

papers” to establish, hold and build upon ‘proof of concept’ papers, e.g. “market driven standards (ISO EMS: FSC, SFI).⁸⁴

⁸⁴ The academic establishment, inclusive of legal experts, have been careful to manage public perception that the secular political ideology “*collaborative governance*” is viewed in the ‘public mind’ as merely augmenting representative [local law] rule, e.g. common democracy. The ‘participants’ are misled to believe they “own the process.” The Integrated Resource Restoration operational goal value priority was and is the protracted supplanting of the legislative public initiative process, e.g. “builds on principles for restoration established by Congress with enactment of the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Act (P.L. 111-11).” The private government organizations (PGOs) are led by the global World Wildlife Fund (WWF), receiving money from the “US Agency for International Development (USAID). The WWF’s business model includes partnering with industry to protect nature.” The collateral intentions are depicted by, “a tribal group reported how troops hired by WWF partner Wilmar had destroyed their houses, because they stood in the way of unfettered palm oil production.” [Indigenous Batin Sembilan tribe] “live in the middle of Wilmar’s Asiatic Persada plantation is scheduled for TUV Rheinland... the Notorious Brimob police brigade destroyed the houses... Wilmar managers *collaborated* with Brimob... they started shooting.” The post event “mediation” processes are designed to get the indigenous to acquiesce to artificial status and distribution ‘benefits.’ The collaborative governance secular political ideology is substantiated by, “healthy forests, umbrella of [false] restoration, economically viable restoration, stakeholder stewardship contracting which “requires a collaborative process... [assuring] management activities have public support... with fewer objections, appeals and litigation... accountability and transparency... key concern to us and stakeholders.” The speech encoding includes process psychology terms, such as “results on the ground.” The “sustainable local communities” doctrine is implemented through the UN corporation’s ICLEI apparatus... many diverse stakeholders. The Delphi technique is applied to isolate, alienate and terminate the participation of inner circle group “consensus blockers.”

The networking “sign on” process is exemplified by the supra-regional collaboration among the American Forests, Applegate Partnership and Watershed Council, Oregon, Arizona Forest Restoration Products, Inc., Association for Fire Ecology, Calaveras Healthy Impact Product Solutions, California, California Ski Industry Association, Center for Rural Strategy, Kentucky, Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council, Oregon, Cherokee Forest Voices, Tennessee, The Clinch Coalition, Virginia, Colorado Forest Restoration Institute, Conservation Northwest, Washington, Communities Committee, Ecosystem Workforce Program, Oregon, Flathead Economic Policy Center, Montana, Florida Native Plant Society, Forest Energy Corporation, Arizona and Colorado, Forest Guild, Framing our Community, Idaho, Gifford Pinched Task Force, Washington, Gila Wood Net, New Mexico, Greater Flagstaff Forest Partnership, Arizona, Humboldt Area Foundation, California, Idaho Conservation League, Institute for Culture and Ecology, Oregon, International Association of Wildland Fire, Jobs and Biodiversity Coalition, New Mexico, Lake County Resources Initiative, Oregon, Mt Adams Resource Stewards, Washington, National Association of Forest Service Retirees, National Ski Area Association, The Nature Conservancy, New Mexico Forest Industry Association, Northern Forest Center, New Hampshire, Northwest Connections, Montana, Old Wood, LCC, Mexico, Pinchot Institute for Conservation, Ranching heritage Alliance, Arizona, Redwood Forest Foundation, California, Redwood Coast Rural Action, California, Restoration Solutions, New Mexico, Restoration Technologies, New Mexico, Restore Montana, Salmon Valley Stewardship, Idaho, Santa Clara Woodworks, New Mexico, SBS Wood Shavings, New Mexico, Shonshone County Board of Commissioners, Idaho, Sierra Business Council, California, Sierra Nevada Conservancy, California, Silver Valley Economic Development Corporation, Idaho, Siskiyou Project, Oregon, Stika Conservation Society, Alaska, Siuslaw Institute, Oregon, Society of American Foresters, South Central Oregon Economic Development District, Oregon, Southern Oregon Small Diameter Collaborative, Sustainable Northwest, Oregon, Swan Ecosystem Center, Montana, Tennessee Native Plant Society, TSS Consultants, California, Wallowa Resources, Oregon, Watershed Research and Training Center, California, Western Aspen Alliance, Utah, White Mountains Natural Resources Working Group, Arizona, Wildlaw, Alabama, Florida and North Carolina, Wild South, North Carolina, Woody

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

The legal perversions of ‘taxation’ do not appear in indigenous tradition and culture. This institutionalized form of ‘taking’ (legal plunder)⁸⁵ has advanced to such a degree that predatory banking contracts provide for first priority assignments⁸⁶ of *tax* revenue *confessions*, rights arising from ownership, lease and development rights, derivatives specified in contractual instruments, actual revenues being promised and assigned to banking corporations absent of will and consent of the people.

The banking cartels rely on the Indian tribal ‘veil of sovereign immunity,’ executive session administering private law contracts, as barriers from prosecution, and are guarded by superior agency secretary ‘discretionary’

⁸⁵ The “tax deed” is an invented instrument used in taking, confiscation, seizure, forfeiture, and conversion of personal property to an asset belonging to a corporation. The public trust, interest, welfare, safety, and convenience are used to mask the assignment to provisional beneficiaries.

⁸⁶ In the case demonstration the First Interstate Bank of Great Falls delineated the collateral items the BTBC was offering in exchange for the loan amount of \$10 million, Listed: first-priority assignment of the revenue sharing agreements the tribe had with the State of Montana; and first priority assignment of payment rights arising from ownership, development and/or lease of mineral rights on tribal lands.

administrative decision making.⁸⁷ The branches of government are co-mingled for the convenience of the present regime. The debt collateral being natural assets, trusts, interests, derivative exchanges, rights and

⁸⁷ The “tax deed” is an invented instrument used in taking, confiscation, seizure, forfeiture, and conversion of personal property to an asset belonging to a corporation. The public trust, interest, welfare, safety, and convenience are used to mask the assignment to provisional beneficiaries.

In the case demonstration the First Interstate Bank of Great Falls delineated the collateral items the BTBC was offering in exchange for the loan amount of \$10 million, Listed: first-priority assignment of the revenue sharing agreements the tribe had with the State of Montana; and first priority assignment of payment rights arising from ownership, development and/or lease of mineral rights on tribal lands.

Section 1-201(19) of the U.C.C. defines good faith as, in the minimum, “honesty in fact in the conduct or transaction concerned.” This “honesty in fact” definition appears on the surface to be quite similar to the good faith definition of § 2-103(1)(b) of the U.C.C. However, the two definitions should be distinguished. Section 2-103(1)(b) defines good faith in the case of a “merchant” to mean “honesty in fact and the observance of reasonable commercial standards of fair dealing in the trade.” This section concerns sales transactions, and does not involve relations between lenders and borrowers. Indeed, a lender is not a merchant and is not generally bound to observe “reasonable commercial standards.”

U.C.C. defines “good faith” with regard to the contractual performance of a lender only in terms of whether a lender has acted “honestly in fact in the conduct or transaction concerned.”

The definition of “good faith” in other portions of the U.C.C. requires a subjective test of honesty in fact, reasoned that a subjective test is appropriate under U.C.C. § 1-208.

The obligation to perform in good faith should not, however, require lenders, or any contracting party, to perform beyond the terms of their contracts.

(See: "Lender Liability and the Duty of Good Faith." 123HelpMe.com. 22 Oct 2013).

The Banks implement Schemes based on the notification of the certification authority by State Governments communicated through District Level Consultative Committees (DLCCs).

The federal government is a partner in establishing vague pre decisional criteria for loan usury schemes: You must be an enrolled member of a federally recognized tribe to qualify; Your home can be on or off the reservation (within approved areas); Down payments are as low as 1.25% - 2.25%; Gifts and tribal assistance are permitted; Regular 30 yr fixed interest rates available; No hidden terms or fees; Common sense approval process; No Monthly Mortgage insurance; Only a 1% guarantee fee to the government; Doublewide and modular homes allowed; Cash out refinance to 85% loan to value; New construction and renovation allowed; In-house loan approvals and funding.

Western Sky Financial, a South-Dakota-based online lender that's become infamous for its sky-high interest rates, is finally being sued. New York State Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman announced Tuesday that his office has filed a lawsuit against Western Sky (South Dakota) for charging rates that far exceed what is permissible under New York law. According to the Schneiderman lenders not licensed by the state of New York can't charge an annual interest rate greater than 16 percent. Western Sky charges interest rates as high as 355 percent.

tribal moneys are taken by the banking corporations,⁸⁸ executed by mere ‘resolutions’⁸⁹ of tribal (IRA) business councils and executive committees. This amounts to “fractional reserve lending,” e.g. “debt banking.” This is the central feature of the trans-national corporation (TNC) known as the “federal reserve system.” (*Cross reference: Gold leasing*). The banking cartel thus creates “total control” through *domestic dependent nation* states (IRA chartered tribal corporations) government barrowing. (*Cross reference: failed reservation state, predatory economy*).

In the Blackfeet case demonstration the 2012 tribal council election brought new council members. The existing “Sharp administration” failed to develop a consensus collaboration in the face of standing grievances pertaining to allegations of election fraud; co-mingling of the tribal legislative, executive and judicial branches of government (unitary executive decision making); nepotistic exceptionalism applied to federally funded tribal programs; county/state/tribe tax revenue sharing agreements, distribution of Cobell Settlement⁹⁰ funds, collaborative state/tribal water

⁸⁸ *Collapsible corporation*. A corporation formed or availed of principally for...the purchase of property, or for the holding of stock in a corporation so formed or availed of, with a view to the sale or exchange of stock by its shareholders... or a distribution to its shareholders, before the realization by the corporation of a substantial part of the taxable income to be derived from such property, and the realization by such shareholders of gain attributable to such property. *I.R.C. §§ 337(c), 341 (b)(1)*. Conversions of ordinary income into capital gain. *Collapsible partnership*.

⁸⁹ **Resolutions are not law.**

⁹⁰ The “Cobell settlement,” with consensus of the US District Court Columbia (Wash. D.C.), was annexed to congressional legislation promulgated after the settlement was co-operated on the basis of *conclusive presumptions* between the litigating parties. Cobell made periodic letters and emails to inform some ‘Indians’ with summarized PR versions of the ongoing matter. Cobell stipulated that particular tribal organizations were not getting moneys and that the terms were not to change in the aggregate allocation of money to specialized classes, settlement terms and conditions, excluding those not signing the pro forma settlement agreement, and others disqualified. This special settlement only applied to the parties, represented by BAR attorneys of record, admitted to practice. It is noteworthy that the Lakota Seven Fires Council, natural indigenous hereditary Chiefs and Headmen, gave a notice and special appearance to the USDC Columbia Judge—the judge reacted with a letter telling the council that their notice and special appearance would be kept out of the court record. Special enduring immunities benefiting legal counsel were built into the provisions of the settlement. In particular cases, such as ‘Emerson Elk’, the white paper settlement agreement form letter was autographed by name, with notice on the instrument, “all rights reserved, without prejudice,” and dated, before ‘discharging’ the bill. The settlement is linked to a *trust*. “Illusory trust” is “where a settlor in form either declares himself trustee of, or transfers to a third party, property in trust, but by the terms of the trust, or by his dealing with the trust property, in substance exercises so much control over the trust property that it is clear that he did not intend to relinquish any of his rights in the trust property, the trust is invalid as illusory. An “illusory trust” is a trust arrangement which takes the form of a trust, but because of powers retained in the settlor has no real substance and in reality is not a complete trust. *In re Herron’s Estate, Fla.App., 237 So.2d 563, 566; Bolles v. Toledo Trust Co., 144 Ohio St. 195, 58 N.E.2d 381, 390.*

compact arrangements, banking practices, repeated despotism and violations of individual liberty. The tribal executive committee voted to “suspend” (without recourse) the dissenting elected council members, under a false flag, as a “Blackfeet Emergency.” The tribal court docket,⁹¹ appellate court docket before and during the pending “emergency” (1-2013) does not include certified complaints, causes of actions, indicative of several criminal prosecutions, civil disobedience charges, public wide endangerment and series of convictions. The reprisal purging operation included the termination of emphatic tribal employees and removal of a tribal court judge holding to judicial canons, e.g. refusing to follow alleged unlawful instructions of tribal officials. Under the doctrine of “judicial immunity,” “A judge is not subject to liability for any act committed within the exercise of his judicial function; the immunity is absolute.” *C.M. Clark Ins. Agency, Inc. v. Reed, D.C.Tex., 390 F.Supp. 1056.* Public outcry in the form of peaceful assembly was met with substantively increased SWAT equipped inter-agency police presence and special notice of penalty and confinement. The matter of “just cause” arises, e.g. “A cause outside legal cause, which must be based on reasonable grounds, and there must be fair and honest cause or reason, regulated by good faith.” *Dubois v. Gentry, 182 Tenn. 103, 184 S.W.2d 369, 371.* Fair, adequate, reasonable cause. In re *Municipal Garage in and for City of Utica, 141 Misc. 15, 252 N.Y.S. 18, 32.* “Legitimate cause; legal or lawful ground for action; such reasons as will suffice in law to justify the action taken.” *Boston Elevated Ry. Co. v. Commonwealth, 310 Mass. 528, 39 N.E.2d 87, 112, 124.* In the matters of false detainment, unreasonable search & seizure, false arrest and resisting an official, agent, or enforcement officer, the targeted person(s) may respond to these assaults, without retreating, repel by force, and if, in the reasonable exercise of right of self defense, his assailant is killed, the targeted person(s) are justified. See: *Runyan v. State 57 Ind. 80;p Miller v. State, Ind. 1.* “These principles apply as well to an officer attempting to make an arrest, who abuses authority and transcends the bounds thereof by the use of unnecessary force and violence, as they do to a private individual who

⁹¹ The “docket” is a formal public record, entered in brief, of the proceedings of a court of justice: (1) *appearance docket* in which actions are entered, (2) *execution docket* sued out or pending in the sheriff’s office, (3) *judgment [preferred] docket* of the judgments entered in a given court- kept by the court clerk, (4) *civil docket (Fed.R. Civil P. 79(a))*, name (caption), file number, all process issued, data/time stamped/sealed, (5) docket fee- cost of the action. The dockets are formal legal requirements, not subject to the arbitrary discretion of the court (judge, attorneys, bailiff, clerk, administrator, prosecutor, district attorney, sheriff, plaintiffs, petitioners, respondents, defendants. (*Cross reference: extrinsic fraud, abuse of process*).

unlawfully uses such force and violence.” See: *Jones v. State*, 26 Tex. App. 1; *Beaverts v. State*, 4 Tex. App. 1 75; *Skidemore v. State*, 43 Tex. 93, 903. “An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery.” See: *State v. Robinson*, 145 ME. 77, 72 ATL. 260. “Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense.” See: *State v. Mobley*, 240 N.C. 476, 83 S.E. 2nd 100. “Citizens may resist unlawful arrest to the point of taking an arresting officer’s life as necessary.” *Plummer v. State*, 136 Ind. 306. This premise was upheld by the Supreme Court of the United States in the case: *John Bad Elk v. U.S.*, 177 U.S. 529.

Indigenous identity Theft is designed to *institutionally disestablish* the natural real human by manifest takings, such as universally regulating *false right* instruments, construction of the *artificial person* as property of the collective, ordered societal estate, collaboratives,⁹² groups, cults, fraternities and corporations. **Security papers:** birth⁹³ & death certificates, baptismal certificates, government (BIA/Tribal) identification cards, social security numbers, passports, vehicle-aircraft-boat titles/documents, RFID tracking tags, licenses, permits, insurance certificates, academic degrees, article of commerce, appraisals and credit instruments are issued with expiration dates and are owned by foreign agents (surrogate powers). The expiration dates on *government issued* identification requires in person renewals, examination, documentation, qualification and determination as to legal fitness.

Natural real human integrity is subjugated to the arbitrary *institutional normative rationale* by ways and means of agents applying social engineering, community development quotas – individual income limits (moderate living needs), thought reform, mind control, brain and values washing. The collaborative group is a “social structure” (face to face), which influences the personal *attitude* of each participant. The change agents emphasize the use of small group sizes to maximize the consensus

⁹² Collaborative governance uses formal “consensus reality”, e.g. ‘joint (scientific) opinion’ grounded in ulterior and underlying motives of the current participants, and a false perception of ‘democracy.’

⁹³ The *Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)* is a worldwide code, e.g. commercial maritime law. At the bottom of the birth certificate is a number in red print. This security paper is registered on the world security stock exchange (1930).

affect among *status conscious individuals*, and minimize default from the pre-determined agenda, issue development and fixed options. The *bandwagon affect* relies on inclusionary *peer pressure* evident in the Delphi techniques, e.g. “just say yes.” (See: *Techniques of Persuasion, From Propaganda to Brainwashing, J.A.C. Brown, Deputy Director of the Institute of Social Psychiatry, London*).

Under the color of ‘spiritual discernment’ the conquered ‘savages’ (Nations, tribes, Indians) were involuntarily subjugated to and acquiesced to parents granting ‘title to the soul’ of their natural indigenous babies to the church registrar visa vi “*baptismal certificates*.” The *men* and *women* were never explained the absolute aspect of the 1st Ecclesiastical Trust, the over-arching “ecclesiastical law,” church & capstone doctrines, the Cestui Quae (Vie) Trusts; and, when a child (resident, citizen) is borne in a state (Estate), under inferior Roman law the Trusts [in the form of Registry, Corporate name of the Person] are designed to deny, forever, the child any rights of Real Property, and Rights to be free, and any Rights to be known as man or woman. (*Cross reference: application of common law on federal Indian reservations, Tribal citizens, SSI: body social, eviction of Indigenous Tenants*). All inherit rights⁹⁴ stem from property (ownership).

⁹⁴ *Inherit rights* are immutable, that which are not legislative inventions granted by legislators. Inherit rights are not supervised privileges, entitlements, or granted favoritism. Free speech is an inherit right no matter where you stand. Constitutional free zones and free speech zones are unconstitutional and therefore are unlawful, and are subject to ‘nullity.’ Right to education, health, are false rights. False rights have no inherit nature, as this falsehood imputes ownership in another’s property. A doctor’s skill is embedded with intellectual property right. Without compensation offered and accepted, the doctor does not have to satisfy the demand of a person claiming a right to treatment or health care. Two significant formal documents have been autographed and dated in recent years. The “declaration of sacred estate held in the land” by “natural Washoe people,” apart from the IRA tribal business committee (council). This formal document was followed by the “Declaration by indigenous People,” (2010) autographed and being signed by people (sovereign personal political power holders, real humans) of the earth. These documents are declarations, and as such stand outside of any social contract and acknowledge that the force and effect of the Papal bulls on the indigenous sacred estates held in the land, are subject to nullity, and are void. The right of Kings, right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain, and application of Ecclesiastical law (discovery law) are rejected and denied, without prejudice. The matter of BAR lawyer commission of *extrinsic fraud* against indigenous people (real humans) is controversial and continues (2012), expressed in the “Indigenous identity Theft (fraud) paper (Blair, George, 2011); is a fraud against the *rules of Trusts* and *Property*. These formal documents rebukes Capstone doctrine, the Jesuit Oath, limited genocide, eugenics, austerity, eugenics, torture, serial warfare engagements, the several faces of jihad, and cruelty as breaches of “preeminent moral leadership,” lacking gratitude for and grace of the creator of the universe. The notice of the documents have been filed with the United Nations, United States, estate governors, executive agents: USDOJ, USDI, USDA, FBI, DOD, etc. (See: www.Infraspect.com, readthedeclaration.blogspot.com; *Infraspect, case demonstration file: Profiling Intrusions on community action groups, activists and citizens*). (*Cross reference: “Stealing a Nation,” Diego Garcia, British Ordering Council, false Restitution Agreement, co-operation of United States, re: Camp Justice*).

The **secular occult theology of ‘righteous nation’ vs. ‘wicked nations’** has driven the historical and modernized forms of “*group defamation*,”⁹⁵ e.g. ‘hostiles,’ ‘savages,’ ‘radicals,’ ‘extremists,’ ‘consensus blockers,’ ‘anti-government’ groups and ‘terrorists.’ Real Property (earth), Personal Property (body) and Ecclesiastical Property (soul) correspond to

⁹⁵ *Group defamation* (ADL) postulates harassment, bias and hate as status of the artificial personality, and mandates criminal prosecution on ‘potentiality,’ absent of proof of facts satisfying the “elements of criminality,” found in constitutional Law, Bill of rights and due process—probable cause.

the three forms of law available to BAR courts⁹⁶ used as venues to execute Indigenous identity Theft. The singular pretext and context of “righteous *nation*” vs. the [multiple] pretext and context of the “wicked *nations*” is important in respect to the acknowledged “*principles of*

⁹⁶ The **succession of courts imposed** upon the Indigenous original being, real human, travel from **conclusive presumptions** of ‘law,’ e.g. courts of Admiralty, Ancient Demesne, His Majesty’s court of appeals, Appeals in Cases of Capture, Archdeacon, Assistants, Attachments, Audience, Augmentation, Bankruptcy, Brotherhood, Chancery, Chivalry, Civil Appeals, Claims, Common Pleas, Conciliation, Conscience, Convocation, County Commissioners, Criminal Appeals, Delegates, Equity, Errors and Appeals, Exchequer Chamber, Faculties, First Instance, General Quarter Sessions of the Peace, General Sessions, Guestling, High Commission, Honor, Inquiry, King/Queen’s Bench, of law, Magistrates and Freeholders, Military Appeals, Nisi prius, Ordinary, Orphans, Peculiars, Policies of Assurance, Private Land Claims, Probate, Regard, Star Chamber, Survey, Coroner, Lord High Admiralty, Steward of the Universities, Wards and Liveries, Justice, court Christian, Common, Equity (conscience), Circuit, Domestic, Foreign, Inferior, Local, Prerogative, Surrogate, Inquest, Not of Record and Below. The courts of the united States are arranged in federal district courts. The “FISA court” administration is frameworked in secrecy. **Judicial trial** is distinguished from **administrative courts**. The “**requirements of live controversy**” are commonly corrupted by hidden and underlying **mutual interests**, ‘venue shopping,’ and ‘agreed settlements.’ The competence and jurisdiction of courts are subjugated to **multifariousness**, arbitrary applications of **comity**, and conflicts of interest. Social justice appears as “that which is to the advantage of the [present] majority,” answering the popular public mind. The ‘myth of the rule of law’ (fairness doctrine) sustains the court, in and for itself, it officers, as stakeholders. (**See: Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th Ed., The Nature and Functions of Law, 4th Ed., Berman, Greiner**). Our system of courts and the practice of governing them are derived from “curia,” as was held by and under the judgment of the King of England (Black’s Law, p.1672). A court is a place where justice is administered. **Central of Georgia Ry. Co. v. Harden 38 S.E. 949, 950, 113 Ga. 453, 456**. “... a tribunal charged, as substantive duty, with the exercise of **judicial power**. **Waldo v. Wallace, 12 Ind. 569, 583**. In this country... [the court] vests in the body of the people and courts sit as their representative. **Bridges v. McAllister, 51, S.W. 603, 106 Ky. 791, 45 L.R.A. 800, 90 Am. St. Rep. 267**. “... is an instrumentality of government... a creation of the law... persons by whom judicial functions are to be exercised... elements of time, place, and officers... in the general legal acceptance of the term. **White County Com’rs v. Gwin, 36 N.E. 237, 242, 136 Ind. 562, 22 L.R.A. 402**. To constitute a court, the judge or judges **must be in the discharge of judicial duties** at the time and in the place **prescribed by law** for the sitting court... **other than prescribed by law are void**. **Johnson v. Hunter, 59 W. Va. 52, 55, 40 S.E. 448 (citing Doss v. Waggoner, 3 Tex. 515, Baker v. Chisholm, 3 Tex. 157)**. “**The security of human rights and the safety of free institutions require the absolute freedom of action and integrity of courts**.” **Vigo County Com’rs v. Stout, 35 N.E. 683, 685, 136 Ind. 53, 22 L.R.A. 398**. Blackstone defines a court as consisting of at least three constituent parts, namely, the actor, or plaintiff, who complains of an injury; the reus, or defendant, who is called upon to make satisfaction for it; and the judex, or **judicial power**, which is **to examine the truth of the fact**, and, if any injury appears to have been done, to ascertain, and by its officers to apply, the remedy.” **People v. Board of Trustees of Village of Saratoga Springs, 39 N.Y. Supp. 607, 610, 4 App.Div. 399 (citing 3 Bl. Comm.); People v. Van Allen, 55 N.Y., 31, 35**. “A judge alone does not constitute a court... other than specified by law... are **coram non iudice, and void**. **Ex parte Gardner 39 Pac. 570, 22 Nev. 280**. Collaboration and alternative dispute resolution (ADL) does not constitute a **competent court**. The US Supreme court is made up of all the sitting justices... Each justice in chambers the same power as all the justices when in open court. **La Motte v. Smith, 27 S.E. 933, 934, 50 S.C. 558 (approved in Salinas v. O. Aultman & Co. 49 S.C. 325, 27 S.E. 385**. Courts of the State within the meaning of the statute relative to the service of process in any of the courts of the commonwealth on foreign corporations, includes the Circuit Court of the United States sitting in Pennsylvania. **Ex parte Schollenberger, 96 U.S. 369-376, 24 L.Ed. 853**. Courts of the United States are court established under the authority of the United States... limited, but not inferior, jurisdiction... judgments are conclusive between the parties until reversed, although their jurisdiction does not appear on the record. **Busteed v. Parsons, 54 Ala. 393, 401, 25 Am.Rep. 688 (citing McCormick v. Sullivant, 23 U.S. [10 Wheat. 192, 6 L. Ed. 300]**... only the courts of general jurisdiction intended by the Constitution are meant... unless

political conservation.” These principles stipulate that invasion of the ***inferior*** foreign power by the ***superiority*** of an aggressor power is not a sufficient cause for declarations of warfare. The new warfare prosecuted against the indigenous people included an interdiction strategy: the perfection of academic colonialism, as is exhibited by the military/civil UN Capstone doctrine 2008. (***See: Capstone Concept for Joint Operations Version 2, 2005, Appendix D, Integration of Joint Activity, Integrated Lines of Effort, Figures D1, D2, Enable Civil Authority; UK Army Code 71749, Part 10, Counter Insurgency Operations-***

Guidelines, Chapter 1 Aspects of the Law, A-2-4). “Traditionalists”⁹⁷ are defined as threats.

