgment, Zavilla v. Masse, 112 Colo. 183, 147 p.2d 823, 827; freedom from servitude, imprisonment or restraint, Committee for Industrial Organization v. Hague, D.C.J.J., 25 F. Supp. 127, 131, 141; People v. Wood, 151 Misc. 66, 272 N.Y.S. 258; freedom in enjoyment and use of all of one’s powers, faculties and property, Grosjean v. American Press Co., 297 U.S. 233, 56 S. Ct. 444, 446, 80 L.Ed. 660; City of Mt Vernon v. Julian, 369 Ill. 447, 17 N.E.2d 52, 55; freedom of assembly, Ronsenblum v. Rosenblum, 181 Misc. 78, 42 N.J.S.2d 626, 630; freedom of citizen from banishment, Committee for Industrial Organization v. Hague. D.C.N.J., 25 F. Supp. 127, 141; freedom of conscience, Gobitis v. Minersville School Dist., D.C.Pa., 21 F.Supp. 581, 584, 587; freedom of contract, State ex rel. Hamby v. Cummings, 166 Tenn. 460, 63 S.W.2d 515; State v. Henry, 37 N.M. 536 25 P.2d 204; freedom locomotion or movement, Committee for Industrial Organization v. Hague, D.C.N.J., 25 F.Supp. 127, 131, 141; freedom of occupation, Koos v. Saunders, 349 Ill. 442, 182 N.E. 415, 418; freedom of press, Commonwealth v. Nichols, 301 Mass. 584, 18 N.E. 2d 166, 167; Near v. State of

Minnesota ex rel. Olson, 283 U.S. 697, 51 S.Ct. 625, 628, 75 L.Ed. 1357; freedom of religion, Gabrielli v. Knickerbockers, 12 Cal.2d. 85, 82 P.2d 391, 393; Hamilton v. City of Montrose, 109 Colo. 228, 124 P.2d 757, 759; Cantewell v. State of Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296, 60 S.Ct. 900, 903, 84 L.Ed. 1213; freedom of speech, Ghadiali v. Delaware State medical Soc., D.C. Del., 28 F. Supp. 841, 844; Carpenters and Joiners Union of America, local No. 213, v. Ritter's café, 315 U.S. 722, 62 S.Ct. 807, 86 L.Ed. 1143. It also embraced right of self-defense against unlawful violence; right to acquire and enjoy property; right to acquire useful knowledge; right to carry on business, Mlle. Reif, Inc., v. Randau, 166 Misc. 247, 1 N.Y.S. 2d 515, 518, right to earn livelihood in any lawful calling; right to emigrate, and if a citizen, to return, Committee for Industrial Organization v. Hague, D.C.N.J., 25 F. Supp. 127, 141; right to engage in a lawful business, to determine the price of one's labor, and to fix the hours when one's place of business shall be kept open, State Board of Bar Examiners v. Cloud, 220 Ind. 552, 44 N.E.2d 972, 980; right to enjoy to the fullest extent the privileges and immunities given or assured by law to people living within the country, McGrew v. Industrial Commission, 96 Utah 203, 85 P.2d 608, 611; right to forswear allegiance and expatriate ones self, Committee for Industrial Organization v. Hague, D.C.J.J., 25 F. Supp. 127, 141; right to freely buy and sell as others may; right to live and work where one will, People v. Wood, 151 Misc. 66, 272 N.Y.S.2d 258; right to marry and have a family, Committee for Industrial Organization v. Hague, D.C. N.J., 25 F. Supp. 127, 141; Rosenblum v. Rosenblum, 181 Misc. 78, 42 N.J.S. 2d 626, 630; right to pursue chosen calling, people v. Cohen, 255 App. Div. 485, 8 N.Y.S.2d 70, 72; right to use property according to owner's will. Liberty, in its positive side, denotes the fullness of individual existence... "Liberty" as used in the state and federal constitutions means, in a negative sense, freedom from restraint, but in a positive sense, it involves the idea of freedom secured by the imposition of restraint, and it is in the positive sense that the state, in the exercise of its police powers, promotes the freedom of all by the imposition upon particular persons of restraints which are deemed necessary for the general welfare. *Fitzsimmons v. New York State Athletic Commission, Sup., 146 N.Y.S. 117, 121*. See: Civil Liberty, Liberty of a port, Liberty of conscience, Liberty of speech, Liberty of the globe, Liberty of the press, *Liberty to hold pleas* (The Liberty of having a court of one's own), Natural Liberty (law of nature), personal Liberty, Political Liberty, Religious Liberty. The occult acknowledges 'gods,' 'angels,' 'demons,' 'over-souls,' and its ancient history, through symbols common to all 'religions.' The beings referred to as angels are identified in scriptural context, especially in their real context in the Companion Bible, New Testament, interpolated for its foundation in "astro-theology."

Those free masons (*grand orient*) participating in defining the 'general welfare,' embodied in the Constitution, specified "creator of the universe." Anthropologic and archeological horizons, the various holy books, authoritative scriptures, actual documented sacred rituals, symbols, sacred geometry describe, depict and explain colonization of the planet now called Earth.

Those known as "Aryons" (Aryan: honorable lord of the soil) did not distinguish higher and lower forms of life, yet empirical scientists distinguish high status knowledge from unofficial low status knowledge of spiritism. The Torah, which most religious Jews *acclaim* as their Bible, is the basis of much of the Talmudic interpretation of how Jews should conduct themselves, in their dealings with other Jews and with *Non-Jews* (Goy nations). The speech encoding "*righteous*" means Talmudic "nations," distinguished from different and independent "wicked" nations. "Your country will be called unrighteous and will be destroyed if (1) it is a capitalist nation; (2) if it opposes Israel; and/or (3) if it does not embrace the above mentioned universal brotherhood... social adjustment, meaning largely racial adjustment... ushering in of the *millennium*." (*Cross reference: Millennium Development Goal*). Any dissent from aggressor and predatory state actions, such as collective punishment, limited genocide, racial cleansing, austerity, serial warfare, religious jihad, however consistent with 'god's perfect law of liberty,' may be mis-construed as "anti-Semitic" and prosecuted as a hate/bias crime under the ADL's status based group defamation legislative and legal sophistry. The Irish, Ireland, embracing the Druid were destroyed by *waves* of foreign *atonist* regimes committing genocide, holocaust, atrocity, controlled oppositions, intellectual plunder, treacherous deceptions of history and selfish colonization of the human minds for an enduring 'Masonic' agenda. (*Cross reference: Atonism (illuminism) of Egypt, brotherhood of the snake, black nobility, Masonry—teachers of the mysteries, 322 Skull & Bones motifs, Masonic—through me kings reign, Hyksos pharaohs, Zionism, sons of light, Lux, city of light, Scottish Rite Free Masonry, Free Masonry- Christian, Official & Esoteric tradition- Dialectic, Lucifer- son of the morning, holy crown, priests of the sun, red cross, dragon court, knights templar, Culdean monks, monastic orders [military/theocratic], new age*). The Masonic symbols are derived from the ancient Druids, and mutated into perversions of truth, servitude and 'political evils.' (*See: John*

Q. Adams). The Egyptian symbols are evidentiary of Masonic ‘understandings.’ (*See: ‘Dar’ tree of wisdom, ‘Rowan’ tree, ‘Osiris’ sacred tree, ‘men of the yew,’ ‘Druthin’ servant of truth*). Likewise the Maya priest’s symbols and rituals share common attributes and aspects of those ancient Druid arts and practices.

The false prosecutions executed under *status* and violent *potentiality* are subject to ‘God’s Perfect Law of Liberty,” e.g. prosecution of false witnesses, including the prosecutor(s). Collateral historical linkage is exemplified by, “The Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith in its bulletins, has claimed authorship of the teacher’ summer workshops, which are indoctrinated courses in *progressive education*, racial integration and Socialist and Internationalist concepts—the landmarks of the world Jewish revolution, which one must promote to be “righteous”... Zionists, launched Communism as a temporary, destructive “front,”... the fact that the Communists are suckers becomes increasingly evident... whereas the Zionists would destroy or Judaize only the religion of the non-Jews; and destroy the [wicked] nations...” (*See: The Ultimate World Order, R.H. Williams, p.29*). The Indigenous people of America and other continents, in the first instance, were “non-Jews.”

Other citations include, “Sacrosanct individual *freedom* under a *fair and just code* of laws, an *elected* king or chief and a representative government are substantiated by, Dr. Kingsley, lecturing at Oxford in the 1870s, told of “The laws of the Lombards” and other Teutonic tribes had the essentials of the modern Anglo-Saxon *constitution*, originated the jury trial by twelve men, guaranteed property rights, and the freedom of the individual. (King Rothar, A.D. 643). It was the Nordic tribes who originated the concept of freedom the individual and constitutional government; they had parliamentary government and courts of justice, and elected tribal chiefs, at the earliest stages of their known history.” (*Cross reference: old Gothic, Burgundian, Franco-salic, Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian laws, liberties of the Lombards*).

The Prussian system of education made use of elite class ‘universities,’ and ‘colleges.’ Community colleges are more centered on functional specialization of the under classes. (*Cross reference: Corporate Christians, Social Christians, Convicted Christians, , Red Dragon, Seth, Red Cross, Cult of Aton, Ameninist, Solar cult, Steller Cult, Set, High Priest, Davidic thrones, Viceroy, Lord, Nimrod, Exodus, Hyksos, isis ra el, free market theology, para-religious organization, religious corporation, City (Corporation) of London, indigenous power, surrogate power, Mary of Scots, Steward Kings, G20, Inner circle, Club of Rome, New Life Church*).

Secret societies, occult rituals, fraternities constantly use ancient speech and symbolic encoding, model under class paradigms, inclusive of the spell check in this computer software, purposefully omit and leave out key words and phrase important to occult practices and astro-theology.

High order abstract language, essentially lacking precise fixity and meaning, is common to learned consensus group think and dialogues. Free Market Theology, e.g. selling the “Christian *product*” and current faith based initiatives do not match the espoused fear and claim to the world ending in 2012. This fear based system of belief is espoused consensus of economists ‘buying in’ to the climate change crisis and protracting a sustainable ‘carbon cap and trade’ global economic order.

Selling the “*quality of life*” as a corporate product is embedded and codified in “ecosystem services,” e.g. stewardship contracting. Treatment of all life phenomenon as an article of commerce is a abrogation of ‘faith,’ especially in consideration of universal principles known to astro-theologists. The ‘law of universe’ is omitted from those archetypes re-created from Atlantis for application in ‘common law,’ e.g. “Religious Corporation.” (*Cross reference: Columbia, Atlantis, Heaven, Star Gate*). The over-state philosophy of “*World Law*” has been *synthesized* under the color of international *law and order*. The model global codes center on surrogate powers assumed by ‘institutions.’

It is important to comprehend that the “UNITED STATES CORPORATION”, its surrogate administrators of the United States District Court, In and For the District of Columbia, is copyrighted along with its USCs, and is a registered foreign corporation in England. The “departments” (DHS, USDI, USDA, USDOJ, CIA, FBI, NSA, EPA, BIA, DOC, etc) are subdivisions of the foreign registered corporation. (*Cross reference: Federal united States*).

The King of England is coronated as the “ruler of the world until the Messiah returns.” (*Cross reference: Star Gate*). The messiah is the “son of the house of David.” The “Jewish Utopia,” cherished by the Talmudic Jews, qualifies, “Messiah, the ideal righteous one, will come from the East... He will be a descendant of the house of David, who was bright as the sun... There will be seven groups of righteous... present socialism in a friendly light...” The ICOs (collaborating institutions) are authenticated for participation at the *inner circle’s subset* ‘round tables.’ (*Cross reference: Inner clique, Sheehan Document, Rep. Sheehan, 8-5-57, HAARP (ARCO, ARCON)*). The meaning of “Utopia,” is “no place.”

“Inclusion,” “Full spectrum dominance,” the driving doctrines of “Invasion of assumed wrong by absolute assumed right,” “all acts are prohibited unless otherwise authorized” are born from the theology of *Aminu dominandi*, which may be interpreted as absolute power over “attributes of life’ and “aspects of society” (Blair) as exhibited by, “*Unam Sanctam*... as the primary document of the *popes* claiming their global *power*... that is absolutely necessary for *salvation* that every human creature be subject to the Roman Pontiff... it is the largest trust ever conceived, as it claims the whole planet and everything on it, conveyed in trust.” “In 1302 Pope Boniface issued... Papal Bull Unam Sanctam—the first Express Trust... claimed control... which made him “King of the world.” (*Cross reference: Cult of Cybele*). It is essential to note, the natural sovereign superlative degree of human personality is acknowledged by the sovereign King, votes of state, psychology, and grace of the creator, e.g. “personal salvation.” This was followed by the ‘*immutable promise*’ of legal counsel not to abandon their ‘client,’ leaving each without an ‘*affirmative defense*’ before the BAR Court.

The 1st Testamentary Trust was created by Pope Nicholas V in 1455 through the Papal Bull Romanus Pontifex. Land was conveyed as *Real Property*, and claimed as “crown land.” The 2nd Crown of the Commonwealth was created in 1481, meaning “*Eternal Crown*,” by Sixtus IV. This created the “Crown of Aragon,” later cited as the Crown of Spain... the highest steward of all Roman Slaves subject to the rule of the Roman Pontiff. Steward = stand-in. The crown was transferred to King James I of England by Pope Paul V, e.g. the “Union of Crowns,” or Commonwealth... later to be returned to Spain and King Carlos I, where it remains. The 3rd Crown of the Ecclesiastical See was created in 1537 by Paul III through the papal bull Convocation, opening the Council of Trent. The creation of the 1st Cestui Que Vie Act of 1540 [updated by Charles II, CQV Act of 1666] was used as the basis of Ecclesiastical *authority* of Henry VIII. This crown was secretly granted to England, e.g. “reaping” of lost souls. The crown was lost in 1816, e.g. bankruptcy of England, and granted to the **Temple BAR**.

The BAR Associations are responsible for administering the “reaping” of the souls, including the registration and collection of Baptismal certificates... collected and possessed by the Vatican. The birth parents grant of the *baptismal certificate* gives *title* to the soul—to the church *Registrar*. Title to the soul is misused by the BAR to enforce Maritime law.

The intrinsic being and extrinsic personality become an *article of commerce*, e.g. a product claimed as property. The church, by doing so, confesses its dogma to be grounded upon corporate/commercial law. This confession is a **major contradiction** to the precept that a church is distinguished by ‘two or more souls gathered to express gratitude to the creator of the universe’ for life, and the creator’s *grace*. There is a duplicity between the ‘*mystery*’ and ‘great deception.’ This duplicity is not born from the truth as a means of preserving freedom, the self will to distinguish *preeminent moral leadership* from common *fundamental public policy*, e.g. *ambient standard* of the masses. The *confusion of rights*, hereditary succession, right of soil and blood are thwarted, interfered with, obstructed, and destroyed by a hyphen (-) in name changes. These abstractions range from an ordered socio-economic society, e.g. Native-American, Afro-American, Asian-American, black-American, to the feminist supremacy movement, espoused and encouraged, hyphenated names pursuant to *common law unions*, marriages, etc. (*Cross reference: Identity cloning, inclusionary rezoning, aggregate re-allocation, proportional democracy*). The mere “civil union” does not constitute a lawful name change, or basis for establishing treaty rights. In the 1800, the Lincoln administration invoked the “marriage license” to prevent inter race marriage. There were no states or IRA tribal business committees or councils during the treaty signings. The invading religious and political aristocracy has purposefully protected their “name sakes.” The ‘gay rights’ secular political movement has had a negative and reckless affect on Indian country and the hereditary and natural heir ship of indigenous families. The omission of “white American” is clearly absent from the social media “conversation” in the context of hyphenated names.

All Cestui Quae (Vie) Trusts are created on presumption, based on original purpose and function, such a Trust cannot be created if these presumptions can be proven not to exist. Since 1933, when a child is borne in a state (Estate) under inferior Roman law... the Trusts [in the form of Registry, Corporate name of the Person] are designed to deny, forever, the child any rights of Real Property, and Rights to be free, and any Rights to be known as man or woman. From the Papal Bull of Roman Cult leader Pope Paul III, 1540, the soul of the baby, by Baptismal Certificate is conveyed to a "3rd" CQV Trust owned by Roman Cult... Since 1815, the 3rd Crown of the Roman Cult and 3rd CQV Trust, representing Ecclesiastical Property has been managed by the BAR as the reconstituted "Galla", e.g. Real Property (earth), Personal Property (body) and Ecclesiastical Property (soul) corresponding to the three forms of law available to BAR courts: corporate commercial law (judge is landlord), maritime and canon law (judge is the banker), and Talmudic law (judge is the priest). (See: spiritualeconomicsnow.net, *Court: Who's Who and What to say, Knowing Who You Are, 12-09-10*). The personal property known as the "body" becomes the "body social" when the original being, real human accepts the artifact identity labeled citizen. The terms resident, citizen, resident alien (*a lien*) are manipulated. This artifact person, whether a resident, alien, or "documented alien" is a *fiscal asset* belonging to the United States Corporation. A citizen is known as an "employee" of the United States Corporation. The officers of the court are known as "lawyers" are different from "attorneys," and "legal counsel." "Jury trial" is not "trial by jury." The court administrator, called 'your honor... judge' is traced to "referee." Referee is known as the god "Saturn," "lord of the rings."

"In the American political system, the federal government is separate, distinct, and foreign to the states of the union with respect to private international law. *State of Wisconsin v. Pelican Ins. Co.* 127 U.S. 265; 8 S.Ct. 1370; 32 L.Ed. 239 (1888); *Robinson v. Norato, R.I.*, 43 A.2d 467 (1945); *Salonen v. Farley*, 82 F. Supp. 25 (1949). Private International law, also known as the conflict of laws, deals with the rights of individuals when more than one government or government entity asserts jurisdiction. In that setting the federal government is a foreign government and the God-given rights of the individual take precedence. "By that law the several States and Governments spread over our globe, are considered as forming a society, not a NATION." *CHISHOLM, Ex'r. v. Georggia*, 2 Dall. 419; 1 L.Ed. 440 (1794).