⁹⁷ The aggressive invasion of Amaraka was purposefully caricaturized as the “Indian Wars.” Those original beings (traditionalists) resisting with well justifiable force (violence) were ‘militarized’ in order to execute “dynamic entry” (kill any target available) military protocols stipulated in the U.S. Army Counterinsurgency and Contingency Operations Doctrine 1860- a Capstone doctrine of interdiction civilian/military campaigns, e.g. “total warfare.” (**Cross references: state terrorism, genocide, austerity, eugenics, environmental terrorism, animal enterprise terrorism**.)] The ‘media’ operative journalism presented propaganda in an attempt to make the “Indian Wars” appear to be asymmetrical engagement between equal forces of good and evil. This propaganda is controverted by, “... guiding principles for fighting insurgents... Army had to adapt to Indian tactics on the fly.” “Army’s most skilled Indian fighter, General A. Crook, developed the tactic of inserting small teams from friendly [CAPOs] tribes into insurgent... groups to neutralize and psychologically unhinge them and to sap their will.” “The US military learned to maximize the use of indigenous scouts, mobilize popular support... constabulary of loyal indigenous troops... allowed former insurgents to organize antiregime political parties.” (**See: *Winning the War of the Flea, Lessons from Guerrilla Warfare, Colonel R.M. Cassidy, USA*. (Cross reference: *LaKota—Wounded Knee, Goon Squads, Phillipine—Moro Province 1090 to 1913, Latin America—1940 Small Wars Manual, Vietnam—US Military Assistance Command, (MACV), Civilian Irregular Defense Groups (CIDG), CORDS Accelerated pacification Campaign, Peoples Self Defense Force, MACV’s Hamlet Evaluation System*). Indigenous identity Theft and fraud involves caricaturizing, as exhibited by, “**Stolen identities: The impact of Racist Stereotypes on Indigenous People**,” taking place Thursday, May 5, 2011, at 2:15pm in Dirksen 628... from Harlyen Geronimo on behalf of himself and other surviving lineal descendants of the Historic Apache Leader Geronimo.” The central matter was the military operation encoded “Geronimo” for the extra-judicial killing of Osama Bin Laden, was considered as “a subversion of history” and “defames a great human spirit and Native American Leader.” The “Indian Wars” were actually a ‘total warfare’ scheme, using personal, cultural, religious ideology, austerity, germ & environmental terrorism, and genocide. The Army interdiction campaign was not asymmetric, e.g. 5,000 US troops and 500 Indian “auxiliaries.” (Apples, collaborators). The “Apaches comprising of a band of only 35 men, 8 boys, and 101 women. The US Army and Mexican “losses totaled 95,” and heavy Mexican coalition kills. Geronimo right of plea included amnesty for his people, never fulfilled, and he was imprisoned for 23 years and made an Exposition parade attraction in 1904, during which he was honored by the public at large. The US Army, Airborne Rangers, during WWII, used the name “Geronimo” when jumping from the aircraft into combat zones. (**See: *Native Sun News, Statement from lineal grandson of Geronimo, May 11-17, 2011***). Geronimo prayed to the *field* (quantum physics) seeking energy and spiritual intercession. The ‘spiritual’ attribute was direct, not remote, as all elements, beings, were real. Religious corporations came with the Christain jihad, e.g. the “Indian wars.” His reality is contrasted by a University of Oregon, law school, PIELC, panel demonstration. The panel’s resocialized BAR lawyers, some IRA Indians, were asked to explain to Infraspect Auditor Directorate, during their convened ‘panel’ in the U. of O., Indigenous “Many Nations Longhouse,” why they did not pray at its commencement. Their spokesman replied, “we don’t pray all the time.” (**See: *Infraspect, PIELC, panel video recording***). This question set off an atmosphere of hostile contention toward the auditor directorate, Blair. It is noteworthy that the basis of the 1800 “Indian wars” was a Christian Jihad, as expressed by high ranking US officer Shippington (?). Other Indian war campaign leaders were deeply oriented to the dogma and doctrine of the religious “Northwest ordinance” and “Manifest destiny.” The Zuni history of Seris A and B is carefully omitted from authoritative ‘Scripture’ as it would nullify the *authenticity* and *effect* of the ‘divine right of Kings, right of discovery, right of conquest (Indian wars), manifest destiny, eminent domain and conclusively the global string of Papal bulls and Pontiff trusts. Following the patterns of interdiction, the serial “war on terror” mechanism is used against the Afghanistan indigenous “tribal” leaders in mid-east *structured conflicts* and *controlled oppositions*. (**Cross reference: *global Heroine traffic speculation***). The “war on terror” was espoused and declared by the British Empire in its “war on terror” against the “Constitutional loyalists” of the “Irish Republic,” in 1919. The existing federal Indian reservations of the ‘modern**

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

There is a lawful paradox, a perfect legal storm, in the purposes of Ecclesiastical law, in the granting of '*title to the soul*' of the baby via a preeminent written church '*baptismalcertificate*' in that the parents and the church removed the child from being a 'resident' and 'citizen' of the United States. A citizen is an "employee" in the United States Corporation. The US Corporation is not the United States of America, e.g. Constitutional republic. The citizen's body is security for the "Body Social." The matter of state (estate), the diocese, brings about a matter of the 'sanctuary.' A sanctuary is recognized as being "free from prosecution." The children born in a sanctuary of the Roman Catholic church, are not born in the United States, or its legal sub-divisions. The *Vatican treaties* show the placement of "*sanctuaries*," and exist as outside of the territories, reservations, and subordinate incorporated entities. The sanctuary is Vatican soil. This displaces general assumptions of force and effect of the *1924 Indian Citizenship Act*. It follows that, the Vatican's covenant requires defending people born within its sovereign "against attacks." The citations of common law refer to "ulterior motives" as may be "bad faith" in prosecuting "eminent domain"⁹⁸.

Dissent and well reasoned disobedience are treated as grounds for *expatriation*, whether or not rightfully grounded or justified.⁹⁹ Human *recourse* is constricted to the *prerogatives* and *pre-decisional criteria* of agents utilizing springboard authorities greater than indigenous powers

⁹⁸ 70-30-101. Eminent domain defined. Eminent domain is the right of the state to take private property for public use. This right may be exercised in the manner provided in this chapter. History: *En. Sec. 579, p. 189, L. 1877; re-en. Sec. 579, 1st Div. Rev. Stat. 1879; re-en. Sec. 597, 1st Div. Comp. Stat. 1887; amd. Sec. 2210, C. Civ. Proc. 1895; re-en. Sec. 7330, Rev. C. 1907; re-en. Sec. 9933, R.C.M. 1921; Cal. C. Civ. Proc. Sec. 1237; re-en. Sec. 9933, R.C.M. 1935; R.C.M. 1947, 93-9901.*

⁹⁹ In the interest of public order, tranquility, obedience-- behavioral conformity and compliance, academic colonialism curriculum, thought reform syllabus, speech encoded collaborative decision making, formal consensus of the inner circle group 'decision makers,' and 'enforcement of the group's decision, e.g. fixed options and outcome has been implemented 'under their (public) radar.'

and surrogate powers held by the *standing*¹⁰⁰ of the real human in the *first instance* and final instance. ¹⁰¹

Indigenous identity Theft, the *process ideology* driving the agents, is absent of virtue, preeminent moral leadership, and immutable promises founded upon the sacred moral principle that the *truth* is the way that

¹⁰⁰ The standing goes to indigenous power, “sovereign personal political power” and actuality of the “real human,” as distinguished from artificial persons of the state, e.g. goyim, human resources. The purpose of marriage licenses is to establish a ‘property interest’ in the flesh and blood child of the natural women and man, and to prevent inter-racial marriages, during the US post civil war period in America. The unlawful exception was US military personnel marriages allowed contrary to the UMCJ, between officers and ‘enemy’ ‘hostiles.’ This is evident in the German “*Nuremberg Laws*” (1930s).

¹⁰¹ **Dissent is inseparable from religious dissent. People cannot live legally in a Tyranny:** serial warfare, genocide, austerity, extra-judicial killing, repetitious violations of liberty and ruthlessness of despots.

secures the individual *covenant* of Liberty, sovereign personal political powers, the real human and applications of *natural law*.¹⁰²

¹⁰² *Natural law (jus naturale)*. Antonine age, and was intended to denote a *system of rules and principles* for the guidance of human conduct... *independently of enacted law* or of the systems peculiar to any one people... *conform* to his nature, meaning by that his whole mental, *moral*, and physical constitution... point of departure for this conception was the Stoic doctrine of a *life ordered* “according to nature... of a *state of nature*, that is a condition of society in which men universally were governed solely by a *rational and consistent obedience* to the needs, impulses, and prompting of their true nature... undefaced by dishonesty, *falsehood*, or indulgence of the baser passions... established by the author of *human nature as essential to the divine purposes* in the *universe* and have been *promulgated by God* solely through human reason. Naturalization, e.g. Un-naturalization, occurred in a process by which a person acquires nationality after birth and becomes entitled to the privileges of citizenship. 8 U.S.C.A. section 1101 et seq. See: Black’s Law Dictionary, Fifth Ed., p. 925. *Easement of natural support*. Easement which creates right of lateral support to land in its *natural condition* entitling the holder thereof to have his land held in place from the sides by neighboring land. *Easement of necessity*. One in which the easement is indispensable to the enjoyment of the dominant estate. See: Black’s Law Dictionary, Fifth Ed., p. 457. The claims laid by the Papal bulls, the Holy Roman Empire, its beneficiaries, are found in *Easement by estoppel*. Easement which is created when *landlord* voluntarily imposes apparent servitude on his property and another person, acting reasonably, believes that servitude is permanent and in reliance upon that *belief* does something that he would not have done otherwise or refrains from doing something that he would have done otherwise. *U.S. v. Thompson, D.C. Ark., 272 F.Supp. 774, 784*. The dominant estate is the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land.” The indigenous peoples, in their context, state to the record, they are the “stewards” (steward) of the *natural places* and all things found within the living place. All naturally conceived people, as original beings, real humans on earth are indigenous.

The control of language is exemplified by linguistics, concept encoding, speech encoding, sophistry, thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing. The above cited definitions are contrasted by another constructive definition, e.g. “Natural law, or the *law of nature* (Latin: *lex naturalis*), is a system of law that is purportedly determined by nature, and thus *universal*. [1] Classically, natural law refers to the use of *reason* to analyze *human nature*—both social and personal—and *deduce* binding rules of moral behavior. Natural law is contrasted with the positive law (meaning “man-made law”, not “good law”; cfr. Posit) of a given political community, society, or *nation-state*, and thus serves as a *standard* by which to criticize said positive law. [2] According to *natural law theory*, which holds that morality is a function of human nature and reason can discover valid moral principles by looking at the *nature of humanity* in society, the content of positive law cannot be known without some reference to natural law. Used in this way, natural law can be invoked to criticize decisions about the statutes, but less so to criticize the law itself. Some use natural law synonymously with *natural justice* or natural right (Latin *ius naturale*).” (*See: Wikipedia, internet intercept*).

Both of the concept encodings of natural law indicate philosophical distinction between the authors. Both sources omit the covenants of “liberty,” “truth,” “original being,” “real human” and “sovereign personal political power holders.” Both definitions present falsehoods- pretext, context, bias and prejudicial errors. “Social justice” and “Environmental Justice” are omitted, while natural justice and natural right are used. Both definitions of natural law are evidentiary in their failure to distinguish “attributes of life” and “aspect of society” (ref: Infraspect, Environmental Perspectives & Principles of political conservation) as this failure is self-evident in the promulgations of the ‘myth of the rule of law,’ e.g. appearance of fairness doctrine and “law arbitrary,” e.g. mere will & prerogative of the legislators, acting as deciders. Indigenous, such as “Indigenous sacred estate held in the land” (Infraspect) shows the direct interests (world) and remote interest (creator of the universe) being together, e.g. universal. (*Cross reference: The Nature & Function of Law, Berman & Greiner*)

Identity cloning and *synthetic identity fraud* are realized in speech encoding in which indigenous identity is completely or partially fabricated bearing the willful intention of stealing another person's identity in order to access resources¹⁰³ or obtain credit and other benefits in that person's name, as a "strawmen" such as resident,¹⁰⁴ tribal citizen, tenant, allottee, Indian, trustee, marginalized human resource or user group. This applies to individuals and organizations.

Various legal paradigms illustrate the lawful maxims, e.g. "135.1 General dishonesty: (3) A person is guilty of an offense if: a) the person does anything with the intention of **dishonestly causing a loss to another person**; and b) the other person is a Commonwealth entity. Penalty: Imprisonment for 5 years." (Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), Crimes Amendment (Fraud, Identity and Forgery Offenses) Act 2009; Queensland under the Criminal Code 1899 (QLD)."

"Under section 402.2 of the Criminal Code of Canada, Everyone commits an offence who knowingly obtains or possesses another person's identity information in circumstances giving rise to a reasonable inference that the information is intended to be used to commit an **indictable offence that includes fraud, deceit or falsehood as an element of the offence is guilty of an indictable offence** and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years; or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction;

and, section 403 of the Criminal Code of Canada: (a) **with intent to gain advantage for themselves or another person**; (c) **with intent to cause disadvantage to the person being personated or another person**; or (d) with intent to avoid arrest or prosecution or to obstruct, pervert or defeat the course of justice, is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than 10 years; or guilty of an offence

¹⁰³ "resource" includes all of the 'ecosystem services', e.g. forests, wildlands, soil, minerals, atmosphere, water and the dynamic outputs of the landscape and waters. The "law of water" is a principle type of law restricted from the public perception management models.

¹⁰⁴ The foreign entities demonstrated their prejudicial enfranchisements of leadership, vectoring indigenous natural people to an legislated artificial person of the state status, e.g. citizenship and residency, pursuant to a modeled treaty process whose title, articles, provisions, and parallel authorities were precisely linked to a superior intellectual idea of the personified "great white father," being akin to the "old man," e.g. the 'creator of the universe.' The word "white" is not relevant to 'color' or 'race.' This speech encoding goes to "light," 'bright,' and "enlightenment." (*See: M. Tsarian, Origins of Civilization, Symbols*).

punishable on summary conviction.” (*Cross reference: Information Technology Act 2000 Chapter IX Sec 43 (b)*).

The Federal Trade Commission, a mission agency, is subject to the ‘Patriot Act’ CFRs. This means that ‘type of offense; and offender’ **extends to “terrorism” enhancement prosecution**. The ‘over broad [board]’ aspects of law applies. The Identity Theft Deterrence Act (2003)(ITADA) amended U.S. Code Title 18, sec 1028 (Fraud related to activity in connection with identification documents, authentication features, and information). AGGRAVATED IDENTITY THEFT is codified in part as, “(a) Offenses.- (1) In general.—Whoever, during and in relation to any felony violation enumerated in subsection (c) **knowingly transfers, possesses, or uses, without lawful authority**, a means of identification of another person shall, in addition to the punishment provided for such felony, be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 2 years.” Section (c) includes, but is not limited to: “(1) section 641 (relating to theft of public **money, property, or rewards**), (2) section 911 (relating to **false personation of citizenship**); (6) any provision contained in chapter 69 (relating to **nationality and citizenship**).” The FTC’s final rule defines “identity theft” as a **fraud that is committed or attempted, using a person’s identifying information without authority** (TFC, Oct. 29. 2004).

The collaborative governance *presumption*¹⁰⁵ of ‘consensus of the group’ authority is used to circumvent that which is lawful according to ‘constitutions.’ Participatory democracy is a smoke screen utilized to

¹⁰⁵ “*Conclusive presumptions* affecting protected interests: A conclusive presumption may be defeated where its application would impair a party's constitutionally-protected liberty or property interests. In such cases, conclusive presumptions have been held to violate a party's due process and equal protection rights. [*Vlandis v. Kline* (1973) 412 U.S. 441, 449, 93 S.Ct 2230, 2235; *Cleveland Bd. of Ed. v. LaFleur* (1974) 414 U.S. 632, 639-640, 94 S.Ct. 1208, 1215-presumption under Illinois law that unmarried fathers are unfit process]” [Rutter Group Practice Guide-Federal Civil Trials and Evidence, paragraph 8:4993, page 8K-34]. It is a violation of due process to “assume” or “presume” that anything is “law” unless it was enacted into positive law and evidence is entered on the record of same. Service by process, *Pennoyer v. Neff*, 96 U.S. 733, 24 L.Ed. 565. The Internal Revenue Service relies on conclusive presumptions, while the U.S. Supreme Court itself refers to the income tax as “voluntary” in *Flora v. United States*, 362 U.S. 145 (1960).

separate the decision makers¹⁰⁶ from the people as original beings. The participants are operative *disciples*.¹⁰⁷ The matters of administrative

¹⁰⁶ ***Decision***. A determination arrived at after consideration of facts, and, in legal context, law... A determination of a judicial or quasi judicial nature... The term is broad enough to cover both final judgments and interlocutory orders... The word may also include various rulings, as well as orders. *U.S. v. Thompson, 251 U.S. 407, 40 S.Ct. 289, 291, 64 L.Ed. 333.*” The findings of fact and conclusions of laws which must be in writing and filed with the clerk. *Wilcox v. Sway, 69 Cal.App.2d 560, 160 P.2d 154, 156.* Agency rule making often uses “no data analysis decision making” (USFS, BLM) concurrent with and dependent upon “expert judgment elicitation processes.” (US EPA). Credulity of the select expert personality suppresses the substantiated, qualified, supported facts of the matter. “Intellectual evidence” (Infraspect, Blair) follows “institutional normative rationale,” e.g. “best available information, joint opinion, acceptable, agreed upon.” Formal consensus of the group likewise relies on the ‘theory of uniformity,’ while invoking the “precautionary principle.” (Cross reference: consensus reality). Arbitrary use of “declarations of non-significance” are issued to circumvent critical analysis, discourse, avoid ‘worst case scenarios’ and un-wanted public (inconvenient) scrutiny. The burden of presenting contrary evidence, facts, is put upon the ‘dissenter, objector, protestor, activist and/or complaining party. This is evidentiary in the omnibus applications of “mitigation.” Derivatives speculation is co-dependent and requires ‘mitigation failures’, such as exhibited in the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, marketing (proof of concept) and profiting from weather storms, droughts, and floods. The businesses of “Ecosystem Services, cap & trade, exchanges, land trust, conservation easements, carbon foot print taxation, tax deed takings, carbon credits & banking, stewardship [franchising] contracts, joint stock company partnerships and subsidies are elements of “enterprise environmentalism,” e.g. “green certification. See: International Risk Governance Council.

¹⁰⁷ The secular political ideology, “collaborative governance,” mandates the establishment of “stakeholder networks,” and functional “stewardship networks.” The network is comprised of ‘*disciples*’ by actual recruitment selection criteria (academic, social, legal, scientific, political prestige and influence) and adherence to collaborative *doctrine* and *common purpose*. The disciples advance based on each one’s demonstrated capacity building: conformity, compliance and efficiency. (Cross reference: *positivist theory, theory of uniformity*). “disciple, v. 1. To teach; to train or bring up. 2. To make disciples of; to convert to doctrines or principles; This authority he employed in sending missionaries to disciple all nations. Griffin. Disciple. n. 1. A learner; a scholar; one who receives or professes to receive instruction from another; as the disciples of Plato. 2. A follower; an adherent to the doctrine of another. (See: *New Twentieth Century Dictionary, Unabridged, Websters, 1934-36*).

taking, theft by deception and fraudulent conveyance, e.g. land and resource claims, *forced patents* and *allotments* are not settled.¹⁰⁸

The matter of Indigenous identity Theft has been *purposefully* avoided by the US Justice Department since the US entered into “Treaty” covenants bonafidi¹⁰⁹ with the various people possessing living places in ‘Amaraka’ (north America). It is self evident that the treaties, distinguished the ‘**adverse interests**’ among the **signing parties**. “The right to maintain a separate way of life is a basic treaty obligation of the United States toward the Indians. But the right to preserve one’s identity as a people should be viewed as a basic human right.” “De facto termination refers to practices that were not intentionally designed to

¹⁰⁸ The UN special rapporteur [expert James Anaya] on the rights of indigenous peoples has distinguished the difference between “Indigenous people of the world and Native American,” and has called on the United States to “mitigate the sense of loss” among the Native American community by restoring some tribal lands.” The UN expert met with select IRA tribal authorities in Arizona, Alaska, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota and Washington. In the face of the historical IRA tribal authorities ‘agreements, accords, compacts, partnerships, taxation revenue sharing agreements and collaborations’ between federal, state and municipal entities the rapporteur states (2012). “The sense of loss, alienation and indignity is pervasive throughout Indian country.” (*Cross reference: Cobell settlement, Black Hills agreement, Chelan agreement, Baldrige v. Hoh-Pacific Fisheries Settlement Plan, Derivatives speculation: ecosystem services, cap & trade, off sets, conservation easements, exchanges, land Trusts, swaps, etc.*). The rapporteur emphasizes “economic development,” “self-determination,” and to ‘continue efforts’ to protect indigenous lands, and clarifies with “sacred sites should be made,” “More robust measures... should be taken “in consultation and in *real partnership* with indigenous *peoples*... a goal toward their own... decision making.” The United Nations eternal (international religious corporation—temple of understanding) concept and speech encoding goes to “peoples” meaning the institutional / collective rights of indigenous peoples. (*See: www.vancourversun.com, UN expert calls for U.S. return of native lands, Agence France-Presse, 5-5-2012*). The American Indian tribal (IRA, federally recognized) sub-divisions are significant stakeholders and will be ‘interoperable’ with states according to the UN’s Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, as specified in its articles and parallel authorities. The UN’s declaration was a collaborative effort, with next to no knowledge of it by real human indigenous people, and was absent of ‘ratification’ by specific indigenous people, while the Obama administration moves its force and effect in the US, without ratification by Congress. Interoperability is exhibited by, “... First Nations Gathering in Salem and Ecotrust Conference in Portland... Oregon Is Indian Country, SOU Hannon Library, PIELC, U. of O... International Women’s Day, SOU... Evergreen College... Grants Pass Pow Wow Committee... Confederated Tribes of Siletz... Chinook Winds Resort (Casino)... Center for Sacred Studies (California church corporation)... Carole Hart’s documentary—For the Next 7 Generations: the Grandmothers Speak... NY Omega Institute... Society of Ecological Restoration... Movement to rescind the Catholic Papal bulls of 1493... Catholic “festival of Faiths” (Archbishop)... Agnes Baker Pilgram Fund, Julie Norman. In 2011 Agnes Pilgram signed the “Declaration by indigenous People.” (Infraspect, Art George, William Blair). The Declaration by indigenous People is expressly different from the United Nations Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous People, in that the ‘declaration by indigenous people’ goes to liberty of individual indigenous original beings and real human, e.g. all ‘people’ of the earth.

¹⁰⁹ The treaties, being treated as ‘contracts,’ were designed with *pre-decisional criteria*, that persists in all papers and actual instruments as exhibited by surrogate powers, and the eventual issuance of security papers by the foreign agents.

eliminate Indian tribal identity but which effectively accomplish that end despite that are often innocent or even noble motives. Sometimes de facto termination disguises itself with popular slogan like “Save our environment” and with IN-terms of the decade such as “Integration,” “Equality,” “Conservations” and “Ecology.” These sophistries have advanced to: “conservation psychology,” “indigenous worldview,” “world spirit,” “partnership,” “collaboration,” “environmental justice,” “global justice,” “enterprise environmentalism,” “nation re-building,” “formal consensus,” “science as positivism,” “human habitat,” and “ecological habitat.” All of these institutional models are based on “aggregate re-allocation,” “community development quotas,” and “inclusionary rezoning.” The ‘academic establishment’ through its process psychology (enfranchisement) of ‘accreditation,’ ‘certification,’ and ‘authentication’ has played the central role in setting the foreign “operational goal value priorities.” (*Cross reference: theory of uniformity, proof of concept, Governor J. Kitzhaber, OR— Unified Theory of Everything*). The academic institutions, with model reservation identity cloning, predominate the schools “where they lose their Indian identity and succumb to cultural rape.” Equity may mean forcing an individual Indian to sell his precious ancestral land allotment and thereby reduce the tribal land¹¹⁰ base in order to qualify for State “public assistance,” derivatives speculation: subsidies, cap & trade, off sets, trusts, conservation easements, exchanges, swaps, carbon tax credits, carbon foot print taxation, credit banking, etc). (*See: “Are you Listening Neighbor? Report of the Indian Affairs Task Force and the People Speak, 1978, State of Washington, First Revision, Governor Dixy Lee Ray, p.3*).

Under the precepts of “federal law,” “Indian country,” “Indian lands,” “Indian reservation,” “Indian title,” “Indian tribal property,” and “Indian

¹¹⁰ It is essential to comprehend “tribal land” from “restricted” to individual real humans. “Lands on Indian reservations which are not allotted to or occupied by individual Indians but rather the unallotted or *common lands* of the nation. Land allotted in severalty to a *restricted Indian* is no longer part of the “reservation” nor is it “tribal land” but the virtual fee is in the allottee with certain restrictions on the right of alienation. *United States v. Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co., C.C.A.Okl., 127 F.2d 349, 353*. The general ‘conversation’ of Indian rights activists goes to *confusion of rights*, and *confusion of title* when ‘protecting tribal land.’ The activists seek a consensus where there is none lawfully possible. Bear in mind—all corporations are merely “organized property.” The forced fee patent legislation sought instill a right and responsibility for the face of the land and all of its attributes above, on and below the surface. With austerity programming in play on federal Indian reservations, the central tendency was for speculative sales, purchases, conveyance and conversion to corporate collateral, whether it be of retail value, government lien certificates or tax deed sales. Counties, as subdivisions of states, are engaged in real estate speculation, ensuring a profit to buyers from *foreclosures*. The foreign inception of remote speculating on real estate for gain or profit was effective in supplanting, thwarting, disrupting, irradiating and destroying the “indigenous sacred estates held in the land.”

tribe” the foreign agents (officers of the court) share the corporate aspect of “public domain.” See: *Young-bear v. Brewer*, 415 F.Supp. 807, 809; *United States v. Parton*, D.C.N.C. 46 F.Supp, 843, 844; *Healing v. Jones*, D.C. Ariz., 210 F.Supp. 125, 180; *Northwestern Bands of Shonshone Indians v. U.S.*, Ct.Cl. 324 U.S. 335, 65 S.Ct. 690, 692, 89 L.ED. 985; *U.S. v. Gemmill*, C.A.Cal., 535 F.2d 1145, 1147; *Chief Seattle Properties, Inc. v. Kitsap County*, 86 Wash.2d 7, 541 P.2d 699, 704; *Montoya v. U.S.*, 180 U.S. 261, 21 S.Ct. 385, 45 L.Ed. 521; *Mashpee Tribe v. New Seabury Corp.*, D.C. Mass., 427 F.Supp. 899, 902. “Indigenous settled law” (Blair) is held silent and subjugated to ‘federal law, federal Indian law, law of the sea, law of the land, law of water, admiralty law, and ecclesiastical law’ all of which are synthesized with ‘capstone doctrine.’ (See: UN, 2008). The indigenous original beings, real humans, were “united in community” prior to the invasion periods of imperial ‘discovery,’ ‘conquest,’ ‘manifest destiny,’ and ‘eminent domain.’ In matters of treaty, “substantive law,” including “innovative international law” (US Constitution) are perverse applications of the ‘letter of the law,’ lacking the administration of justice and judgment.

The sub-departments of the federal US followed the “*capstone doctrine*” of the state and church, e.g. “*right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny and eminent domain.*” The capstone doctrine is evidentiary in the status of the territorial military governors.¹¹¹ The matter of ‘*disappearing*’ the sovereigns and indigenous real humans was structured in the federal ‘Indian identity’, e.g. ‘citizenship,’ ‘residency,’ ‘blood quantum’ and ‘enrolled tribal membership.’ Although the *treaty covenants* clearly distinguished the independent status of the indigenous people, the federal authorities presumed to direct and attach ‘political status’ through enrolled membership criteria and conditions, blood quantum, residency, and citizenship, and supervised tribal political “democratic elections,” subject to the agency’s prerogatives, secretary discretion and approval. (***Cross reference: BIA Branch of Acknowledgment and Recognition.***)

Self-identification, true self-determination and the recognition of the diplomatic indigenous class standing of hereditary Chiefs and Headmen was *supplanted* and *preempted* systematically through doctrine: arbitrary

¹¹¹ The military governors of the master corporation’s ‘territories’ practiced military martial law, e.g. “*war law.*” Together with the commissioned military officers partnerships applied the “capstone doctrine.”

federal census, enrollment, allotment, and class beneficiary distributions (specialized *security papers*).

The military's *force of law* was useful in controlling *common law*¹¹² *marriages* (sqawmen) between *personnel*, citizens and the 'hostiles' and 'uncivilized' Indians. Those indigenous people refusing to submit to federal territorial claims were treated as "enemies," existing 'outside of the territory.'

Considering that the *majoritarian hearsay* of the US political courts¹¹³ mis-constructed treaties as 'contracts,' and it was evident that those indigenous people subjugated to *instruments*, consistent with treaty provisions, were not provided legal counsel apart from the British Administrative Registry (BAR), whose *corporate loyalty* rested with the King and Queen of England, e.g. "ESQ" as a title of nobility. The governors of various state *sub-divisions* of the federal US (corporation) have adopted a legal doctrine entitled "**Domestic dependent nations**" (Governor of Oregon) applied to state court's adjudication of indigenous "peoples" (UN DRIP) rights with their respective state "frameworks," following the dictates of the collaboratively negotiated UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. This sets off matters of intentional

¹¹² In *Waldron v. United States*, in the context of defining Indian, it is stated, "In this proceeding the court has been informed as to the usages and customs of the different tribes of the Sioux Nation, and has found as a fact that the **common law does not obtain among sid tribes**, as to determining the race to which the children of a white man, married to an Indian women, belong; but according to the usages and customs of said tribes, the children of a white man married to an Indian women take the race or nationality of the mother." The **United States have never**, so far as legislation is concerned, **recognized the technical rule of the common law** in reference to the children born of a white father and an Indian mother." See. Indian Appropriation Act, 1897, (*c. 30 Stat. 90*). "It is common knowledge, of which the court should take judicial knowledge, that the domestic relations of the Indians of this country have **never been regulated by the common law** of England, and that that law is not adapted to the habits, customs and manners of the Indians." *Davison v. Gibson*, *56 Fed. 445, 5 C.C.A. 545*.

¹¹³ The foreign agents being, BAR lawyers, officers (administrators) of the corporate / political courts of jurisdiction, scientists, and social engineers have demonstrated repetitious model failures, reluctance, and refusal to act effectively beyond minimized forms of mitigation: particular applications of invented false rights, managed social and environmental depredation rights, 'negotiated' on a basis of double control among the officers of the master corporation and its subordinate officers, installed by means of incorporation of sub-division authorities, superintendents, line officers, agency administrators, and commissioned officers.

and purposeful *extrinsic fraud*,¹¹⁴ administered in a legal chain of events

¹¹⁴ “The seminal definition of *extrinsic fraud* is found in *United States v. Throckmorton (1878) 98 U.S. 61, 65-66*: ‘Where the unsuccessful party has been prevented from exhibiting fully his case, by fraud or deception practi[c]ed on him by his opponent, as by keeping him away from court, a false promise of a compromise; or where the defendant never had knowledge of the suit, being kept in ignorance by the acts of the plaintiff, or where an attorney fraudulently or without authority assumes to represent a party and connives at his defeat; or where the attorney regularly employed corruptly sells out his client’s interest to the other side, these, and similar cases which show that there has never been a real contest in the trial or hearing of the case, are reasons for which a new suit may be sustained to set aside and annul the former judgment or decree, and open the case for a new and fair hearing. . . . In all these cases, and many others which have been examined, relief has been granted, on the ground that, by some fraud practi[c]ed directly upon the party seeking relief against the judgment or decree, that party has been prevented from presenting all of his case to the court.’ We recently observed that ‘[extrinsic] fraud is a broad concept that “tend[s] to encompass almost any set of extrinsic circumstances which deprive a party of a fair adversary hearing.” ‘The clearest examples of extrinsic fraud cases in which the aggrieved party is kept in ignorance of the proceeding or is in some other way induced not to appear. . . . In both situations the party is ‘fraudulently prevented from presenting his claim or defense.’ . . .” Thus, the essence of an extrinsic fraud claim is that one party has deliberately prevented the other party from having his or her day in court either by concealment, failure to give notice of the action, or convincing the other party to refrain from presenting a claim or defense.

Important historic case demonstrations relating to the matters of extrinsic fraud are documented (Video/Audio Tape, records of process) by the Northwest Subsistence Fisherman’s Association, Co-Chairman: Christian Penn, Sr., William Grubb, include: (1) A video tape of the lawful [never lawfully closed] subsistence / ceremonial fishing in progress on the Quileute river, November 1980, Special Resolution by Native People, signed and dated, read into video/audio record, (2) Christian Penn, Sr., Fisheries Representative, Quileute Tribe, Notice to: McMinds, Quinalt Treaty Area Commissioner, Bob Hayman, Senior Biologist, Quileute tribe, Al Hart, Makah Tribal Fisheries, Meeting on In-common regulations... non-compliance with Quileute tribal law, e.g. no public hearing, representation; May 5, 1980 notice to: attorneys Houger, Garvey... R. Woodruff, Quileute chief of police, Clallam Co., Prosecutor, Sheriff, Quileute tribal council record re: non-interference with or malice of contract between fisheries expert William Grubb, and 26 signing officials and enrolled members, (3) An Open audio recording of Northwest Indian Commissioner, McMinds, instructing the Quileute Tribal Council to constructively pre-date a ‘tribal council’ resolution authorizing a ‘open’ tribal fishery, expressing hope that the ‘tape recording’ does not find its way into court,’ (4) Judge Headrick letter November 25, 1980, to Christian Penn, Sr., re: State –vs- PENN, No. 4226-80 (14807) acknowledging “Special Appearance, *Wash. Et. al. Vs. Christian E. Penn, Indian Subsistence and Ceremonial Fisherman*, et. al., [natural father of Christian E.], Case no. 6116, NOTICE OF DISMISSAL (Criminal Appeal)(Case No. 1140-80 Forks District Court – Washington Uniform Complaint and Citation No. 14807) Requesting for Postponement, and informing: attorneys for the defendants, Susan Kay Hvalsoe of Cullen, Holm, Høglund and Foster, are the attorneys of record, by court appearance filing; and denying Christian Penn, Sr., ‘standing’ for cause [he] is not an “attorney,” BAR court officer, accepted to practice, [Christian E. Penn, selected his ‘dad’ as a legal minor to present him], (5) Attorney Hvalsoe moved as ‘attorney for the defendants,’ entering a collective [13 fishers] ‘guilty plea,’ (6) Tribal fisheries department officials misrepresented the events as a ‘tribal Fish-In,’ and [biologist Bob Hayman] protracted defamation and a tactic of isolation, e.g. “ Fisheries Newsletter, October 19, 1981, no. 23, p.2, “A Secretlawyer?” While all the other defendants in the Fish-In case received notice to appear in court, Chris Penn was notified that the charges against him were dropped. Maybe he should tell the rest of us how he did it. Anyway, if you have legal problems, go the Chris first – he has apparently been studying some law!” (7) Wash. Et. al. Vs. Christian E. Penn, Notice of Dismissal (Criminal Appeal) no. 6116, September 30, 1981, Clallam County Clerk, Vivian A. Gallagher, Dismissal for want of Prosecution, Order of Dismissal to: Michael Dempsey, Prosecutor, Clallam Co., State of

to benefit the state and its cohorts (partners).¹¹⁵ The *brothers in the bond* (lawyers) are actors in fraternities, trade associations, guilds and BAR associations whose *immutable promises* to the client (monster) is weighed against the lawyer's loyalty to the BAR, as embodied in a 'title of nobility,' e.g. Esquire. These *doctors of jurist prudence* are accredited, enfranchised and authenticated in *expert judgment elicitation processes* as specified by practice profile and legal concentration. *Admission to practice* within the judicial branch of government includes being an *officer of the court*.

Aristocrats and Barristers are historically documented and linked in the secret society "Illuminati." While secret societies are deemed conspiracy theory by operative media journalists, Black's Law Dictionary omits the definitions of "cult," "occult," "secret society." (*Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Ed., West Publishing Co., 1979*). Prosecution of the Texan "Waco" *cult* under the US administration's Department of Justice, included application of the D5E military protocols of "extra-judicial killing of unarmed women & children, dynamic entry (kill any target attainable), deception, deceit, disinformation, misinformation, distraction, psychological torture, electronic and chemical attacks (WMD)." "Plausible guilt scenarios" were protracted to disenfranchise and criminalize the *religious dissenters*. The *militarization* of their personality included the living place, at Waco, Texas, as a "fortified compound." The 'tandem action probe' campaign advanced without a lawful definition of cult or occult, which are conspicuously absent from the BAR association's indispensable concept and speech encoding in matters of identifying offenders and offenses (DHS: FBI criteria). The *public mind* was controlled by *conversational* and *covert hypnosis*, to the degree that a KGB former agent was consulted to execute *state terrorism-* strategies, tactics, logistics. Through *pattern recognition* the methods used at Waco, Texas, are similar to the Wounded Knee (SD) government's tandem action

¹¹⁵ As *force of law* was modernized on the federal reservations, innovative particularistic arrangements were created: agreements with *domestic dependent nations*, 'waivers of sovereign immunity' usual to the 'law of contracts,' 'private law,' 'accords,' 'compacts,' 'stewardship contracting,' 'memorandums of understanding,' and the several legislative 'acts' born from budgetary austerity program justifications. These measures are followed by court claims for restitution 'settlements.' While the vast majority of BAR association lawyers present petitions on behalf of 'tribes' in the name of "trust" obligations, the city, county, state and institutional "partnerships" are seldom brought to light and appropriate consequences. Most certainly, the matter of BAR lawyers operating outside of the master partnership's 'judicial branch of government' is silenced, as this sets off unconstitutional, therefore unlawful behavior, e.g. misprisions of perjury. Prosecution of legislative offenses and white-collar crimes committed by key tribal officers is seldom-- under the co-mingled branches of government decision making and embedded nepotistic tribal regime structures.

and reciprocal offensives. (*Cross reference: E.O. 51, Army Counter-Insurgency Manual, Capstone doctrine, D5E Military Protocol, cognitive dissonance, Montauk, Wounded Knee, Sioux Defense Force, Violent Radicalization & Home Grown Terrorism Act*).

This intentional *mis-prision of perjury* left the indigenous treaty *signing parties*, without knowledge of the meaning of specifications and obligations cloaked in the treaty *contracts*.¹¹⁶ The original beings were caricaturized as the *savage* as enemies of the state, while the president of

¹¹⁶ The framing and re-contextualizing of indigenous original beings is exhibited in legislative offenses and political S.P.I.N., e.g. “first treaty making with the Delaware’s in 1787 until the end of treaty-making in 1871... Treaties commonly included agreements... that still can support a claim against the United States. See *Tsotie v. United States*, 825 F.2d 393 (Fed. Cir. 1987)... **SUPREMACY CLAUSE. U.S. Constitution., Art VI, Sec. 2; Worcester v. Georgia**, 31 U.S. (6 Pet.) 515 (1832).” “*The First Trade and Intercourse Act. 1 Stat. 137 (1790)*, forbade the transfer of Indian lands to individuals or States except by treaty “under the authority of the United States.” “States [sub-division of the united States] negotiated large land cessions from Indian tribes near the end of the eighteenth century. In *County of Oneida v. Oneida Indian Nation*, 470 U.S. 226, (1985), the Court held invalid a treaty entered in 1795 between the Oneidas and the State of New York. See: *Joint Tribal Council of Passamaquoddy Tribe v. Morton*, 528 F.2d 370, 1st Cir. 1975; *Maine Indian Claims Settlement Act, P.L. 96-420, 94 Stat. 1785, 1980*.” The legislative offense lies in the “... ultimate result was the passage in 1871 of a rider to an Indian appropriations act providing that “No Indian nation or tribe... shall be acknowledged or recognized as an *independent nation*, tribe, or power with whom the United States may contract by treaty.” **25 U.S.C.A. Sec. 71**. Existing treaty obligations were “*not impaired*.” The 1871 Act was followed by several legislative offenses, e.g. “negotiated agreements,” between the federal government and its very own entities, e.g. incorporated “tribal business committees,” and so called “tribal councils” privileged pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act. Essentially, the federal government makes treaties with its own subordinates, e.g. BIA Secretary approved tribal ‘charters, constitutions, and by-laws.’ (*See: Congressional Record, Proceedings and Debates of the 107th Congress, Second Session, Vol. 148, 09-30-2002, No. 125*).

The frame and context of the speaker is “treaties entered into by the *United States Government* and the tribes *of South Dakota*. The *Act of 1871* formed THE UNITED STATES, a corporation. The word “for” was changed to “of,” abrogating the “Constitution for the united states of America.” The context frames the tribes as “domestic dependent nations” existing within the state of South Dakota. This is concurrent with the United Nations Declaration ON the rights of Indigenous Peoples, 2007. The UN declaration is centered on institutional collective rights, NOT the original being and real human as a sovereign personal political power holder. The IRA “tribes” are creatures of the UNITED STATES CORPORATION. (*Cross reference: perpetual succession, eminent domain- ulterior motives, extrinsic fraud, misprisions of perjury, legislative offense*). The speaker omits the indispensable matter of distinguishing a treaty covenant between adverse parties, and institutionalized ‘social contracts.’ The US corporate Chief Executive Officers became a treaty maker/breaker where under which *administrators* would arbitrarily create “*Indian reservations*.” (*Cross reference: Washoe reservation, FOIA request, Art George, 2012*). These “Indian reservations” over-layed the original beings “sacred indigenous estates held in the land.” (*Cross reference: leylines, synchronic lines*). Essentially, these executive actions are merely ‘fruits of evil,’ stemming from the law of trust, holy see, right of kings, right of conquest, discovery, manifest destiny, and eminent domain.

the US, was *personified* as the ‘great white father.’¹¹⁷ For this reason, the treaty contracts can be held null and void. Sovereignty is *implicit* whether or not the parties are lesser or greater than the other. This is a *maxim* of international law, e.g. ‘principles of political conservation.’ The treaty covenants stand among *adverse* parties. In the present political moment (2012) *partnershiping* is relied upon to contravene and capitulate the access, possession, occupancy and use of the party’s territory. (**Cross reference: globally integrated enterprise, proof of concept- market driven solution, international facilities, UN Atomic Energy Commission**). Not consistent with the federal and state “constitutions,” thelawyers have acted outside of the ‘judicial branch of government,’ while advising and representing federal corporate *sub-divisions*. (**See: Boyd rule, Phelan v. Middle States Oil Corp., D.C.N.Y. 124 F.Supp. 728, 781**).

There are three indispensable matters set off, (1) dishonesty, (2) judicial indolence and error, (2) conflict of interest and duty by officers and administrators of the court. The substantive intent is exhibited by the “termination ere,” and ongoing colonial “assimilation” periods. (**Cross reference: Master Partnership, implied partnership, Papal bulls**). Tribal “self-regulation” and modernized versions, such as “nation buidling,” are limited to *functional specialization*. This is qualified by the issuance of member state *security papers*, citizens,residents, enrolled membership; and fraudulent conveyance of ‘judicial powers’ to UN member states, e.g. UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, constructed in the context of predominant institutional ‘collective rights,’ subordinating sovereign personal political powers, personality, allodial ownership and property. (**Cross reference: seignoirage**). Essentially, the real human indigenous peoples were ‘never read their rights,’ provided the definition of ‘law,’ and by doctrine are treated as ‘persons of unsound mind’ by BAR judges andlawyers *authenticated, accredited and admitted to practice*

¹¹⁷ The indigenous identities of individual often symbolized natural beings, and leadership personalities were likewise personified. Actual religious rituals are cloaked in ‘tribal traditions and culture’ in order to legally fund tribal agency administrative programs. The speech encoding for the CEO of the United States was the ‘great white father’ to bolster the perceived power and artificial person, ‘straw man,’ president of the U.S. corporation. The president of the U.S. has no such lawful title of ‘great white father,’ yet US President Bush referred to himself as the “minister of God.” The Christian’s Lord’s prayer commences with “Our father who art in Heaven.” The creator of the universe was called the ‘old man.’ Relative personifications are apparent in current English language usage, such as a tribal council chairman being known as ‘old person,’ and ‘cold wind.’

under foreign standards, licenses, fraternity¹¹⁸ and bonds, not particularly owing allegiance to the *maxims* of “natural law,” recognized by indigenous hereditary Chiefs and Headmen. The matter of the infamous “Chicago Rewrite” by territorial military officials of the *treaty covenants* is sufficient to establish an element of criminality- *Mens rea*. Given that the treaties signatures are in English linguistics, bearing ‘marks’ (not symbols), there is a matter of a strawman representation, as distinguished from the indigenous original being, flesh & blood real human. The attempt at acquiring *authentic signatures*¹¹⁹ of leaders holding *diplomatic class standing*, while the linguistics are comprised of foreign alphabet letters, the treaty titles, preambles, provisions and substantive descriptions are not indigenous. The commonality of the several treaty formats and specifications depict the over-arching concept encoding designed to the advantage of the foreign aggressor *state*. During this modern era, pertaining to an independent Washoe “Declaration of Sacred estate Held in the Land” (*Infraspect, Auditor Directorate, translation certified, 2007*), a State of California, County clerk, refused to merely record the document because of ‘Washoe’ words in the text. A Nevada county clerk did record the document. Conversely, the Tahoe Regional Planning Authority (TRPA) agreement with the IRA 1934 Washoe tribe of Indians (California/Nevada)

¹¹⁸ The *Masonic order of fraternity* is well known among scholar and applies ancient doctrine and ritual to its daily affairs. These rituals are apparent in various faiths and religious ceremonies around the globe. (*Cross reference: Bible, Daniel 7; Revelations 13*).

¹¹⁹ The “*canons of treaty construction*” applied are self-evident in the unlawful “Chicago rewrite.” The treaties of “peace” between adverse interest parties as ‘treaty covenants’ were quickly misconstrued as “contracts” between nations, Indians (chiefs, headmen, braves) and signers. With the transformation of the united States of America to the UNITED STATES CORPORATION and the presumptions of law arbitrary moved to the plenary power of the chief executive officer (CEO president, assigns) as the treaty signing authority. This unitary executive authority advanced to the sub-department administrators have special authority to establish “Indian Reservations.” The actual written linguistic encoding is exhibited by “*Treaty of Fort Laramie with Sioux, etc., 1851, Sept. 17, 1851 III Stats. p. 749, signatures-* Sioux: Mah-toe-wha-you-whey, his x mark. Mah-kah-toe-zah-zah, his x mark. Bel-o-ton-kah-tan-ga, his x mark. Nah-ka-pah-gi-gi, his x mark. Mak-toe-sah-bi-chis, his x mark. Meh-wha-tah-ni-hans-kah, his x mark. Cheyennes: Wah-ha-nis-satta, his x mark. Voist-ti-toe-vetz, his x mark. Nahk-ko-me-ien, his x mark. Koh-kah-y-wh-cum-est, his x mark. Arrapahoes: Bè-ah-té-a-qui-sah, his x mark. Neb-ni-bah-seh-it, his x mark. Beh-kah-jay-beth-sah-es, his x mark. Crows: Arra-tu-ri-sash, his x mark. Doh-chepit-seh-chi-es, his x mark. Assinaboines: Mah-toe-wit-ko, his x mark. Toe-tah-ki-eh-nan, his x mark. Mandans and Gros Ventres: Nochk-pit-shi-toe-pish, his x mark. She-oh-mant-ho, his x mark. Arickarees: Koun-hei-ti-shan, his x mark. Bi-atch-tah-wetch, his x mark. In the presence of— A. B. Chambers, secretary. S. Cooper, colonel, U. S. Army. R. H. Chilton, captain, First Drags. Thomas Duncan, captain, Mounted Riflemen. Thos. G. Rhett, brevet captain R. M. R. W. L. Elliott, first lieutenant R. M. R. C. Campbell, interpreter for Sioux. John S. Smith, interpreter for Cheyennes. Robert Meldrum, interpreter for the Crows. H. Culbertson, interpreter for Assinaboines and Gros Ventres. Francois L’Etalie, interpreter for Arick arees. John Pizelle, interpreter for the Arrapahoes. B. Gratz Brown. Robert Campbell. Edmond F. Chouteau.”

is entered into with English words, and “*collaboration*” (governance) concept and speech encoding. (*See: Infraspect, Washoe demonstration case file, Art George*). Collaborative governance is a secular political ideology incorporating “formal consensus” of the “group” and “enforcement of its decisions.” (*See: attachments I, II, III, IV, V; and Infraspect- auditor’s notations, Profiling Intrusions on Community action groups, activists, and citizens- demonstration case file 2002-2012, www.infraspect.com*). (*Cross reference: 2011, Washoe tribal “decision team.”*).

There is a **direct duplicity** found in “aspirations of [indigenous] peoples to exercise control over their own institutions, ways of life, and economic development and to maintain and develop their identities, languages, and religions **within the framework of the states¹²⁰ in which they live.**” This is exemplified by, “... the model provided by Convention 169, many other international bodies, have begun establishing declarations and agreements on indigenous rights.” “In addition to the UN resolutions, the OAS Inter-American Commission on Human Rights has adopted a Proposed American Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples that is now being considered by a working group of the Committee on Judicial and Political Affairs of the OAS Permanent Council. Despite continuing *contentiousness*... comments by participating states demonstrate movement toward a *consensus*. (*See: The Current State of International Law, S. James Anaya, Professor of Human Rights Law and Policy, University of Arizona, James E. Rogers College of Law, & Visiting Professor of Law at Harvard*).

Contentiousness is not *acceptable* within collaborative governance secular political ideology: formal consensus of the group dynamics. The methods of isolation, alienation and expatriation (purging) are visible when the participants are not “on the same page,” and “all on board” with the group’s agenda, decision, scientific consensus,¹²¹ fixed option and outcome. This

¹²⁰ The speech encoding ‘state’ is not found in hereditary, traditional, and cultural indigenous society. “State” goes to the sub-divisions of the UNITED STATES. The term “domestic dependent nations,” is an invented encoded term, not found in indigenous language bases. (*Cross reference: self-determination, operational goal value priorities, worldview, worldmind, decision teams*).

¹²¹ Scientific consensus among authenticated and accredited experts, e.g. expert judgment elicitation processes (EPA) is linked to scientific Marxism, which has its origins in occult Free Masonry, Egyptian Atonism, ‘Rhoads scholars’ and Ph.D., under the Prussian Education system are likewise linked.

is a significant institutionalized *process ideal, codified* (agency rule making followed by legislative Acts), and applied *social science*. The group majority represents its ‘stakeholder interests,’ “underlying motives’ of each actor and not the whole ‘community interest.’ (***Cross reference: Collaborative governance, Formal Consensus, Positivism, Stakeholder Council, International risk governance, change agency***).

As specified in United Nations published *executive papers*, the UN (ICO) deployed agents (contractors) directly into the *interiors* of sovereign nation states to *solicit* residents and citizens to espouse, support and advocate the UN’s intrinsic stakeholder purposes. The various academic institutions, incorporated as colleges and universities, serve as intermediaries, cloaked in ‘education.’ (***Cross reference: Change agency***). Outreach and educational programs provide a strategic, tactical and logistic mechanism for orienting indigenous men, women and children to preeminent compliance to UN Capstone doctrine, Declarations and occult *precepts* of the “Temple of Understanding.” (***Cross reference: Lucis Trust, Co.***). The modernized codes of academic institutional ethics, the “*laws of learning*,” have advanced to social regime obedience: thought reform, mind control, brain and values washing, e.g. global goal value priorities, and operational goal value priorities administered concurrently

among federal “mission agencies.”¹²² (*Cross reference: Ecosystem Management Approach- US federal taskforce & Lockheed Corporation, Federal Partnership, Agenda 21, ICLEI, Nation building, Social engineering: Delphi techniques, UN WHO DSM V – Obedience Defiance Disorder, 2012*). Surrogates and specific persuasive political personalities of the American Indian Movement (AIM) support the

¹²² The facilitators advance under ‘educational’ colors, yet co-operate beyond the ethical academic institutional canons, and known ‘laws of learning.’ They are ‘change agents,’ presuming ‘high status knowledge,’ as distinguished from ‘low status knowledge’ of traditional indigenous people and their natural hereditary indigenous Chiefs and Headmen, holding ‘diplomatic social class standing.’ (*Cross reference: Innovations in Education: A Change agent’s Guide*). The change agent training shows how to identify resisters in the community. The perversions against tradition are encoded as “unfreezing of our children’s values.” (*see: National Training Laboratories, Bethel, Maine, National Institute of Education, Mastery learning, Direct Instruction, Skinner’s Box*). The facilitators proscribe a project: Best “Project Design Features,” [control] State participation/selection process, Role of Advisors, Content of Programs, Training of State Leaders, Resource people utilized, Basic skills content areas emphasized, Perception of need to use technology. Ronald Reagan signed an agreement with Soviet President Gorbachev (1985) to merge the two educational systems, e.g. US Soviet Exchange Agreement. (North American Union = North American Soviet). The Administrative Consolidation Act paved the way for the inclusion of behavioral conformity (resocialization) as an element of the “laws of learning.” On October, 24, 1975, the World Affairs Council of Philadelphia issued a “Declaration of Interdependence” written by Henry Steele of the Aspen Institute. (*Cross reference: Conclusions and Recommendations, 1934*). Outcome based education was experimented with by the Carnegie Corporation (1930-1940s). In 1985 the Carnegie Foundation signed an agreement with the Soviet Academy of Science. CEO Hornbeck’s goal value priority was “Compulsory community service.” The education role of ‘non-profit organizations’ was addressed in the “Reese Committee Investigation, Congressional Investigation of the Reece Committee: Investigation of the Subversive Activities of the Tax Exempt Foundations (Norman Dodd). The Reece Committee found that the Rockefeller Foundation and Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (i.e. Alger Hiss) were funding, with tax exempt dollars, leftist propagandist operations... collectivism, and humanism. Re-writing history was an integrated component, including Indian history. (*Cross reference: French Orient Masonry, Egyptian Atonism*). Charter schools are international. (*See: NewsWithViews.com, Regionalism is Communism, Carlottee Iserby, 2004; The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America, 2011*). The precept, concept and speech encoding, e.g. interdependence is traced throughout various religious capstones. This is self-evident in dogma and doctrine, such as “Co-creating Heaven on Earth: Birthing New Structures for Empowerment... the wholing of humanity... dysfunctional aspects of their personalities... principles of convergence... emerging now is the core group... in core groups individuals are healed... social pioneers... as true co-creators with God... the circle... inner knowing... core groups... our global family... ancient wisdom: I am One, We are One, All is One... to serve God.” (*Cross reference: transformation, One heaven, Jesuit Day of Redemption (12-21-12), Global Family, global mind change, participatory rights, Global citizen’s Treaty for Common Ecological Security, Earth Covenant, The New York Healing Circle, Promise of the New Millennium, Whose Who in Service to the Earth (People, Projects, Organizations, Key Words, 41 Visions of a Positive Future, M. Ferguson, Lester Brown, H. Henderson, J. Califano, R. Eisher, E. Mitchell, J. Houston, 1991)*). The key symbols, precepts, concepts, and speech encoding are Bioregionalism, environmental ethics, indigenous people, investment, population, religion, *sustainable development*. At face value, liberty, freedom, sanctuary, real human, original being, sovereignty are not listed in “key words.” The document refer to a “seller economy” and in fact the UNDP, ICLEI, its subordinates remain a ‘green seller’s economy,’ e.g. derivatives speculation: cap & trade, carbon foot print taxation, carbon credit banking, carbon certificates, exchanges, off sets, conservation easements, land trusts, swaps, and stewardship contracting. The “Indian country” and “mother earth” themes provide effective corporate profit veils, e.g. tax exclusions, exemptions, subsidies, and credits. The not-for-profit have mutated to for ‘some-profit,’ and dual control through Private Government Organizations, e.g. ‘collaboratives.’

purposes of the UN as of 2011, through collateral and tandem methods of global left leaning ‘*civil rights*’ activism.