The term "United States" ordinarily refers to the federal government and does not include the states of the union (10 U.S.C.S., § 2231(4). *History; Ancillary Laws and Directives, P. 19.*)

There are two types of citizenship in America – state citizenship and federal citizenship – and that the rights and privileges of one are not the same as the other. *Slaughter-House Cases*, 83 U.S. (16 Wall.) 36; 21 L.Ed. 394 (1873); *Tashiro v. Jordan*, 201 Cal. 236 (1927); *Jones v. Temmer*, 829 F. Supp. 1226 (1993); *Crosse v. Board of Supervisors of Elections*, 221 A.2d 431 (1966). State citizenship is equated with national citizenship (*Milvaine v. Cox's lessee*, 8 U.S. 279 (1804).

In a case dealing with banking, the U.S. Supreme Court stated that state citizenship is the fundamental citizenship in America and the "right to carry out an incident to a trade, business or calling such as the deposit of money in banks is not a privilege of national citizenship." *Madden v. Kentucky*, 309 U.S. 83; 84 L.Ed. 590 (1940).

"A Person may cease to be a citizen of one country, without becoming a citizen of any other." *Caignet v. Pettit*, 2 U.S. 234; 2 Dall. 234; 1 L.Ed. 362 (1795). "One may be a citizen of one state and a resident in another state. *Sharon v. Hill*, 26 F. 337 (1885); *Jeffcott v. Donovan*, 135 F.2nd 213 (1943).

States can only be sovereign in a particular area of land. See: *Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co. v. Chambers*, 73 Ohio St. 16; 76 N.E. 91; 11 L.R.A., N.S., 1012 (1905); The legal definition of "United States" has changed every time a territory of the United States has become a sovereign state of the union." See *Alaska Omnibus Act, P.L. 86-70, 73 Stat. 141; Hawaii Omnibus Act, P.L. 86-624, 74 Stat. 411.*

"All powers which properly appertain to sovereignty, which have not been delegated to the federal government, belong to the state and the people." *The Mayor, &c., of New Orleans v. United States*, 35 U.S. 662; 10 Pet; 9 L.Ed. 573 (1836).

The states of the union are not in the United States and are not under the jurisdiction of the United States government. See *Ellis v. United States*, 206 U.S. 246; 27 S.Ct. 600 (1907).

“...the administrative laws of the United States have no inherent or plenary authority within the fifty sovereign states of the union. *O’Donoghue v. United States*, 289 U.S. 516; 53 S.Ct. 740 (1933).

The United States Constitution at Article 4, sub-section 4 requires all states of the union to have a republican form of government where the rights of the individual are supreme and the first responsibility of the government is to protect those rights.” The term “state” denotes an independent political society equivalent to a “kingdom,” or ‘empire.” 8 U.S.C., sub-section 1401, Notes. The principle of *inclusio unius est exclusio alterius* is ‘where a statute enumerates and specifics the subjects or things upon which it is to operate, it is to be construed as excluding from its effect all those not of like kind or classification as those enumerated. *Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, (1990) p. 763, p. 581; Words and Phrases, Vol. 20A, p. 161; Page v. Allen, 58 Pa. 338; 98 Am.Dec. 272 (1868); State ex rel. Jensen v. Sestric, 216 S.W. 2d 152 (1948).*

Tom Paine, in describing the purpose of the Constitutional Convention of 1787, Virginia delegate Edmund Randolph commented: “The general object was to provide a cure for the evils under which the United States labored... in tracing evils... found it in the turbulence and follies of democracy.” John Adams warned, “Remember, democracy never last long. It soon wastes, exhausts, and murders itself.” Chief Justice of the Supreme Court (1801-1835) observed, “Between a balanced republic and a democracy, the difference is like that between order and chaos.” Charles Austin Beard (1874-1948) put it succinctly: At no time, at no place in solemn convention assembled, through no chosen agents, had the American people officially proclaimed the United States to be a Democracy. The Constitution did not contain the word or any word lending countenance to it...” John Adams articulated, “You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great Legislator of the Universe.”

“A republic has just enough power to carry out its proper functions, but is otherwise **limited, inhibited and restricted.**” Power is decentralized, divided, and regulated by an elaborate system of checks and balances... free and open elections, trial by jury, and armed citizenry. The law is neutral. No one is exempt; everyone is equal before it. All are held fully accountable to an injured party. John Adams commented on ‘why not a democracy,’ “Passions are the same in all men, under all forms of simple government, and when unchecked, produce the same effects of fraud, violence and cruelty.” James Madison, father of the Constitution, Federalist No. 10, “In a pure democracy, there is nothing to check the inducements to sacrifice the weaker part or an obnoxious individual. Hence... democracies are spectacles of turbulence and contention... found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property... as they have been violent in their deaths.” Even Plato warned, “as a rule, tyranny arises from democracy. The confusion of the two forms, republic and democracy, are purposeful. Wide spread use of the word democracy began with the Woodrow Wilson administration in 1912. Thus came the ‘income tax’ and the ‘federal reserve’ corporation by amendment to the Constitution.

The UN Millennium Development Goals, Agenda 21: ICLEI, the market driven standards (solutions) of the International Organizations for Standardization (ISO), its Environmental Management Systems (EMS) are codified in various parallel authorities and the dynamics of Free Market Theology, e.g. sustainable development. The real humans are subjugated to a corporate identity, economic planning (channeling), at the functional specialization scale of community regimes. The ‘persons’ (citizens, residents) are categorized through ‘aggregate re-allocation,’ ‘inclusionary rezoning,’ and are deemed ‘users,’ ordered under particularistic arrangements and universal regulations. The indigenous people were demonized as inferiors, to rationalize the taking of their ‘land,’ ‘resources,’ ‘natural assets,’ and themselves as ‘human resources,’ (goyim).

Their indigenous, real human and natural being status abided by natural law, and this meant that the presumptions of the Cestui Quae (Vie) could not be proven by the three forms of law to exist. The savages, were told they do not have a “soul.” The indigenous people participated in **treaty covenant** signings, not as conquered people or capitulated under **articles of surrender**. In simplistic terms, who holds “Custer’s’ Army combat flag, as a device of England, the **empire state** obedient to the Pope’s Papal bulls? The indigenous people have not abrogated their natural hereditary ways of **life**,

land, and the *liberty* of spiritual personality. The “Declaration by indigenous People” specifies the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land,” etc, and is being signed by free will, all rights reserved, without prejudice by all indigenous people.

The IRA systemlawyers are foreign agents, *bothers in the bond*, members of the BAR, operating under *titles of nobility* (ESQ), and have failed to convince truthful people, because their representations for indigenous ‘peoples’ are likely to constitute *misprisions of perjury*, e.g. failure to disclose the pledges and obedience to the Papal bulls and subordinated codes, regulations and ethical canons born from Church law, Roman Cannon law, Old testament Law, International law (Innovative law) and corporate commercial law, and alien instruments created by the BAR *executives*. (*Cross reference: indigenous world view, Indigenous identity Theft*). Natural LaKota 7 Fires Council Chiefs (Bald Eagle, He Crow, 12-2011) have substantiated that many “Indians” were forced to acquiesce to *codified* marriages; and their parents *granting* “title to the soul” (corporate name) visa vi *BaptismalCertificates* to the church *registrar*. The giving of an “eagle plume” is *actuality* to the natural Lakota people. The ‘child,’ as well as ‘adult’ men and women, were not afforded legal counsel prior to execution of these *manifest takings*, e.g. *Indigenous identity Theft* (Blair, 2011). This is a major contradiction to “God’s Perfect Law of Liberty” as cited in the authority of Bible scripture. The parallel consciousness, and purposeful collaborations link “*title to the soul*” (ecclesiastical trust law) to the United Nations eternal (trans-national religious corporate organization) Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, granting power to colonial states to ‘adjudicate’ protection of “spiritual property.” *Title to the soul* directly infers property and ownership under the Crown.

The “Holy see” (second personality) is cited in the collaborations on the UN declaration Human Rights Commission report. (Latin Sedes for seat/see, Sacrorum for holy) otherwise known as Santa Sede and the “SS” also known in English as “Holy see” refers to the legal apparatus as a whole by which the Roman Catholic Pope and its Curia of Bishops claim historical recognition as a sovereign entity with superior legal rights. (*One-Evil.org*). The Magna Carta (1215) set off several charters and letters by *nobles*, and some had the legal effect of placing the property of the church “under” a sovereign, therefore at risk of seizure when a powerful bishop died. The concept of the legal personality, e.g. Holy see, that existed prior to a bishop and continued on after a bishop died was a way of overcoming this threat. (*Cross reference: religious corporation, faith based organization, para-religious organization*). The Catholic Church uses two legal personalities with which to conduct its international affairs: the first is as an International State known as the Vatican City State, to which the Pope is the Head of Government. The second is as the supreme legal personality above all other legal personalities by which all property and “creatures” are subjects.

Absolute power is embedded in global *manifest destiny* and *eminent domain* granted to *interdependent* foreign agents. *Multi-specialization* is used to co-operate particularistic arrangements and universal regulations. (*Cross reference: Capstone: interoperability*). The Internal Revenue Service provides for “religious corporations” and “stakeholders.” The “13 International Indigenous Grand Mothers” are subject to the conditions of their hard individual contracts under the “Center for Sacred Studies,” and its affiliates, a foundation incorporated as a “church,” in the State of California, USA. The official religion of the UNITED STATES is “Judeo/Christian,” as *spoken* by the UNITED STATES CORPORATION, President (CEO) Bush, speaking as the “minister of god.” Congress is prohibited from making laws, statutes, regulations effecting religion. The 9th amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America specifies reserved *inalienable rights*. These rights go directly to “*fundamental rights*.” The creation of IRS codes, the personified president’s words, are violations of the will of the people expressed via ‘Congress.’ (*Cross reference: Unalienable rights, Declaration by indigenous People, 2010*). The constitution *for* (of) the *united States* of America has an embedded *paradox* within it, e.g. the “Bill of rights,” Constitutional amendments 1-10. The foundational principles that Madison expressed in drafting the ‘*constitution*’ were purposeful in protecting ‘*property*’ as an operative liberty, possession and *article of commerce*. (*Cross reference: Primacy of Property, Primacy of Environment, organic constitution, article of confederation*). The concept of hiership embraced in the Vatican’s “second personality” (Holy see) would follow the Bishops, the Nobles, their rank and file to the “*modern era*.” Whether by collusion, conspiracy, or *conscious parallel* the establishment of the *modern nation state* (estate) as corporations in fact, are linked from the *second personality* (artificial persons) through the frameworks (old Egypt, new Atlantis, Hyksos, Antonists, Papal bulls, treaties, conventions, particularistic arrangements, surrogate powers, universalized codes, social contracts, trusts, charters, articles of incorporation) accepted in faith among the over-souls,

nobilities, contingency managers and subordinate *laymen* (general public). The “Institute for Works of Religion (IOR) is the Holy see’s financial institution. IOR was founded by Pope Pius XII in 1942. The IOR account is characterized as a “sweeping facility,” emptied out at the end of each day. During the period of the WWII the Vatican (Rome Catholic Church) had established ties with the National Socialist Nazi party, and engaged in operation “paperclip.” (See: Rat line).

This encompasses tax sheltered / excluded / exempted ‘not-for-profit’ (non-profit) *entities*. While the secular political ideology of collaborative governance travels under a false flags of *humanism* and *participatory democracy*, the humanist directorates confess their dogma and doctrine as a *religion*, exhibited by co-mingling “spiritual ecology” and “enterprise environmentalism.” (*cross reference: proof of concept, market driven solutions, values neutral, corporate/social/convicted Christians, Gaia worship*). The chief executive officers of the federal united States, through gradualism, demonstrate their ambition for power through *executive orders, signing statements*, and unitary prosecutions of serial warfare engagements and delegations of extra-judicial killing, e.g. *Abrams v. United States*, “clear and present danger.” The chief executive officer merely exercises a arbitrary *prerogative*. The *mutualism* factor is the power to *pardon* an offense and *commute* a death sentence. *Failing state* monetary systems and foreign and domestic credit defaults are intentional and purposeful. As mitigation measures fail, including derivatives speculation, structured conflicts and controlled oppositions advance to the “jihad” level to rationalize the use of the capstone doctrines: limited genocide, holocaust, synthetic/state terrorism, austerity, torture, cruelty, deceptive public perception management campaigns (D5E military protocols: psyops, propaganda, **Propagating the faith**). Representative forms of government yield to *unitary powers* of deciders, e.g. Czars, Secretariates. (*Cross reference: seigniorage*.)]

Attachment II to:
Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud
“Matters of Liberty”

Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs
William Blair, Auditor Directorate
Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs
Rev. 191717/0412

_____ [a] **“Indigenous Worldview.”**

[Auditor’s Notation: The initiation of the speech encoding **“indigenous worldview”** is significant in terms of linguistics, academics and integration with **‘static sustainable systems.’** The global **ambient** valuations and institutional frameworks are exemplified by, “people of the land... International Forum of Indigenous Peoples... Kamawak Foundation... share the truth and hope that springs from the aboriginal worldview... they will do so by using cutting-edge technology... the necessity for **sustainable development... reformers...** captures the spirit of the event... **forestry sustainability...** experts...lawyer... a traditional village constructed in Pau’s Beaumont park... several **totems** to be erected **for the occasion...** No body listens to indigenous people; business takes over...” (See: *Indian News Today, Indigenous forum blends old and new, Estar Holmes, 05-29-06*).

The pre-colonial indigenous archeological horizons illustrate specific bio-cultural niche **oscillations**. Primacy of environment, e.g. ecological services, human perceptions and response, were not primarily **subject to shareholder values of global externalities on a static basis**. Sustainable development and forestry sustainability are specifically conceptualized, **rationalized**, formatted and implemented economic command models, bearing those embedded aspects of ‘property organized as a corporation,’ e.g. primacy of property.

The creator and creation, e.g. intelligent design, held attributes of life as superior covenants, while aspects of society were subject to particularistic arrangements. There were no indigenous spiritual cognitions, depicted by totems that were dedicated to primacy of property: ‘sustainable development’ or ‘forestry sustainability.’ Although several sophistries have been applied to marketing features of corporately managed tribal gambling, e.g. ‘spirit [fill-in the blank] casino,’ it is clear, “business takes over.” Theme ‘parks’ are attractive and useful in various socio-political contexts, yet are considered intrusive by many indigenous peoples whose reality is centered on primacy of environment.

The indigenous peoples have been subjugated to ‘supervised civil rights’ as social contracts, affiliations and concepts. The indigenous sovereigns are covenant based (primacy of environment) and academic institutional inventions are exemplified by, “a groundbreaking, in depth, and informative two-year theme on indigenous Peoples... theme of inquiry... changing conception of *citizenship*... global *citizenship*... international social movements... anthropologies... cultures and political issues... importance of Native culture... traditional peoples... traditional medicines... ways of indigenous peoples... Tribes... American Indians on cultural, educational, legal and governmental issues... Indian law professor... Decolonization... indigenous people’s rights... treaty rights... Tribes as Trustees... Emerging Tribal Role in the Global Conservation Movement... an invitation-only workshop on current issues... Many Nations... land and its resources as a constant natural asset... available... forever... establishment of conservation easements and land trusts... tribes have been purchasing conservation easements... ethnic and environmental nongovernmental organizations... Rome-based Society for International Development (SID)... Stanford... New York University... University of Pittsburg... birth right citizenship (free man omitted)... unions and democracy... (*See: Morse INDEPENDENT, University of Oregon Wayne Morse Center for Law and Politics, Knight Law Center, Democracy and Citizenship, A Theme to Remember, Fair Trade Symp Council resolution 6/36, the mechanism shall consist of... independent experts, the selection of which... Indigenous Peoples Theme Offered Lessons to Live By, Mary Wood Morse Centerresident Scholar, 2007*). Linkage includes, “Department of Anthropology, City of Eugene Human Rights Commission, Civil Liberties Defense Center, Constitutional Law Section of the Oregon State BAR, Environmental Law Alliance Worldwide, gender, Families, and Immigration in Oregon, and UO MEChA.

The indigenous superlative natural personality is an attribute of life intrinsic to a special place, not a mere socially integrated corporate identification, e.g. codified legislative categories. There is an appearance of the application of *behavior conformity* and *tractibility* in linking forestry sustainability to the “spirit of the event,” given that under the adopted Ecosystem Management Approach (sustainable development), spiritual cognition is treated as ‘low status knowledge.’ (*Cross reference: Enterprise Environmentalism: spiritual ecology, institutional normative rationale, law arbitrary, free market theology*). The indigenous sovereigns are now subjugated to *articles of incorporation* (cartelization) under resolutions and “expert mechanisms” as exhibited by, “As stipulated in Council resolution 6/36, the mechanism shall consist of five independent experts, the selection of which *shall be carried out in accordance with* the procedures established in paragraphs 39 to 53 of the annex to Council *resolution* 5/1 of 18 June 20. The independent experts to be appointed should therefore *comply* with the *technical and objective requirements* for eligible candidates, approved by the Human Rights Council in *its decision* 6/102 of 27 September 2007... the Council will give *due regard* to experts of indigenous origin... as required by paragraph 42 of the *annex* to Council resolution 5/1, the following entities may nominate candidates to the expert mechanism on the rights of indigenous peoples: (a) *Governments*, (b) *Regional Groups* operating within the United Nations human rights *system*, (c) *international organizations* or their offices (e.g. the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, (d) *non-governmental organizations*, (e) other human rights *bodies*, and (f) *individual* nominations...the Secretariat “may provide a standardized form on the basis of the technical and *objective* requirements stipulated below, for candidates to fill in, and shall allow for highlighting any expertise... consultative group to be able to review all applications and to provide a list of candidates to the President of the Human Rights Council... Council avails itself of this opportunity to present to all Permanent Missions... international organizations or their offices, national institutions, non-governmental organizations, and other human rights bodies, the assurances of its highest consideration.” (*See United Nations Office At Geneva, High Commissioner for Human Rights, signed and dated Geneva, 26 February 2008, Under the UN occult olive branch symbol*).