The doctrines constructed from the global left leaning law schools contain two constant features, a. collective institutional rights, e.g. “peoples.” b. Consensus among UN umbrella organizations and academic ‘higher education’ colleges and universities, incorporated and registered in member UN states. It is noteworthy, that, “In 1923 Haudenosaunee Chief Deskaheh, representing the Six Nations of Iroquois, went to Geneva to implore the League of Nations to recognize the rights of his *people* ... and practice their own *faith*. The *synthesized* doctrine now being presented by *consensus* goes to rights under the philosophy of global collectivism, and respect for indigenous “religion.” Subsequently, indigenous “self-determination” is subject to ‘supervised rights.’ “The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC) provides the Court with jurisdiction of investigate and try individuals accused of committing genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes.” There are no provisions for “Indigenous identity Theft (Fraud)” by manifest institutional takings through the “frameworks of the (UN member) states in which they (indigenous) live.” (*Cross reference: UN Global Citizenship Role Model, Nation building- Bush Foundation*).

Sociologists and psychologists have played an operative/conditioner role in ‘*resocialization*’ of real human indigenous people, families and sovereigns. “Indian country” is pressed with the presidential implementation (executive order) of the United Nations’ “global citizenship role model” (UN D.R.I.P.). The collection of the *group members* defines the individual, e.g. “...*social identity theory* shows that merely crafting cognitive distinction between in- and out-groups can lead to subtle effect on people’s evaluations¹²³ of others (Cote and Levine, 2002).” Those indigenous people holding *goal value priorities* of difference predicated upon independence- grounded on a memory of *experience* may feel ‘marginalized,’ and in collaborative models are ‘isolated, alienated and expatriated’ from the *mainstream* citizenship

¹²³ These ‘evaluations’ include “oppositional defiant behavior (disorder). The refusal to comply, e.g. function within the dysfunction, bandwagon affect, and get “on board’ can be used to make a diagnosis as defined in the UN WHO: DSM-IV manual.

group membership identity.¹²⁴ The embedded disregard for unique and

¹²⁴ The state of Oregon treats indigenous people, meaning “Indians” as “Domestic dependent nations,” and has entered into contracts, compacts, revenue sharing agreements effecting security papers: birth certificates, death certificates, driver’s licenses, insurance binders, Adult & Family Services, educational certifications, and special protected group status and affirmative action measures. For legal purposes the Indians are residents and citizens of the state. The causes of civil actions affecting citizens through the secular political ideology of collaborative governance is illustrated in *Cascadia Wildlands; and Oregon Wild v. United States Forest Service, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (Violation of Administrative Procedure Act and National Environmental Policy Act re: Goose Project*. Parallel authorities (federal legislation) have established authorities for collaborating groups. The complaint identifies the parties, e.g. “Cascadia Wildlands educates, **agitates**, and **inspires a movement** to protect and restore Cascadia’s wild ecosystem... sustained by the unique landscapes of the Cascadia Bioregion.” “OREGON WILD is a non-profit corporation with... members and supporters.” The complaint makes no mention of “Indians, tribes, nations or indigenous people, yet does name the “public.” The BAR association attorneys Brown (OSB #054607) and Mellgren (OSB #114620) rely on federal statutes: APA, 5 U.S.C. §§701, NEPA, 42 U.S.C., *Equal Access to Justice Act 28 U.S.C., 40 CFR § 1500.1(b)*. Basically the latter regulation says, “disclose and analyze... information is available to public officials and citizens. It is noteworthy that these ‘enviropacs’ have acquiesced to and accepted (buy in) the ‘collaborative’ formal consensus decision making process, which is the ‘on the same page,’ ‘on the ground’ methodology that **in fact set off the Goose Project controversy**. The EIS ‘detailed written statements’ provide for “full and fair discussion of significant environmental impacts... inform... the public... impacts or enhance the quality of the human environment (**40 CFR §§ 1508.11 and 1502.1**.” The action cites an EA as a “concise public document,” and the collaborating ‘mission agencies’ to consider ten “significant factors.” The APA confers a right of judicial review on any person that is adversely affected by agency action (**5 U.S.C sec. 702**). While the BAR lawyers are focused on ecological habitat, bio-diversity management, and leisure time recreational experience, indigenous continuity of interests, including subsistence, ceremonial and access to “spiritual property” (U.N. D.R.I.P, article 11.2, etc) are absent in name and substance in this federal *civil action*. Given the specifications of the various federal environmental regulations, the goal value priorities expressed by the plaintiffs, the absence of affected indigenous people, as citizens, is conspicuous. The legislative history of the Mckenzie river threshold includes the Forest Legacy Act, Omnibus Oregon Wild and Scenic River Act (Public Act 100-577)(1988) and Oregon Scenic Waterway (ORS section 390.826(4); while making token reference to Indian treaties, merely provide deep simulation of “Indians” to the **goal value priorities** and **operational goal value priorities** as identified in USFS “surveys.” The operational aspects use an inclusionary approach to so called “grass roots” community participation in public policy agenda setting, issue development, fixed alternatives and desired outcomes (targets). The mission agencies rely on the said “**government to government**” relations to IRA tribal authorities, using the interoperable “decision team” collaboration among those selected and “seated at the table” of networked “stakeholders.” Collaborative governance is a secular political ideology supported by the administrative agencies and accepted by the multiple environmental **movement** ‘groups,’ as partners in ‘water shed councils.’ In early 2012 a ‘significant’ number of community people assembled to protest the secretive and inner circle methodology of ‘formal consensus of the group and enforcement of the group’s decision, absent of full and explicit disclosure of the project purpose and specifications. As a matter of pattern no indigenous people make a conspicuous appearance on the record, as original beings, and real humans. Since the petitioners consider themselves as ‘partners,’ ‘stakeholders’ and empowered ‘decision makers’ with opportunities for ‘stewardship contract’ awards, each shares a responsibility to consider the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of their common purpose and agency action on those indigenous original beings, real humans, not enfranchised and seated at the collaborative table. (**Cross reference: positive consensus, science as positivism**). Infraspect’s “Auditor’s Framework, Hierarchy of Standards, for Forest Certification Schemes, and Systems Approach: Environmental Policy Performance Indicators for Sustainable Development, Adaptive Management, Selective Management, 2001” addresses the “Certification Concept Threshold” and

lawful differences includes personal and collective exhibits.¹²⁵ This is exhibited in the Nevada mandated public education in middle and high school political curriculum mandates pledge of allegiance to a foreign power (United States of America) by Indigenous minors belonging to a

¹²⁵ Infraspect auditor directorate (Blair) and master auditor, indigenous affairs (George) interviewed (Type II, IV, special purpose: tandem) Richard McBride, an indigenous real human spirit, having multiple family heirship (right of soil & blood). It is important to distinguish the lawful indigenous original being from the legal personality in terms of mandated state and federal identification (California state ID card #, Social security card #). McBride experience in the Nevada public school system included attendance in the Billinghurst middle school and Reno high school... near a Washoe "colony." McBride's "cultural and traditional teachings" were respect of a pride and oath of allegiance to native American "heritage" as instructed by his traditional and trusted "Elders." During specific school student "assemblies" teachers were directed to instruct McBride to "stand up" and recite the "pledge of allegiance" contrary to his spiritual, religious, political and social teachings. Failure to do so would constitute "disobeying a teacher." One of the teachers made it clear he was a "veteran" and lost "comrades" in foreign soil warfare engagements. McBride responded with his native American heritage included honored Indian veterans and those people who fought against the US Calvary in the 'total warfare' campaigns against the Indian 'hostiles' defending their homeland. Among the other students that did not stand were "Jehovah witnesses," who were exempt from direct approach by school officials in the assembly and spared from "disciplinary" measures because the Jehovah witnesses had official "religious" corporate status. McBride was treated as a "trouble maker," and as such was "targeted," isolated, alienated and expatriated. McBride's status of being a "trouble maker" was grounded upon being politically "out spoken." His convictions were clarified by classroom teacher dissertations that people "came from monkeys." McBride confronted Darwin's theory of evolution, presenting a native American spiritual position concurrent with "Intelligent Design." (**Cross reference: Church doctrine**). The teacher's position (serious argument) did not take into account that native American spirituality embraced the operation of the "creator of the universe." This attribute of McBride's personality is lawfully protected by the "American Indian Religious Freedom Act," as adopted (P.L. 95-341), enabled and enacted by the US Congress (1970s). It is noteworthy that the Reno school district receives federal funding. The federal contract 'declaration criteria' includes penalties, and provisions for revoking funding, grants, and benefits for violations of P.L. 95-341. McBride's defense of his spiritual faith (religious freedom) was construed as "uncooperative," "disruptive." (Reno, Nevada, high school, 1999). As the school officials tensions increased the school officials used a pre-decisional process of placing a "teacher next to" McBride during an student assembly at school. During an open school assembly McBride was directly approached, ordered to "pledge allegiance." He refused. The school "police officer" was 'standing by' prepared to intervene with uniformed police force. McBride stated, "I am not doing anything wrong." (Repeated). Other student attending the assembly remained seated. He was told he was to obey or be "arrested for disobeying a teacher" and "disciplined." He was not read his rights, was "handcuffed," "emBARrassed" and taken from the assembly to the administration office, at which time the handcuffs were removed, after being physically searched. Handcuffing McBride was rationalized as "protecting him." After being held to public scrutiny, the stated "threat" no longer existed. McBride's native American 'spirituality' was laughed at and incited him to not cooperate further. (**Cross reference: fighting words**). McBride's native American rights advocacy was answered by "stop living in the past" and "get with the system." McBride offered a well reason answer to the matter of the "oath of allegiance," being that he has already subscribed to an "oath of allegiance" to his "sovereign nation" and the double oath to the US would be an "intrusion on his indigenous oath of allegiance." McBride was caricaturized by the attending school officials as a "terrorist," "hostile," and "anti-American." In the matter of the actual words of the "pledge of allegiance" the words "under god" are recited. There is no indigenous meaning of "god," as McBride's spiritual teaching and cognition is "creator of the universe." During McBride's school disciplinary conferences, an inference was given that if not "god" then he is a "Satanist." He was told that the action against him by the school teachers was intended to make an example to other students as to what measures would be taken against "trouble makers." McBride concluded with respect for the Infraspect auditors (tandem interviewers) as this is the first time he has been realistically questioned as to his indigenous concerns, and that this provides a sense of justice and rightful doing to tell the truth of

“domestic dependent nation.” It is noted that the public schools are beneficiaries of federal funding, grants, exclusions and exemptions for indigenous student enrollments and attendance tabulations. (*Cross reference: human resources, human capital, collaborative governance: participatory democracy, Delphi technique: group think, thought reform, mind control, brain & values washing, conservation psychology, UN global citizenship role model*).

By systematic applications of *social constructionist theory* (en mass *a lien* political inducements) and *identity formation strategies* (Cote & Levine, 2002), when absent of full and explicit disclosures of ulterior motives, the identity of the indigenous hereditary chiefs and headmen (holding diplomatic class standing) are taken by *deception*, and their assets taken by a *gradual process of tandem constructive frauds*.¹²⁶ This is evident by IRA tribal ‘authorities’ using an ‘application’ form and IRA corporate process for hereditary chiefs and headmen (indigenous hiership) in order to have an official *representative* role in ‘treaty’ matters. Their *right of leadership* is nullified by a mere administrative consensus decision. The indispensable matter of yielding sovereignty is exemplified by, “... authority as an important dimension of variance among international institutions... the greater the authority of international institutions, the more sovereignty states have to yielded to them.” “Authoritativeness” differs from other important characteristics of international institutions such as “*autonomy*.” “Autonomy refers to the ability of international institutions to make decisions that do not reflect the preferences and

¹²⁶ Sovereign personal political power and real human ‘being’ is nullified by accepting artificial person names and new names for living places. The new artificial name is incorporated into a civil jurisdiction that protects the new person and the geographic place. The human and place are construed as capital, and assets. The assets are converted to credit and debt collateral, subject to a monetary system and floating currency owned by another entity, marketed by appraisal and a pricing economy, and tax inflation econometric model. The Quality of life is mistreated as a product of the state, e.g. income. Economic channeling, aggregate re-allocation, *inclusionary rezoning*, force gains and profits to provisional beneficiaries and is enforced by commercial courts, and Uniform Commercial Codes.

polices of powerful states” (Keohane 1989; Pierson 1996).¹²⁷ The United Nations eternal, applies the *Capstone doctrine* to all of its conventions, resolutions and declarations (UN DRIP), such as those executed by the UN Security Council.

¹²⁷ “Yielding Sovereignty to International Institutions: Bringing System Structure Back In”, Scott Cooper, Darren Hawkins, Wade Jacoby, Daniel Neilson, Brigham Young University, (International Studies Review (2008) 10, 501-524), identifies institutional “authority.” “Two [has stated] factors that impact international structure—previously existing institutions and the presence of **systemic shocks**.” 106 member UN states have ratified the treaty establishing the International Criminal Court (ICG). In context of ‘innovative international law’ the European Court of Justice, International court of Justice, have made substantive advancement in authorities of executive administrators. Constructivism and sociological institutionalism take into account “taken-for-granted-norms” e.g. “**institutional normative rationale**” to justify states giving up more sovereignty. See: Perrow 1986; Finnemore and Sikkink 1998, Wendt 1990, Powell and DiMaggio 1991. The academic establishment, being institutional (corporate) franchises, authenticated experts, professors and doctors rely on institutional normative rationale, elite judgment elicitation and fraternity, exemplified by, (1) constructivism and sociological institutionalism (Perrow 1986; Finnemore & Sikkink 1998; Wendt 1999; Powell & DiMaggio 1991); (2) Role of **global norms** and discourse (Finnemore 2003), Political entrepreneurs (Haas 1992, Keck & Sikkink 1998); (3) International structure (Wendt 1992)(Lake, 1999, 2003, 2007); (4) **Gradual** systemic changes, cooperative society (Buzan (2004); Autonomy of International Institutions, UN Security Council making legally binding decision—authority requires states to change their policies under threat of penalty for noncompliance (Keohane 1989, Pierson 1996); (5) Joint future decisions—“pooled” international institutions (Moravcsik 1998); (6) de facto decision maker – EU (Jacoby 2004); Regional banks set monetary policy (WAMU, British colonial institution); (7) Liberal rationalist logic, specialization (Hawkins, Lake, Nielson, Tierney 2006); (8) commitments to [TIA] information asymmetries, **decision rules** (Keohane 1984, Moravcsik 1998, Abbott & Snidal 2000); (9) International institutions legitimize principled beliefs, **normative** commitment (Finnemore & Sikkink 1998, Keck & Sikkink 1998); (10) Authoritative institutions, platforms, rightness of their norms and values ((Checkel 2001); (11) Appropriateness (Risse 2000, Fearon & Wendt 2002); (12) Anarchists fearing anarchy (Wendt, 1992); (13) Authoritative international institutions political structure of choice (Pierson 1996); (14) Institutional springboards, Reducing global uncertainty (Keohane 1984); (15) Crises, System Shock, threats to government survival (Kaufman 1995, Haggard & Checkel 1997); (16) Shared ‘understandings’ destruction of old systems, create value-neutral opportunities, creation of authoritative institutions, converging motives, post-independence cooperation (Schelling 1966, Garrett & Weingast 1993); (17) Permissive condition to create authoritative institutions (Scott Cooper, et al); (18) Expand Universal jurisdiction, (Protocol I, 1977); (19) Structural opportunities, antecedents of **authority delegation**—trust building, constituency pressure, predictability, ideas embedded in institutions (Goldstein & Keohane 1993). (**Cross reference: Harvard Project ISBN 0-9743946-1-0, JOPNA No. 2005-02, Resources for Nation building, Governance... American Indian Nations, U. of Az.; North American Union, Operation 9/11, the Shock Doctrine, and the North American Union, Dr. Eric Karlstrom, Prof. Of Geography, 7-23-2008**). The first principle of “Sustainability” is that it “is not democratic.” The Indian tribes are not UN member “states.” See *Native American Church v. Navajo Tribal Council*, 272 F 2d. 131 (10th Cir. 1959). It is relevant to note that the US / Indian Treaties stipulated making no extraneous treaties with ‘foreign powers.’ The UN’s economic command umbrella system embraces model aspects of Uniform Commercial Codes, General Agreement Tariffs & Trade; European Central Banking (cartel) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). (**Cross reference: North American Union—Security & Prosperity Partnership, NACC**). The SEC’s co-mingling of for profit and tax sheltered non-profit institutions provided a gateway and **partnership** mechanism into ‘Indian country.’ (See: *Blackfeet National Bank v. Nelson, re: FDIC, preemption, state supremacy- insurance regulation*). The US Clinton administration championed “re-inventing government” (Gore) and the “Institutional economy” driven by authoritarian policymaking. The ‘banking bailout’ co-operated in the territories just like “repos”, e.g. deposit insurance for the shadow banking system, over night sales and repurchases of collateral through special purpose vehicles (SPV). The [property] titles, affecting foreclosures, forced patents, allotments, tax deeds,

Academic colonialism through ‘college’ and ‘university’ *scholarship* has

provided a venue¹²⁸ for change agents

¹²⁸ The academic institutional venue of the ‘modern era’ includes computers, and access to internet information and data bases. The traditional culture, laws of learning and instruction were ‘face to face.’ To become accredited, certified and authenticated the public schools, community colleges, and universities mandate computer capacity and proficiency. Those eligible ‘native Americans,’ ‘Indians’ are provided protective group status, but must become co-dependent upon computer educational ‘tools.’ (ISO encoded). Indigenous original beings, real humans, are socially isolated and systematically disenfranchised by failure to submit to dependence upon the internet “information highway.” Each is qualified by their federal Identification, SSI, and other ‘*prerequisites.*’ The higher education institutions mine the student’s intellect, in exchange for membership in fraternity, such as ‘brothers in the bond’ (legal counsel, attorney,lawyer). A student that copyrights, trade marks and patents his/her lesson papers or products will be isolated and scrutinized by the institution’s board of *regency*. Intellectual property infringement and theft is commonplace among educational institutions and their funding partners. The U.S. Department of Justice published Prosecuting Intellectual Property Crimes (Office of Legal Education, 2006). The publication addresses descriptions and analysis of federal criminal intellectual property laws-copyright, trademark, theft of trade secrets, counterfeit labeling, the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, and the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act. Likewise the USDOJ published Identity Theft and Social security Fraud, e.g. prosecutions under 18 U.S.C., subsection 1028 (identity theft), 1029 (aggravated identity theft), and 1343 (mail fraud and wire fraud).

¹²⁹ to alienate the “old ways” as obsolete, including ‘ways of life,’ perceptions of sovereign exchanges, *consensus reality* and what is truth. (See: *US Civil Rights Act; American Indian Religious Freedoms Act, P.L. 95-341; Personal identity (philosophy), Identity (philosophy), Master/*

¹²⁹ *Change agency*. The academic establishment pretends to be merely a ‘facilitator,’ working under a flag of educational experiments. The operative source of the collaborative movement is exhibited by, “... credibility to those of us in the educational communications and technology field **seeking to effect significant change through collaborative efforts.**” The Keywords are: Change agency; Lethal adaptation; Loose coupling; Mutual adaptation; Perturbances; Punctuated equilibrium; Reculturing; and Restructuring. Facilitating change (Ellsworth, 2000; Ely, 1976) included manipulating humans and “how to get people to use our designs, rather than resisting, battling, refusing or sabotaging our efforts.” “Process-oriented” was used to distinguish “*Change* theories (Lewin, 1951), developmental change theories, and diffusion theories.” *Gradualism* is encoded “small continuous adjustments... across units... accumulate... creating substantial change.” Secular social influence theory (French and Raven, 1959), is visible in the Evidence – Based Intervention Work Group (EBIWG), University of Wisconsin-Madison... e.g. the “power of one individual to *change* the beliefs, attitudes, or behaviors of another. The operant/conditioner (facilitator) includes physical attractiveness, position in the organizational hierarchy, interpersonal social history, and ability to distribute rewards or punishments (Cialdini, 2001, French and Raven, 1959, Yukl, 1994). In context of development process, Concerns Based Adoption Model (CBAM), stages of concern are identified by Hall and Hord, 1987. This was focused on the “attitudes of *stakeholders* who in their roles as street-level bureaucrats (Weatherly and Lipsky, 1977) ultimately decide the fate of a *change* effort.” The attributes of “*innovation*” include five perceived items that influence the rate of adoption: Relative advantage, Compatibility, Complexity, Trialability, Observability. (Rogers, 2003). In research (Sarason, 1990)... people may serve as *change agents*: governmental policies (Borko et al., 2003), district leaders (Spillane, 2002), school principles (Avisar et al., 2003), classroom teachers (Olsen and Kirtman, 2002), student teachers (Lane et al., 2003), community groups (Arriaza, 2004, and University partnerships (Fishman et al., 2004), and business partners (Corcoran and Lawrence, 2003), and even students themselves (Fielding, 2001). The Change agents Connect Organizations to their Environment. The humans are “institutionalized change agents when active participants are *empowered*, in the *movement*. Corporate partners emphasize school science teaching, especially those so called ‘public benefit corporations.’ Social engineering and behavioral conformity are embedded in school change theory, e.g. The Guidance System for *Transforming* Education (GSTE) (Jenlink et al., 1998), Step-Up-To-Excellence (Duffy, 2006), professional development approach (Caine, 2006), user-design (Carr-Chellman and Almeida, 2006), and *chaos theory* (Reigeluth, 2004). (*Cross reference: Centers for Excellence*). The RAND (corporation) change agent studies of the 1970s, the concept of mutual adaptation was used to inject the external goal value priorities to meet the local ‘needs.’ (see: Berman and McLaughlin, 1975). Local *re-invention* was set to “better match the norms (capacity) of the adopting organization.” Lethal adaptation was used to describe failure of the over-arching operational goal value priority. Teachers, became *contingency managers* of their own ‘education.’ (see: Spillane, 2002). “Grafting reform ideas onto familiar practices” (Knapp, 1997; Borko et al., 2003) are methods of implementing reforms in *teacher-friendly ways*. *Change agents* are... responsible for the cultivation of a common vision of reform amongst multiple stakeholders... e.g. capacity building (Jenlink et al., 1998). Critical discourse and ‘debate’ are allowed as long as it does not distribute the “framework,” e.g. common purpose, over-state philosophy, elite shared vision. Change agents are Vision Builders, not Technicians. The leadership of the group is subject to a process of determining: tractibility, status conscious individual, values neutral, functional orientation, e.g. function within institutional normative rationale. *Collaborative groups* capitalize on existing *teacher-led* communities to foster the growth of professional communities, as long as the postulates of *positivist theory*, credulity and institutional control are not de-stabilized. Individual differences matter in terms of “systematic implementation” (McLaughlin, 1990). The speech encoding “*collaborative inquiry*” (CI) is easy to sell to an empowered group, especially one that has *hidden proxies* (Blair and George, 2012, Indigenous identity Theft & fraud, technical brief), and shield by legal absolute and limited immunities. The “Shared values”, including “*values neutral*” are injected into the group (Delphi techniques, ‘just say yes’). The UK (British Empire, ISO 14000, market driven standards), through “civil servants” (Kakabadse and

Slave Dialectic Hegel, Soul Atomism, Construction of the Soul, Social Constructivism, Identity negotiation, social identity theory- Henri Tajfel & John C. Turner, Identity formation strategies- Cote & Levin 2002, pp. 3-5; People Shappers, Vance Packard). “Chapter I” educational standards are applied to military institutions and federal Indian education and are controlled by the sub-division ‘state’ Boards of education. Community Education Journal, 1974 provided the ‘trip wire’ for exposing “Community Education: Curriculum, World-minded Teachers/Administrators, United Nations, UNESCO, **Change agents**, Strategies, IGE/Community Education, NEA Bicentennial Ideabook, Federal Government Involvement, International Community Education, School of the Future, and model Blue Prints. The Secular Humanist Declaration was a significant factor in designing Community Education and putting theory into global practice, e.g. world mindedness, United Nations government and “world citizenship.” Congressman John T. Wood, Idaho, expressed his legislative insight (Congressional Record, October 18, 1951) as to the United Nations UNESCO agenda, as “... destruction of all sense of national allegiance...” referring to the **change agents** indoctrinating the children (intelligence intermediaries) as to teach the parents in world mindedness, “As long as the child breathes the poisoned air of nationalism, education is world-mindedness can produce only rather precarious results.” “Formal education has a contributory role to play in providing needed information and promoting changed attitudes toward a new world order... teaching of those values, attitudes, and abilities most likely to contribute to the development of such a world order. (See: *United Nations and World Citizenship, books IV, and Booklet V.*) (Cross reference: *targeted for education*).

The academic institution’s code of ethics is set in “providing a safe learning atmosphere” and regard for the attributes of life. This is an educational maxim. The ‘Nazi doctors,’ and **eugenicists** maintain a double standard, reaching a near **sociopathic personality**, e.g. indifference to **pain** and **penalty**. The ‘ethic’ incorporates ‘collapsing wrong doing to the authority of the higher **research** cause.’ Assault and battery, e.g. bodily harm, and psychological terrorism are exhibited by, “... the person in charge of the dorms at the Oglala Community High School ‘caught my friend and I speaking Lakota (approximately 1974-5)... he put my fingers in the hinge area of an open door and closed it... breaking my fingers... we were not allowed to tell officials or the nurse... my mother found out and removed me from the High School.” (See: *Statement of Emerson Elk (2012), Lakota, 7 Fires Council*). This assault and battery satisfy the

elements of criminality. The Oglala Community High School officials did not ‘dare’ to prosecute a case of truancy for fear of major counter legal action, massive public outcry and institutional scrutiny. This act of terrorism remains embedded in the student’s mind (PTSD). (***Cross reference: aversive therapy***).

The subject of DNA sampling of indigenous real human provides a real time benefit to institutional/corporate entities.¹³⁰ The academic (college/university) springboard purposes of DNA sample (data) espionage (mining) are exhibited by, “... in the hope they might provide genetic clues to the tribe’s devastating rate of diabetes.” The scientific ‘ethical tradeoffs’ are exhibited by **major breaches of trust**, e.g. a ‘safe learning atmosphere,’ and respect for *patient* (research subject) rights. The DNA

¹³⁰ Select examples of scientific misconduct in genomics research include: Arizona Court of Appeals. *Havasupai Tribe v. Arizona Board of Regents (2008)*, Dalton, 2002, *Safari Research in Mexico (Sequin et al., 2008)*. Specific case demonstrations include, “... isolated tribe had given DNA samples to university researchers starting in 1990... their blood samples had been used to study many other things, including mental illness and theories of the tribe’s geographical origins that contradict their traditional stories.” This constituted a false pretense of actual purposes. The field geneticist obtained permission for wider-ranging genetic studies. The affiliated university’s Board of Regents made a financial settlement. The university manipulated the settlement to provide “other forms of assistance to the impoverished Havasupai, considering the implicit rights of research subjects. The original beings, real humans, were dehumanized as “research subjects.” The abstract consents, by the ‘center for American Indian studies,’ were ‘simplified’ for the vulnerable population. The context of routine medical care widens the range of personal information that can be gleaned from DNA (samples, tabulations, data, information, analysis). The academic institutions used “our blood”, and professors, students, fellows get degrees and associated grants. This industrial espionage is noted as “mining DNA.” DNA banking and trans-implanting are avoided in community ‘discussions.’ Dr. David Karp, University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center, makes it clear that informed consent models are deliberated upon by legal and medical ‘experts.’ T. Markow [University of California], geneticist, defended her actions as ethical pursuant to the “fundamental nature of genetic research, where progress often occurs... good science.” The extraordinarily high incidence of Type 2 diabetes... even among the younger members, include-geographic and age demographic profiles. The diabetes was contextualized as a disease and “how can we prevent this from spreading?” in deliberations with J. Martin, Arizona State University anthropologist. The artifact data depicted a “genetic variant and the high rate of diabetes among Pima Indians. The Professors received money from the university to study diabetes in the tribe, another (1990) from the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression, and collected blood samples in Supai, operating from the village health clinic. The noted consent forms were abstract and simplified, e.g. “study the causes of behavioral/medical disorders.” The community was provided the opportunity to “ask questions.” The community’s educational profile is a matter of history. The general anthropological stance is present in studies applied to the indigenous ‘inhabitants.’ As the matter of informed consent was set off by the ‘subjects’ the academic institutions invoked damage control to redact information, tabulations, data, while associated published articles based on the ‘blood samples’. Other academic (scientific) articles suggested the “tribe’s ancestors had crossed the frozen Bering Sea to arrive in North America. These applications of scientific ‘expert judgment elicitation’ can be used in ‘tribe’s rights to land,’ and basis of sovereign rights. (Edmond Tilousi). Conclusively, still suffering from diabetes, the researchers provided no actual help. The final settlement provided a springboard and bench mark for the university to ‘provide resources, scholarships, federal funds, new health clinic, alleged to have the ‘potential to transform the tribal village at the bottom of one of the world’s most famous natural wonders. (cross reference: false positives).

industrial espionage business has mutated to a vast matrix of DNA research businesses and services¹³¹ sold to indigenous people, families, tribes, institutions, *authorities* (state/federal/tribal agency, judicial, enforcement, religious corporations), and globally integrated enterprises,

¹³¹ Indigenous DNA testing, research, products and services are exemplified by “Find Your Ancestry through DNA, Native American DNA Testing, [Cherokee Indians]; Info BARrel, Indian Ancestral DNA Testing [related articles- Using DNA testing to Prove Family History Research]; Brazil asks Interpol to Intervene in Illegal Sales of Indian DNA; DNA Tribes—Athabaskan, Apache, Bri Bri, Chippewa, Chol, Coastal Salish, Cree, Dogrib, Gaviao, Guarani, Hna Hnu, Huastecos, Inupiat, Metzhitlan, Navajo, Ngobe, Otomi, Pehuenche, Puna, Surui, Wai Wai, Wounan, Xavante, Yupik, Zoro.

Completed in 2003, the Human Genome Project (HGP) was a 13-year project coordinated by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Institutes of Health. During the early years of the HGP, the Wellcome Trust (U.K.) became a major partner; additional contributions came from Japan, France, Germany, China, and others. See our history page for more information.

Project goals were to

- * identify all the approximately 20,000-25,000 genes in human DNA,
- * determine the sequences of the 3 billion chemical base pairs that make up human DNA,
- * store this information in databases,
- * improve tools for data analysis,
- * transfer related technologies to the private sector, and
- * address the ethical, legal, and social issues (ELSI) that may arise from the project.

Though the HGP is finished, analyses of the data will continue for many years. Follow this ongoing research on our Milestones page. An important feature of the HGP project was the federal government's long-standing dedication to the transfer of technology to the private sector. By licensing technologies to private companies and awarding grants for innovative research, the project catalyzed the multibillion-dollar U.S. biotechnology industry and fostered the development of new medical applications.

To mitigate academic colonialism the “Developing a Framework to Guide genomic Data Sharing and Reciprocal Benefits to Developing Countries and Indigenous Peoples” invented a “Colloquium.” January 7-8, 2009, Georgetown University, Washington, DC hosted by O’Neill Institute of National and Global Health Law Georgetown University and Personalized health care Initiative, US Dept. of Health and Human Services, frameworked the “project design and methods; case studies- the human Genome diversity project, National Geographic Genographic project, International HapMap project, Misuse of biological samples from the Havasupai Indians, Misuse of biological samples from the Nuu-chah-nulth, Survey of existing guidelines for Genomic research with Indigenous populations (examples of biodiversity). The reciprocal benefits ‘packages’ were controlled by the members of the O’Neill Personalized Medicine Workgroup. Following ‘proof of concept’ commercial doctrine the “scientific community” engages indigenous communities with Genomic research in “community development,” through “progressive community empowerment.” Institutional quackademics include speech encoding, such as “a genomic gap.” The genomic gap is aligned with healthcare themes similar to ‘abortion’ as preventative healthcare. The community discussions about the process of genomic research are controlled by ‘consulting, consent, training members of communities in science/healthcare, and methods used by scientists to manipulate communities. So called “guidelines” are relied upon to protect indigenous “communities,” in the collectivist rights context, e.g. **General Assembly Resolution 61/295, UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples**. The Aboriginal Capacity and Development Research Environments (ACADRE) network is a university-based resource, partnerships with regional First nation, Inuit and Metis communities, to integrate traditional values and ethics into guidance for health researchers (corporations). The CIHR established the Aboriginal Ethics Working Group (AEWG) along with the National Council on Ethics in Human Research. As of 2012 the workings of these groups, as well as the acknowledged existence of the UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous

such as the Center for Disease Control, UN World Health Organization *umbrella organizations*, and pharmaceuticals cartels, DNA data mining (industrial espionage) is contingent to Indigenous identity Theft and fraud.

Identity theft & fraud involves ‘infringements’ upon the property and intellectual rights to proprietary, confidential business information and trade secrets. Unlawful Conduct, e.g. Espionage, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(I) (accessing a computer and obtaining national security information, Disclosure of Private Information, 18 U.S.C. 2511 (I)(c), Interception of Electronic Communications, § 2511 & § 2701 (accessing stored communications, Piracy and Intellectual Property Theft, §§ 1831, 1832 (theft of trade secrets), 17 U.S.C. § 506 and 18 U.S.C. § 2319 (criminal copyright infringement) and Trade Secrets/Economic Espionage, 18 U.S.C. § 1905 (disclosure of confidential information), and §§ 2314, 2315 (interstate transportation or receipt of stolen property) can be prosecuted. Accessing to Defraud and Obtain Values, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4) defines felony offenses. The intent to convert a trade secret, such as privileged

information, expressed ideas, business consultations, services, or products is stipulated.¹³²

Private information leakage from reservation institutions is common place, as reckless gossip that can harm the standing of an indigenous man or women. More pervasive is “collaborative surveillance,” which is used to mine internet user “intelligence.” The false veil of tribal sovereign immunity has been a boon to agency/corporate partnership ‘espionage,’

¹³² The U.S.C. Pub. L. 104-294, title I, § 101(a). Oct. 11, 1996, 110 Stat. 3489 includes: “ (a) Whoever, with intent to convert a *trade secret*, that is related to or included in a *product* that is produced for or placed in *interstate or foreign commerce*, to the economic benefit of anyone other than the *owner* thereof, and intending or knowing that the offense will, injure any *owner* of that trade secret, knowingly—(1) steals or without authorization appropriates, takes, carries away, or conceals, or by fraud, artifice, or deception obtains such information; (2) without authorization copies, duplicates, sketches, draws, photographs, downloads, uploads, alters, destroys, photocopies, replicates, transmits, delivers, sends, mails, communicates, or conveys such information; (3) receives, buys, or possesses such information, knowing the same to have been stolen or appropriated, obtained, or converted without authorization; (4) attempts to commit any offense described in paragraphs (1) through (3); or (5) conspires with one or more other persons to commit any offense described in paragraphs (1) through (3), and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, shall, except as provided in subsection (b), be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both. (b) Any organization that commits any offense described in subsection (a) shall be fined not more than \$5,000,000. Accessing to Defraud and Obtain Value, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4) provides: knowingly and with intent to defraud, access a protected computer without authorization, or exceeds authorized access, and by means of such conduct furthers the intended fraud and obtains anything of value, unless the object of the fraud and the thing obtained consists only of the use of the computer and the value of such use is not more than \$5,000 to any 1-year period. See Wire fraud statute, 18 U.S.C. § 1343. The collaborative governance surveillance mechanism, e.g. public / corporate contractor partnership has provided a means for data and information to be manipulated, obtained, copyrighted (FBI), sold and purchased as a “product,” among enterprise contractors. Merely removing the personal identifier does not guarantee confidentiality, privacy and security throughout the domestic and foreign institutional *fusion center* processes. When Congress added subsection 1030 in 1986, the legislation sponsor suggested that 1030(a)(4) “intended to punish attempts to steal valuable data.” The subsection (4) acts of fraud, are “essentially thefts in which someone uses a federal interest computer to wrongly obtain something of value from another”... and is intended to reflect the distinction between the theft of information, a felony, and mere unauthorized access, a misdemeanor. The Supreme Court has recognized that the mail and wire fraud statutes sweep more broadly than the common law definition of fraud and false pretense. *Durland v. United States*, 161 U.S. 306, 313-14 (1896)... depriving [person] of his property wrongfully constitutes fraud under the mail fraud provision. *Fasulo v. United States*, 272 U.S. 620, 629 (1926). The “deprivation of something of value [by] overreaching” is noted. *Hammerschmidt v. United States*, 265 U.S. 182 (1924). “Deceit” or “overreaching.” *Hanger Prosthetics Orthotics Inc. v. Capstone Orthopedic, Inc.*, 556 F. Supp. 2d 1122, 1131 (E.D. Cal. 2008). The collaborative surveillance actions of access, selling, buying, exchanging, for any gain, without authorization of the *owner* may be an intended scheme to defraud. *United States v. Crubinski* 106 F.3d 1069 (1st Cir. 1997). “Intangible interests and rights, confidential information.” *United States v. Newman*, 664 F.2d 12 (2d Cir. 1981). The Supreme Court held that the mail and wire fraud statutes incorporate the materiality requirement [misrepresentation or concealment] of common-law fraud. *Neder v. United States*, 527 U.S. 1, 22-23 (1999). Conveniently fabricated ‘investigations,’ loses and purges of tribal departmental records are contrasted with unwarranted house raids, where warrants are written and certified after the fact of taking data and information. The indigenous witnesses, having provided statements to Infraspect, are clear in their perception that they are not ‘secure in the homes and papers,’ e.g. computer data and information, including personal, business and professional relations.

e.g. “Snooping and data collection have become big business” in Indian country. Espionage on sovereign [Indian] diplomats is similarly exhibited by, “... covert devices were reportedly placed in European Union offices and earlier by Hillary Clinton’s State Department on the United Nations to ease drop on diplomats.” (10-25-2013, Ralph Nader). Companies such as Goggle, Apple, Microsoft, and Facebook collect and commercialize personal data on Indian adults and children, covering their tracks with fine-print user agreement contracts. “Shared Endorsements” enables the corporates to create ‘features,’ without any notice or compensation. Indian children are targeted by mass data collectors, which is exemplified by, “InBloom (non-profit, Atlanta) uses database solution for student records, K-12, as emerging ‘tools.’ Thousands of advertisers linked to Facebook collect marketing data and promote their products. THIS IS ESPIONAGE when the collector is co-operating across the sovereign boundary of a federal Indian reservation, and sovereign indigenous domain. (***Cross reference: Mondelez International, Chips Ahoy and Ritz***). In store camera technology is dubbed “smart shelf,” such as a springboard from Microsoft “Kinect.” (***See: Opting Out From the Corporate State of Surveillance, Ralph Nader, Oct., 2013***). It is essential to comprehend that intelligence gathered via the DHS, NSA, FBI, INTERPOL and corporate collaborators is treated as a trademarked, patented and copyrighted product— bought and sold. The issues are ‘article of commerce,’ USC, UCC ‘infringements’ and not solely the media’s conversational hypnosis on ‘privacy.’ This is exhibited by special projects of DARPA (Narratives),

“Echelon,” and more currently “Xkeyscore.”¹³³ Whistle blower Snowden (NSA) exposed massive intrusions, setting off reciprocal offensives and protracted deniability.

Although the causes of diabetes are known, the distribution of *non-paleo toxic* foods and beverages, as source causes, remain protected by “sustainable economic development” on federal Indian reservations. In the case demonstrations reservation *inhabitants* are targeted for surplus commodities, multiple monopolies, franchises and geographically qualify as ‘food desserts,’¹³⁴ with fixed ‘chains of custody,’ e.g. reliance on distant sources and exceptionally higher ‘local’ pricing on food, and household necessities. The co-operation of franchising is *dynamic* and *strategic*. This is exhibited by the land use planning of *inclusionary rezoning*. The strategic, tactical and logistical placement of ‘fast food’ chains is

¹³³ XKeyscore is a computerized tool to develop intelligence from the internet. “The purpose of XKeyscore is to allow analysts to search the metadata as well as the content of emails and other internet activity.” “Plug-ins” (12-2012) includes every email address seen in a session by both username and domain,” “every phone number seen in a session” and user activity— “the webmail and chat activity to include username, buddylist, machine specific cookies, etc.” (*Cross reference: Bnooz Allen, contractor, NSA*). Analyst select ‘pull downs’ designed to provide “legal and targeting justifications.” DNI Presenter is used to read the content of stored emails, and to read the content of Facebook chats or private messages.” The data base records “call events,” transaction between US Citizens. (Cross reference: Tribal citizens). Bear in mind enrolled tribal “Indians,” as citizens are subject to XKeyscore, and subsequently other data bases, such as “Pinwale.” (*See: Xkeyscore: NSA tool collects ‘nearly everything a user does on the internet’, Glenn Greenwald, www.theguardian.com, 7-2013*). The secular political ideology (dogma & doctrine), collaborative governance, is aggressive, while mandating isolation, alienation and participant terminating of consensus blockers, dissenters, objectors, anti-common vision & purpose, protestors, etc. Collaboration is masked as local law and “empowerment,” yet has been caricaturized as a “stake in the heart of democracy” (Sierra Club, executive McClusky). The collaborative surveillance goes directly to ‘potentiality profiling’ (ADL), as well as industrial espionage used in ‘market driven solutions,’ e.g. demographic consumer profiling. (*Cross reference: Private Government Organization, Public / Private Partnership, Trans-Pacific Partnership, Native Nation re-Building*).

¹³⁴ The *economic command* model channeling of toxic foods and beverages remains constant within the reservations, based on commercial “proof of concept” doctrine, NOT public health and safety. An important case demonstration of secondary channeling to reservations is the public outcry pertaining to “pink slime” added to meats distributed through fast food franchises. On the Pine Ridge Indian reservation a major food retailer’s meat department was closed (5-2012) by the state health department for alleged mixing of horse meat with beef, and bio-contamination of approved and sealed meat products. Once rejected by the general public, the marketers merely redirected the ‘products’ to Indian reservations, whose corporate veils of immunity, exemption, exclusion and special advantage apply. (*See: ASPE.hhs.gov, US Department of Health & Human Services, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, O’Neill Institute of National and Global Health Law Georgetown University and Personalized Health Care initiative, US Dept. of HHS, 2009*). The “public/private partnership” is actually corporate-to-corporate.

protracted legally, technically and socially to provide a real advantage to fast food services, hotels, motels, commodity stores and infrastructure municipals. The fast food chains providing non-indigenous foods, e.g. chicken, hamburgers, hot dogs, tacos, etc., occupy spaces and view landscape and advertisements that play on occult symbols (*i.e. Pepsi, Egypt's God of the Night*), Indian motifs and others designed to persuade the 'Indian consumer' to buy. (*Cross reference: Sicko*). In specific case demonstrations, indigenous people have complained that tribal council members are meeting at the "casino" to conduct tribal business. The 'casino culture' gaming atmosphere is pervasive, and the gambling period of operation is all year. (*See: Infraspect case file, Browning, Mt.*). The 'tribal' gaming commissions and management companies manipulate a 'family friendly' restaurant image, and provide for quasi-government symposiums to maintain a sustained commitment to their community/corporate 'partnership.'

Co-mingling agency and corporate authority and stakeholder interest is masked as the "public / private partnership." Tribal sovereign immunity provide an omnibus legal escape clause for agency and corporate unlawful, illegal and predatory enterprise. The social rationales of social "values neutral" and "market driven solutions" (ISO EMS), for "Indian casino culture," applies the Roman Empire model of "**bread and circus.**" Tribal, federal, state governments are assured of their share of the 'legal plunder' (sheltered taxation, fees, revenue sharing, foundation grants, stipends) of the 'house' receipts. Values neutral and market driven solutions (standards) shape the tribal councils and business committees applied public fictitious 'morals' and 'good business' cooperation. (*See: UN DRIP; Foundation for Global Compact*). "Indian" casinos deserve the utmost lawful scrutiny, critical analysis, social deliberation and judicial

determination, as well as various state government actions¹³⁵ that espouse, encourage, support and effect “compacts” with the quasi-foreign domestic dependent nations, especially when the state uses a *conclusive* and/or *collusive presumption* that the ‘state’ can “give” or “grant” a compact to an IRA 1934 tribal council or business committee, as these kinds of *particularistic arrangements* go to *treaty covenant, pre-possessory liberty, reserved rights* and *general welfare* of the indigenous original being, real human, and each one’s “*covenants running with the land.*” (*Cross reference: easements*). When the partnership among state agency stakeholders, tribal authorities and benefiting corporations go against the dominant ‘will’ of the indigenous individual people, collectively opposed to such a “compact” the *requirements of controversy* mandate they be tested by the Sherman Anti-Trust laws, RICO statutes, traditional and customary moral principles and settled indigenous laws of nature.

¹³⁵ State action to give (grant) a treaty “compact” to established “Tribal” entities is exemplified by, “Jerry Brown [Governor, California] gave a **gambling compact** to Graton Rancheria, despite overwhelming community opposition. Now two more Station Casinos project are up for gambling compacts... despite overwhelming opposition from the communities and the tribes in the areas concerned.” “Obama, Brown allow tribes to engage in reservation shopping” [find questionable tribe, get them recognized, determine immunity zones] (Editorials, April 18, 2013). “Studies have shown that 75 percent Indian gaming profits come from households with an annual income of less than \$60,000 a year.” “Gov. Jerry Brown to balance the budget on the backs of addicts (10-15%) is unconscionable.” “In 2012 the court shut down construction on an almost-completed tribal casino because it was not Indian land as required by federal law. In 2002, Texas did the same thing years ago with the Tiqua tribal casino. Governor Jerry Brown, Darius Anderson, Platinum Advisors, Station Casinos, Graton Rancheria, Casino 101 Coalition and SeaAlaska are linked through the media disclosures. “California then-Attorney General Jerry Brown “received \$52,000 in recent campaign contributions from relatives and a company of the two California businessmen he’s now investigating- and the investigation against Anderson himself was dropped. New York state didn’t drop their investigation. They fined Anderson \$500,000.” “our own governor, Jerry Brown, who illegally signed the compact.” “Native American never owned the designated land because, by law, it is state owned. A few Indians lived in Graton, but no tribe existed as per legal definition.” (Eunice BARba, 4-17-13). “painting a sunny picture of the *virtues* and benefits of the casino.” (S.C. Smith). The “Press Democrat” would not print article unfavorable to the manipulation of ‘tribes,’ and manipulation of each as veils of sovereign corporate immunity from civil suit and criminal prosecution. (*See: Sacramento Bee, April 18, 2013, etc.*). (*Cross reference: Political offense, Political crimes, U.S. Constitution Article I, sec. 10, extrinsic fraud, abuse of process, misprision of felony, perjury, treason, Sherman Anti-Trust, RICO statutes, seigniorage*). These compacts pertain ‘*extra-territorial,*’ setting off actual issues of law, e.g. confusion of rights and titles, especially in the sale of lands to tribes as “quasi-foreign nations (powers). As for the California governor’s action to “give” (grant) or engage in treaty compact construction with ‘Indian tribes,’ that is sufficient to exhibit a misprision of felony, malfeasance, conflict of duty, breach of the state federal, and tribal constitutions as a *trusts*. These breaches are applied to all compugators in the construction of the treaty compact[s], without common understanding, prior consent of the indigenous people; and approval of the Under Secretary, USDI, BIA. Consequently, these special compacts confuse rights and titles, e.g. protracted Indian claims and Spanish Land Grants. The Indian tribes have adopted quasi-open enrollment policy, e.g. minority blood quantum status to achieve increased enrolled tribal membership and benefits. The tribal government stakeholder agencies and departments benefit from the “*body social*” counts, as each new ‘tribal enterprise’ equates to ‘derivatives,’ taxation revenues, and sustainable management— plutocracies.

All together ‘failed state reservation’ applies. A “**predatory economy**” emerges as part of the social-economic disorder and discontinuity that **takes a firm grip on the reservation**. The aspects of a predatory economy include: (1) high interest credit banking fees and over-draft **charges**. The banking institution uses **ambush accounting** to extract over-draft charges; (2) legislative sophistry becomes ‘if it makes money and revenues, its legal’; (3) home **foreclosures** are treated as a viable market, such as government tax lien certificates and **tax deed sales**; (4) government **subsidies** are turned up-side-down in **corporate incentives**; (5) Indigenous rate payers are subjected to high utility costs, discounted for their social and economic disadvantaged status; (6) free market theology exploits spiritual and religious rites; (7) debt relief loans, such as ‘pay day loans’ become usual; (8) not-for-profit / public benefit corporation laws are **co-mingled** with profit making enterprises through the Securities & Exchange frame, mutated to “some-profit” (agency/corporation partnership) private government organizations (PGO); (9) fines and penalties for copyright, trademark, patent infringements become are relaxed; (10) gaming (gambling) management corporations become ‘family friendly’ sources of income and extend to spiritual / religious motifs and advertising practices; (11) senior care facility providers raid ‘senior citizen’ bank accounts, assets and execute promissory notes and liens upon family estates, preempting inheritance; (12) agencies

competing with ‘reservation citizens’¹³⁶ and ‘tribal entrepreneurs’ exercise regulatory control under the color of law, while controlling the citizen’s social and economic disadvantage; and, (13) Corporate/agency, the so called ‘private / public partnership,’ protracts veils of legal immunities for the directors, stock holders, share holders and speculative investors, e.g. “derivatives speculation.” (14) Reservation “Indians” participate in non-traditional “career opportunities” in the “corrections” justice and prison system. It is imperative to comprehend that tribal corporations are NOT

¹³⁶ The US Department of War, used military doctrines to manipulate the original being, real human, to being a ‘**citizen.**’ The basis of **citizen** stems from Rome, as one vested within freedom and privileges of the city. ‘Citizens were the highest class of subject at Rome, who whom jus civitatis belonged.’ In its strict and rigorous sense, an inhabitant of a state, who by right may vote in the public assembly, and is a part of the sovereign power, e.g. “A freeman of a city.” “**Citizens** enjoy personal security, of personal liberty, of private property. Code § 1648. See: *White v. Clements*, 39 Ga. 232, 259. The rule of *common law* applied women as citizens, as part of the same class of society (civilization). In England, Citizens held the same right of election and being elected. Citizens are inhabitants of cities. *Amy v. Smith*, 11 Ky. (1 Litt.) 326, 331. Abbott defines it as, “A person who owes allegiance to and may reciprocal protection from... the United States government. The U.S. Constitution and laws of the United States has uniformly conveyed the membership of a nation, and nothing more. *Cronly v. City of Tucson (Ariz.)* 56 Pac. 876, 877; *Minor v. Happersett*, 88 U.S. (21 Wall.) 162, 22 L. Ed. 627. Citizens are members of the political community to which they belong... submitted themselves to the dominion... for the promotion of their welfare and protection of their individual, as well as their collective, rights. *United States v. Cruikshank*, 92 U.S. 542, 549, 23 L. Ed. 588. Indigenous original beings are foreign to the United States, and therefore must be Naturalized, e.g. *Uniform Rule of Naturalization* established by Congress. *State v. Boyd*, 48 N.W. 739, 740, 745, 31 Neb. 682.

An Indian, born a member of *one* of the Indian tribes within the United States, but which still exists and is recognized as a tribe by the U.S., whose has voluntarily separated himself from his tribe and taken up residence among the white citizens of the state, **but who has not been naturalized, or taxed** or recognized as a citizen, either by the U.S. or by the state, is **styled a citizen of the tribe or Indian nation**... NOT BORN in its [US] **allegiance**, and hence cannot become a citizen thereof... without the consent of government. *Elk v. Wilkins*, 5 Supp. Ct. 41, 49, 112 U.S. 94, 29 L. Ed. 643; *Smith v. United States*, 14 Sup. Ct. 234, 236, 151 U.S. 50, 38 L. Ed. 67. Indians are not citizens, although born in the United States. “Quasi foreign nations... not regarded as American citizens... [as such] are not a part of the U.S. Body Politic.” *Reynolds (U.S.)* 20 Fed. Cas. 582, 583 (Citing *Jackson v. Goodell (N.Y.)* 20 Johns, 188, 193. IRA 1934 tribal councils and business committees are “styling” their membership as “tribal citizens,” through executive signings, resolutions, strategic plans, memorandums of understanding and non-transparent public perception management techniques, e.g. Native Nation re-Building, economic sustainable development plans. (*Cross reference: collaborative governance— formal decision making by the inner circle group, tribal executive decision making teams*).

citizens¹³⁷ in the context of the tribal, state, and federal Constitutions. ***(Cross reference: Economic command, Fascism, Corporatism, trans-nationalism, Nation building, Bank of International Settlement, Just Economy)***. “Floating currency exchange rates” are applied to taxation ***confessions*** (remittance) and aggressively increased retail pricing systems.