None of these ICO institutionalized normative prerogatives subdue the “principle of discovery” (Sacred War) stemming from the Papal bull *Inter Caetera* (Holy see of the Vatican) issued in 1493, the Supreme (political) Court’s (U.S. Inc.) *common law* precedent in the Johnson v. M’Intosh *ruling*. The force and effect, adjudicated by British Accredited Registry (BAR)lawyers, doctors of jurist prudence, judges, continued through *assigned false rights* (Siegnoirage) assigned pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act, 1934, to sub-department ‘Indian tribes’ incorporated as ‘business committees,’ whose co-operation was subject to the approval of the USDI Under Secretary of Indian Affairs (*Cross reference: federal/state legal applications: Domestic dependent nations, misprisions of perjury, misprisions of treason*). These instruments subscribe to the practice of agency ‘takings,’ e.g. ‘*Evictions*’ warrants issued on indigenous ‘*tenants*’ from *reservation* allotted

residences, and HUD housing. (See: Infraspect, demonstration case file, Washoe, Nevada, 2008-9-10).

The “tribe,” as a incorporated business committee & divisions, practice “*takings*”, e.g. *eminent domain* under the proscribed *constitutional limitations*. The taking are masked in ‘Indian trust doctrine,’ public trust doctrine and financial ‘derivatives speculation’ encoded: ecosystem services, cap & trade, *exchanges*, off sets, settlements, conservation easements, stewardship contracting, proof of concept, and swaps, inclusionary rezones: sacred sites. The Catholic Church holds ‘sanctuaries’ within the greater indigenous dominium. These are parts of the Vatican state, and are free from prosecution. It is noteworthy that the Catholic, Protestant, Jewish congregations are allowed ‘para-religious organization’ operations without being labeled or listed by the FBI as cults. Aminus dominandi (from behind the Vatican) is the *cornerstone* of the papal’s secular *old testament precept* of “manifest destiny” (Promised Land; land not owned by the King, grants to Princes). This doctrine is not of Liberty (Spiritual Life of Liberty), because it is not of the ‘natural Spirit.’ (*Cross reference: primacy of environment, primacy of property; indigenous powers, surrogate powers, Occult, over-soul, Protocols of Zion, Jesuit Oath, house of Aton, Solar cult, Steller Cult, Christian Cult of Little Fishes, AIRFA 95-341, high priests, Washo Declaration of Sacred estates Held in the Land, Declaration by indigenous People, Free Market Theology, UN Millennium Declaration, Globally Integrated Enterprise, Crusades, Synarchists International, Committee of 300, Freeman, Alien, resident, Citizen, Stateless, Nationality, Person, Human resource, goyim, Goy nations, Free Masonry, God’s Perfect Law of Liberty, salvation, transformation, world spirit, world mind, UN: spiritual property*).

The appearance of *discernment* is subject to an ordered effect in that the Catholic *Roman* church is one of ‘faith,’ while the ‘Christians’ are of a *religion*. The UN has protracted settling ‘differences among religions.’ Under sub-department authorities *inclusionary rezoning* (USFS, BLM, NPS, and all other ‘mission agencies’) specifies “sacred sites,” collaboratively decided upon, e.g. ‘mitigation.’ Access to sacred sites is institutionally regulated, whereas the IRA sub-department officials determine who, what, why and when.

In the Washoe lake Tahoe case file demonstration, in agreement with the tribe’s agency, *tribal enrolled members* may visit a *sacred site*, without paying the FED security bond currency, but must bear a *stamp mark* on the individuals hand as a *condition* of entry and occupancy to the site in accordance with ‘fundamental public policy,’ including particularistic arrangements with the ‘tribal agency.’ The General Court in 1652, “ordered the first metallic currency for the English Americans. (Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th ed., p. 1419.

The Washoe Hunting and Fishing Code, provides for *special practitioner qualifications* and institutional approval for cultural/traditional gathering arts in ancestral family places. The present and alleged temporary tribal hunting and fishing code and its *operational goal value priority of trophy* (tribal/state compact), and subsistence/ceremonial use is *up side down*. The propose reconciliation draft (2010) by Washo Art George was met with *extreme prejudice* by tribal appointed officials. (*See: Infraspect, case file, Art George, proposed draft Washoe Tribal Hunting and Fishing Code, 11-2011*). The American Indian Religious Freedom Act, P.L. 95-341, and the United Nations ‘*eternal*’ (ICO religious corporation), its Declaration *on* the Rights of Indigenous *Peoples* substantiates the placement of *natural spirit under religion*, in the context of *society (shared vision)*. The treaties (compacts, conventions) with Indians (tribes) are interpreted as contracts in for the purposes of Johnson v. M’Intosh, being adjudication and enforcement of the King’s divine dogma e.g. ‘doctrines of conquest, discovery, and manifest destiny.’

‘Individual’ is omitted from the final assurances. The *consultative group filters and screens candidates*. The speech encoding “expert mechanism” is a high order abstraction and goes to *high status knowledge*. Objective is a philosophy and is a key element in collaborative formal consensus group decision making processes. Under this communitarian persuasion the participant is psychologically separated from the community as a problem, and becomes a decision maker, partner, stakeholder, or facilitator of the inner circle’s goal value priority and operational goal value priority. Nomination, Selection and Appointment of the Independent experts is further substantiated by, “The *subsidiary* expert mechanism is to provide the Council with *thematic expertise* on the rights of the indigenous peoples in the manner and form requested *by the Council*... The independent experts *shall be appointed* for a three-year period and *may be re-elected* for one additional period... 5/1 Annex, the following general criteria will be of *paramount importance*

while nominating, selecting and appointing *mandate-holders*: (a) expertise; (b) experience in the field of the mandate; (c) independence; (d) impartiality; (e) personal integrity; and (f) objectivity... Due consideration *should be* given to *gender balance* and equitable geographic representation, as well as an *appropriate* representation of different legal systems... Eligible candidates are highly qualified individuals who possess established competence, relevant expertise and extensive professional experience in the field of human rights..." (*See: Office of the United Nations High Commission for Human Rights, Expert mechanism on the rights of indigenous peoples, Nomination, Selection and Appointment of the Independent experts, Establishment of the Expert mechanism on the rights of indigenous peoples, © OHCHR 1996-2007*).

The establishment of a *global franchise* for the "*legal market place*" is exhibited by, "A law professor known for his studies of the legal profession is predicting a "new hierarchy" of law schools...based on educational quality and connection to the legal profession rather than student credentials... law firms are competing for market share... need to be... *collaborative*... interested in contributing to the *collective welfare* of the law firm... attributes needed for success in the 'new normal' legal economy..." (*See: Law Schools, News, ABA, Law Prof Predicts a 'New Hierarchy' of Law Schools, Debra Cassens Weiss, 11-15-10*). The law schools, their *doctors of jurist prudence*, are *authenticated* and certificated according to the British Accredited Registry (BAR) and various *fraternities*. The BAR lawyers 'admitted to practice' means the [lawyers] are not Americans anymore but agents of a foreign power... [claiming] a license to practice 'law'... as a matter of fact there is no such thing as a license to practice law... no authorization for the "license to practice law" exists anywhere... a fiction that exists only on paper..." (*Cross reference: Crown Temple of London, England, Immutable promise, Skull & Bones*). "Once an attorney takes a case the attorney is the holder of the account for the case. This means, under Public Law 73-10 wherein all crimes are commercial crimes, and under Public Policy, that the attorney of record must adjust the account to offset the liability for closure and settlement." (*See: Open Letter to Agents of the Crown*).

The corporate partnership of common vested commercial interests among law schools and firms is illustrated by, "... a powerful tool to connect with legal employers... *tapping into the expertise* of faculty, prominent alumni and recent law school graduates, we build a competency model that strengthens relationships and gains the buy-in of all stakeholders." "Foundational Metrics... Organizational Success Study. Identifies the behaviors... Longitudinal Performance Study. Identifies the credentials... Selection & Induction Assessment. Benchmarks job candidates... Bright Spot Study. Extracts stories and experiences... toward leadership and mentorship... Talent Metric System (TMS™)... Assessment tools that measure *personality*..." (*See: internet website:lawyer Metrics, LLC*). The website product "User Agreement, is speech encoded for international users, substantiating the scope, intent and purpose of the LLC is international.

The expert mechanisms are drafted and discretely presented by BAR and UN lawyers (1) institutionally educated, (2) affiliated to BAR Associations, (3) accepted to practice, (4) licensed by the 'state' and (4) *authenticated* under the United Nations eternal criteria dictated by a limited access panel of the UN's Permanent Forum on Indigenous 'Peoples.' The agreement's confidentiality prevents the 'disclosers' and 'recipients' from discussing issues of importance from one independent indigenous person to another outside of the corporation's control. Exceptionalism is applied to this 'ethical code' when the academic institution operates as a knowledge thieftom while gathering expertise from enrolled student's test papers and thesis pertaining to various legal concentrations. The UN franchise on representation of indigenous peoples benefits from this apparatus.

The *expert mechanism* is a *weighted top down system* that *contravenes and supplants the right of leadership by people*. The doctrine of international law (commercial law, old testament law, Jewish law, maritime law, law of the sea), the influence of the *bothers in the bond* (legal fraternities, BAR associations, association of judges [IRS registration 508]), and *mis-presumption of existing corporate proxy* over representation of natural sovereign individuals are evidentiary. Since 1980 the BAR attorneys legally presume to "represent both sides of a case," e.g. the United States corporation (US sub-department- IRA tribal authorities) and sovereign indigenous natural personal political power of real humans.

When attorneys represent clients before a judge, the inference is that the person "is not of sound mind." (*Cross reference: monster*). The expert *mechanism* established by the United Nations

corporation (ICO) directly infers that the indigenous natural person is not capable of representing the appropriate globalization interests, e.g. ‘not of sound mind.’ (***Cross reference: definition of client, Obsessive Defiance Disorder, Process Psychology***). This subjective judicial rationale flies in the face of indigenous perpetual succession appearing in 1934 Congressional enabled tribal Constitutions, Charters, Articles of Incorporation, and by-laws, right of leadership and pro forma “standing” in any court of justice or tribunal. Not duly weighting this “standing” is a breach of constitutionally reserved individual rights, right to leadership, and goes to “group defamation” (ADL), harassment, hate/bias crime, and terrorism in fact.

The pattern method is designed to abridge and abrogate indigenous “sovereignty,” and collaterally reinforces the lose of people’s sovereignty in the *integral domains*. The ancestral and hereditary system of indigenous government is demonized, disenfranchised and criminalized pursuant to the *Crown* and *Devine Right of the King* being the ‘ruler of the world until the messiah returns,’ by the ritual of ‘coronation.’ (***Cross reference: Titles of Nobility, Papal bulls, Process***).

Professional experience is founded and controlled through the Prussian system of education that encompasses *behavioral conformity*. (***Cross reference: Tavistock Institute***). The corporate *systems of proxy* require degrees, certificates, licenses, permits, endorsements. This system is integrated into the modernized ‘law of learning.’ Those sovereign people subscribing to spiritual cognition as a priority source of their personality and personhood are said to have “low status knowledge.” The UN system of human rights, specifically the “expert mechanism,” has built in pretext, context, material and prejudicial errors. The system is constructed with a firewall that prevents open public records access pertaining to conflicts of interest and duty of the embedded select experts. (***Cross reference: ISO: Environmental Management System, EPA : Expert Judgment Elicitation, FSC and SFI certification, Collaborative Forestry Governance (Watershed Councils, Stewardship Groups) codified participation in NGO groups & authorities (Wyden (D-OR) legislation, Conservation Psychology***).

The State of Oregon, through the Governor Kitzhaber’s Deputy Counsel (1-3-01), defined Indians as “*domestic dependent nations*.” The “Indians” are subjugated to legislated ‘protected group status.’ Their individual personhood is corporatized by the assumption that the ‘tribal government’ can treat each and every one as an *enrolled member* of the tribal corporation, and distribute in holder shares, gratuities, stipends, and special citizen class benefits. The corporate members remain merely “*Tenants*” in their own ancestral places. The tribal government corporations claim superior collective right under force of law, as a *domestic corporation* under the United States Corporation.

The American Indian Movement” (AIM) was a *nativist* reaction to despotism and repetitious violations of Liberty suffered by Indigenous people. AIM personalities found common sentiments and purpose with ‘non-Indian’ dissenters as exemplified by, “AIM has, from its beginning, been closely aligned with Marxists who have pursued the classical Leninist strategy of dividing a target nation into splinter regions through a revolutionary strategy called War of National Liberation... identifying a religious, ethnic, or racial group... on the basis of some grievance... against the ruling class... plenty of legitimate grievances to draw upon... even to create new ones... The only manner in which American *Indian* people could participate in the Marxist revolution would be to join the industrial system... worker.. or proletarians... become industrialized... Means is calling for secession of the Lakota Indians from the United States and has appealed to that great collectivist assembly of the totalitarianism, the UN, for moral, legal, and possibly physical assistance.” (***See: Freedom Force International, Should We Support Russell Means and the Lakota Indians?, G. Griffin, 7-4-08***).

There is a curious and major contradiction in the proposition “calling for secession of the Lakota Indians from the United States.” Even under the rationale of Oregon’s governor, model articles of incorporation, the Lakota already exist under perpetual succession.

The *consensus* has moved forward. The *dialogue* and speech encoding “industrialized” has evolved under pressing economic circumstances to providing “ecosystem services” as primary “stewards” of the land under an unified “indigenous world vision,” to sustainably manage (CCX) the “global warming *crisis*,” and never ending associated *crisis*. Under Collaborative governance, stewardship contracting authorities, corporate property interests and services are established, specified and are treated as articles of commerce, subsequently paid for by ‘consumers,’ on a income and tax price basis. This is substantiated by “Proof of concept” which is the business plan for collaborative

governance: the stewardship network's political philosophy. The frame for institutionalized stewardship is embedded in indigenous communities as demonstrated by encoding language, e.g. "Climate change... representatives of indigenous coastal people gathered... Washington, D.C. for the First Stewards symposium... aimed at... unified voice... engage with governments, non-government agencies and others to help mitigate... climate change... recognition of the coastal indigenous people and their expertise... Makah tribal chairman, chairman of the First Stewards board of directors... design regional and national pathways... create strategies... fashioning tools. (see: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Fall 2012, First Stewards, Coastal Tribes Meet in D.C. on Climate Change, D. Preston). The tribes represented were Hoh, Makah, Quileute, Quinault Nation, hosted by the Smithsonian's National Museum of the American Indian. The Quinault treaty area, e.g. U.S. v. Washington, Civil No. 9213, did not include the Makah. The University of British Columbia, at Victoria, played a substantive part in the anthropological findings effecting the Makah, and subsequently the Quileute having over-lapping territorial interests. The academic institutions have pressed the co-mingling of the Canadian first Nations, with the Washington 'tribes' concurrent with the operational goal value priorities of the Pacific Salmon Treaty and its regional consensus strategies. The Pacific Salmon treaty proponents specified punishing U.S. based tribes that dissent from their inclusionary regional management 'consensus.' (Cross reference: Pacific Salmon Treaty). The underlying purpose of the First Stewards is fostering 'stewardship contracting' that reflects "ecosystem services" among governments, non-government "agencies" (private government organizations [corporations]), and 'others' to "mitigate" climate change. (Cross reference: CCX, CMX derivatives speculation). By and large the tribal 'expert judgment elicitation process' is governed and controlled by non-indigenous academic institutions. The First Stewards functions as a corporation, which as adopted the IPCC mandates—goals, objectives, agenda, issue development, fixed options and outcomes. (Cross reference: UN D.R.I.P.). The term "steward," and "stewardship" first appeared during the implementation plan of U.S. v. Washington. James Porter, Fisheries Enhancement Specialist, Quileute tribe, introduced the term, its concept, from Christian doctrine. Several tribal members of the Quileute, Hoh, Muckleshoot, Lummi Nation and Queets were signers on a declaration called the "Resolution of the North Coast People." (Translation Certificate: William Grubb). See: Northwest Subsistence Fisherman's Association, Information Compendium, co-chairman- Christian Penn, Sr., William Grubb. The "resolution," a declaration of principles not consistent with the IRA tribal 'negotiations' with fisheries resource "user groups," was delivered to the NWIFC, governor of Washington, US District Court, Western Washington District, Judge Bolt, Fisheries Advisory Board chairman- Dr. Whitney, PFMC, USDI (BIA), US President, UN Secretary General, tribal authorities by certified mail. The requirement of controversy was satisfied by the related statements that the tribes were being reformed as "ambiguous municipalities," covertly abrogating their status as sovereigns possessing true and ancient titles. The NWIFC chairman (2012) has caricatured "Treaty Rights are Civil Rights" coincidental to experiences with Dr. Martin Luther King, black civil rights advocate. Treaties are *covenants* involving the operations of the 'creator of the universe,' and as such stand outside of *social contracts* because they are matters of liberty and can not in absence of comprehension and 'acceptance' be enforced upon a sovereign people. The modern era tribal government ways, although partially subject to perpetual succession, are not necessarily "indigenous ways of adapting." *Consensus reality*, e.g. 'belief in management,' applied by tribal experts is repugnant to the actual cultural and traditional societal attributes of indigenous original beings, real humans. (Cross reference: IPCC white papers- mitigation failure, precautionary principle).

The United Nation's *resolution* declaring "on" the rights of indigenous peoples, conferring powers of *adjudication* to existing nation states is not complete, proper and is not law. It is important to comprehend that several states did not exist, as the *regions* were *territories* in adverse occupation (military outposts) under *war law*, thus the *adversarial interests* were fitting for a *treaty covenant benefit*, inseparable from the *operation* of the creator of the universe. (Cross reference: *Palestinian territories*). While the occupational military force operated under the authority of the *personified* "great white father" and ideal of *manifest destiny* (Cross reference: *Church law; British Empire*), the indigenous people expressed that the *creator of the universe* was present during the *ceremonial* signing of the *treaty covenant*.

The foreign government's intellectuals moved expeditiously to misconstrue the covenant as a *social contract* in order to further invade the indigenous peoples *indigenous dominium*. The purpose of government was misconstrued as that of "protection" that prevails to this day under flags of the militaries martial law displayed in "Tribal Courts." The paradox remains, primacy of environment and primacy of property, indigenous power distinguishable from surrogate powers. Indigenous

people demonstrated individual Liberty prior to contact with and invasion of various aliens, and their indigenous sovereign resiliency is institutionally treated as *resistance* and *insurgency* in military operating manuals (C2W) and is categorized in doctrines of terrorism written by aggressor states, in national industrial letters and UN corporation protocols.

The British Crown instructs the *Provinces* of Canada on matters of ‘*contracting authority*.’ Abrogation of First Nation treaties as covenants is exemplified by, “Province and first nations... signed an agreement... to pave the way for economic development in native communities in the area defined as the Great Bear Rainforest... a *framework* agreement... to devise a detailed shared-decision-making process for land use, carbon offsets, alternative energy and increase first nations participation in the regional ecotourism industry... new relationship with first nations... opportunities in the green economy... sharing carbon offsets with first nations.... Coastal First Nations Turning Point Initiative... will improve our way of life [Art Sterritt]... [George Abbott, provincial minister of *aboriginal* relations... agreement is being done in *parallel to treaty negotiations*.... Rick Jeffery, president of the Coast Forest Products Association... framework creates... our planning in a way that provides certainty.” (See: *Canada.com, Van Couver Sun, Six coastal first nations sign resource sharing deal, The province and first nations on the Central and North Coast signed an agreement Thursday intended to pave the way for economic development in Native communities in the area defined as the Great Bear Rainforest, depenner@vancouver.sun.com, CanWest mediaWorks Publication, Inc., 12-11-09*).

The method of abrogation is *gradualism* and *Delphi technique* applied to group dynamics. The media journalist is operative, constructive and the speech encoding is usual to ‘collaborative’ psyops. The framework agreement is a fake *preamble* designed to preempt the treaty *ratification* process. The particularistic arrangement (framework) is ‘parallel to treaty negotiations,’ not of treaty negotiations. The Great Bear Forest has spiritual significance as a sacred estate that was the *preamble* and *cause* of the “Great Bear.” It is self-evident that “spiritual property” was prostrated as a marketing strategy for *economic development*, according to market driven standards.

The decision making process is codified “collaborative governance,” enforcement of the inner circle’s group decision, among those seated at the table of *selected and limited deciders, partners and stakeholders*. The Crown has characterized, disenfranchised, criminalized and militarized as first nation warrior societies as “radicals,” “extremists,” and “terrorists.” (*Cross reference: British Army Manual, Counter-Insurgency Operations, C2W*). Any dissent from the alien institutional normative rationale and market driven standards is treated as ‘consensus blocking.’

The psychologists licensed by the foreign corporations, belonging to intellectual *fraternities* have invented the social theorem of “*obsessive defiance disorder*” to impeach the mental capacity of a targeted consensus blocker, dissenter, or protestor. Difference is predicated on mere difference and is commonly misconstrued as dispute in order to disenfranchise adverse expressions, contrary evidentiary facts and statements. “Improve our way of life,” given the decades of implementing RCMP enforced austerity programs on ‘aboriginal communities,’ is a high order abstraction, bearing fruits similar to the north American Indian “Casino Cultures.” (*Cross reference: SeaAlaska, Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act*).

The First Nations incorporate legal counselors will press the doctrine of sovereign immunity to veil non-aboriginal Eco-Plunder schemes, e.g. “Ecosystem Services,” Cap & trade, human Population, cap & trade, carbon offset sales, derivative futures speculation, under false themes of indigenous and aboriginal environmental respect and integrity. The global carbon foot print taxation will move forward *principles of consumption*, with typical tax shelters and omnibus escape clauses from scientific monitoring, enforcement and consequences for types of offense and offender. Corporately *Enrolled band* family members will be subjected to *depopulation carbon offset credit eugenics* for not having children under colors of “*family planning*.” (*Cross reference: Optimum Population Trust*).

The regional First Nations continue the *institutionalized policy* of *eminent domain* modeled after the Crown and subordinated Provincial *surrogate powers*. “*Allodial*” applies to absolute property ownership, such as absolute ownership by individual Indians. The legal handlers of ‘Indian country’ and ‘first nations’ have taken extreme measures to invoke the collective context of tribal (corporate) ownership. The over arching UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples will be applied

to preempt Liberty of the individual sovereign natural being holding “*personal property estates*,” held in the land.

“*Allodial*” is not in the dialogue of consensus and majoritarian decisions of ‘Indian’ courts. Incorporate ‘band’ rights will supplant individual Liberty to personal family estates, property, prosperity and pursuit of happiness. (*Cross reference: Global Equity, International Facilities, Manifest destiny*). The ‘aboriginals’ are treated as merely “*tenants*” on the *incorporate trust doctrine* lands. Indigenous power is subjugated to *primacy of property* interpreted in the *collective* corporate context. “Fast tracking,” “modernizing” and “streamlining” the process ideals (ACLU) are used by *change agents* (deciders, partners, stakeholders, facilitators) to ‘*establish, hold and build*’ surrogate powers over indigenous powers and the *right of property as sacred*. The national and provincial authorities, and their industrial partners’ Eco-Plunder (Blair) is demonstrated by, “The Carbon Connection, about two communities, one in Scotland where a British Petroleum Oil refinery is located and buys carbon credits to “offset” their *pollution* that fund eucalyptus *plantations* in Brazil.” *Indigenous dominium* was taken for the plantations. The UN declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples declares the institutionalized authorities (i.e. “peoples”), acting as foreign agents, can sell ‘ecosystem services’ as articles of commerce around the world. (*See: NAFTA, CAFTA, IADB*). It is important to note that the CRU and the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was set up by British PM Margaret Thatcher, a principle eugenics supporter.]

_____ [b] “*Declaration of Sacred estate Held in the Land.*”

[Auditor’s Notation: The institutional interventions are framed in *aspects of society*, e.g. philosophy, concept, perceptions, civil/social rituals, and standards. The indigenous “sacred estate held in the land” is *founded in attributes of life, e.g. “knowing,”* rather than merely belief or blind faith. The “Declaration of Sacred estate Held in the Land,” (©2007 Blair) was drafted in response to substantiated and corroborated interviews of indigenous people in Nevada in April of 2007. The declaration, as filed with tribal, county officials, and Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, is as follows:

“*Whereas, we* are natural Washoe people, each with sovereign Liberty to live, determine our sacred estate held in the *deities*, rocks, soils, minerals, plants, trees, animals, lands, waters, air and all places;

Whereas, we regard our sacred estate, and inherit this estate to our children *yet to be born*; and by our *posterity* we prove our caring, protection and responsibility for our sacred estate held in the land;

Whereas, we know the meaning of our sacred estate, the places we have given names:

Whereas, we are protected by freedom, each natural person’s Liberty to express a *prayer, access, occupy* our sacred estate held in the land, possess and *use* sacred objects;

Whereas, we understand and recognize that our sacred estate held in the land is inseparable from our *individual covenants with the creator*; and to transfer, convey, convert or treat the these estates as a *article of commerce* constitutes a breach of our sacred estates held in the land;

Whereas, we, people of Washoe, understand that our *covenant with the creator stands outside of any and all social contracts*, including the power, authority, claims and assertions of entities formed pursuant to the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, and corporations titled under that authority;

Whereas, we, people of Washoe, understand that incorporated, chartered, entitled bodies created pursuant to the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, have no power to write, design, define, promulgate, adopt or enact laws affecting/effecting our *spiritual cognition*, or to deliberate and decide on an recognized official religion on our behalf or regulate our personal *Liberty* as it is embodied in our sacred estate held in the land;

Whereas, we, people of Washoe, collectively represent a *sovereign*, and reserve control over instruments we create and therefore *our determinations regarding our sacred estate held in the land are paramount*;

Whereas, we, people of Washoe, understand that any and all corporate, chartered and constituted entities empowered pursuant to the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, are subject to the Articles of the Constitution of the United States of America, including but not limited to Article I;

Whereas, we, people of Washoe, understand that the existence of our sacred estate held in the land, for matters of our *sovereign general welfare* is *settled law* and is reserved from arbitrary management actions, measures, and plans;

Whereas, we, people of Washoe, understand that incorporate management of our territory (culture, religion, heritage, economy, economic spiritual themes) has resulted in our *expatriation, alienation and isolation* from our sacred estate held in the land, to the vast benefit of other peoples and ‘government’ programs within themselves;

Whereas, we, Washoe people, realize that merely providing regulated ‘sacred sites’ and ‘rituals’ approved by those authorities exercising power pursuant to the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, does not constitute ‘settled law’ of the Washoe people, because they are flawed, defective, insufficient, irregular, special, non-transparent, incomplete and wrong under Articles and Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America; furthermore being decided upon by *elicitation of expert judgments* and participation of lawyers obedient to alien legal paradigms, e.g. ‘old testament law,’ is a conflict of interest and duty;

Whereas we, Washoe people, understand that *other peoples have established places that answer their values*, ideals, faiths, beliefs, concepts and economy important to their own, in partnership with those entities titled, incorporated, chartered and constituted under the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, while the people of Washoe remain disconnected and not afford equal opportunity and protection for their individual sacred estates held in the land;

Therefore be it Resolved, we, people of Washoe, declare our “sacred estate held in the land,” invoke our *settled law*, and *claim* our “*sacred estates held in the land,*” and *protect* ourselves and children yet to be born by and through the Covenant of individual Liberty;

Therefore be it Resolved, we, people of Washoe, declare our sacred estate held in the land to be immutable, not subject to the force and effect of mitigation measures decided upon by collaborative groups of officials, intergovernmental organizations, non-governmental organizations, international corporate organizations whose authorities are restricted, limited and subordinate to 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, and specifically Articles and Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America.

By my signature below, I freely make this declaration severely and jointly and enjoy the Liberty to do so, and finally declare this with all rights reserved, without prejudice:”

[Auditor’s following Notation: The Declaration by Independence so recited “the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliance on the protection of *Divine Providence*, we mutually *pledge* to each other our Lives.” The United Nations Declaration of Human rights recited a, “*PREAMBLE*”...inherent *dignity* and of the equal and *inalienable rights* [unalienable rights] of all members of the *human family* is the foundation of *freedom*, justice and peace in the world ... a world in which human beings shall enjoy *freedom of speech* and *belief and freedom from fear* and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people... it is essential ... to *rebellion against tyranny* and oppression... friendly relations between *nations*... faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and *worth of the human ‘person’* and in the equal rights of men and women better standards of life in larger freedom States [corporation shareholder members paying dues] have pledged themselves to achieve, in *co-operation* with the United Nations... the promotion of *universal respect* for and observance of human *rights* and fundamental freedoms...common *understanding* of these rights and freedoms is of the greatest importance for the full realization of this pledge...” The worth of the human person under the capstone doctrine if a financial / monetary investment in the state’s artificial person (strawman).

The covenant of individual *Liberty, sovereignty, rule of justice, and natural law* are omitted from the Preamble. On face value the UN declaration is different from the Declarations made by America, that which embedded *Liberty, justice and judgment* as part of the *general welfare* under the rule of law and *settled law* known as the “Constitution.” The U.S. Constitution and those of tribe-states are not treated as settled law, where the agenda of the UN is treated as “*eternal*.”

The concurrent United Nations sanctions and instigates through security council resolutions, actions against non-complying nations include- economic austerity programs, dynamic entry coalition military attacks on non-combatants, approval of limited genocide- cruelty, torture, WMD bombing, chemical protocols and electromagnetic weapons, as meeting the humanitarian ‘standards,’ prescribed in ‘international law,’ absent of the requirement of a “declaration of war.” See: *Act of Congress Rosenau v. Idaho Mut. Ben. Ass’n, 65 Idaho 408, 145, P.2d 227, 230; Art I, Sec. 8, cl. 11, U.S. Const.*

The United Nations supports *eminent domain* upon *indigenous dominium* and prescribes final authorities vested in the UN parliamentary global *decision-making* bodies to resolve ‘religious’ disputes merely predicated upon global differences. *Spiritual cognition* is subjugated to philosophical themes, process psychology, concept, ritual and *management of sacred sites within the ‘indigenous sacred estates held in the land.’*

There is an appearance that “universal principles” are absent from the Preamble, and *primacy of property* is weighted over *primacy of environment*, e.g. eminent domain presumed by the UN corporation, its international non-governmental organizations, inter-governmental organizations and affiliated International Corporate Organizations (ICOs).

The attributes of life and aspects of society are exhibited in an “international movement of indigenous people” to reject colonial oppression,” e.g. “Declaration of Indigenous rights at the United Nations, even though that declaration is a profoundly colonial instrument.” (*Cross*

reference: supervised rights). Recognition of the ‘Principle of Political Conservation,’ force upon a lesser power is exhibited by, “International law recognizes that no state can absorb another without the free and fully informed *consent of the people* concerned in a free vote.”

International law is UCC law, not natural law. The UN does not administer its corporate affairs under natural law. The attributes of life are guarded by the immutable promise and covenant of Liberty, which involves the operation of the “creator.” (*Cross reference: treaties*). The “declaration” is an instrument limited by the covenant. The covenant of Liberty stands outside of all social contracts. The 2007 Washoe indigenous declaration by non-government people stipulates free association, and indispensable personality of the individual. The treatment of a ‘spiritual’ covenant embraced by Liberty as a social contract and supervised rights is exhibited by, “Article 13 of the [UN] Covenant on Human Rights says: the “... freedom to manifest one’s *religion or belief* may be subject only to such *limitations that are prescribed by law.*” By coupling the first 16 words of the 1st Amendment (USA Constitution) with the last eighteen words of the UN Covenant on Human Rights, government has converted an *inherent liberty* as a *conditional right.* “Congress shall make no laws prohibiting the free manifestation of one’s religion or belief which may be subject only to such limitations that are prescribed by law.” (*See: Judge [USDC Chief Judge B. Crabb] Declares National Day of Prayer Unconstitutional, Jon Christian Ryter, 04-21-10*). The UN Declaration does not nullify the Papal bulls religious and Vatican doctrines applied to indigenous people. In March of 2010, following Infraspect’s University of Oregon, PIELC 2010 panel, e.g. “Intrusions on Indigenous Domain,” Infraspect’s auditor directorate (Blair) in consultation with panelists and observations made at the conference, drafted the “Declaration by indigenous People.” The “Declaration by indigenous People” declaration nullifies the force and effect of alien religion, including the church dogma and doctrine of the “Papal bulls” on indigenous sacred estates. This declaration is made so as all indigenous people may make their declarations, freely sign and date the “declaration” with all rights reserved, without prejudice. No government authorities were seated on the PIELC panel. This declaration does not treat indigenous sovereignty and the covenant of individual Liberty as a *supervised right* granted by and through *surrogate powers*.

The current new paradigms, e.g. *conservation psychology*, group think, *collaborative decision making*, dialectic materialism, *Fabian socialism* run contrary to the attribute of ‘sovereign personality,’ except at the “Over-soul” high status level. The *process ideal* used by the Fabians is “gradualism.” This method is bench marked by “acceptable” or “something we can all live with.” (*Cross reference: Unholy Alliance, Martinist rite- belief in progress-, International Fascism, Progressive Movement, Eugenics: National Minimum of Fitness*). The dialogue of understanding specifics, “No one needs anyone’s permission to promote alliances, unification of our peoples and to spread the peace as prescribed in the Kaianereh’ko:wa. We refuse to live under a dictatorship. (*Cross reference: scientific dictatorship, ISO- market driven standards, CIA- 2nd wave feminism, 3rd wave feminism*). (*See: MNN Mohawk Nation News, Kahentinetha Horn, 08-08-07*).

The ‘*right of resistance*’ is recognized as the last defense of Liberty against tyranny. It is important to determine linkage to the occult, the role of over-souls, and “synchronic lines” on the earth. These geographic locations are dynamically ‘in play.’ The 33 parallel and meridians are associated to significant occult quarters: ritual sites, institutions: banks, etc. The second “stone hinge” is located in Amaraka, e.g. America: land of the serpent. (Montana; Georgia Guidestones). The 2nd Beast by Bible scriptural authority is the “New Atlantis,” e.g. United States of America. The built-in spiritual paradox of America is: foundational principles of a Christian nation, and Occult nation. Legislating “Sunday” as day of worship is accepting the Papal *seal*, ecclesiastical authority (Gill), e.g. UN capstone doctrine (civil / military; church and state). Ecclesiastic law is law of the *soul*. The principle churches on federal Indian reservations declare and comply with ‘Sunday’ as their day of worship. *Divine precepts* enforced by law. The consequences of bringing all (wicked) nations back to Rome (the Righteous nation) are cited in Revelations Chapter 13-17. Dissent and religious dissent are inseparable.

The speech encoding “nation” has been adopted and used by various indigenous municipalities, subsidiaries of the US Corporation. The Vatican is a *nation state* that uses occult rituals to attain high status knowledge for over-souls and *geo-strategic theory* (Haushoffer). The inclusionary practices of ‘university’ and ‘college’ mark the indigenous termination ere, assimilation, now convened as *diversity*. (Cross reference: *affirmative action justice, environmental justice, all cause impact*). The academic baseline remains as *institutional normative rationale*, with emphasis on maintaining rituals at sacred sites, established by *anthropological* scientists and their select *operational goals value priorities*. The *surrogate powers* (authorities) of incorporation at the tribal level are merely subsidiaries of the *master partnership*, e.g. US Corporation.

The British Empire used the encoding “*colony*” to usurp indigenous powers, individual sovereignty. The King of England, under Free Masonry ritual, is “coronated” as the ‘ruler of the world until the *savior* returns.’ (Cross reference: *Old Egyptian Atonism, House of Aton, Steller Cult, Solar cult, The Free and Accepted Masons, New Israel, new world order*). The King’s *colonies* are established through the religious state’s *dogma* of manifest destiny and state’s force of eminent domain. In the legislated corporate paradigm of “Indian country,” this pretext, and context is *maintained* in Indian tribal “*colonies*.” (Washoe: Reno, Carson, Stewart, Woodfords). The tribal corporate organizations follow the King’s prerogative, through the United States Corporations’ “Indian Reorganization Act of 1934.”