¹³⁷ A corporation is not a citizen, within the meaning of the constitutional provision, and hence has not the privileges and immunities secured to citizens against state legislation. Orient Ins. Co. v. Dagges, 19 Sup. Ct. 281, 282, 172 U.S. 557, 43 L. Ed. 552 (citing Paul v. Virginia, 75 U.S. (8 Wall.) 168, 19 L. Ed. 357; Blake v. McClung, 172 U.S. 239, 19 Sup. Ct. 165, 43 L. 432; Norfolk & W. R. Co. v. Commonwealth, 10 Sup. Ct. 958, 960, 136 U.S. 114, 34 L. Ed. 394; Liverpool & London life & Fire Ins. Co. v. Oliver, 77 U.S. (10 Wall.) 566, 573, 19 L. Ed. 1029; Lafayette Ins. Co. v. French, 59 U.S. (18 How.) 404, 405, 15 L. Ed. 451; Warren Mfg. Co. v. Etna Ins. Co. v. New Orleans (U.S.) 13 Fed. Cas. 67, 68; Springs v. Southern Ry. Co., 41 S.E. 100, 103 N.C. 186; Woodward v. Commonwealth (Ky.) 7 S.W. 613, 615; Columbia Fire Ins. v. Kinyon, 37 N.J. Law (8Vroom) 33, 34; Hawley v. Hurd, 47 Atl. 401, 402 72 Vt. 122, 52 L.R.A. 195, 82 Am. St. Rep. 922; Globe Acc. Ins. Co. v. Reld, 49 N.E. 291, 292, 19 Ind. App. 203; Rush v. Foos Mfg. Co., 51 N.E. 143, 147, 20 Ind. App. 515; Utley v. Clark-Gardner Lode Min. Co., 4 Colo. 369, 371; List v. Commonwealth 12 Atl. 277, 279, 118 Pa. 322p; D’Arcy v. Connecticut Mut. Life Ins. 69 S.W. 768, 769, 108 Tenn. 567 (Citing Bank v. Earle, 38 U.S. (13 Pet.) 538, 10 L. Ed. 274; Pembina Consol. Silver Mining & Milling Co, v. Pennsylvania, 125 U.S. 181, 8 Supp.Ct. 737, 31 L. Ed. 659; North British & Mercantile Co. v. Craig (Tenn.) 62 S.W. 155, 157; Fire Department of City of New York v. Stanton 51 N.Y. Supp. 242, 246, 28 App.Div. 334; People v. Imiay (N.Y.) 20 BARb. 68, 80; Anglo-American provision Co. v. Davis Provision Co., 62 N.E. 587, 589, 169 N.Y. 506, 88 Am. St. Rep. 608; State v. Brown % Sharpe Mfg. Co., 25 Atl. 246, 248, 18 R.L. 16, 17 L.R.A. 856; Caldwell v. Armour (Del.) 43 Atl. 517, 518, 1 Pennewill, 545; Ducat v. City of Chicago, 48 Ill. 172, 179, 180, 95 Am. Dec. 529. ***A corporation is not a “citizen” of the United States or of any state,*** within the meaning of the word as used in the Constitution, giving United States courts jurisdiction of suits between citizens of different states. ***Winkler v. Chicago & E.I.R. Co. (U.S.) 108 Fed. 305, 308...*** other than determining its location (residence). ***Wisconsin v. pelican Ins. Co., 8 Supp. Ct. 1370, 1378, 127 U.S. 265, 32 L. Ed. 239.*** The Act of May 10, 1872, provided, “all valuable mineral deposits in land belonging to the United States shall be free and open to exploration and purchase by **citizens of the U.S.**,” contradicted by North Noonday Min. Co. v. orient Min. Co. (U.S.) 1 Fed. 522, 538. A corporation, as an artificial person, exists only by force of the law which created it. ***It has no extraterritorial existence...*** subject to the principle of ***comity.*** ***Anglo-American provision Co. v. Davis Provision Co., 62 N.E. 587, 589, 169 N.Y. 506, 88 Am. St. Rep. 608.***

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that where corporations are consolidated under and by virtue of an act of Congress, they are to be treated as corporations formed and organized under the act of Congress. ***United States v. Stanford (U.S.) 70 Fed. 346, 361, 17 C.C.A. 143 (citing U.S. v. Central Pac. R. Co., 99 U.S. 449, 450, 23 L. Ed. 287.***

Tax¹³⁸ is whatever the authority (ruler, landlord) wants it to be. It is important to note that the IRA tribal authorities, such as Business committees, are adopting the Uniform Commercial Codes (UCC), such as Sioux (2013), and it follows that such taxes shall be “uniform.” The reservation “quality of life” becomes “income” based upon institutional financial speculation. (**Cross reference: Clinton, institutional economy**). The indigenous people, by reservation design, are subjected to “market driven standards (solutions)” as well demonstrated in the northern central plains and the “Keystone” export extraction pipeline matrix (federal Indian reservation *easements*). Tribal *business committees* and their *municipal partnerships* welcome the prospects of enhanced “revenue sharing agreements,” which benefit the tribal departments as IRA corporate “stakeholders.” Traditional Indigenous people exist as the fringe economy within the “federal Indian reservation” said to be ‘set aside’ for the indigenous men and women, their family, and community. All together

¹³⁸ Essentially, tax is imposed by force of law, and “in its essential characteristics is not a debt. *City of Newark v. Jos. Hollander, Inc., 136 N.J.Eq. 539, 42 A.2d 872, 875.* Income Tax is a Marxist doctrine not found in the U.S. Constitution anymore than the Federal Reserve Bank. This applies to: accumulated earnings tax; Estate tax; Estimated tax; Excess profits tax; Excise; Gift tax; Holding company tax; Import tax; Income tax; Inheritance tax; Intangibles tax; Investment tax credit; Levy; License fee or tax; Occupation tax; Payroll tax; Poll-tax; Service occupation tax; Surtax; Taxation; Toll; Transfer tax; Undistributed profits tax; Use tax; Ad valorem tax; Collateral tax; Floor tax; Franchise tax; graduated tax; Head tax; Indirect tax; Land tax; Personal property tax; Property tax; Proportional tax; Public tax; Real estate tax; Sales tax; Severance tax; Sinking fund tax; Stock transfer tax; Tax certificate; Tax-deed; tax lease; Tax lien; Tax payer list; Tax-title; Tonnage tax; Withholding tax; Double taxation; Regressive taxation; Taxation of costs; This now includes “carbon foot print taxation” and the SEC manipulations of securities and investments (derivatives speculation: cap & trade, off sets, exchanges, carbon certificates; swaps, conservation easements, land trusts, carbon foot print trade credits, foreign tax credit; stewardship awards). Under the ‘theory of uniformity’ the indigenous real humans, original beings, are involuntarily subjugated to being artificial persons, citizens, residents and reservation ‘inhabitants.’ These persons shall be taxed the same as ‘non-Indians.’ Gradualism is used to rationalize the applications on a piece-meal basis, while abrogating the reserved rights of the sovereign real humans, and original beings. The tribal ‘decision teams’ avoid full and explicit disclosure, ‘public understanding and informed consent,’ and use baited “memorandums of understanding,” and “revenue sharing agreements” on a “government to government” basis. See: U.S. Tax Court (Article I, I.R.C. 7441), Internal Revenue Code 1954, Tax Reform Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 730), State Tax Courts. The UN DRIP includes tribal “institutions.” This vague term means “not-for-profit,” “public benefit,” and “non-profit” corporations, as defined, either by executive order or issued ‘charters.’ The United Nations, eternal, an international religious corporation in fact, maintains a superior capacity over those tribes adopting the UN DRIP, while assigning authorities to member “states” to “adjudicate” indigenous affairs. (**Cross reference: Millennium Development Goals, ICLEI, Sustainable development, UN Permanent Forum on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, expert judgment elicitation, certification, authentication, indirect taxation- global carbon foot print taxation**). The tribes are organized pursuant to issuance of charters of incorporation, as submitted to and approved by the United States Department of Interior. The frequency of IRA tribal ‘officers’ using “**executive orders**” follows the operational goal value priorities of being “decision makers,” and “decision teams.” The tribal officials rely on “tax tables” wholly models from non-Indian valuations, appraisals and assessments on all types of property.

(pari materia), sustained management as resulted in a ‘failed state reservation,’ yielding a predatory economy.

The acquisition, use, purchase and sale of DNA information (profile) has been subjugated to manifest industrial espionage (data mining). The DHS-DARPA (FBI, CIA, DOD, NSA, INTERPOL, MI6, Mussad) are linked with university and college fusion centers.¹³⁹ The intelligence ‘products,’ e.g. DNA mapping, are shared IAW collaborative surveillance corporations. Innovative research of the Genome project catalyzed the multibillion-dollar US biotechnology industry. *Privacy* is moot under the provisions of the Pandemic and All Hazards Preparedness Act, CISPA and parallel authorities. The ‘medical information’ is used to establish permissible entry/exist criteria at boundary screening points (Interior; trans-national), and proportional populations in *inclusionary rezoning* (socio-economic engineering) models (econometrics). The potential *pandemic* is *float*ed in planning documents (UN WHO, CDC, federal, state, tribal, county, local health departments). (*Cross reference: Visa-vaccination requirements; AIDS/HIV/HEP screening; ICLEI, environmental justice, global justice; nation building; GATT, CAFTA, NAFTA trade-liberalization*). The racial demographic profiles, concerning

¹³⁹ Full spectrum dominance, Total Information Awareness, is co-operated through academic institutions (fusion center networks). This spectral imaging is applied to “sustainability,” embracing human habitat (aggregate re-allocation, inclusionary rezoning) and ecological habitat (derivatives speculation CCX, CMX: ecosystem services, cap & trade, exchanges, off sets, mitigated market driven solutions, conservation easements, carbon foot print taxation, carbon certificates, stewardship contracting, distribution agreements) chains of custody (ISO EMS: FSC,SFI, TNCs). THE CARNEGIE AIRBORNE OBSERVATORY AND MASSIVE GLOBAL MAPPING AND ANALYSIS PROJECT – 20131119: CAO Mission To explore and *conserve* Earth’s amazing *ecosystems* with advanced 3-D spectral and laser imaging technology— Sponsors: Andrew Mellon Foundation, Avatar, Alliance Foundation, Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, Grantham Foundation for the Protection of the Environment, John D. and Catherine T. **MacArthur Foundation**, Margaret A. Cargill Foundation, Mary Anne Nyburg Baker and G. Leonard Baker Jr., Tom Steyer and Kat Taylor, W. M. Keck Foundation, Science and Research: RAINFOR, **Stanford University**, **Oxford University**, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, **Rochester Inst of Technology**, *US Forest Service*, CSIR - South Africa, **University of California** at Santa BARBARA, University of Hawaii – Hilo, University of Auckland, University of Maryland, University of Paris, **Harvard University**, University of Hawaii – Manoa, Flemish Institute for Technological Research. (*See: Carnegie Airborne Observatory, www.cao.ciw.edu*). This organization functions as a ‘Private Government Organization’ (PGO) with priority influence on public policy, management rights, aggregate re-allocation of resources, collaboration, monitoring aspects of restoration and recovery. (*Cross reference: WSF, IMF, UNDP, UNEP, collaborative governance— stakeholder councils*). The geometry (configuration) of the Indigenous “sacred sites,” in various instances depict indigenous social and religious events particularly intended to be view from higher altitudes, such as those existing in Lakota territories. Particular academic foundational institutions are mentioned in the “Irish Origins of Civilization,” DVDs, Disc 1-4, Michael Tsarian.

pandemics, depict a pattern of facts and particular data artifacts suggesting unusual impacts upon Indian, Hispanic and high risk life style groups. The *pandemic gateways*, e.g. *transport mechanisms* include “pandemic injection screening points” (*Infraspect, Profiling Intrusions*), such as vaccination requirements for school students under the color of providing a ‘safe learning atmosphere.’ It is important to note that the federal Indian reservation schools are under a military educational protocol, e.g. Chapter one. (*Cross reference: Reservation food desserts, surplus ‘commodities’ distribution*). Under US national security directives, the military and their contractors have been allowed to experiment on civilian populations, including Indians residing on federal Indian reservations. The medical doctors are provided ‘appropriate,’ absolute and limited immunities. The “Event” reports made by physicians to the Atlanta Disease Control Center, pertaining to so called “side affects,” are not likely to be disclosed to injured parties, their relatives and legal counsel without significant legal force of law. Legal ‘Barriers’ are protracted to block hostile suits from community members and activists. The ‘public mind’ has been conditioned to accept that ‘death’ from injections and vaccinations is a statistical ‘side affect.’ Medical ‘roulette’ is made a mere risk factor, e.g. collapsing wrongdoing to the authority of the higher cause.

Theft by deception includes intentional omissions of essential facts. While the institutionalized sales of Indigenous DNA profiles claims to provide a net gain, the toxic intrusions remain silent or ‘side affects.’ For instance, “Breathing in polluted air may wreak havoc on our DNA, reprogramming genes in as few as three days and causing increased rates of cancer and “other diseases.” “Almost all manufactured chemicals act as pollutants, showing up as exenobiotics, immunotoxins, and/or neurotoxins. The clever ‘sustainable development’ agents compartmentalize stressor, and avoid model that prove convergent impact liabilities, e.g. ‘non-point source pollution.’ The *market driven standards* (solution))(ISO:EMS) models do not specific autoimmune disorders and other pollution-related health problems.” “... a degree of DNA change most probably depends on pollutant, does and exposure... and remain purposefully “unpredictable.” This scientific normative rationale defies the Total Information Awareness (TIA), and fusion center’s ‘full spectrum dominance,’ the existence of “new warfare,” and “population management,” (UN) e.g. United Nations Security Council capstone doctrine.

Caricature is a flagrant embellishment of a certain personality to disguise the true identity. *Renaming* (editing) is implemented to make it impossible

to trace true names and places. Words, terms and phrases either subtle or drastic editing, transposition, feed into preemptive legislative measures pertaining to the natural real human sovereign and *alienation* of the 'indigenous sacred estate held in the land.' "Spiritual property" (United Nations, DRIP) is 'protected' by the colonial state (diocese) and its court's '*adjudication.*' The transposition is exhibited by allodial ownership and property (Blackfeet) taken by Glacier County "tax deed" confiscation, seizure, and conversion to "real estate" visa vi 'fee patent,' 'individual Indian allotments' through "*connotative meanings.*" The elements of criminality are *forgery* and fiction. These linguistic frauds work on people's emotions. (*See: Origins of Civilization, Disc I, Michael Tsarian, Blue Fire Film*). The majority of "tribal" trial judges and appellate justices *confess* and submit to 'federal Indian law' for their citations of *case law*, and *common law precedents*. This is exemplified by the caricature, "emotional sovereignty." (*See: Arrowtop v. Glacier Co., Comm. Sitzman, Montana, 2011-12*).

In the 'washeshu' Nevada case demonstration, Art George, Chairman pro tem, of the Congress of Elders, documents forgery (synthetic identity theft) by, 'washeshu' caricaturized as 'Washoe' during the 'gold rush,' and 'Da'aw agah'ga to "lake Tahoe." The Washoe tribal council (IRA 1934) has shifted words, terms and phrases to include, "Washo." In 2011, the tribal chairwomen (Wanda Enos-Bachlor), holding duel tribal 'membership enrollment', in a matter of oath of allegiance to Washoe, stated, "... [she, as chairwomen] embraces the Washoe culture, but was and is a practicing Midaiu. The chairwomen stated during a tribal council (2011), pertaining to the abolishment of tribal 'advisory' committees and advisory groups, and a new application process for participation, e.g. collaborative selection process. (*Cross reference: agency expert judgment elicitation processes, low status knowledge*). The contemporary metroplex communities of the Washoe people were renamed the *colonies* of 'Reno, Carson, Stewart, Desslerville, and Woodsford.' The conveyance of these areas involved consolidated assignments of real estate, water rights and assets. (*See: Infraspect, deep field audits, Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs; Infraspect, Panel presentations, Public Information Environmental Law Conferences, University of Oregon, 2008, 9, 10*).

There is no appearance of a Washoe *colony* at Lake Tahoe, under present Tahoe Regional Planning Authority (TRPA) & tribal collaborative partnerships. (*Cross reference: Washoe tribal council, Tahoe Agreement*). US Forest Service eco-cultural tourism facilities depict

Washeshu at Da'aw agah; a Meeks Bay tribal '*resort*' concession exists under 'economic development,' and an Tahoe area TTD, DOT, indigenous flavored "transportation theater" likewise depicts indigenous people's historical presence to enhance an appearance of *cultural diversity*. The commercial 'proof of concept' doctrines, used by the TRPA partnershiping authorities, realizes a market value of the indigenous transportation theater. The actual *sacred sites* are marginalized as 'culturally significant' to mitigate public sentiments and land use, e.g. Planned Use Developments (inclusionary rezoning). The taxing authorities likewise use proof of concept in *tax confessions* and payments *remitted* by citizens and residents. The environmental *impact fees* include these justifications for multiplying the property tax assessment and due payments. The sacred sites and culturally significant factors are calculated and a benefit is realized by the taxing authority. The actual benefit realized by a 'real Washo' is not measurable, under heirship, as each is not qualified to receive distributions, under the tribal sub-division IRA system. All 'takings' were based on acquisitions of valuable assets.

The "**Capstone doctrine**" co-mingles the military and civilian aspects of public obedience and compliance; and is present United Nations 'policy' (2008). The D5E military protocols of: concealment, deceit, deception, disinformation, misinformation, distraction, torture treatments, extra-judicial killing, collective punishment, limited genocide, austerity, and psychological attacks are real military doctrines. The resulting en mass injuries of holocaust and atrocity are cloaked in "foreign policy" and "appropriate sanctions," with contingencies for "collateral damage."¹⁴⁰The legal instruments of *absolute* and *qualified immunity* are particularly applied to 'officials,' 'agents,' 'police,' UCMJ officers, UN coalition forces and contractor mercenary agents of the UN member

¹⁴⁰ **Collateral damage** is not defined in Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Ed., purposefully. While "declaration of non-significance" are commonly used by corporate agencies, to address "non-point pollution" and "all cause impact," collateral damage is carefully kept abstract, subject to "policy," "foreign policy," and "advantage of the majority" immunitization from liability doctrine. Essentially, these doctrine are used for *exceptionalism* to escape liability and real consequences due under "law." The **faceless atrocities** of the "total warfare" (interdiction campaign) prosecuted against the "Indians," caricaturized as 'militant' "savages," were excused and immunized as 'bringing the gospel,' and "civilizing" the "wicked nations." This deception continues in the 'modern era' of Prussian 'higher education.' This is substantiated by the 'expert judgment elicitation processes,' and the UN's permanent forum (authentication and franchisement) on the rights of indigenous peoples, e.g. UN DRIP. This UN declaration would not have been necessary unless there was a 'failed state' circumstance.

states.¹⁴¹ Plausible guilt scenarios (Blair) have been expanded to *potential states of mind*, crimes of thought. This legal paradigm has abrogated the actual *elements of criminality*: Mental state (Mens rea), Conduct (Actus reus), Concurrence, and Causation.

¹⁴¹ The Indian treaties, as contracts, specified mandates of ‘peace and harmony’ toward the ‘settlers,’ ‘travelers,’ and other Indians (nations, tribes, corporations). Righteous revulsion, and dissent against austerity and eugenics programs, forced fee patents, alien currency floating exchange rates, violations of liberty and despotism and preservation of indigenous ways of life, have met reciprocals offensives, e.g. listing and labeling of indigenous warriors societies as trouble makers, radicals, extremists, ‘enemies’ of the state and home grown terrorism prosecution. The indigenous people are allowed to participate in the alien conception of a ‘justice system,’ law enforcement activities, intelligence fusion center operations, under authentication and accreditation pursuant to agency/corporate policy ‘products’ of the American Correctional Association, qualified homeland security partnership affiliates, and concurrent jurisdictions.

Collaborative surveillance is a modernized term to depict the “pattern activity” of intelligence gathering agencies and private industry ‘partners.’ The “institutionalized intelligence function” of “total information awareness” (TIA) is the *product* of the intelligence community’s ‘fusion centers.’ The function of information gathering and sharing is not unique to the U.S. Government. The U.S. military and civilian intelligence establishment employs thousands of people and spend billions of dollars to intercept and interpret information ‘objectively,’ and apply espionage and covert actions, e.g. spying operations, political action, propaganda, economic, and paramilitary activities designed to ‘influence’ governments. (*Cross reference: state favored terrorism, synthetic terrorism, mutual terrorism, false flag attacks, fake wars, extra-judicial killing, rendition torture*). The CIA, formerly the Central Intelligence Group (CIG), was created in 1947, pursued by the National Security Agency (1952), Defense Intelligence Agency (1961), and ‘innovative’ departments that were and are ‘interoperable.’ (OSS: SI, SO, MO, NIA, IAB, DCS, DCI, ORE, NSC[1947], ONE, EIC, COMINT, OCI, DDI, INTERPOL, MUSSAD). In 1946, the SWNCC “formulated guidelines for the conduct of psychological warfare in peacetime and wartime.” The National Security Council adopted NSC 4/A, a “directive that gave the CIA responsibility for **covert psychological operations**... information activities. The CIA established the Special Procedures Group, Special Operations. The operation included “covert political action capabilities.” The agency speech encoding is innovative and moves according to administration prerogatives, such as Office of Special Operations to Office of Policy Coordination (OPC). This office split into four staffs dealing with **political warfare, psychological warfare, paramilitary operations, and economic warfare**. A contingent was the Economic Cooperation Administration (ECA). OSO and OPC of the Western Hemisphere Division was integrated in 1952. The congressional committee structures and office of the Inspector General pretend to have oversight authorities to the present political moment. A series of “senior bodies” provide guidance, e.g. Psychological Strategy Board, of the NSA (1952). The ‘policy directives’ from the secretary levels were invoked by NSC 5412/2. The pretext and context of “policy” is illustrated by, “develop effective espionage and counter-espionage services and must learn to subvert, sabotage and destroy our *enemies* by more sophisticated, and more methods than those used against us. If... necessary... people must be made acquainted with, understand and support this fundamentally repugnant philosophy.” Among the collaborators in the ERA’s work was the Center for International Studies (CENIS). President Eisenhower created the President’s Board of Consultants on Foreign Intelligence Activities (PBCFIA) in 1956. Agency conducted paramilitary, sabotage, and political propaganda activists aimed at discrediting and ultimately toppling the Castro government were exhibited by Operation MONGOOSE. The 1961 operation was a face value failure. The Special Group was renamed the 303 Committee. The group meetings were “fostered **consensual** fuzziness rather than hard choices” by identified members. The group’s function expanded authorities as a “Executive decision making body.” (National Security Decision Memorandum 40). The National Intelligence Programs Evaluation (NIPE) was established in 1963. The National Intelligence Resources Board (NIRB) was established in 1968 to select intelligence ‘needs.’ The Directorate for Science and Technology (DDS&T) was organized on the “assumption that it would continue to rely on expertise and advice from outside the CIA, e.g. collaborative surveillance (high quality professionals, infusion). The pretext and context is providing products to the “free world,” trading partners (OER). (*Cross reference: austerity programme, UN member*

The Mental state (Mens rea) refers to constructive ‘intent,’ the criminal act must be voluntary or purposeful. This means that the “act is not guilty unless the mind is guilty,” by “knowingly,” “willfulness,” or “recklessness.” ALL crimes require actus reus (conduct). Words can be considered criminal acts, e.g. threats, perjury, conspiracy and solicitation. Concurrence means the criminal intent must precede or coexist with the action, either overt and voluntary or a failure to act by law. Causation, as an element of harm must occur based on sufficiency by actual and proximate cause, setting in motion a chain of events that sooner or later lead to the harmful result, as a result of the actor’s conduct. The principle of “enterprise liability” is the idea that both the act and the agency (mens rea) for it can be imputed to the corporation.

It follows in common law, negligence theory and case law, e.g. *Ybarra v. Spangard (1949)*. In some cases a closed group of people may be held in breach of duty of care under the rule of *res ipsa loquitur*. This may apply to collaborative formal decision making, stakeholder councils, and “decision teams,” especially those operating under a ‘spirit of confidentiality,’ non-attribution agreements and ‘buy-in agreements’

among those ‘seated at the table.’¹⁴² A person (resident, citizen, tax payer) not seated at the table may not be provided warning as to a possible or probable injury constructed by the protracted actions or measures taken by the closed group. The usual excuses of the group are ethical tradeoffs, unforeseeable risks or consequences, and the action was “to the advantage of the majority.” An interdiction team framework is comprised of persons who possess ‘high status knowledge.’ The hierarchy includes: professionally degreed, licensed and fraternity membership. (***Cross reference: United Nation Permanent Forum on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples—expert judgment elicitation, authentication and accreditation***).

The UN rapporteurs decide what is indigenous and religious through its permanent forum panels of experts. The IRA tribal governments, although faced with their oath to protect and preserve the US Constitution assert a claim to authenticate, and allow ***religious corporations*** within the boundaries of their federal Indian reservation. (***Cross reference: American***

¹⁴² Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs, was allowed on the Washoe tribal council meeting agenda in February, 2013. The Infraspect on the United Nations, HRC, Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People was delivered to the tribal council before 10 Washoe Elders and the Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud, technical brief, 2011-2013 was cited on the record. The collaborative governance, formal consensus, and applications of the Delphi techniques are particularly described. The matter of chilling and silencing tribal membership dissent is a living contentious matter of ongoing complaints pertaining to the Washoe tribe’s “decision team,” being the tribal council chairwomen, tribal attorney and administrative specialist. Silence, in the “law of estoppel, “silence” implies knowledge and an opportunity to act upon it.” ***Pence v. Lqangdon, 99 U.S. 578, 581, 25 L.Ed. 420; Stewart v. Wyoming Cattle Ranch Co., 128 U.S. 383, 9 S.Ct. 101, 32 L.Ed 439.*** Silence, estoppel by: arises where person is under duty to another to speak or failure to speak in inconsistent with honest dealings. An agreement inferred from silence rests upon principle of “estoppel.” ***Letters v. Washington Co-op. Chick Ass’n, 8 Wash.2d 64, 111 P.2d 594, 596.*** Silence, to work “estoppel,” must amount to bad faith. ***Wise v. United States, D.C.Ky., 38 F.Supp. 130, 134*** and elements or essentials of such estoppel include: change of position to prejudice of person claiming estoppel, ***Sherlock v. Greaves, 106 Mont. 296, 76 P.2d 87, 91;*** duty and opportunity to speak, ***Codd v. Westchester Fire Ins. Colk, 14 Wash.2d 600, 128 P.2d 968, 971;*** misleading of party claiming estoppel, ***Ridgill v. Clarendon County, 192 S.C. 321, 6 S.E.2d 766, 768;*** reliance on silence of party sought to be estopped, ***Mosley v. Magnolia petroleum Co., 45 N.M. 230, 114 P.2d 740, 751.*** The Washoe tribal council, and its administrative agents, such as the ‘site council,’ and ‘tribal hunting and fishing commission’ were fully aware of auditor Georges documented and delivered concerns, contentions and complaints relative to Washoe tradition and culture (socially, economically, scientifically, lawfully—mis-application of “public law”). (***See Infraspect, Washoe- Nevada/California case file, witness interviews, etc.***). The tribal council’s ‘site committee’ substantiates the attitude and intentionality of the tribal officials toward enrolled member, Art George, Benny Mills and others. Art George, again, delivered the ‘Washoe declaration of sacred estates held in the land, previously ignored by the convened tribal councils, tribal legal council and sub-departments. The actual aspects and elements (46) of collaboration, formal consensus of the group and Delphi techniques, as applied, are designed to isolate, alienate expatriate and silence contentions (contrary observations, statements, witnesses) and consensus blockers. (***See: text, footnotes and attachments Indigenous identity Theft & fraud, 290943/0613***).

Indian Religious Freedoms Act, P.L. 95-341). The intentional constructive paradox of an original being (real human) and para-religious organization's *personification* is exemplified in a 5-2012 contentious atmosphere at the Lakota Wounded Knee sacred site that memorializes the massacre of indigenous people. Minister Mathews of the Pine Ridge (Christian) Fellowship confronted indigenous Headman, Emerson Elk, of the LaKota Seven Fires Council with, "you're not from here." [right of soil]. The minister was standing among the graves near the *monument*. The minister of the Christian Fellowship espoused his authority by presenting his Tribal issued identification card. The minister stated his 21/32 blood quantum [right of blood] as determined by the IRA / BIA / tribal official enrollment process. The matter of Christian Identity, e.g. BaptismalCertificates and "born again," are underlying agendas, fixed options and outcome of the Christian Fellowship (religious corporation). The identification card is property of the government. The minister issued an implicit threat, e.g. "... come back and pop you." A collateral threat was issued to Lakota Seven Fires Council indigenous hereditary Chief HeCrow, e.g. "... hit him..." Essentially, when an original being (real human) *consents* and accepts transferring identity to an official security paper, such as tribal enrollment ID cards and numbers, this assumed identity is *subjugated* to public purposes. (*Cross reference: Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI, VII Non-Discrimination in Federally Assisted Programs: race, color, religion, national origin*).

The BAR officers of the court are precise in construction of absolute and limited immunities for themselves as "*brothers in the bond*." The modeled tribal administrative codes include titles and subsections for employment announcement, recruitment interviews, job descriptions, performance evaluations, policy and procedures. These administrative functions are directed by 'human resource officers.' A bench mark of manipulating immunity for scientists is the encoding "failed experiment," in lieu of 'scientific perjury,' and 'no data analysis decision making' (discretion) by agency secretaries, directors, department heads, line officers, and key personnel. The hinge pin is 'credulity.'

The doctrine of *federal Indian law* proscribes, regardless of interpretations, the federalizing of the original beings existence from birth certificate, baptismalcertificate to death certificate issued by 'ecclesiastic' and 'state' authorities. The *surrogate powers* of the "citizen," "resident," "Indian," and "enrolled tribal member" are not that

of original beings. The 1st Amendment of the US Constitution is evidentiary to this fact of law.