The *presumption* of corporate control over spiritual leadership is demonstrated by, “Washoe Seniors and Elders of the Senior Site Council *met...* to *discuss...* Council asks that you no longer represent yourself as... twelve or thirteen Elders... is not recognized by the Washoe Tribal Council... Elders Committee was represented as a social gather to discuss topics... goal of getting recognition as an *advisory group*... Elders Committee has refused Tribal Council recognition... Mr. George is not the spiritual leader of the Tribe... should cease representing himself as such... This letter was written upon the request and *vote* of the Senior Site Council... Madelina Henry, Vernon Wyatt, Adele James, Betty Flint. (See: *Washoe Senior and Elders of the Senior Site Council, letter to Benny Mills, Art George, March 13, 2009*).

Marginalizing the Elders as a “committee,” “advisory group,” and claim that the targets represented themselves as spiritual leaders of the tribe is inaccurate, and misinformation aimed at acquiring a tribal standing and interest. The site council met to discuss. It is likely that the tribal government site council did not keep accurate minutes of the discussion, and will continue a *culture of secrecy* pertaining to the matter, even as they are bound to a duty of transparency, access to government records pertaining to official tribal business. The Site Council’s letter was delivered via US Mail, without notice, warning, and classification as proprietary, confidential or restricted information what so ever.

Benny Mills and Art George responded categorically and separately to the tribal site council’s presumption of power and authority, e.g. Benny Mills: “to draw your attention to a very important document entitled: “Declaration of Sacred estate Held in the Land... duly recorded in the Douglas County... it pre-empted United Nations policy, that was intended to given regulation over Indian Country to State Government...lawyers obedient to alien legal paradigms... first presented to the *Washo* Elders at first meeting... It... *DECLARES*... has done immeasurable good for the people of Washo... is superior to... Tribal government... include reclaiming indigenous rights... responsible and concerned Elders... the discord and confusion attempting to *disrupt* the good work of the *Washo* Elders, is coming from Maureen Anthony [*Developing Nations NGO; Washoe tribal committee member*]... we stand on our Declaration of Sacred estate Held in the Land, and will continue to exercise our ancient Customs... obey unconditionally, our Liberty of Conscience, and this, by OUR OWN AUTHORITY.” (See: *Senior Citizen Site Council, Reply from Benny Mills, March 26, 2009*); and Art George: “you presume to possess some “delegated authority” from, some higher source... whereby, you have determined by *vote*, to legislate the boundaries of my personal activities... I choose to exercise my Faith... perform according to the dictates of my conscience... the Tribal government chairman of the council and the Nevada, county authorities declined to do anything about the wreaking of *Washo* burial grounds on the basis of stating they had “no

jurisdiction"... it is not *reasonable, coherent, rationale*, for a tribal 'site council' to attempt to deliberate, discuss, determine and act upon their *group think* decision to demand that [Art George] not exercise... right of leadership... *religious freedom* and *spiritual faith*... the signers exhibited *contempt* for the Constitution of the United States of America [Amaraka], the Bill of rights... any notion of sovereign authority and immunity to presume the group, or the tribal officials... are at Liberty to do so is far flung, negligent, indolent and not what a reasonable person would do... answer with an 'apology'... to avoid a appearance of intention to use the US Mail to commit a "hate crime," with a direct potential of causing me fear of the persons and tribal site council authorities." "You will *Cease and Desist* from interfering in my personal, religious freedoms, including my Liberty of conscience and spiritual personality. You are trespassing... you have been here, given fair Notice." (*See: Senior Site Council: Reply and Notice from Art George, March 27, 2009*).

Benny Mills, Grandfather, and elected Washo Elder clarified that the "Elder's group who comprised the Grand Jury, do not speak for all of the Washoe Elders: they only speak for those Elders and *Washo* peoples who maintain and nourish a reverent respect and love for the principles Declared in their Declaration of Sacred estate Held in the Land", and characterized and vindicated Art George by, "giving credit, where credit is due, know that, it was through the efforts of Art George, who brought this Declaration to the people of Washo for their ratification, and, for this, he deserves high praise and all due respect."

The Elders group, grandfather Mills, are at Liberty individually and collectively to exercise their "*right of plea*," that is convene a grand jury. This principle acknowledges that a person can not construct more power, authority, and right than a person possesses in the "first instance." In the matter of *indigenous treaty covenants bonfidae* this involves the operation of the "creator of the universe." (*Cross reference: complexity, intelligent design, intelligible design (Blair)*). Contracts among master partnerships are subordinate to natural covenants, because individual Liberty stands outside of any and all social contracts. Holding a natural person to the *letter of the law* may be treated as a *perversion of justice*.

The issuance and possession of *security papers*, birth and death certificates, insurance binders, driver licenses, passports, draft registration and induction (voluntary and involuntary), credit cards, employment and business registrations, trademark, patents, copyrights, pilot licenses, marriage certificates & *licenses*, academic degrees, trade association memberships, affirmative action, protected group status, custody warrants, foreclosures, liens, judgments, stock certificates, carbon trading certificates, tribal quantum % blood line (DNA) membership, social security, federal income tax, BIA approval of Tribal Constitutions, Charters and By-laws, involuntary subjugation to UN resolutions, inclusionary land use rezoning, criminal prosecution, civil action and the like are evidentiary that tribal membership is not exclusive, nor does sovereign corporate immunity apply.

In matters of indigenous dominium, aboriginal rights, use of original jurisdiction lawyers belonging alien BAR associations (brothers in the bond) that control the client (person of unsound mind) through the *practices* of a *foreign corporation*, common law, and force of *law arbitrary* upon *citizens* and *enrolled members*. (*Cross reference: structured conflict, controlled opposition, thesis-anti-thesis-synthesis*). As in remote religious beliefs, legal mystery held sway in Indian Country under 'force of law.' The actual history of 'law' concealed its face, e.g. "British Accreditation registry" is what BAR stands for." The BAR was headquartered out of the City of London in a district that is not part of the United Kingdom. In 1812 the US Congress ratified the last properly ratified 13th amendment, which read, "If any Citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive or retain any Title of nobility or Honour, or shall, without the Consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, Office or Emolument of any kind whatever, from any Emperor, King, Prince or foreign Power, such person **shall cease to be a Citizen of the United States**, and shall be incapable of holding any *Office of Trust* or Profit under them, or either of them." BAR association lawyers loose citizenship and the right to hold any *office in government*. All "titles of nobility" were prohibited in both Article VI of the Articles of Confederation (1777) and in Article I, Section 9 of the Constitution of the United States (1778). Today most Senators and

Congressmen, all Federal judges, and some of our Presidents are attorneys who carry the title “Esquire” often abbreviated as “Esq.” The Constitution still forbids this. The only organization that certified lawyers was the International BAR Association (IBA), chartered by the King of England. Lawyers admitted to the IBA received the rank “Esquire” – a “title of British nobility.” The archaic definition of “honor” (as used when the 13th Amendment was ratified) meant anyone “obtaining or having an advantage or privilege over another.” Lawyers can be judges and exercise the *attendant privileges* and powers, non-lawyers generally cannot, e.g. addressing the judge as, “*your honor*.” By prohibiting ‘honors’ the original 13th amendment stopped any advantage or privilege that would grant some citizen an *equal opportunity* to achieve or exercise political power, e.g. “*Sovereign personal political powers*.” Because you are not “esquire” or BAR attorneys, you are considered to be a *subject* “out of control,” and a client “person of unsound mind.”

The British executed the reciprocal offensive of burning Washington and the Library of Congress. The international cartels control the “BAR.” BAR association lawyers are “officers of the corporate court.” The *officers of the court* include, the court CEO administrator, the judges, the court clerk, the court bailiff, court recorder, the prosecutor and legal counsel. *Pleas* before the corporate court are contracts. (*Cross reference: Anonymous bonds*). The BAR association lawyers can not, in good faith, make *immutable promises* to their indigenous clients. In essence, the BAR association lawyers act out *misprision of treason*, when *pretending* to represent indigenous sovereign persons. (*See: Internet intercept, Liberty For Life News-Mail, black-sheep-of-the-BAR, 03-02-10*).

Martial law has been kept ‘under their radar’ since President Lincoln commissioned General Order No. 100, 1863, as a “national emergency.” This order justified the seizure of power, extending the laws of the District of Columbia, fictionally implemented the provisions of Article I, Section 8, Clauses 17-18 of the Constitution beyond the boundaries of Washington, D.C. (*Cross reference: Lieber Instructions and the Lieber Code, implementing laws of war and private international law in the interior united States; Expatriation Act of 1868*). Laws for the District of Columbia were passed by Congress in 1871, the D.C. being a private, foreign corporation by The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871, all states being reformed as *franchises* or political *sub-divisions of the corporation* known as the UNITED STATES. The 13th Amendment was ratified by some state, under duress, which were under martial law. (*See: sine die and the proclamation of martial law, Sovereign Citizen, fourteenth amendment citizen*).

The IRA tribal site councils exhibit *groupthink, values voting, values washing* and application of the Delphi technique. The “tribe” and its subordinate “site council” are not “religious corporations” for purposes claiming standing over the control of official tribal religion or spiritual property. There was no citation of principles, authorities, enabling ordinances, codified regulations or certifications, signed *declarations of fact* that substantiated their “charge,” force and effect to order a person’s right of leadership or claim to indigenous faith.

The matter of indigenous sacred estates held in the land is a matter of *divine providence* to the original people who stand their ground. This sacred estate is not particularly an expression of *allodial title*, fee simple, fee tail, Life estate, Defensible estate, future interest, Concurrent estate, Leasehold estate, Conclave, living trust or other alien instruments of public purpose acquisition or *inclusionary zone allocations*, conveyancing, or facial controls. These legal instruments did not apply, as is evidentiary in sacred symbols of indigenous people. The “Buffalo do not belong to” the federal US or its state and tribal IRA *political sub-divisions*. (Lakota Chief Bald Eagle, 2009, 10, 11, 12). Document and track methods, usurpations, repetitious violations of individual Liberty and rights by legal counselors, administrators and operative journalists.

The BAR lawyers application of “Political Settlements” to claims by indigenous real humans, possessing *sovereign personal political powers* is manifestly born from the secular religious ideologies of the “right of conquest,” “right of discovery,” and other Papal instruments. The history of the American “Constitution” includes citations indispensable to the Indigenous natural sovereign hereditary chiefs and headmen as “real humans.” Particularistic arrangements and universal regulatory forces are noted as follows from “The Matrix and The U.S. Constitution, author: twelve years service as a judge”:

1. The United States is “a corporation, a legal fiction that existed well before the Revolutionary War. [See *Republica v. Sween*, 1 Dallas 43 and 28 U.S.C. 3002(15). UNITED STATES CODE, Title 28, 3002(15)(A), basically reiterates that the UNITED STATES is a corporation. Title 28, 3002 (15) (B) & (C): That all departments of the UNITED STATES CORPORATION are part of the corporation, Title 28 U.S.C., is Copyrighted Private International Law, first reported by Gary W. Phillips. The 1791 Constitution was set aside to make room for the “for profit” corporation. The title of the US Constitution was changed for *actual use*, e.g. from “for” to “of.” Similar to this actual use is the title of the United Nations ‘eternal’ (ICO religious corporation) corporate papers, e.g. Declaration “on” the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.” “on” and the collective institutional actual use are noteworthy in the context of “Private International Law.”

2. The American BAR Association is a branch of a national organization titled: “The Nationallawyers Guild Communist Party” and can be found recorded in the *United States Code at [28 U.S.C. 3002, section 15a]*;

3. “Englishlawyers and English aristocrats together was a secret society called the Illuminati (Paul Revere, Benjamin Franklin), renamed “The Free and Accepted Masons”;

4. The ‘constitution’ was not for the real humans (indigenous), “But indeed, no private person has a right to complain, by suit in Court, on the ground of a breach of the Constitution, the Constitution, it is true, is a compact but he [the private person] is not a party to it!” (*Padelford, Fay & Co. v. the Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah*, [14 Georgia 438, 520].” The indigenous people are treated as ‘uncivilized’ to this date (8-4-11), as substantiated by the procedural requirement each one be represented bylawyers ‘*admitted to practice*.’ Thelawyer’s client is defined as a “person of unsound mind.” The sovereign are subjugated to ‘colleges’ and ‘universities’ wherein each is exposed to the ‘expert judgment elicitation processes’ (EPA) designed under the “Prussian” system of ‘higher education.’ (*Cross reference: United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous People*);

5.”The United States Constitution was converted into a (Trust) and the legal definition of a Trust is: A legal obligation with respect to property given by one person (donor), to another (trustee), to the advantage of a beneficiary (Americans)”;

6. “The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is a division of the International Monetary Fund, which is owned by the Rockefeller and Rothschild families and their banking empires, which operates in tandem with the United Nations. The IRS and Interpol; are owned by the International Monetary Fund... identified in a earlier version of the U.S. Army Manual, as a Communist Organization.”;

7. “laws called statutes... are designed to control the Sovereign people of America... like the King; these statutes cannot be enforced against the *Source of law*, which are the living, breathing, flesh and blood Sovereign people,” unless the person “accepts” a contract, such as representation by a “public defender” appointed by the political court, F.D.R. by Executive Order declared the people outside federal territories to be the enemy by illegally altering the Trading with the Enemy Act of 1861, revised 1918. (*Cross reference: the Buck Act- states as 14th amendment citizen- citizens of England*). On April 25, 1938, the Supreme Court overturned the standing precedents of the prior 150 years concerning “COMMON LAW” in the federal government. THERE IS NO FEDERAL COMMON LAW, AND CONGRESS HAS NO POWER TO DECLARE SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable IN A STATE, WHETHER they be LOCAL or GENERAL in their nature, be they COMMERCIAL LAW or a part of LAW OF TORTS.” (*See: ERI RAILROAD CO. vs. THOMPkins*, 304 U.S. 64, 82 L. Ed. 1188). The Common law is the fountain source of Substantive and Remedial Rights. In 1945 the United States gave up any remaining national sovereignty when it signed the United Nations Treaty, making all American citizens subject to United Nations jurisdiction. The creation of Federal Zone citizenship tightened with application of the Social security Number after 1935. IT IS ESSENTIAL TO COMPREHEND that the natural indigenous hereditary Lakota Chiefs and Headmen, 7 Fires Council, holding and bearing diplomatic class standing, have repeated ‘on the record’ by Notice and Special Appearance before the United States of America, the United States District Court, In and For the District of Columbia, its legal agent, the United States Department of Justice, District Attorney, that they are notresidents or “citizens.” The notice and special appearance have likewise been delivered to the USDI, Under

Secretary for Indian Affairs, Echo Hawk. These papers have been autographed and qualified by “all rights reserved, without prejudice,” severely and jointly. **By the maxims of ‘settled’ natural indigenous law, the chiefs and headmen, make their self-determination, and define ‘perpetual succession.’** Under the ‘Principles of political conservation” and “lesser powers doctrine,” the indigenous diplomats, by natural heirship, can interpret case law, apart from the Treaty of Paris 1783, of which the *indigenous diplomats* had no specific knowledge, and were not signature parties. The indigenous people are at liberty be the arbitrators of the alien Trading with the Enemy Act (Public law 65-91, 65th Congress, Session I, Chapters 105, 106, October 6, 1917) as it may apply to their living places and personalities. *(Cross reference: Indigenous identity Theft (Fraud); Extrinsic fraud, Misprisions of perjury, treason).*

8. “The sovereign states had been abolished in 1790 by the adoption of Article I of the **Statutes at Large**, which converted all the sovereign states into federal districts, followed by lawful federal jurisdiction; All of the Codes, Statutes and Regulations throughout the United States are a **Will** from the Master to their Slaves.” “On August 4, 1790; Article ONE of the U.S. Statutes at Large, pages 138-178, abolished the States of the Republic... [same year] reorganized each as Corporations, and their legislatures wrote new constitutions... the new State Constitutions fraudulently made the people “Citizens” of the new Corporate State.” “The United States is a District of Columbia corporation. In Volume 20: Corpus Juris Sec. 1785 we find “**The United States government is a foreign corporation with respect to a State (NY re: Merriam 36 N.E. 505 1441 S. O. 1973, 14L. Ed. 287).** The STRAWMAN has many artificial persons. The distinguishing differences are a “corporation is organized property, lacking a “spirit” or “soul,”” “a *real human* is consummated, naturally born, being of flesh and blood.” The academic theory of “majoritarian hearsay” relies on the rationale that there are more that two individuals engaged in the contract. This flies in the face of a rightful natural marriage between a man and women. False State Licensing of a marriage covenant is not from the “perfect law of liberty.” The government’s claim of power to license a marriage is a “false right.” The state licensed marriage ‘partners’ become ‘contingency managers’ of the corporate church’s and state’s *property* called a ‘child.’ The state political courts demonstrate *judicial indolence, perjury* and *treachery* when ordering or compelling ‘abortions’ under any sophistry or speech encoding. The “spirit and intent” of legislation demonstrates that the *source of law* is the sovereign individual real human. *(Cross reference: Infraspect- superlative degree of human identity; 101st Congress 2nd Session, H.R. 5680, To settle the Black Hills claim with the Sioux Nation of Indians, Section 404., September 19, 1990, (sponsor- Martinez) to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs).* The state Constitutions were rewritten again during the Clinton Administration...now called the “Constitutions of *Interdependence.*” *(Cross reference: government to government, collaborative governance, international risk governance council, indigenous world view).*

9. “The **Rules of Procedure** [procedural law] used by every Local, State and Federal Court are Civil Rules... court officials simply substitute the word criminal for civil. **Rule 1** of the Rules of Civil Procedure Reads: “there shall be but one form of action, a civil action.” (See: *Gilliken v. Gilliken, 248 N.C. 710, 104 S.E.2d 861, 863; Thompson v. Thompson, 107 U.S.App.D.C. 27, 274 F.2d 89, 90*);

10. “Democracy,” in a reputable Law Dictionary is defined as: “A Socialist form of government and another form of Communism”; Communism. A system of social organization in which good are held in common, the opposite of the system of **private** property; communalism, any theory or system of social organization involving common ownership of agents of production of industry, the latter of which theories is referred to in the popular use of the word “communism” while the scientific usage sometimes conforms to the first alone and sometimes alternates between the first and second; also the principles and theories of the Communist Party. A system by which the state controls the means of production and the distribution and consumption of industrial products.

11. “The Thirteenth Amendment barred lawyers from every holding a seat in public office.” BAR lawyers are ‘admitted to practice,’ under the “judicial branch of government,” and when operating outside of the judiciary set off a matter of unconstitutional actions, and misconduct (misprisions of perjury), e.g. conflict of duty and interest by and through lawful ‘allegiance’ and ‘loyalty’;

12. “Title to their land,” the Amish of Pennsylvania, apart from corporate government, is “recorded as an *Ecclesiastical Trust*. The Vatican (the Holy Roman Church) actually owns all the land,

territories, and insular possession called America. The Holy Roman Church possesses the power to protect or crush anyone and anything. [See: *Tillman v. Roberts*, 108 So. 62 [and] Title 26 U.S.C. 7701 [and] 18 U.S.C. Section 8.] (Cross reference: *The Laws of Trust*);

13. “The ultimate ownership of all property is the State; individual so called ownership is only by virtue of government, [i.e.] laws amounting to mere *use* must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the state. (See: *VanKosten v. VanKosten*, 154 146, *Brown v. Welch*, U.S. Superior Court).” The Black Hills and Cobell claims settlement *legal models* go to “use and occupation.” (Cross reference: *BAR lawyers, case law style; remedy & redemption, Manifest destiny, IRA tribal government use of eminent domain on enrolled member “tenants”*);

14. “*Equity Law*,” “which once controlled America’s corporate courts, has been replaced with Admiralty/Maritime Law, pursuant to *Title 28 of the U.S.C.*, and the *Judiciary Act of 1789*. This is the Law of Merchants and Sailors.” (Cross reference: *Vicar, Treaty of 1213 Pope/King John, Law of Mortmain, private international law, amicus dominandi, old testament law, church law, Pope law, commercial courts, international law, Uniform Commercial Codes (UCC, right of resistance, Magna Carta, globally integrated enterprises, UN Millennium Development Goals, ICLEI*). Equity is the jurisdiction of compelled performance. Admiralty is compelled performance plus a criminal penalty, a civil contract with a criminal penalty, e.g. ‘debtor’s prison.’ The changes in the system[s] of law from public law to private commercial law, notable in Private Government Organization (PGOs: stakeholder councils) “authorities” to decide by consensus matters of public *policy* (Negotiable Instrument’s Law), resource aggregate allocation and monitoring (stewardship contracting for ecosystem services), are recognized by the Supreme Court of the US in *Erie Railroad vs. Thompkins case of 1938*... the procedures of law were officially *blended* with the procedures of Equity. The system of ‘*stewardship contracting*’ for so called “ecosystem services” as negotiable paper binds all corporate entities of government together in a vast system of *commercial agreements*. (Cross reference: *ISO: FSC: SFI market driven standards (solutions), UN Millennium Development Goals, Federal Partnership, Collaborative governance, Formal Consensus, Globally Integrated Enterprise, ICLEI, stakeholder councils, watershed councils, coalitions, commercial courts, UCC, private international law*).

15. “*The Treaty of 1783, Treaty of Peace* (Holy Roman Church, King George, Corporate United States) means: “The king claims that the Pope is the Vicar of Christ and God gave the King the power to declare that no man can ever own property because it goes against the tenants of his Church, the Vatican/The Holy Roman Church and because he is the Elector of the Holy Roman Empire.” The *Treaty of Verona*, November 22, 1822 (King/Pope) proclaimed “high Contracting powers”... states that the high powers agree that “all representative forms of government and governments that recognize individual sovereignty of ordinary people, is incompatible with “divine right.” (Cross reference: *Treaty of Paris 1783, passive obedience, over souls, Club of Rome*). “... the *jus nationale*, (Federal law) or the exceptional ecclesiastical laws prevalent in the United States; may be abolished at any time by the Sovereign Pontiff. [Elements of Ecclesiastical Law, Volume 1, pages 53 and 54]. The *Jay Treaty 1794* was ratified in a secret session of the U.S. Senate, payment of reparations to the King for the Revolutionary War for Independence, and ordered it not be published. Benjamin Franklin’s grandson published it, which angered Congress to the extent the legislators (Englishlawyers) passed the Alien and Sedition Acts (1798) so federal judges could prosecute editors and publishers for reporting the truth about government.

_____ [c] “Declaration by indigenous People.”

[Auditors notation: The English writing of the “Declaration by indigenous People” that may be signed by all people of the earth world, was requested by Art George, a natural Washo indigenous spiritual teacher. The translation certification is authenticated by the Auditor Directorate, Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs, 2010. The specific fonts, point size, style have been change to reduce space use in this audit demonstration case file. The signing is in progress and the signatures are protected by non-attribution. The Declaration by indigenous People, is not an instrument of surrogate powers enabling a particular ‘institution’ or ‘collectivist’ protocol or political body politic. The declaration provides space for signers to make their natural individual sovereign person declaration. This is unique and stands apart from optimized consensus or mere ‘group think’ and para-religious corporation ideologies, ‘therapeutic alliance,’ “shared world view,” “world mind,” or “world spirit.” The declaration follows:

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

“Declaration by indigenous People

[I.] We Acknowledge and Honor creation, sovereignty of spirit, mind and body keeping each natural person free to live, have values, beliefs, enjoy individual personality and attributes of life.

[II.] We Acknowledge and Honor all greater and lesser sovereigns and each natural person standing free in inherent and immutable Life and Liberty; right of immutable hereditary leadership; right of plea; right of congress; right of free natural association; right of assembly; right of trade and friendly intercessions with all under a covenant of peace, prosperity, and happiness, apart from fear and freedom from misprisions of treason.

[III.] We Acknowledge and Honor that each free person nullifies and sets aside fear by holding faith in the covenant of individual inalienable indigenous Liberty, and trust to ensure our creative hearts and minds direct our affairs.

[Auditor’s notation: The 12America-10 amendment to the demonstration case file notes the legal paradox **embedded in distinguishing “inalienable rights” and “unalienable rights.”** *Fundamental rights* may remain silent in various *conventions* and *instruments*. This distinction is the bench mark to examine supervised rights construed as public property, e.g. corporate belongs and assets, from natural law, individual allodial ownership and property. The abstractions of *supervised rights* tend to subjugate Liberty to negative applications of rights, such as surrogate instruments: security papers- birth certificates, licenses, registrations, warrants, titles, deeds, particularistic arrangement embedded in the ideology of land Lord and Tenants. Corporate *instruments* of *manifest destiny* and warrants of *eminent domain* are commonly abused through mere concocted “policy” and *operational goal value priorities* of public, foreign and domestic corporate *agents*. *Indigenous powers* are likewise distinguished from *surrogate powers*. The ‘political court’ administrators interpret and apply “positive” and “negative” aspects of society to indigenous people. The doctrine of “indigenous worldview” is not of indigenous people, it is ON indigenous people, who are legislated human (resource) category of residents and citizens. The UN has established a “*global citizenship role model*.” This behavioral conformity will be ‘codified’ with the “implementation” of the UN eternal’s Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous *peoples*, collectively. Although not constitutionally “ratified” by people of the tribes and bands, US President Obama exercised his corporate executive prerogative and executed the “implementation” of the UN Declaration, following suit with the 1945 United Nations Treaty. The collectivists rely on *public trust doctrine* to enforce negative rights, in the greater interest, “common purpose (UK), shared vision and operational goal value priorities.” The *political court* ‘judges’ (elected, appointed- pro tem) use the “myth of rule of law,” to maintain a public perception that the court’s British Accredited Registry (BAR) *doctors of jurist prudence* follow a *cannon* of “objectivity,” and “fairness.” Objectivity is a philosophy in itself. The ‘deciders’ pretend to separate the *administrator of justice* and/or the “*panel*” using *majoritarian hearsay* from those submitting a prayer before the tribunal. The mere “opinion” of the court does not constitute the “truth” by any means. The opinions are *tainted* with religious belief, political persuasion, and life experiences, controlled through case law and “case law style,” and as ‘best available evidence’ are subjective. The legal quackery lies in “available.” (*See: primary evidence, secondary evidence, substitutionary evidence, highest evidence*). Both sides, petitioner and respondent may present valid and equal constitutional arguments. (*Cross reference: Legislating from the bench*). The global *collectivists*, as *committed* to the United Nations *eternal*, International corporate organization (ICO), the Millennium Declaration and Agenda 21, subscribe to world spirit, world vision, which embraces the *philosophy* of sustainable development by and through the global *consensus* developing enforcement of the participating groups’ “*POLICY*.” The UN’s charter ON human rights, their collaborative declaration On the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, 2008, specifies the ultimate determinations to be made by the “United Nations *eternal*.” The United Nations *eternal* is a global ‘religious corporation’ in fact, as substantiated by its “Temple of Understanding” (international religious corporation). This temple has a source foundation in the Lucis Trust. As the Lakota Hereditary Chiefs and Headmen have stated to Infraspect’s Auditor Directorate, they are the final arbitrators of “Who, What, Where, and When they are.” (*See: Infraspect, Hereditary Chiefs & Headmen, record consultations, 2010*). This *rightful standing* runs contrary to the *cultural paradox* manifested in the authorities United States Congressional

The interpolation, interpretation, transposing, meaning and purpose or word, phrases and symbols are reserved as provided in the declaration above. The Auditor Directorate, Infraspect, has reviewed the declaration as a reasonable demonstration of “continuity of interest” (Blair) and has likewise signed the declaration protected liberty, all rights reserved, without prejudice.]

[Auditor’s Notation: It is clear in the face value of the Declaration by indigenous People that the ‘sovereign personal political power’ of the *real human* is regarded. The injection of the ‘Indian’ *straw man*, e.g. “tribal member” and “tribal citizen” is invented from institutionalized normative rationale belonging to university and college scholars. The construction of the artificial person, citizen of the state, under the ordered societies supervision is that of a “tenant” on land owned by another entity. This special abrogation of the natural indigenous real human is exhibited by, “... activity on the lands of Native nations is diverse... business to **multiple-tenant** industrial parks... strategies imagine the nation as the primary actor in reservation economic development [Indian Country].” “There are a number of advantages for Indigenous nations to growing the citizen-owned business sector... Increase Reservation Multipliers... Generate Jobs... rural economics... Build Community Wealth... **Build a Tax Base** (levying modest sales, value-added, or gross receipts taxes on citizen owned businesses)... Diversify the Tribal Economy... Signals to Citizens... Improve the *Quality of life*... broaden the Development effort...” The “tribal citizen entrepreneurs” are targeted for present ‘unfunded mandates’ and ‘common purposes.’ Building a tribal tax base is mis-contextualized as to “Strengthen Tribal Sovereignty.” *Inclusionary rezoning* encompasses the personality of the natural indigenous real human, while transforming she/he into a artificial “citizen,” and “resident,” in turn subjugated to supervised *civil rights*, e.g. “global citizenship role model.” The double speak is demonstrated by, “help a Native nation reduce its dependency on federal and other sources to fund government operations and provide essential government services,” THEN, espouse *debt/credit banking*, rationalized by “... scarcity of lending institutions leads to higher capital costs.” (See: *Lichtenstein and Lyons 1996, Malecki 1997, Dabson 2001, N. Foster 2001; Lyons 2003*). The IRA system of ‘authorities’ has co-operated a ‘partnership’ among city, county, state and federal entities for decades. The tribal business committee authorities, under protracted consultations, developed respective business “*site-leasing processes*.” The ‘operational goal value priority’ was to ‘enhance,’ ‘enrich,’ ‘nourish,’ the government/agency programs *in and for themselves*, as a tribal government stakeholder “policy.”

The constant is that the tribal authorities ‘vision’ themselves as those who ‘see the big picture,’ while enjoying the “expert judgment elicitation processes” and authenticated “high status knowledge.” The natural indigenous real humans are their corporate enrolled “tribal members,” “shareholders,” and invented “*tribal citizen entrepreneurs*” who are to “contribute” to the Indian nation’s institutional “economy,” and “not simply toward individual outcomes.” (See: *Pickering 2000*). Low interest loans are sustainable debt banking quackery, secured by ‘tribal assets,’ and ‘personal property.’ While the IRA authorities tout ‘prosperity’ their respective economic planners and deciders develop a justice system of prosecution, e.g. building enforcement capacity, juvenile justice ‘resocialization’ facilities, and health *mitigation* regimes and eugenics strategies. (See: *Navajo Nation and the Kayenta Experiment: housing project, waste transfer, recreation site, juvenile detention facility, women’s shelter*). The model was characterized as a “stable, attractive business environment.” The ‘strict’ standards for micro and large loans are “market Driven” (ISO: EMS). (See: Harvard Project on American Indian Economic Development 2000). It is noteworthy, Collaborative governance is a “stake in the heart of democracy” (McCloskey, Exe. Director, Sierra Club.)

The constant of being an ‘artificial person of the state,’ e.g. ‘tribal citizen entrepreneur,’ under IRA tribal business committee dynamics, is exemplified by, “... presence on the *reservation* (federal Indian) of the Lakota Fund... a nonprofit community development financial institution (CDFI).” CDFI is associated to the Pine Ridge Area Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber of Commerce co-operates Prairie Ranch Resort and controls the greater ‘reservation’ franchises. Treatment of the Quality of life (QoL) as a *article of commerce*, a “*product*” of the tribe, sold to tribal members (citizens) through its retail pricing and taxation system, presents a paradox to the “high degree of economic specialization” of traditional Lakota society, e.g. “may have been cashless society, but it was not without its entrepreneurs” (Mark St. Pierre). (See: *Rebuilding Native Nations, Strategies for Governance and Development, chapter: Citizen Entrepreneurship, edited by Miriam Jorgensen, forward by Oren Lyons (Faithkeeper, Onondaga Indian Nation), afterword by Satsan (Herb George), U. of A., Press, Arizona Board of Regents, 2007*).

The federal *reservations* are under the geographic *original jurisdiction* of the United States District Court, In and For the District of Columbia, known as Washington, District of Columbia. The U.S.

Codes used by this court are *copyrighted* in England. The 7 Fires Council, of indigenous natural hereditary chiefs and headmen, have noticed this court by Special Notice and Appearance, as well as the President of the United States of America (corporation) and respective foreign agents of their reserved rights, without prejudice, and their individual indigenous *diplomatic class standing*, e.g. sovereign “Lakota.” By pattern, the Court judge, court clerk, and IRA authorities have ignored the traditional hereditary Chiefs and Headmen, as well did the Attorney General of South Dakota (2010, 11).

“Expanding the Citizen Entrepreneurship Sector” model of *economic channeling, enfranchising* provisional beneficiaries, includes categories: attitudinal *changes*, institutional *changes*, and investment *changes*. *Predictability* is the purpose of invoking the *principles of consumption, behavioral conformity*, and a ‘tribal (administrative) court system’ previously embedded with ‘collaborative governance: alternative dispute resolution (ADR),’ abstracted as a “comparable mechanism.” The ‘yellow brick road’ leads to the Uniform Commercial Code, commercial law (martial law, admiralty law) political commercial courts, extending to the legal psyop: “innovative international law.” (*Cross reference: sustainable America, globally integrated enterprise, derivatives speculation: ecosystem services, stewardship contracting, trust lands, conservation easements, off sets, cap and trade, carbon credits, carbon foot print taxation, swaps, exchanges, CCX aggregators, Cobell settlement, Black Hills settlement, government to government relations, domestic dependent nations*.)]

Attachment III to:

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud “Matters of Liberty”

Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs
William Blair, Auditor Directorate
Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs
Rev. 160942/0912

_____ [21] *“Values washing” (Brain washing)* was [not] used to condition the _____ sector, _____ whole system to accept: _____ controlled obedient behavioral conformity, _____ austerity programming (resource scarcity management) _____ *torture, _____ limited genocide, _____ depopulation* by destructive means, _____ *serial warfare, _____ psychological applications of destruction, degradation, denial, disruption, deceit (deceptions, omissions, misinformation) and exploitation*, along with *electronic* and *psychological attacks* (covert hypnosis, conversational hypnosis) on defenseless citizens, *as ‘public policy.’*

[Auditor’s Notation: Values washing (brain washing) is characterized and exemplified by, “American educational brainwashing goes by a variety of names, including Mastery Learning, World Class Education, Common Core of Learning and Outcome Based Education... Goals 2000... Promoted by the National Educational Association... as “an Academic behavior Modification Plan based on control theory/reality therapy... Goals 2000 and its educational offshoots emphasize “life adjustment skills,” “family-life education,” and “environmental stewardship.”...”cooperative” attitudes are mandated... Goals 2000 is ‘the Oregon Option (1994)... governors Mark Hatfield...

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

drafted under the direction of Goldschmidt, a member of the Trilateral Commission... backing of the plan include members of the Council on Foreign Relations, the World Bank, the Carnegie Foundation, and the RAND corporation.” (See: *Mass Control: Engineering Human Consciousness*, Jim Keith, 2003, ISBN 1-931882-21-5).

“Institutional normative rationale” uses selected and *optimized data* and information *synthesis* fitting within the parameters of the outcome based model. The model is intelligence based, not intelligibility based. Misinformation and omissions carry bias, context errors and these dysfunctions serve to manipulate the formation of values. (Cross reference: *remote biological communications*).

Intellectual based media (verbal, pictorial, written) depend upon speech and visual encoding, cover themes, and public perception management campaigns to manipulate stimulus as to achieve the desired response from the target. See: Infraspect’s *Behavior Control – Conformal Model Trace*, 1995, Community Auditing Handbook Series.

The audit bench mark definition of *Technological Behavior Control* is: “The actual protracted instrumentation of methods designed to make the target [individual/whole system] tractable and responsive to imposed influences which serve a fixed option or outcome. Behavior control is treated as science when the model is institutionally formatted. It is a regime ideology when the model mapping processes are fixed to achieve a CONFORMAL REPRESENTATION in an impacted sector or whole system.

Targets are treated with prescriptions affecting the spirit, conscious and being of the individual, impacted sector and/or whole system. Academic speech encoding, e.g. “*Whole Child Education*” is illustrated by, “To them it means taking control of a child’s social and emotional development, spiritual foundation, economic condition, physical and mental health, education and environment from kindergarten through high school.