The *Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act (AETA)* is a United States federal law (Pub. L. 109-374; 18 U.S.C. § 43)(rev. 2006) that prohibits any person from engaging in *certain conduct* "for the purpose of *damaging or interfering with the operations of* an animal *enterprise*."^[1] The statute covers any act that either "damages or causes the *loss of any real or personal property*" or "places a person in reasonable fear" of injury. *Environmental Terrorism* can be defined: "as the unlawful use of force against in situ environmental resources so as to deprive populations of their benefit(s) and/or destroy other property." Animal enterprise terrorism likewise applies to the 'total warfare' strategy of killing off the buffalo. (*Cross reference: easement, of natural support*). US Army General Sheridan demonstrated protracted environmental and enterprise terrorism during the so called "Indian wars" of the 1800s. These military actions were not declared wars, or even "wars,"¹⁴³ as they were not executed against a formable force of enlisted personnel, but against each and every indigenous original being, real human, armed in self defense, or unarmed. War would imply an asymmetrical engagement. US Army officer McKenzie conducted similar terrorism while attacking and plundering villages, taking and killing over 1400 domestic horses in one incident. The present (2013) *takings, theft* and *killing* of wild horse herds and *trespassing* horses are manipulated through USDA, USDI, and USDOJ invoked policy, plan and regulation, with full co-operation of state sub-

¹⁴³ War is a "hostile contention by means of armed forces carried on between nations, states, or rulers, or between citizens in the same nation or state." *Gitlow v. Kiely, D.C.N.Y., 44 2d 227, 233*. "... general contention by force, authorized by the sovereign. *West v. Palmetto State Life Ins. Co., 202 S.C. 422, 25 S.E. 2nd 475, 477, 478*." "War does not exist merely because of an armed attack by the military forces of another nation until is a condition recognized or accepted by political authority of government which is attacked, either through an actual declaration of war or other acts demonstrating such position. *Savage v. Sun Life Assur. Co., of Canada, D.C.La., 57 F.Supp. 620, 621*." The Total 'interdiction campaign strategy' against the 'Indians' was not a "perfect war." The European styles of war are engaged by secret agreements between the elite rulers, and financiers, to exclude particular targets belonging to those elites structuring the conflict in the first and final instances. Collaborators assisted the US Military commanders in murdering the final captured 'resisters,' in acts of treason against the indigenous (Indian) Warrior Chiefs and Headmen. The modern era methodologies range from **caricaturizing**, disenfranchising, isolating, alienating, expatriating, criminalizing, e.g. plausible guilt scenarios, when and where possible. These secreted practice continues in modern era 'regime changes' usual to the United Nations, eternal, Security Council. The US Army, prosecuted a "total warfare" interdiction campaign, e.g. dynamic entry, kill any attainable Indian (men, women, children, animal) and annihilate their living resources. (*Cross reference: genocide, atrocity, cruelty, murder, rape, austerity, plunder, theft, fraud, deceit, deception, misinformation, disinformation, and war propaganda*).

divisions and IRA tribal authorities (N. Dakota, S. Dakota, Wyoming, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, etc). The exploitation model: *excess & surplus* herd population levels, established by ‘expert judgment elicitation’ (EPA), using ISO EMS landscape capacity, herd ‘health,’ and commercial horse meat market production. This modern era termination of the sacred horse is viewed by traditional indigenous people as environmental and enterprise terrorism. (*See: primacy of property, exceptionalism*). (*Cross reference: attachment VI, Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud, special notice, challenge of jurisdiction, Lakota Chief Dave Bald Eagle, re: USDI BIA lease-horse trespass, 7-2013*).

Collective punishment in the form of *extra-judicial killing* is demonstrated by *warrantless* agency personal killings of animals. Case similarities (Sioux, Blackfeet, Washoe) include socio-political aspects. The tribal codes are abstracted and omnibus in terms of actual substantiated particulars, e.g. *proof of fact* pertaining to vicious behavior of the animal.¹⁴⁴ In the Sioux case demonstration the codes are *over-broad* in defining a vicious animal, e.g. pedigree. The extra-judicial killings

¹⁴⁴ The *Oglala Sioux Tribe: Law and Order Code, amend 1996; New Ordinance received: 2002, Animal Control Code, Chapter 30* does not properly and concisely define: qualifications of Animal Control Officer; owners, vicious; vicious animal; vicious tendencies; attacks; attempts to bite; flow; worried; unduly hazardous, cause immediate destruction; disposed of in a humane manner; in the interest of humane manner in the interest of humane treatment; expeditiously as possible; disposition; discretion decision criteria of the Animal Control Officer; officer, satisfied; if he see fit, without killing the animal; complaint; charges; suffering animal; written notice; lawfully on the premises of the owner. (*Cross reference: Junk English*). The Sioux Animal Control Officer uses extra-judicial killing, selective discretion decision criteria, and there is an omission of a required report, e.g. use and discharge of a lethal firearm to ‘dispose’ of a targeted animal on private property. The impoundment provisions are negated during ‘extra-judicial killing’ sweeps. The “impoundment” requirement is preempted under alleged extreme risk circumstances of health and safety. During the extra-judicial killing of the ‘vicious dog’ chained on private property there was a collateral and avoidable risk of injury or death to by-standers from the discharge of the officers weapon to “dispose” of the animal. The enforcement officer ‘doing his job’ arbitrarily offsets public safety. (*Cross reference: operational goal value priority*). The H.U.D. housing officials use an interoperability and inclusionary approach to managing the lives of the “tenants.” The number and types of pets are permitted by the tribal/HUD corporate landlord. The animal certificates of ownership, registration, and vaccination stipulate personal property. The enforcement officer community-wide sweeps (combining licensed, tagged, and feral animals) are often consolidated under “public safety.” The tribal agency administrative ‘policy’ of extra-judicial killing of “dogs at large” and those existing on private property are not that of a court warrant, certified investigation report and particularly signed complaint and witness. In the Sioux tribal code exhibit the “officer, making complaint or destroying a vicious animal under the provisions... enjoys a limited immunity, e.g. not required to give surety for costs, or be liable... may arise upon any complaint.” The maxim of law articulates *‘no pain, no penalty.’* The community-wide sweeps exemplify management mitigation failures. The “on reservation” tribal members, possessing ‘pets,’ are manifestly entrapped (licenses, permits, certificates) as exhibited by ‘junk English’, arbitrary and abstract words and phrases used in the model tribal “Animal Control Codes” which are more suited for judicial and administrative government self-convenience. The model codes are social, cultural, and political tripwires for the construction of intra-tribal sectarian tension.

(community wide sweeps- capture, removal, termination) measures are disguised as lawful. A passer-by claims to be frightened by a dog on private property, heavily chained. The public safety enforcement officer (M.M.), in concert with the HUD housing authority (B.L.), enters private property in dress uniform, identifies the chained dog in close proximity, pets the vicious dog, and shoots the dog multiple times in front of family members. The enforcement officer killed the dog, absent of a court order, supported by a well articulated certified statement of investigation and signed complaint. (*See: Infraspect: Lakota, Seven Fires Council records, 5-2012*). The interview record includes a video tape of the killing. The family members treat this as socio-political targeting and psychological terrorism. Known social associates of the officer, owning the same pedigree (3 dogs) allow their dogs to roam free in chronological proximity to this community wide sweep, on reservation. Collective punishment, a combined sweep of domestic licensed, tagged and wild feral dogs, is a policy and **interoperability campaign** of the HUD authorities and tribal public safety department. The Sioux campaign included the termination of an indigenous senior woman's companion and security dog by tribal enforcement officers at her annexed HUD housing. During the 1800s (removal & termination era) the indigenous original beings (real humans) were 'legally' treated as 'vicious animals,' and military/Merc sweeps were executed in the interest of 'public safety.' The matter of collective punishment, extra-judicial killing sweeping thwarts, interferes with,

damages and destroys personal / cultural association¹⁴⁵ to dogs, and contributes to Indigenous identity Theft.

The modern era identity theft involves ‘eco-medicine.’ The indigenous practitioners are isolated, alienated and disenfranchised from their direct access, possession of property and use of indigenous medicines. The USFS required Art George, Washoe, Lake Tahoe to remove specific plants from a tourism parking lot at south Lake Tahoe, a global destination tourism facility parking lot.

The actuality of “*environmental terrorism*,” and “*animal enterprise terrorism*” are historically documented in the 1800’s ‘*total warfare*,’ interdiction campaign strategies to destroy food supplies, enterprises and ecological habitat owned and occupied by the ‘real beings’ (original people, Indigenous real humans). The military campaigns, operating from the Capstone doctrine, included the elimination of natural food supplies (buffalo, birds, deer, elk, fish), and supportive dynamic habitat. In order to construct *multiple monopolies* (franchises) the dynamic habitat that is owned, accessed, lived within and used by indigenous people collecting ‘medicine,’ is subjugated to land use rezoning (community development quotas, UNDP, ICLEI, EMS measures) and applied to ecological

¹⁴⁵ The personal bonds and cultural association between ‘Indians’ and their ‘dogs’ has been well substantiated by archeological horizons and scientific findings. Dogs were buried with spiritual ceremony according to some archaeological and anthropological exhibits. The Washoe, Miwok and other Indians respected these creatures. Dogs were treated as property distinguished by tribal social classes. The working animals provided personal and village defense against intruders. The dogs, on command, chased game to the ground, including deer. The modern era tribal ‘conservation’ regulations provide for ‘sport’ and ‘recreational’ use of hunting dogs. Modern era ‘decision teams,’ such as in the Washoe case demonstration use collective punishment (community-wide sweeps, removal, termination), *visa vi* extra-judicial killings. The dogs are disrespectfully captured, killed and the remains are disposed of at the garbage dump, as guided by tribal government ‘convenience.’ (*Cross reference: “Res dogs.”*) In the Sioux case demonstrations, animal control officials and co-operators have captured dogs, transported them to rural ranch zones and wilderness landscape, released live dogs and disposed of dead bodies. The transport was from ‘human habitat’ to ‘ecological habitat.’ The public safety operational goal value priority places the protection of the ecological habitat secondary, e.g. mitigation of stresses and damage outputs. The issue of invasive species, e.g. pedigrees, is arbitrary and capricious as exhibited by, “Adopt An Indian Desi Dog is a non-profit organization (Charitable tax status with the federal government of Canada) founded in 2009 to help find homes for some of the indigenous puppies and dogs found on the streets of New Delhi, India. AAIDD (Abbotsford, BC) funds airlifts to the dogs and pups to Seattle and Vancouver. Dogs have been adopted to homes throughout the pacific northwest... If is no difficulty for dogs and pups to transit between the USA and Canada as they have their international health certificates. The range of distribution includes Yellowknife, NWT, Minnesota, San Francisco, Sooke, BC. The tribes share a common model form of animal ‘control’ ordinances. These ordinances are mitigated failures as exhibited by the collective community wide sweeps (capture, removal, termination). There is an appearance of preeminent discretionary abuses by tribal enforcement administrators and officers in various field case demonstrations.

landscape, human (residential) habitat and ‘enterprise zones.’ The institutional agents proscribe an array of surrogate powers (registrations, licenses, permits, fees, access codes, exchanges: cap & trade, carbon taxation, carbon certificates, offs set, land trusts, easements, patents, government tax lien certificates, tax deeds) enforced under *conservation psychology*. (See: *attachment III, values washing; covert hypnosis; conversational hypnosis*).

Invasive species are visible in *environmental terrorism* and *animal enterprise terrorism*. Hybridized commercial and sport *game fish*,¹⁴⁶ large and small *game, birds*, domesticated imported horses, cattle, sheep, goats, Lama, and their production food chains are used effectively and dynamically on the indigenous natural species, e.g. animals, plants and trees. (*Cross reference: Silva-culture*). The natural species are displaced and labeled ‘offenders.’ Their existence in proximity is deemed an encroachment on *public* safety, purpose and convenience. Consumption of ecological niches (soil nutrients, minerals, bio-atmosphere, water, space, time) for foreign grain for human and animal uses has prevailed. (*Cross reference: Bio-fuel*). This is evidentiary in the present existence of federal Indian reservation “food desserts,” where fast food, junk food and co-dependency on imported USDA approved products is reality, e.g. licensed business retailers and government ‘commodities’ providers. Duplicity, and exceptionalism are the ‘scientific mitigation benchmarks for ‘invasive species’ management. While codes stipulate regulation of importing invasive species, market driven standards set the agency ‘policies’ for

¹⁴⁶ The commercial sport (recreational) fisheries commerce model produces trillions of dollars a year to the industry (anglers, distributors, fish broodstock/production corporations). North American “Game Fish” listed are: Atlantic Salmon, Landlocked Salmon, Brook Trout, Brown Trout, Rainbow Trout, Steelhead, Cutthroat Trout, Arctic Graying, Arctic Char, Lake Trout, Pacific Salmon, Northern Pike, and Whitefish, Walleye, Muskellunge, Smallmouth Bass, Largemouth Bass, Bonefish, Tarpon, Permit and Other Jacks, BARRacuda, Sharks, Red Drum, Bluefish, Spotted Seatrout and Weakfish, Stripped Bass, Shad, Blue Marlin, Swordfish, White Marlin, Stripped Marlin, Black Marlin, Sailfish, Dolphin, Tuna and Mackerel. (See: *McClane’s Game Fish of North America, Best Fishing in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Bahamas, McCane, Gardner, 1984*). The “capture fisheries” are managed and regulated for scarcity. Endangered fishes by natural and artificial status are subject to “capture and release” harvest management practice to insure the recreational industry is not curtailed. This includes “sport fishing derbies” conduct (permitted and licensed) on at risk, critical and endangered species. Indigenous subsistence/ceremonial fishing, arts, practices are systematically supplanted and extinguished in “sustainable management” habitat conservation programs (HCP), and arbitrary “community development quotas.” The majority of north American indigenous tribes have acquiesced to the alien sport fishing angling gear specification, and licenses and permits that accommodate “mass mobile sport fishing regimes.” (See: *NWSFA, Information compendium, Penn, Grubb, re: U.S. vs. Washington, No. 9213, case area, 1970-80-90s*).

exporting crops, raw timber, forest products, soil, minerals, water and animals to desirable ‘global markets.’

The modern era of *leisure time experience* (eco-tourism) has provided an so called “environmentally friendly” *transport mechanism* in terms of being an en mass host for derivative waste products carried by vehicles, accessories, the alien humans and animals (horses, dogs, cats) themselves. *Eco-tourism* is socio-economic class oriented and is commonly subsidized and enjoys a veil of partnership immunities in ‘not-for-profit’ corporation registry and tax shelter status for cities, counties, universities, colleges and churches. In the Lake Tahoe case demonstration an enforcement officer made an educational presentation to the Washoe tribal council pertaining to the mediation of boat inspection standards and compliance favorable to higher socio-economic echelons. (*See: Infraspect, Tahoe, written statement of inspector, 2010*). Subsequently, the inspector was systematically isolated, alienated and removed.

Nocuous weeds are used concurrently in USDA, USDI, state, tribe-state, and county jurisdictions to off-set natural species habitations and their respective ecosystem dependencies ‘on forest’ and high priority ‘production unit’ (certified sustainable forests) targets. The legislative clusters of ‘range lands,’ ‘agricultural lands,’ ‘farm lands,’ and other landscape user franchises (recreational travel ways, off sets, land trusts, conservation easements, exchanges, carbon sinks, wildlands, scenic beauty strips, mitigated environmental stream side set backs) are subjugated to *science as positivism*, e.g. *precautionary* principles of *no-data analysis decision making* among vested stakeholders. (*See: CAFRs, land use planning, HCP, EA, EIS, ecosystem services, inclusionary rezoning, impact fees, adaptive management, stewardship contracting*).

Industrial applied sciences travel under market driven solutions, e.g. *proof of concept* white papers. Pervasive environmental and animal enterprise terrorism against indigenous populations is exhibited by the inventions of Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO): Frankenstein trees, plants and animals. Examples include en mass inoculations, extending to ‘sterilization’ of target sport fishing species, e.g. trout, steelhead, salmon, in order to produce a large trophy fish to attract recreational commerce. (*Cross reference: DNA therapy*). The experimental schemes are applied to small and large game animals in various easements, trusts, reserves, preserves and HCPs. Chemical protocols include application to ‘geese,’ e.g. softening of eggshells so when the goose sits on the nested eggs they

are crushed. This is common sustainable management (scientific tool) in higher social class eschelon ‘neighborhoods.’ The rationale is to prevent the goose from defecating on lawns and patios. Conversely, the natural appearing ecosystem niche in South Lake Tahoe, is used to enhance the *apprised* property market value price, taxation and environmental *impact fee* assessment in land use zoned communities.

The indigenous medicine bundles are evidentiary as established dynamic sets¹⁴⁷ of society, political philosophy, social integrity, and environmental awareness, beyond the academic evidence controlled through the Environmental Protection Act’s ISO: EMS “market driven solutions,” e.g. *proof of concept* commercial papers (Uniform Commercial Codes) and the United Nations Permanent Forum[s] on determining, authenticating and franchising indigenous ‘experts.’ The experts become infatuated with

¹⁴⁷ *Psychological terrorism* is an institutionalized component of total warfare interdiction campaign strategies. The psychological aspect (psyops) is devised in Capstone doctrine, all serial warfare engagements, and Department of Homeland Security civil/military counter-insurgency operations manuals. Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs, Chairman of the Congress of Elders, Nevada, and indigenous teacher relates the inferior aspects of psychological terrorism to dark ‘witchery’ practiced in Indian country. The indigenous people, family by family, keep their higher conscious awareness, as personal empowerment proscribed by old trustworthy individual masters. The masters practice and teach apprentices beyond the reach of surrogate personalities (citizens, resident, enrolled tribal members, Indians, doctors of jurist prudence, Rhoads scholars, Ph.Ds, tribal agency sub-department directors). It is not proper for government agency personnel, corporate officers, to weight their ‘authorities’ by self personification or assuming titles of nobility.

During a ceremonial visit to Lake Tahoe, the indigenous Washoe people present were required to have their hand ‘stamped’ to access the Incline Village beach, as the commercial recreational park and recreational boat access ramp was gated.’ The purpose of the gate is to enfranchise and regulate the sale of the “quality of life” to the highest payer as a ‘product’ (service) provided to the public on an “equal access and opportunity basis,” subject to the protocols of “environmental justice,” “protected group status,” “affirmative action,” and USDI: BIA (civil rights) status. Likewise, Art George, while visiting lake Topaz, was watched and confronted by an enforcement officer, who by Art George’s mere presence adjacent to the lake beach, suspected him of ‘fishing.’ The driving enforcement orientation is ‘potentiality’ driven (ADL), e.g. ‘stop & frisk.’

A Washoe tribal facility (USFS lease) exists at Lake Tahoe (Meeks Bay). The facilities operational goal value priority is ‘economic development’ (Washoe tribal charter) within the shared TRPA ‘recreational opportunity spectrum.’ (USDA, USDI). The cultural significance is artifacts, theme presentation and items suitable as a part of *tourism* commerce. (*Cross reference: Collaborative-Washoe tribal Lake Tahoe Agreement; Tahoe Regional Planning Authority; Department Of Transportation, lakewise- ‘transportation theater’*).

It is self-evident that these examples of public based doctrine, in reality, do not regard Art George or anyone else as original [indigenous] beings, real humans, sovereign personal political power holders and indigenous physicians. The isolation, alienation and disenfranchisement of lake side indigenous living places are part of the Indigenous identity Theft and frauds, e.g. failed mitigation, token restitution, acquiescence to false rights, forced fee patents, ulterior motives embedded in eminent domain, publicly convenient economic development ‘plans’ harming, injuring and destroying natural medicine and the dynamic medicine bundles. These ‘modern era’ (sumptuary) takings are linked to psychological terrorism. (See: attachment III Values washing). The collaborative modern era dialogue (Delphi techniques) has advanced to ‘conversational hypnosis.’

their ‘academic evidence’ (Infraspect, 2002, Intrusions profiling), *theories of uniformity*, and *peer review*. The *anthropological stance is not sufficient alone*, in the context of ‘culture’ and ‘tradition,’ to construct paradigms (Acts, Statutes, Codes, Rules, Policies, Procedures) governing access to foods referred to as medicine. The medicine, medicine bundles stand with Liberty, aside from social contracts and false agency administration rights. The matter of *psychogenesis*, as it applies to ‘Torus’ and evidentiary exhibits of *non-point energy* taken and concealed in the name of ‘protecting’ artifacts, is significant in terms of resilience of original beings. The deified “Devine right of kings, right of discovery, right of conquest, manifest destiny, eminent domain” were constructed to create a ‘Barrier’ to indigenous psychogenesis, e.g. the natural increase of the indigenous original being’s consciousness. This was a ‘nameless crime of atrocity.’ The construction and assignment of false ‘collective rights’ to ‘tribal (corporate) authority’ has been used to channel knowledge and franchise select *scholarly, entitled, accredited and licensed* educational institutions. Proof of concept is incorporated into the EPA “expert judgment elicitation processes.” There is sufficient proof of facts to view the *mainstream* of experts, in particular and present historical moments, as parties to environmental terrorism executed against indigenous peoples and their living places. (*Cross reference: Eugenics movement, ethical environmental tradeoffs, collaborative secret settlements, EEZ, CMZ, NEPA, SEPA, NFMA- HCP, etc.*). The majority of academic institutions are debtors to banks. Academic institutions grant “*tenure*” to professors, as long as the professors do not disturb the institutions credit with the banking cartels. (*Cross reference: ISO market driven standards (solutions), proof of concept, institutional normative rationale*).

The enterprise methods of taking buffalo included ‘black rock’ walls to guide the animals to the “buffalo jumps.” (Montana). These black rock walls were disassembled and the rocks taken for buildings constructed by ‘settlers,’ such as for their ‘churches.’ *Restitution* agreements appear to always carrying indemnification and immunization, and are legal barriers to recourse due to indigenous ‘children yet to be born.’ (*Cross reference: UN DRIP, Cobell Settlement*).

The rights of unborn child are cited, “Medical authority has recognized long since that a child is in existence (i.e. alive) from the moment of conception, and for many proposes its existence is recognized by law. The ‘eugenics movement’ at large (NGOs, PR firms, feminist supremists, judges,lawyers, stakeholders, change agents) twists euthanasia of the

unborn *natural child* into ‘preventative healthcare’ federal funding and an opportunity to realize income, such as ‘carbon tax credits’ and subsidies for the aborting indigenous ‘female.’ Abortion carries risks, injuries and damages. Harming, abusing, an unborn child extends to criminal prosecution conveniently arranged so common law exceptionalism applies, e.g. life style, market driven standards- institutional en mass depopulation strategies. *Syliva v. Gobeille, 1966, 101 R.I. 76, 220 A.2d 222; Hornbuckle v. Plntation Pipe Line Co., 1956, 212 conformed to 94 Ga. App.2d 328, 94 S.E2d 523; Bennett v. Hymers, 1958. 101 M.H. 483, 147 A.2d 108; Sinkler v. Kneale, 1960, 401 Pa. 267, 164 A.2d 93; Smith v. Brennan, 1960, 31 N.J. 353, 157 A.2d 497.* The over-arching rationale that “over-population” of the world provides a rationale of causing indigenous women, living in federal Indian reservations, to maintain a zero birth ‘rate’ approaches a level of sociopath and psychopath behavior. Population management following a protracted failed reservation state, predatory economy, is grounded upon covert “collusive presumptions.”

Codex Alimentarius: Population Control Under the Guise of Consumer Protection is an example of deceptive speech encoding. (*See: Codex Alimentarius: Population Control Under the Guise of Consumer Protection, Dr. Gregory Damato, Ph.D., 9-2008, tags: Codex, healthnews, Natural News, www.naturalnews.com*). Codex is a joint venture regulated by the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO). It’s application of innovative ‘international law’ is secured and applied to the indigenous “tribes” visa vi the UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, which binds those tribal ‘institutions’ that acquiesce to the *force* and *effect* of UN DRIP Articles. “The US government and the *collaborating* media have been trying to distract America while... Degraded, de-mineralized, pesticide-filled and irradiated foods are the fastest and most efficient way to cause a profitable surge in malnutrition.” (*Cross reference: Faceless atrocity*). “In 1995, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) created an illegal *policy* stating the international standards (Le, Codex) would supersede US law, and subsequently tribal customary and traditional settled indigenous law.” This created a mechanism to control indigenous nutrition, medicines and indigenous *private practices*. “Mandatory use of growth hormones and antibiotics apply to all food herds, fish and flocks. In the so-called snowstorm kill in South Dakota, 11-2013, the ‘cattle’ ranchers met the ‘international standards,’ e.g. agency ‘policy.’ The vast majority of mortalities were inferior cattle breeds (Black Angus, etc.), with significantly less mortality on wild and domestic horses and insignificant

buffalo mortalities during the same weather front. (Approximate duration 3 days). The USDI, BIA leases and tribal grazing permits are used to systematically enfranchise cattle ranching, local quasi-Indian institutions and importation of foreign cattle stocks to take advantage of premium grazing land seasons based on ISO ‘market driven standards’ and ‘proof of concept’ concurrent with UN ISO Environmental Management Systems specifications (sustained yield management) applied to Indian, tribal and trust lands through lease and permit conditions of use. US Departmental audits, restricted and concealed from the public, provide a map of agency dysfunction and personnel wrong doing at the federal, state and tribal levels. (*Cross reference: public / private partnership*). The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, A Business Reference Guide, Exposure Draft, 10 December 2012, specifies the “Global Compact” protocols, [effective company engagement with Indigenous peoples: Consultation and Consent] disguised as ‘good [corporate] business practices.’ The Global Compact is regulated under the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), law of the land, and the hidden *law of water*. These fit into the dogma and doctrine of “*One heaven*.” In the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe demonstration Resolution no... 2013-CR was drafted by BAR lawyer, S. Gunn, which read, “WHEREAS, tribe... having accepted the provisions of the Act of June 8, 1934 [48 Stat. 984]... WHEREAS the tribe... develop its *common* resources... promote the *general welfare*...,” “Therefore be it resolved, that the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal Council hereby proclaims to *regulate* the property or activities of the Tribe or its members on the Reservation, including the *business* activities of enterprises owned by the Tribe or its members... nothing in this resolution diminishes, divests, alters, or otherwise affects any *inherent, treaty, statutory* or *other rights* of the... Tribe or its members... authority over the *property* and activities described herein, including but not limited to *legislative, regulatory, adjudicatory*, and taxing powers.” Resolutions, including city, county, municipal, tribal, state, federal and United Nations, are not laws. The Cheyenne River tribal officials have supported the UN DRIP, which says, “...**Article 27**. [member] *States shall establish and implement, in conjunction* with indigenous *peoples* concerned, a fair, independent, impartial, open and transparent process, giving *due recognition* to indigenous peoples’ laws, *traditions, customs* and land *tenure* systems, to recognize and *adjudicate* the *rights* of indigenous peoples pertaining to their *lands, territories* and *resources*, including those which were traditionally owned or otherwise occupied or used. (*Cross reference: allodial ownership and property*). Indigenous peoples shall have the right to participate in this process.” The

matters of “*conflict of law*,” and “*conflict of personal law*” are present in the UN Capstone processes and cause *confusion of rights and titles*. The UN HRC DRIP proscribe member state control over business affairs of indigenous sovereigns. In turn the Global Compact is the cartelization

frame for select TNCs¹⁴⁸ to determine the business protocols for engagement with indigenous institutions and actual people, subsequently particularistic arrangements, e.g. *distribution agreements*. These arrangements mutate into contracts with specific sovereigns, to construct