The goal of whole child education is controllable adults, control of the environment, and a community obedient to the demands of the New World Order... The ideal type for life in the new world order is a human automaton with no internal standards... communities worldwide are being managed by setting up standards for education of the whole child. All types of facilitators are invited to interfere with the child’s social and emotional development, spiritual foundation, economic situation, physical and mental health, education, and the environment in which he lives.” (See: *newswithviews.com, Using Children for Community Takeover*, Erica Carle, 08-07-10).

Controller/conditioners may function as “experimenters,” “facilitators,” “mediators,” “arbitrators,” “therapists,” or “social psychologists,” in “outreach,” “family planning,” and other intervening institutions. (See: “*Jim Crow*”). ‘Anthropology’ plays a significant role in “Race science in America.” (Cross reference: *FBI program, Frühmenschen; Kappa Alpha fraternity; Ku Klux Klan; eugenics*).

The purpose of behavior control is to exact individual, sector and/or whole system *conformity with maximized predictability and reliability*. Historical illustrations include, “the Frankfurt Institute for Social Research... the program of merging Marx with Freud... One... pillar of the Frankfurt School [founder, Lukacs]... Max Horkheimer... instrumental in... re-organizing B’nai Brith in Frankfurt.” (Cross reference: *British Intelligence*). “The Frankfurt School said... Jewish identity would be defined by, “the Holocaust: Jews would be trained to see themselves primarily as victims of genocide... and that anti-Semites are ready to start a new Holocaust at any moment.” “... Frankfurt School Freudians... to do the same thing for black people... re-train to believe that what really defined their identity was how their African ancestors had been enslaved by white people. We did the same for women. The feminist movement used Frankfurt School theory and Freud... define their identity was male chauvinism.” (See: *Schiller Institute Conference, Wash., D.C., Freud and the Frankfurt School, Michael Minnicino, Presidents Day, 1994*).

It is importantly noted that “group defamation” is federalized and codified as a bias and hate crimes, based upon social group ‘status’ and ‘potentiality.’ Collaborative surveillance systems will supply profiling information useful in creating “plausible guilt scenarios” for ‘charges’ of defamation. (Cross reference: *Public perception management campaign, affirmative action*,

protected group status, group defamation [ADL moot court], bias/hate crimes, values voting, formal consensus).

Escaping agency and public scrutiny are exemplified by, “advantages of the new company (Electrophysiological User Interface, Inc.) is that its technology will not have to pass muster with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration...research grants from...NASA, Department of Defense... CIA is interested in improved methods of lie detection...NEC Corp., Microsoft and Intel... (organizational linkage)...Electrical Geodesics, Inc., University of Oregon, Los Alamos National laboratory...forming...Consortium for Informatic Brain Electromagnetism Research (CIBER)... interests of medicine, psychology and neuroscience research...monitor comprehension...mental state...modulate information flow to ensure important information or concepts are not missed.” (See: *Publisher’s Notebook, Business Journal of Portland, Mike Consol, 1999*).

The technology extends to neurological ‘concept engineering’ and ‘speech encoding’ at the word and phrase levels. *Under concept engineering, free will, spiritual cognition, discernment, reason, sentiments, expression and the natural superlative personality may be deleted. Forplan* participants forego the Liberty of targets in the interest of “model efficiency.” Controllers/Conditioners diagnose “difference” as “dispute,” “*well reasoned disobedience,*” as “*resistance,*” and “instinctive use of force,” as “social violence.” (Cross reference: *sensitivity groups*). Artifact multiple personalities are invoked (brain-banging) *disassociating* the collaborative group deciders from the community “problem.” (Cross reference: *objectification*).

Scientists/technicians/administrators protracting methods to escape “muster,” e.g., FDA agency and public scrutiny may be treated as predatory and dangerous. The cornerstone of collaborative partnership social engineering and behavioral conformity is illustrated by, “The Tavistock Institute for Human Relations, known by insiders as the “Freud Hilton,” has been a major nexus for the worldwide psychological manipulation.” The Round Table’s Royal Institute of International Affairs (Chatham House) ordered Tavistock (See: *Major J.R. Reese, Kurt Lewin, founder of National Training Laboratories, Director of Harvard Psychological Clinic*). (Cross reference: *Rice organization*).

The Prussian educational system is centered on an institutional normative rationale of “high status knowledge” among authenticated Ph.Ds, and lesser credentials. The history and linkage of the “Tavistock Institute of Human Relations” [Kurt Lewin] demonstrated by, “... ultra-secret organization... as a *propaganda* creating and disseminating organization... Lords Rothmere and Northcliffe... mandate was to produce an organization capable of manipulating *public opinion* and directing that manufactured opinion... Funding was provided by the British royal family, and later by the Rothschilds... Toynbee was *selected* as Director of Future Studies... Lippmann and Bernays were *appointed* to handle the manipulation of American *public opinion*... commonly termed, “*mass brainwashing.*” “... as a guide... The Climax of Civilization [Walsh, 1917]... a One World Government... approval of the “Olympians” (the inner core of the Committee of 300) to formulate a strategy... Spengler’s massive book was adopted as the blueprint... to create and establish a New World Order inside a One World Government.” “Wellington House introduced the word, “*Isolationists*” as a derogatory description of those Americans who opposed US participation in WWI.” “Terms like “*regime change,*” “*collateral damage*” became almost new English language.” “President Woodrow Wilson to set up the very first Tavistock methodology techniques for *polling* (manufacturing) so-called public opinion created by Tavistock propaganda.” “...American commerce that would lead to NAFTA, GATT and the World Trade Organization (WTO)... acceptance of the Federal Income Tax Act, 1913... Income Tax is a Marxist doctrine not found in the U.S. Constitution anymore than the Federal Reserve Bank.... The deceptive language of the Federal Reserve Act was under the guidance of Bernays and Lippman who set up a “National Citizen’s League...” (See: *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations Shaping the Moral, Spiritual, Cultural, Political and Economic Decline of the United States of America, Dr. John Coleman*).

The term “*survey*” is the modernized version of ‘polling.’ The present survey techniques employ a sequence of negative (aversive) constructs and gradually change to a system of values, e.g. goal value priorities, as fixed options. The options conclude in rationalized outcomes. The outcomes were fixed in *pre-decisional criteria*, formulated to suit the ‘*goal value priorities,*’ and ‘*operational goal value priorities.*’ The Delphi technique involves convincing the group ‘participants’ that each ‘*owns the process,*’ grounded upon ‘equitable,’ ‘fair,’ ‘balanced,’ ‘diversity,’

guaranteed by “values neutral,” and “objectivity.” As the ‘products’ of the group become challenged by “outsiders,” the attitude of the participants evolves from group to authority, to para-religious organization, to cult like command and control to protect the created inner circle’s irrevocable commitments to the higher cause. (Cross reference: *12 Planks of Humanism, Communitarian law, Agenda 21, UN Millennium Development Goals, Proof of concept, CCX-derivatives speculation, Free Market Theology*).

Tavistock is an organization of “*dynamic psychiatry*” which was intended to practice “*societry*.” Tavistock was “born from the collaboration of the international monied elite, military intelligence, and the materialistic psychiatric community... self-admitted “military” orientation as Operation Phoenix.” Repeated trauma and torture in mind control applied to society at large produces social chaos “*fluidity*.” From this stimulus *order out of chaos* (Freemasonic) is effected. The pattern of funding and linkage is demonstrated by, “Tavistock Institute relies on large grants... from the Crown... Rockefeller Foundation (General Education Board)... Ford Foundation... Carnegie Institute... World Health Organization... British Home Office... UNESCO... WHO... World Federation for Mental Health and the Rand Corporation.”

The Private Government Organizations (PGOs) have mutated from ‘grass roots organization’ groups, non-government organizations (NGOs), Inter-governmental Organizations (IGOs). The PGO embed “educational Committees.” The “Laws of learning” are standards within ‘accredited institutions,’ part of teacher codes of conduct and ethics, ‘student rights,’ and lawful statutes. The teachers have evolved to *primary persons* in the students life and *contingency managers*. The PGOs ‘education committees’ are usually controlled by a sociologist or credentialed teacher oriented to the *mission of the group*, by an authentication process. (Cross reference: *Inner circle, Inclusive*).

“Mind Control” methods are stipulated as, (1) “*Milieu control*- All communication with outside world is *limited*, either being strictly filtered or completely cut off. Whether it is a monastery or a behind-closed-doors cult, isolation from the ideas, examples and distractions of the *outside* world turns the individuals attention to the only remaining form of stimulation, which is the *ideology* that is being *inculcated* in them. This even works at the intrapersonal level, and individuals are discouraged from thinking *incorrect thoughts*, which may be termed evil, selfish, immoral and so on. (2) *Mystical manipulation*- A part of the teaching is that the *group has a higher purpose than others outside the group*. This may be altruistic, such as saving the world or helping people in need. It may also be selfish, for example that group members will be saved when others outside the group will perish. All things are then attributed and linked to this *higher purpose*. Coincidences (which actually may be deliberately engineered) are portrayed as symbolic events. Attention is given to the *problems of out-group people* and attributed to their *not being in the group*. Revelations are attributed to spiritual causes. This association of events is used as evidence that the group truly is special and exclusive. (3) *Confession-Individuals* are encouraged to confess past ‘sins’ (as defined by the group). This creates a tension between the person’s actions and their stated belief that the action is bad, particularly if the statement is made publicly. The consistency principle thus leads the person to fully adopt the belief that the sin is bad and to distance themselves from repeating it. Discussion of inner fears and anxieties, as well as confessing sins is *exposing vulnerabilities and requires the person to place trust in the group and hence bond with them*. When we bond with others, they *become our friends*, and we will tend to *adopt their beliefs* more easily. ‘The masses will not trust the unaffiliated individual’ (See: *Origins Oracles, Subversive Use of sacred Symbolism in the Media, Michael Tsarian; Signs and symbols rule the world, not phrases and laws (Confucius)*). This effect may be exaggerated with intense sessions where deep thoughts and feelings are regularly surfaced. This also has the effect of exhausting people, making them more open to suggestion. (4) *Self-sanctification through purity*- Individuals are encouraged to constantly *push towards an ultimate* and unattainable perfection. This may be *rewarded with promotion within* the group to higher levels, for example by giving them a new status name (acolyte, traveler, master, etc.) or by giving them new *authority within the group*. The unattainability of the ultimate perfection is used to induce guilt and show the person to be sinful and hence sustain the requirement for confession and obedience to those higher than them in the group’s order of perfection... self-flagellation is a valuable method of reaching higher levels of perfection. (5) *Aura of sacred science*- The beliefs and regulations of the group are framed as

perfect, absolute and non-negotiable. The dogma of the group is presented as *scientifically correct* or otherwise unquestionable. Rules and processes are therefore to be followed without question, and any transgression is a sin and hence requires atonement or other forms of punishment, as does consideration of any alternative viewpoints. (6) **Loaded language**- New words and language are created to explain the *new and profound meanings* that have been discovered. Existing words are also hijacked and given new and different meaning. This is particularly effective due to the way we think a lot through language. The consequence of this is that the person who controls the meaning of words also controls how people think. In this way, black-and-white thinking is embedded in the language, such that wrong-doers are framed as terrible and evil, whilst those who do right (as defined by the group) are perfect and marvelous. The meaning of words are kept hidden both from the outside world, giving a sense of exclusivity. The meaning of special words may also be revealed in careful *illuminatory rituals*, where people who are being elevated within the order are given the power of understanding this new language. (7) **Doctrine over person**- The importance of the group is elevated over the importance of the individual in all ways. Along with this comes the importance of the the group's ideas and rules over personal beliefs and values. Past experiences, beliefs and values can all thus be cast as being invalid if they conflict with group rules. In fact this conflict can be used as a reason for confession of sins. Likewise, the beliefs, values and words of those outside the group are equally invalid. (8) **Dispensed existence**- There is a very sharp line between the group and the outside world. Insiders are to be saved and elevated, whilst outsiders are doomed to failure and loss (which may be eternal). Who is an outsider or insider is chosen by the group. Thus, any person within the group may be damned at any time. There are no rights of membership except, perhaps, for the leader. People who leave the group are singled out as particularly evil, weak, lost or otherwise to be despised or pitied. Rather than being ignored or hidden, they are used as examples of how anyone who leaves will be looked down upon and publicly denigrated. People thus have a constant *fear of being cast out*, and consequently *work hard to be accepted* and not be ejected from the group. **Outsiders** who try to persuade the person to leave are doubly feared. Dispensation also goes into all aspects of living within the group. Any and all aspects of existence within the group is subject to scrutiny and control. (*See: Lifton's Thought Reform*).

Brain washing processes are exemplified by, (1) "Assault on identity- Losing the self also leads to weakening of beliefs and values, which are then easier to change. (2) Guilt- breakdown- Constant arguments that cast the person as guilty of any kind of wrong-doing. (3) Self-betrayal- When the person is forced to denounce friends and family, it both destroys their sense of identity and reinforces feelings of guilt... building the ground for a new personality to be built. (4) Breaking point- The constant assault on identity... (5) Leniency- a brief respite from the *assault on their identity*... sense of gratitude... (6) The compulsion to confess- assuage themselves of their guilt through confession. (7) The channeling of guilt- confused by the multiple accusations and assaults on their identity... burden of being wrong... life of wrong and bad action due to living under an ideology. (8) **Reeducation: logical dishonoring**- cause of guilt is an externally imposed ideology... completing the act of rejecting the whole ideology. (9) Progress and harmony- The rejection of the old ideology leaves a vacuum into which the *new ideology can be introduced*... perfect attraction point as the person flees the old in search of a contrasting replacement. This progress is accelerated as the new ideology is portrayed as harmonious and ideally suited to the *person's needs*. Collegiality and calm replaces pain and punishment. (10) Final confession and rebirth- pain of the past with new ideology presents... allegiance to the *new ideology*... *commitment* to the new order... being *on board, sharing the vision, common purpose, goal value priority, values neutral*. (*See: Lifton's Brainwashing Processes, Thought Reform and Psychology of Totalism, W.W. Norton & Co. Inc., 1963*). (*Cross reference: Cult, Global Democratic Strategy, New American Century, 2020, UN Millennium Development Goals, Agenda 21, ICLEI, Conservation Psychology, Formal Consensus Group Decision making, Delphi technique, Para-Religious Organization, Free Market Theology, Therapeutic Alliance, Collaborative governance, Process Ideal (ACLU), spiritual ecology, cognitive reduction, aversive therapy, victory tags*).

Comparison to hard political ideologies is illustrated by, "... compares the techniques of influence used by cults to those of *totalitarian* and *communist societies*... lays out a model FACET- Freedom, Agency, Complexity, Ends-not-means, and Thinking... used to negate the influence of brain washing techniques... cults emphasize positive aspects of the group over negative aspects of outsiders, endlessly repeat simple ideas in "highly reductive, definitive – sounding phrases", and refer to "abstract and ambiguous" ideas associated with "huge emotional

baggage.” (See: *Brainwashing: The Science of Thought Control*, Kathleen Taylor, neuroscientist and physiologist, Stirling University, University of Oxford- Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics). The sophistry and speech encoding used by “collaborative governance” movement advocates is linguistically controlled to the extent of an intellectual handshake, not significantly dissimilar to a Masonic handshake. The actual terms and phrases used are stipulated in Infraspect’s Profiling Intrusions, subsection- D. “Specific sets of *speech encoding* were [not] used to motivate, and establish a sense of *belonging to, owning the group and process*.”

Indoctrination is distinguished as, "the process of inculcating ideas, attitudes, cognitive strategies or a professional methodology... distinguished from education by the fact that the indoctrinated person is expected not to question or critically examine the doctrine they have learned.... is used *pejoratively*, often in the context of political opinions, theology or religious dogma. Instruction in the basic principles of science, in particular, can not properly be called indoctrination, in the sense that the fundamental principles of science call for critical self-evaluation and skeptical scrutiny of one's own ideas, a stance outside any doctrine." Recognized elements are: (1) **Religious indoctrination**, the original sense of indoctrination, refers to a process of imparting doctrine in a non-critical way... Mystery religions require a period of indoctrination before granting access to esoteric knowledge. (2) **Secular indoctrination**- Consensus of the group, values voting is derived from process psychology answering the exclusive inclusionary mission, issues, fixed options of the 'group think' sessions. (3) **Military indoctrination**- the initial psychological preparation of soldiers during training is referred to non-pejoratively). (**Cross reference: Resocialization**). (4) Information security- indoctrination is the initial briefing and instructions given before a person is granted access to secret information. (**Cross reference: Watershed groups- spirit of confidentiality, what happens in the group, stays in the group, brain washing under freedom, psychology portal, Behavior modification, self-deception**). Secular indoctrination is exhibited by, “CNN, News Room, Peter Bergen, during a cover story (10:40 PST) re: Egypt civilians assembled for redress, e.g. “protestors,” said, “**I am a liberal secularist**.” “Liberal” is a political ideological philosophy. Military indoctrination programs are titled “Resocialization.” The recruits are subjugated to aversive therapy regimes to abrogate real human virtues. The ‘resocialization’ programs for returning veterans of serial warfare engagements are calculated failures. The control programs include, dominant drug treatments (PTSD) and disarming of veterans skill in *dynamic entry*, and *extra-judicial killing*. (See: *Funk and Wagnalls: "To instruct in doctrines; esp., to teach partisan or sectarian dogmas"*; I.A. Snook, ed. 1972. *Concepts of Indoctrination* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul). Wilson, J., 1964. "Education and indoctrination", in T.H.B. Hollins, ed. *Aims in Education: the philosophic approach*(Manchester University Press). Thiessen, Elmer John, 1985. "Initiation, Indoctrination, and Education", *Canadian Journal of Education / Revue canadienne de l'éducation* 10.3 (Summer 1985:229-249), with bibliography. *Scientology beliefs and practices*. Dawkins, Richard. *The God Delusion*. New York: Bantam Books, 2006). Chomsky, Noam. "Propaganda, American Style". Retrieved 2007-06-29. Lifton, Robert Jay (1989). *Thought Reform and the Psychology of Totalism: A Study of "Brainwashing" in China*. University of North Carolina Press. pp. 524. ISBN 0-8078-4253-2).

The “National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual” defines indoctrination as "the initial security instructions/briefing given a person prior to granting access to classified information." (**National Industrial Letters**). The ISO: Environmental Management Systems (EMS) is codified in Oregon State laws. The administrative rules are grounded upon "market driven standards (solutions)." "Quality of life" is defined and mandated as "Income." The "sustainable" risk management models are subject to commercial "Proof of concept." The 'state' presumes to treat Quality of life as a "product" sold through PGO corporate ‘exchanges,’ ‘value added,’ ‘triple bottom line,’ ‘carbon certificates,’ ‘credits,’ ‘subsidies,’ and taxation *confessions* (IRS; ODR- corporate, private). (**Cross reference: Dialectic Materialism, Corporatism, Cartelization, Innovative law, Communitarian Law, stakeholder council, MK-Ultra, Public perception management- fair & balanced & accurate news you can trust).**

The Fabian societrist of Tavistock and the New Left in the 1960s are linked through Students for A Democratic Society (SDS). (**Cross reference: Club of Rome, A/D Project, Global 2000 Plan, Georgia State College- Newt Gingrich**). Alice Bailey of the Fabian society and occultist, said, “behind the division of humanity stand the enlightened ones... whose right and privilege it is to

watch over human evolution and to guide the destinies of men... they train the members of the New Group of world servers... thus forming the basis of the new world order.” (*See: Tasarian, hierarchy of symbols, e.g. UN*). The final goal of world revolution, the reversal of all standards established by society, “governance of the mind,” “manipulation of consciousness,” and “change of spiritual constitution.”

Values washing (brain washing), covert application of *parallel vector management*, public perception management, surveying, polling to stimulate behavioral conformity encompass MKULTRA and related MK DELTA (use of bio-chemicals) are *products of scientists*, such as Dr. Sidney Gottlieb (CIA). The primary front for funding MK ULTRA operations was the Josiah Macy, Jr. Foundation... staffed by Rockefeller-financed *psychologists* and *eugenicists*. Institutional normative rationale is exhibited by, “fair & balanced” media programming, centered, acceptable, positivism, goal value priorities, world vision and values voting (group consensus). “Backward masking” of Manchurian candidates is an trigger part of MK Ultra mind control and is used to eliminate handled ‘patsies.’ The patsies are recruited based on their individual personality traits (profile map). The post event media story line is substantiated by the ‘official story.’ (*Cross reference: Capstone doctrine, interoperability*).

Departures from the norm may appear as characterizing, disenfranchising, or criminalizing. In the context of *conformity behavior* the institutional standard is “DSM-III (IV). The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual on Mental Disorders (American Psychiatric Association). Unfortunately, there is no scientific basis, proof of fact, for “syndromes” according to leading associates. A particular method linkage is exemplified by, “The Process, an apocalyptic Scientology spinoff group... the Final Church using Process terminology...straight from *Process theology*... a familiar ring... The “process” of the Process is identical to that of Tavistock, the return of the blank slate, the *tabula rasa* through violence. (*See: Mind Control, World Control, The Encyclopedia of Mind Control, Keith, ISBN 0-932813-45-3*). Scientology utilizes vector management, e.g. VAB. (*Cross reference: Psychiatric Shock Troops, Black Chamber, values, cult, occult, para-religious organization, movement, Human Ecology Fund, ACLU: Process Ideals, The Engineering of Consent, MIT: Center for the Study of Group Dynamics, Institute for Social Research, Aspen Institute of Colorado, American Policy Commission, Office of Public Opinion Research, World Tensions Project, LSD Program [Huxley], Esalen Institute: sensitivity training [Murphy], Esalen: cult-creation projects [Bugenthal], AHP: Carl Rogers, The Psychology of Science [Maslow], Principles of Mass Persuasion, British Army Psychological Warfare Bureau, Committee of 300, Third Wave, controlled environment & fixed personality, topical psychology, Rand corporation, Mitre corporation, Heritage Foundation, University of Sussex, Hudson Institute, Hoover Institute, Sandoz AG- developer of Lysergic Acid (LSD)*). Document and track operant/conditioners executing neuro-scientific “Values washing,” and “Whole Child Education.”

The history of the DSM includes, “The manual evolved from systems for collecting census and psychiatric hospital statistics, and from a manual developed by the US Army. The last major revisions was the fourth edition [DSM-IV], published in 1994. ICD-10 Chapter V: Mental and behavioral disorders, part of the International Classification of Diseases produced by the World Health Organization (WHO), is another commonly used guide... represents an unscientific system that enshrines the opinions of a few powerful psychiatrists. William Glasser refers to the DSM as “phony diagnostic categories... to help (psychiatrists) make money. In 1949, the World Health Organization published the International Statistical Classification of Diseases (ICD). The Veterans Administration adopted Medical 203. Gay rights activist Ronald Bayer, psychiatrist, published Homosexuality and American Psychiatry: The Politics of Diagnosis (1981). The DSM-II, in 1974, no longer listed homosexuality as a category of disorder... the diagnosis was replaced with the category of “sexual orientation disturbance.” Mental disorders criteria were taken from the Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) and Feighner Criteria. The DSM-IV organizes each psychiatric diagnosis into Axis I, II, III, IV, and V. Common Axis II disorders include: antisocial personality disorder, narcissistic personality disorder, etc. The validity and reliability, e.g. standard clinical interviews are “inherently limited” and only a (“flawed”) “best estimate diagnosis.” The DSM is primarily concerned with the signs and symptoms of mental disorders. Cross-cultural psychiatrist Arthur Kleinman contends that the Western bias is ironically illustrated in the introduction of cultural factors to the DSM-IV.

Oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) is a diagnosis described as an ongoing pattern of disobedient, hostile and defiant behavior toward authority figures which goes beyond the bounds of normal

childhood behavior. The common features include: excessive, often persistent anger, frequent temper tantrum or angry outbursts, and **disregard for authority**. In order to apply a treatment regime the behaviors must cause considerable distress, interfere significantly with academic or **social functioning**, persisting for at least six months. The Department of Homeland Security, its subdivisions have advanced plausible guilt scenarios to the degree of discontent (VRHDT Act). The ODD promotional programs include: “total reformation of 11-17 year olds” (KFLY, radio, Eugene, Oregon, 11-17-11). The targeting of 11-17 year old males is a significant security matter common to removal of ‘potential’ domestic / civilian combatants during periods of “sectarian tension” and “civil unrest.” The two basic approaches are drug regime therapy, and/or removal for resocialization / security force recruitment. Departures from the ‘global citizenship role model’, ‘behavioral conformity,’ and ‘institutional normative rationale’ may provide rationale for removing and detaining consensus blockers, religious dissenters, protestors, demonstrators, and others described as expressing “anti-government” views, e.g. opposition to ‘serial warfare engagement, limited genocide, and austerity programs’ and other people ‘assembled for redress of grievances’ (Occupy Wall Street, 2011). It is important to note that when the ‘senate’ no longer listens to the people, the people are absolved from charges of “public disobedience.” Psychiatric diagnosis used for purposes of prosecution, detainment and ‘treatment’ were central features of the German Nazi, national socialist party, internment of consensus blockers, and others exhibiting **Oppositional defiant disorder.**]

On 2010, Nicholas West, posted on Pakalert, the “10 Modern Methods of Mind Control.” The ‘activist post’ specified, “... a coordinated script... to bend the will...” The “laws of learning” have been altered to include an operational goal value priority of behavioral conformity through 1. Educate naturally impressionable children (*See: **The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America, Charlotte Iserbyt***); 2. Advertising and Propaganda- alter ‘wants’ to ‘needs,’ construct a citizen **snitch culture** (*Cross reference: **brown shirts, green shirts, goon squads***), merge the corporate structure (loyalty) with government (allegiance), propaganda placement; 3. Predictive programming (*See: **Alan Watt***), Vigilant Citizen; 4. Sports, Politics, Religion, corrals ‘tribal tendencies’ into a non-important event, political discourse constricted to ‘left, right.’ 5. Food, Water and Air- alter brain chemistry to create docility and apathy, lack of motivation, lower IQ: Aspartame, MSG excitotoxins, aerobic dispersal (spray) of toxins (chemtrails); 6. Drugs- define all people by their disorders (see: UN, DSM IV), mind-numbing medications prescribed for children. 7. Military testing- DARPA transcranial mind control helmets. 8. Electromagnetic spectrum, (*See: **GWEN towers, HAARP, ELF population suppression technologies***). 9. Television, Computer, and “flicker rate”- psycho-social weapon, coded internet data. Rapid pace induction of an ADHD state of mind, desensitizing connection to reality (*See: **Collateral Murder***). 10. Nanobots- Direct brain modification, e.g. “neuroengineering.” (*See: www.pakalertpress.com/2010/12/31/10-modern-methods-of-mind-control*).

The “soviet style stakeholder council” participatory structure follows the secular political ideology of collaborative governance. During the initial phases of injecting formal consensus (consensus caucus) process psychology was used to establish a **therapeutic sensation** that all of the community members present held a “stake” in the fixed options and outcome prescribed by the “foreplanners.” Within the **formal consensus governance** process stakeholder interest groups are obsolete and have been preempted by “**stakeholder teams.**” These teams are generally restricted ‘**inner circle**’ participation among **lead agencies** and their **preferred partners**. The agencies’ corporate personalities, such as line officers and supervisor are designed according to “technical job specifications,” “Merit System Rules” and professional rules of conduct established by their membership in guilds, associations, fraternities, and para-religious organizations. (*Cross reference: **International Organization for Standardization (ISO)***).

The basis of the “inner circle” stems from the model of “**concentric rings of control.**” (*Cross reference: **Chain of custody, full spectrum dominance, UN/Vatican capstone doctrine***). The modern era mutation is “decision teams.” The over-arching **design**, through history, is visible in the Free Masonic order of fraternity. In the global context, the inner circle has been identified as the “Global Union Movement,” e.g. “Inner Ring” group is made up of Officers and Directors; the “Center Ring” is networked among leaders, implementers, foreplanners, initiators, facilitators, collaborators; the “Outer Ring” members are for “camouflage purposes,” e.g. public engagement and an appearance of transparency. The outer ring is “interoperable” at the “functional specialization” levels of ordered society estates, compliance, conformity and obedience to economic channeling (select beneficiaries), e.g. “sustainable development.” (*See: **Who’s Who of***

the Elite, Members of the: Bilderbergs, Council on Foreign Relations & Trilateral Commission, Robert G. Ross, Jr., 2002). The ‘group’ dynamic is masked in “therapeutic alliance,” and local “self-empowerment.” It is important to analyze the placement of inner circle personalities, such as in university and college boards of *Regeancy*. (*Cross reference: Operation Paperclip, change agency*).

The intermediaries (initiators, facilitators, coordinators) are cloaked in the group psychology of just being ‘folk,’ while in fact each appears at the group function at public expense, uses agency titles, addresses and co-operates with dual control: initiates, contributes, reports & decides. Each, and every one is an agent and has an established institutional agenda, issue development scope and desired outcomes suitable to the “*operational goal value priorities*” of the regeancy. The agency is fact is a financially vested stakeholder, but cloaks its *role* as being ‘supportive’ and bearing ‘values neutral’ policy. Mere prerogatives become “situational ethics,” and “environmental ethical tradeoffs,” e.g. mediation of standards. The agency as a stakeholder (manager/regulator) controls its competitive advantage over the ‘folk’ from the community. Protection of the agency’s owned assets is special.

The untaxed profit margin realized by the agency government corporation is traceable through their respective City, County, State and Federal Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR). Those stakeholder corporations veiled in so called Indian “sovereign immunity” are excluded and exempted from most audits and subsequently are indemnified from penalties set off by deception, fraud and theft.

In the field case file, the McKenzie Watershed Council: Stewardship group, was facilitated by Resource Innovations, of the University of Oregon. The participatory structure was extreme and recurrently weighted by artificial agency personalities (administrative, technical & scientific advisors). Other than as agency personalities, the indigenous community was conspicuously absent. (*See: Infraspect case file: Collaborative governance, Willamette & McKenzie WaterSheld Councils*).

The participatory group pattern configuration is 3 agency, 2 corporate officers, 1 protected group or affirmative action status person and 1 community socio/political personality. During the Western Stewardship Summit, Sun River Resort, Oregon, 9-2008, the speech encoding “full spectrum of stakeholders” was used in several instances. The protracted concern of the summit participants appeared to be federal, tribal, state and county codified *authorities* and funding corporation partnership management (standards, monitoring) of public domain and ecosystem services. Cartelization of these services are said to be worth “trillions.” (USFS, MRRD, Supv., 2008). *Collaborative forestry* is a demonstration of the operation of inter circle formal ‘Consensus Governance Decision Making.’ The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) makes special organizational provisions for “stakeholders.” (*See Infraspect’s audit form, Instrumentation of Quasi-government Action Committees*). (*Cross reference: Globally Integrated Enterprise, Enterprise Environmentalism, Collaborative Forestry Governance, s, Proof of concept, Conservation Psychology, Conservation easements: swaps, cap & trade, credit banking, Chicago Carbon eXchange, futures trading, Collaboratives, Benton County Habitat Conservation Plan, Oregon, McKenzie Watershed Council: Stewardship Group*).

Protracting a partnership matrix, as designed by the “collaborative governance” secular political ideology, sets off matters of lack of legislative transparency, *identity theft by deception* and fraudulent conveyance of natural assets and interests. The mechanism extends from the UNDP, UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (D.R.I.P.), ‘Indigenous Worldview,’ so called “Nation building” that rely on S.P.I.N. and dysfunctional public sentiments pertaining to “dis-advantaged social and economic status, protected group status, and affirmative action.” *False rights*, exemptions from applications of law, administrative procedures, failure to disclose partnership vested interests and tax liabilities, secrecy in non-competitive contract awards, e.g. source contracting, stewardship contracting, conservation easements, land trusts, mis application of “national security” are part of the various *tool kits* of those partnering with the federal, state, county and city governments to violate, avoid, and circumvent FACA, Sherman Anti-trust, and RICO status having bearing on white collar crimes.

The tandem mechanisms designed to construct veils of *absolute and qualified immunity* from public scrutiny, civil actions and criminal prosecutions and special advantages are illustrated by,

“... to permit “In lieu” selections... to give ANCSA corporations preferential rights... regulatory schemes permitting contractors to satisfy minority ownership requirements by associating an ANCSA corporation... shares are inalienable and the original shareholders were all native... but, ironically, the land alienable. The regional corporations have underground rights whereas the village corporations have surface rights, which means Arctic Slope Regional Corporation has mineral rights...”

“In July 2002, U.S. Customs Service officials announced half-billion dollar contract... considered... included Lockheed Martin Corp. and DynCorp... but later issued a statement saying there would not be competition for the work... give a no-bid contract to... Chenega Technology Services Corporation (Alaskan Native owned)... subcontracting... included Applications International Corp. (San Diego), and American Science and Engineering Inc... Customs could avoid the slow and costly competitive bidding process for government contracts.” “Chenega Technology Services Corp... privately held Alaska Native Corporations permitted to operate as disadvantaged small business even if their parent companies have millions... in revenue and thousands of employees... exempt from the \$3 million federal cap on no-bid service contracts... The corporations do not have to be run by Native Alaskans... can subcontract much of their work to other firms.”

Chenega Technology is headquartered in a glass office building in Alexandria... revenue... estimated \$480 million today. Chenega Chief Operating Officer Jeff Hueners [said] benefits from preferential laws “based upon the *trust relationship* of the United States Government has with indigenous aboriginal people.”

William Bickelman, U.S. Customs and Border Protection... praised the no-bid contract for allowing officials to move quickly on a project vital to national security... There are special rules of engagement that Alaska Native Corporations benefit from, and we took *advantage* of those benefits.” Chenega’s Hueners praised [Stevens AK-R] as an instrument to the process.”

Chenega Corp., the parent of Chenega Technology, was formed as an Alaska Native *Village* Corporation in 1974. They were also given the right to operate as profit-making businesses.” (***Cross reference: Security & Exchange Commission, SEC, co-mingling non-profit public benefit corporations with for profit corporations; Private Government Organizations (PGOs)***). “The company has 142 shareholders [stakeholders]and operates in 35 states and seven countries.” The company and its subsidiaries have about 60 contracts, the majority of them no-bid, to supply 17 federal agencies with information technology, security, base operations, intelligence and other services.” (***Cross reference: Collaborative surveillance, full spectrum dominance, fusion centers, foreign powers, TALON, DARPA, COPS, Communitarian Law, 2020, Bush Foundation- Native “Nation building”***).

“Stevens introduced “The Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act” in 1992 to enable the corporations to be treated preferentially as small business... negated, for contracts awarded to corporations owned by Native Americans, a Defense Department requirement of elaborate cost-benefit analysis... Chenega teamed with... Arctic Slope Regional Corp... \$2.2 billion information technology at the National Imagery and Mapping Agency, now the National Geospatial-intelligence Agency in Bethesda... Chenega began pursuing homeland security contracts.”

Embedded agency personnel inner circle collaboration and linkage are exhibited by, “John Emelio, a marketing consultant for Chenega, approached Bickelman, the Customs procurement official... A former official at the Indian Health Services, Emelio had worked with Indian tribes... in and out of government.” Bobbie Walker, Custom business manager... [said] it was initially hard for her to believe that Customs could avoid the headaches of competitive bidding... Customs held a meeting in one of its offices in Lorton to prevent word from leaking to agency colleagues and contractors.” “We snuck them into our place.” (***Cross reference: Anteon International Corp., Fairfax County***).

Chenega requested a meeting with the Transportation Security Administration, [participants] Customs and Border Protection, and met in the office of Jon Devore, chief counselor Lisa Murkowski (R-Alaska), and staff assistant Kate Williams. Devore once worked for a Alaska Native Corporation. (***Cross reference: Birch, Horton, Bittner and Cherot law firm***). (***See: Stumps Don’t Lie, Narguimbau; Alaska Native Corporations Cash In on Contracting, Washington Post Staff Writers, Robert O’Harrow Jr., Scott Higham, 11-25-2004***).