¹⁴⁸ The Foundation for the Global Compact acknowledges member companies and sponsors of the Global Compact LEAD platform: (Vale) Companhia Vale do Rio Doce, Bayer AG, China Minmetals Corporation, Deutsche Telekom AG, Enel, Kskom, Heineken N.V., Intel Corporation, Lafarge, Nestle S.A., A.P. Moller, Novartis International AG, Novo Nordisk AS, Saskhalin Energy Investment Company, Siemens AG, Sunitomo Chemical Company, Ltd., Symantec Corporation, System Capital Management, Takeda Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Coca-Cola Company, Vestas Wind Systems, Accenture, Acciona, AVIVA plc, BASF SE, BBVA, S.A., BMW, China Development Bank, China NTG Gas Ltd., China Ocean Shipping Group, Daimler AG, ENI, Infosys Technologies Ltd., KPMG International, Newmount Mining Corp., Novezymes, PriceWaterhouseCoopers, Royal Dutch Shell Plc, System Capital Management, Total, Unilever, Rosyblue, Talisman Energy Inc., Tata Resources, Telefonica S.A., Homeplus, Martha Tilaar Group, SAP AG, AACSB International, Al Mansour, AMBA, CEEMAN, EABIS, EFMD, Endesa, S.A., Fuji Xerox Company, GE Foundation, German Local Network, GMAC, Innovation Norway, Oando Plc., UNDP, UNOP, United Nations Foundation. Principles are interfaced in the Global Compact umbrella system, which provide dual engagement and influence—Acciona, Ahlstrom Corporation, Ahold, Aktiebolaget SKF, Alcoa, Allergan, Allianz SE, ALTANA AG, Alten, Anas S.p.A., Anglo American plc, AngloGold Ashanti, Ltd., Apoteket AB, ARCADIS NV, ArcelorMittal, Areva, Arla Foods, AstraZeneca, Atos Origin, Australian Postal Corporation, Banco Bradesco S.A., Bank Hapoalim, BHP Billiton, Bloomberg, L.P., British Land Company, BSH Bosch und Siemens Haugsgeraete GmbH, Campbell Soup Company, Carbones del Cerrejon LLC., Cardo AB, Carlson, Carlsberg Group, CB Richard Ellis, China Unicom, China National Offshore Oil Corp., Citi, Coca-Cola Hellenic, Commonwealth Bank of Australia, Companhia Energetica de Minas Gerais, Consolidated Contractors Company, Coop, Credit Agricole S.A., Credit Suisse, D. Swarovski & Co., Dampskibsselskabet NORDEN A/S, Danfoss Group, Dangota Group, Danske Bank Group, Delta Lloyd, Dow Chemical Company, DnB NOR ASA, DSV A-S, E. ON AG, East Asiatic Company Ltd., Firmenich, Flour Corporation, Fortum Corporation, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, LLP., GDF Suez, General Mills, Givaudan SA, GMF Assurances, Gold Fields Limited, Groupe CASINO, Grundfos, Grupo Bancolombia, Hager SE, IKEA Group, Italcementi Group, JCPenny, Kinross Gold, Konecranes Corporation, KSB Aktiengesellschaft, LEGO A/S, Li & Fung Group, L'Oreal, Louis Dreyfus Commodities, LVMH, Manpower, Mansour Manufacturing, Marine Harvest ASA, METRO GROUP, Molson Coors Brewing Company, Monsanto Company, Motor Oil – Hellas – Corinth Refineries S.A., Nexen Inc., Noble Group Limited, Nokia Corporation, Nokia Siemens Networks, Nordea Bank AB, Norsk Hydro ASA, Novartis International AG, Oriflame Cosmetics S.A., Orkla ASA, Owens Corning, PepsiCo, Inc., Petrofac, PetroChina Co, Ltd., Pfizer, Inc., Power Finance Corporation, Ltd., Qatar Fertiliser Company (S.A.Q), Saint-Gobain, Sasol Ltd., Sika AG, Sinochem Group, Smurfit Kappa Group, plc., Snam Rete Gas S.p.A., Sodedexo, Sociedades Boliver S.A., Sopra Group, Sulzer, Ltd., Syngenta International AG, TARKETT, Teck Resources Telus Corporation, Trena SpA, Tieto Corporation, United Airlines, UN Office Geneva, Vallourec, Veolia Environment, Volt Workforce Solutions, William Demasnt Holdings A/S, Wolters Kluwer, Woolworths, Ltd., Yara International ASA, Broad Air Conditioning, German Global Compact Network, TORM, AXA, De Beers, Hewlett-Packard Company, Nalco Holding Company, HSBC Holding plc., Heineken International, Rio Tinto, Trelleborg AB, TUV Rheindland Holding AG, A Raymond SCS, African Petroleum PLC, Alcatel-Lucent, AMPEG Technologie and Computer Service GmbH, Aviatore, Ayr Aviation, BARRick Gold Corporation, Brown Flynn, CIPI – Compagnie Internationale, Caja Madrid, Calvert Group, Citizen Holdings Co. Ltd., City Developments Ltd., Coca-Cola Beverages Hrvatska, Colombia Movil S.A. E.S.P., COMBIS d.o.o., Corporate Fashion International, Ltd., Dexia Group, Eastern Produce Kenya, Ltd., Edelman, Eiffage, Ekol Logistics, Inc., EPS, Essilor International, EUROTECH France, GlobeScan Incorporated, Hiroca CxA, Holcim Ltd., IFOP, Impact Development Training Group, Iquest, KoA Holding, Korea

“tribal enterprise” immunities around non-Indian predatory corporations, such as PayDay Loans in the Sioux case demonstration. It essential to comprehend the ‘spirit of confidentiality’ within the *collaboration* legal architecture, and the aspect of “treasonable” as cited in Black’s Law dictionary, 5th edition. Tribes are not states, yet are mis-construed in case law as “*domestic dependent nations*.” The tribal courts appear to merely rubber stamp legal concepts of *alienability*, by and large by standing commitments to county/state/tribe taxation “*revenue sharing agreements*.”

The ‘modern era’ suffrage doctrine of “environmental justice,” its pretext and context frame the use of *authorized depredation rates*, e.g. ‘in and out of-kind’ mitigation measures. Infraspect’s Profiling Intrusions on Community action Groups, Activists and Citizens, provides an audit approach in subsections, e.g. Tandem Actions: characterizing, *disenfranchising* and criminalizing.

The individual treaty Indian fisherman engaged in a lawful, traditional and customary *enterprise*, e.g. reserved and expressed *usufruct rights* and entitlements. The treaty Indian fisherman operate under BIA issued tribal fishing and hunting treaty identification cards, tribal Business & Occupation licenses, promulgated regulations (approved by the USDI BIA Secretary), complete enterprise sales receipts, and official government commercial catch reporting forms.

The demonstration case files specify “de-commercialization of steelhead,” to secure a state, federally franchised (US Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife) and USDC finding of fact, e.g. ‘set aside as a recreational attraction,’ and (RCW) regulated ‘sport game fish.’ (*See: U.S. v. Washington, et. al. Civil no. 9213, Finding of Fact, Phase I, Judge Boldt*). Field audit accounts include the purposeful killing of 5,000,000 steelhead fry/smolt (anadromous fish specie) at the Washington State / ITT rearing hatchery/rearing facility, Bogichiel River, to “keep the Indians from getting them.” The adult steelhead would return to the terminal river area from the high seas, where Indian treaty fisherman fished. The fish were inspected in the burial pits at the facility, by the Infraspect Auditor Directorate (certified in recognition of fish diseases), an invited scientific witness. The public was misinformed that the fish were ‘diseased.’ There was no pathological diagnosis, prognosis; or treatment regime. The logical fallacy was exacerbated by allowing the ‘sport fishing public’ to fish out the ruminants (steelhead smolt) in the rearing pond itself, with and without

fishing licenses. (*See: Infraspect: NWSFA files*). A similar event took place at the Washington State Salmon Hatchery, Solduc River. The upstream migrant ‘native’ Coho, blocked from upstream passage, at the hatchery river weir, were captured, slaughtered and buried at the facility. (*Cross reference: Northwest Subsistence Fisherman Association, Ariel photographs, & Information Compendium, Christian Penn, Sr., & William Grubb*). The facility superintendent (Skip Deadman) is on the record (La Push, Wa., Re: Fish Brood stock Inquiry), stating, the reason was the ‘state did not want the native fish to exist above the state salmon hatchery facility, as a potential risk to the **production** facility’s operation.’ The river system threshold above the state facility included the Olympic National Forest and Olympic National Park jurisdictions. The federal, state, and tribal ‘spawning indexes’ demonstrated a viable population of salmon above the state hatchery facility, historically and currently. These **actions destabilized the economy** of the indigenous (Indian) fishers, at their usual and accustomed places. State “surplus” (excess) fish and egg sales are “part of the state’s (agency) economy,” (*See: Infraspect, NWSFA file*) and the facility surplus and excess fish and egg sales were quota fixed, regardless of the biological run size entry predictions. (*Wash. St., WACs*). The U.S. District court in *US vs. Washington, Civil No. 9213*, issued stay orders, and junctions, among which was the requirement that the ‘tribes’ acquire ‘fisheries experts’ who would write ‘regulations’ and ‘management plans.’ The non-Indian ‘biologists’ were enfranchised with credulity, belonging to the “mainstream,” such as in the Quinault Treaty Area. During the management interdiction campaign, the ‘biologists’ instituted fish stock recycling programs. The recruits arriving at the ‘hatcheries’ were recycled (trucked) through the river spawning indexes (river segments) to enhance sport fishing “opportunity realization.” Guy McMinds an enrolled Quinault tribe member, University of Wash. graduate and treaty area representative for the Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, testified in the USDC 9213 (Boldt) proceedings that the fish hatchery recruit recycling program did not impact the wild steelhead stocks existing in the same index segments. (*Cross reference: No Data Analysis Decision Making*). This testimony was not supported by scientific data. Christian Penn, Sr. (Quileute chairman) and William Grubb (Quileute Fisheries Program) characterized this as perjury by “biostitutes.” The recycling of hatchery fish stock programs are arrived at through ‘collaboration’ and ‘consensus’ of those in the inner circle. These recycle programs are used to expand the ‘season’ (artifact run entry and timing) to the advantage of alien sport / recreational / commercial interests. The majority of “regulated river” systems have so called ‘restoration’ hatchery

facilities (salmon, trout) in proximity to dams. This “*policy*” prevails in 2012, as “*settled science.*” (*See: Columbia, Snake, Deschutes, Santiam, McKenzie, Umpqua, Rogue, Smith, and other Oregon coastal streams*). This federal, state, tribal management pattern is “block of fish,” synchronized among agency experts, NGO, PGOs (watershed councils, stakeholder councils), outfitter/guide trade associations and recreational fishing equipment manufactures and retailers. (*Cross reference: All Citizen Sport Fishery, US. Vs. Washington, no. 9213*). This is a common practice usual to the Pacific Northwest ‘negotiated’ settlement and management plans affecting indigenous people’s ‘opportunity’ to harvest 50% of the harvestable run at usual and accustom sites, using traditional art and practices. The indigenous fishers are manipulated through agency conversational hypnosis, technical sophistry and scientific perjury to fish with recreational/sport/trophy gear. (*Cross reference: environmental terrorism, animal enterprise terrorism, scientific perjury, un-marked hatchery fish indexed & counted as wild fish, fish stock transfers, in and out of river system harvest fish run ‘recycling programs.’*).

The global ‘environmental movement’ ideology is secured in the enforcement of en mass “depopulation management, eugenics, and austerity.”¹⁴⁹ The ‘reversal psychology’ is reducing “poverty” through en mass depopulation, internment and resettlement. The “community education” orientation, authentication and resocialization programs

¹⁴⁹ The International Conference for the Unity of Science and Federation of World Professors was established through Reverend Sun Myung Moon. Modern era linkages include: Korean Central Intelligence; Jerry Falwell, Gary Bauer, Louis Farrakhan, Richard Thornburgh, Dan Quayle, Sen Joseph Lieberman, John McCain, National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski, Robert Dole, D. DeConcini, Claiborne Pell, Richard Perle, Trent Lott, Hubert Humphrey, Philip Crane, Jesse Helms, Wohlstetter (Discriminate Deterrence), World Wildlife Fund (Royal consort, Prince Phillip), Washington Times, American Enterprise Institute, Heritage Foundation, the **radical-positivist** Vienna Circle, **Rand Corporation**, Utopians, Tavistock (K. Lewin, E. Trist) and Club of Rome. The Club of Rome is a central feature of the eugenics movement (Wells, King, Huxley- MK-Ultra), e.g. the supreme importance of population control. The CIA took an operative role in “Moral re-Armament” and the “scientology movement.” Agent Copeland, the Political Action Staff, implemented “OHP” (occultism in high places). The action plan included to “plant astrololigists on certain world leaders... to deploy “mystics”... “voodoo magic” based on rites prescribed by the CIA itself, to manipulate Congressmen. (*EIR, H.G. Wells and Bertrand Russel, the ‘No-Soul’ Gang Behind Reverend Moon’s Gnostic Sex Cult, Laurence Hecht*). The unification church and confederates subscribe to “global citizenship.” The doctrines are worldview, worldmind, worldspirit, and capstone. While the Holy Roman Church (empire) professes “faith,” the Protestants are deemed a “religion,” the Unification Church is encoded a “movement,” and “sex cult.” The incidents of Christian church sexual abuse and molest continue to be a major controversy in Indian country.

incorporate “conservation psychology.” The *economic command*¹⁵⁰ model: “enterprise environmentalism” operates under the theme of ‘*green certification*’ (ISO: EMS- market driven solutions, FSC, SFI) of “community development quotas,” (UN: ICLEI) and aggregate re-allocation (sustainable development: taxation, pricing economics & derivatives speculation) of ‘natural assets’ and ‘resources’ among enfranchised and provisional beneficiaries. This requires providing *immunities* to the beneficiaries as ‘preferred partners,’ selected ‘stakeholders’ and ‘collaborative *decision makers*.’ (Cross reference: *Smart electric and water meters*).

It is noteworthy that the promulgation of the *American Indian Religious Freedoms Act, P.L. 95-341* was promulgated in the context of “religious” freedoms, NOT “faith.” (Cross reference: *Grace*). The UN Declaration ON the rights of Indigenous peoples follows with the speech encoding

¹⁵⁰ “*Silent weapon technology* has evolved from Operations Research (O.R.), a strategic and tactical methodology developed under the Military Management in England during WWII.” It was recognized as useful for “totally controlling society.” The Rockefeller Foundation got in on the ground floor by making a four-year grant to Harvard College, funding the Harvard Economic Research Project for the structure of the American Economy, feasibility of economic (social) engineering, e.g. Studies in the Structure of the American Economy, Leontief. Three energy concepts are associated: 1. Economic Capacitance (Capital), 2. Economic Conductance (goods, resources, 3. Economic Inductance (Services). Rothschild discovered and applied the basic principles of power, influence and control over people, e.g. make money scarce, tighten control (franchise, monopoly), collect the collateral through obligations of contracts. Rothschild funded the Illuminati plan and followed orders. The creditors become the debtors. Promotion and advertising are Economic Amplifiers incorporating sets of ‘strategies.’ The targets are made predictable by programming habit patterns. The **table of strategies** are: 1. Keep the public ignorant gets less public organization, 2. Control prices gets required reactions, 3. Preoccupation get lower defenses, 4. Attacking the family unit to control the education of the young, 5. Provide less cash to get more self-indulgence, credit and doles, 6. Attack privacy of the church [congregation] to destroy faith, 7. Social conformity to get computer programming simplicity, 8. Minimize tax protest get minimized enforcement, 9. Stabilize consent to get simplicity coefficients, 10. Tighten control over variables, simplified computer input, greater predictability, 11. Establish boundary conditions to get problem simplicity / solutions of differential and difference, 12. Proper timing, less data shift and blurring, 13. Maximize control, Minimize resistance to control, 14. Collapse of currency, destroy confidence. The silent weapons operate from a docile public by legal force. Higher Consent coefficients indicate the psychological basis for seizure of private property without just compensation. The foreclosure upon private properties was executed through a tandem action (agency/corporate partnership), e.g. legal force and effect. (See: *Operations Research Technical Manual TM-SW7905.1*). This methodology is viewed as “Economic Channeling to provisional beneficiaries” (Infraspect, Blair). The academic establishment has stated it as “human capital transport to optimal consumption pathways.” This incorporates “aggregate re-allocation,” “inclusionary rezoning,” “rural re-development (cleansing),” “metroplexing” (Blair), “austerity,” “eugenics” (en mass depopulation), and serial warfare. War is prosecuted to “kill the true creditors,” ownership of property and assignment of the natural assets. (See: The Indian Wars).

*“spiritual property.”*¹⁵¹ An infamous demonstration of the wrongful abuse and reckless disregard for AIRFA 95-341 is corporate gaming management and marketeering, under *sumptuary law*, e.g. “... 1995, the Grand Ronde Gaming Commission was established with the appointment of five Commissioners... the regulatory entity for all *gaming enterprises* for the CTGR “Community”... arm of tribal government [IRA 1934]... performing regulatory functions, licensing, testing and monitoring... in compliance with Tribal-State Compact, Gaming Ordinance, Regulations, Minimum Internal Control Standards, Game Rules and other applicable laws. The Commissioners are: Denise Harvey, Jerri Schmidt, Ralph Baker, Steve Nuttal, Karl Nelson. [3 are Grand Ronde Tribal members]. The Commission is to exercise all powers... responsible for protecting the... public health, safety, *morals*, good order and welfare of the *Tribe* and the *State*.”¹⁵²

The underlying motives and intent of carrying out Indigenous identity Theft and fraud are traced to: (1) scriptural authorities of the King James

¹⁵¹ By no means of reason can a corporation be issued a “baptismal certificate,” “title to the soul,” grounded upon the reality that a corporation does not have a soul or spirit. The church’s construction of a “title” to the soul is a major defect in creating a surrogate power (security paper) subject to the implications of property ownership. The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of a religious corporation, such as the ‘Vatican’ state (arch diocese), acting as the minister (agent) of god, creates an appearance the chief executive officer claims corporate enfranchisement (aminus dominandi) to protect the soul of the sovereign individual indigenous real humans.

¹⁵² “Spirit Mountain Casino” was incorporated to enhance economic self-sufficiency for the confederated Tribes of Grande Rond (Umpqua, Roque River, Molalla, Kalapuya, Chasta) and surrounding communities, under a theme of “economic diversification,” to *subsidize* housing, education, and cultural programs under the ‘direction’ of the Tribal Council. The promotional roadside attractions include a visual ‘bill board’ (5-2012, Springfield, Oregon, HW 127, west bound) showing a volcano eruption, with **US dollar bills** spewing out into the air, with the title “Spirit mountain casino” interfaced. It is noteworthy that in the 1900s, United States and state (NY) ‘case law’ cites “gambling” as an act of cruelty, as the majority of ‘players’ loose, and the odds are always with the ‘house.’ The ‘gaming’ is misconstrued as ‘recreational,’ yet in the ‘reservation’ experience by tribal members, it is part of crisis economics and **desperate attempts** to get income. The tribal officials associated to ‘gaming’ receive significant benefits, perks and special gratuities. The reservation demographic profiles, unemployment statistics, adult and family service programs (house, food commodities, energy assistance, healthcare, abuse / addiction counseling), foreclosure, property repossession, prosecution and penal system incarceration rates present an ultimate contradiction to “enhance economic self-sufficiency.” The tribal government as a ‘stakeholder,’ with vested interests is principally stabilized in partnership with the assigned gaming management corporation. (*Cross reference: Compact, inflation, floating currency exchange rates, revenue sharing agreements.*). The scope and purposes of the “gaming commission” exceeds the powers a constitutional republican form of government, e.g. “arm of government” “protecting the public health, safety, *morals*, good order and welfare of the *Tribe* and the *State*.” (*Cross reference: modern era sumptuary law, comity, partial-limited-concurrent jurisdiction, ancillary jurisdiction doctrine, legislative offense*). Those indigenous original beings, real humans, not being enrolled members of the tribe (corporation) are not entitled to the usual ‘spin offs’ from the ‘enterprise.’

Bible, old testament books and the Torah, e.g. “righteous nations” vs. “wicked nations.” Those ‘churches’ construed as part of the US ‘official religions’ are coded and ‘incorporated’ as “religious (church) corporations” and are subjugated to ‘fundamental public policy.’¹⁵³

(2) The Capstone doctrine and dogma of the various *principalities* stem from the *presumptions* of the ‘Devine right of Kings,’ ‘Right of conquest,’ ‘Right of discovery,’ ‘Manifest destiny,’ and ‘Eminent domain’ go to alien ideologies found in Ecclesiastical law of Trusts. The capstone doctrine is self-evident in the Crusades,¹⁵⁴ and “Christian soldier” total warfare military campaigns (jihads). The *Conclusive presumptions* rested on identity theft by deception-- omission of the nature of law (laws of nature) by the BAR courts and their foreign agents, as they do in the ‘modern era.’ There is an appearance of the influence of the doctrine¹⁵⁵ in the “Jesuit

¹⁵³ The political courts operate on the “*myth of the rule of law*,” with the appearance of the “fairness doctrine.” The court is convened by an corporate officer whose ‘faith’ or ‘religious belief’, economic and social class, and political ideology is grounded by being a foreigner to the indigenous people and their respective interests. The foreign agents abide by proscribed “fundamental public policy,” essentially, pretending that the ‘remote interest’, e.g. the ‘creator of the universe’ is separated from the ‘direct interest,’ being the ‘diocese.’ (state).

¹⁵⁴ The ‘*burn the village to save it*’ is ‘total warfare’ and requires abrogation of preeminent moral leadership and vacating virtue (love, mercy, compassion, forgiveness, kindness). The legal perversion lives on through the treatment of tribe-state, state, federal, and UN ‘resolutions’ as law, extending to the over-state, over-arching, over-soul, conceptions of “spiritual property” manipulated into the United Nations eternal, international religious corporate organization (temple of understanding), the UN Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous People, Articles.

¹⁵⁵ “*Ordo Ab Chaos*,” This means Order out of Chaos. The conflict is manifested, structured, and at the same time the solution is ‘sustainably managed.’ Thus: “Problem, Reaction, Solution.” The ‘over-state’, its ‘over-souls’ remain in control, e.g. *aminus dominandi*, power for the sake of absolute power.

Oath,”¹⁵⁶ and “Protocols of Zion.”¹⁵⁷ The Jesuits were founded as the “Company of Jesus” (1534). The lawful US Constitution (de jure) distinguishes America from the de facto UNITED STATES CORPORATION, registered in England and its sub-divisions (estates, diocese). The “great seal” of the united States of America was designed according to Free Masonry. (*Cross reference: The One: Eagle symbol/star of Davids (Egyptian atonists), chosen people, tail feathers- nine*

¹⁵⁶ The Jesuits were founded initially as The Company of Jesus on “Assumption Day” August 15, 1534, in a secret ceremony, Chapel of St. Denis. (*Cross reference: Society of Jesus*). The formalization of the Jesuits into the first military order of monks of the Catholic Church was secured by the Papal Bull Regimini militantis (1540). The Jesuit monks were to “blend in” to the world. The monks abide by the *Constitution of the Jesuit Military Order*. The Superior General hold the official power by Papal Bull, being known as the Black pope. The mission remains today to attack and destroy the Protestant movement by assassination, propaganda, forgery, theft, COINTELPRO and dynamic entry engagements. (*Cross reference: Sollicitudine Omnium Ecclesiarum, 1814*). Under the Covenant of One-heaven (Pactum De Singularis Caelum) the entire Order is granted Divine Redemption including Sainthood of all Generals and Provincial Generals, the Redemption of Lucifer as a Great Spirit and the Order of Wisdom of One-Heaven. The Day of Redemption UCA[E1:Y1:A1: S1:M9:D1] is also known as *Friday, 21 Dec 2012*, with or without Ratification of the Covenant of One heaven. (*Cross reference: Doomsday-book*). (*Cross reference: Uniform Commercial Code: Bank Officers Handbook of Commercial Banking Law within the United States*).

The religious corporate context of Covenant of One heaven, I Recitatum (Recitals) is exemplified by, “all dimensions now and forever... *sacred promise* of the Divine Creator... cultures and religions... own essential belief and truths... religious beliefs... limits of their belief system... singular Heaven... cultures and beliefs... recognize a *formal model* must exist... united and *co-operative* Heaven... uses this Covenant... Recognition of Existence by all *entities*... properly *recognized legal and spiritual entity*... *framework of law* and belief of every religion... nation of men, women and *higher order beings*... official *organizations*... treaty, deed, article and law... as *having legal personality and rights*... unity must reign... this document exists to proclaim... we are all *higher order beings*... who collectively believe in a common life after death... *unification* of Heaven... the end of Hell... dimension according to geometric and *logic principles* according to the *rules of this document*, now and *forever*... Covenant to be morally *bound on Earth* as a sacred covenant... subject to the test by the *living Members*... by the laws passed... Great Conclave... such *ratification*... *Officers and Members* of One heaven... uphold the principles and articles of this Covenant... *oath of union*... that all *souls* may be saved, *so that no spirit remains condemned*.” (*See: Pactum De Singularis Caelum, Covenant of One heaven, I Recitatum (Recitals)*). It is clear and concise that the Covenant of One heaven provides that its authors, agents, are morally bound, and as those making the “oath of union” have no greater conclusive presumption than themselves being souls. Essentially, the entity (artificial being, organized property) has no greater power than the “*living*.” The Jesuit order controls both the Fascist and communists. Fascism mandates the combining of the ‘church and state.’ (military/theocratic). It is important to distinguish the definition of church, e.g. ‘assembly,’ and ‘where two or more persons gather to show gratitude to the creator of the universe.’ In the matter of en mass atrocities, the church remains, while the victims of genocide are gone, and therefore discussions of the church’s role in holocaust(s) is suppressed.

¹⁵⁷ The operation of the foreign Hudson Bay Company, and the ‘American’ trading posts included distribution of ‘arms’ and ‘ammunition’ to the ‘savages’, alleged as for hunting and self-defense against other ‘hostiles.’ This strategy embraced a COINTELPRO application of managing the problem and controlling the ‘solution’ at the same time. The modern ‘tools’ are found in flexible guidelines used among the ‘decision makers, partners, and stakeholders.’ (*Cross reference: Basel Committee, corporation of London*).

lodges of Masonry). The constitution, as a **Trust**, specified treaties. The lawful duty of the military is to preserve, protect and defend the constitution, including its treaties with the indigenous sovereign personal political power holders and real humans. Modern era acknowledgement of this principle of **international trust law** is illustrated by the **righteous** “war on terror.” The indigenous sacred estate held in the land, is separate from the **conclusive presumptions** of ‘ecclesiastical law,’ and notions of federally invoked ‘common law.’ The treaty tribes, by indigenous posterity, and Constitutional **self evident truths** held by treaty signing **tribes, nations** and actual **parties**, did not assume an inferior role in the treaty covenant. As specified in the **Special Notice & Appearance (Case No. 1:96VC01285-JR, USDC, District of Columbia; and Notice to President Obama, USA)** by indigenous Lakota hereditary chiefs and headmen (7 Fires Council), the real humans, original beings, maintain a **diplomatic class standing** under natural law. The USDC of Columbia, lost any power of remedy or resource, by refusing in writing to enter the Lakota 7 Fires Council ‘special notice and appearance’ to the record of the court. The citizenship Act and the Indian Reorganization Act, followed the tenants of Ecclesiastical law, the Papal Bull Regimini (Black pope), the **Covenant of One-heaven (Solicitudine Omnium Ecclesiarum)**. The One heaven, I Recitatum provides for “all dimensions now and forever, e.g. treaty, deed, article and law.” The liberty of natural being, and that of entities (**perpetual succession**) is subject to test by the living Members, by “**solemn duty**,” in a “compassionate and humble manner towards all the living and the dead.” The matters of **equal protection** and **due process** requires the **lawful obedience** of all entities (subordinate, sub-departments, divisions) incorporated pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act. These entities may appear to be sovereign governments, however, the agencies, their officials, persons, residents, citizens, including lawyers acting outside of the judicial branch of government convolute and nullify their artificial persons of the state standing to make and negotiate treaty covenants. The treaties provide for a promise of harmony among the settlers and tribes, et. al., but are not articles of surrender. The territorial military governors, pursuant to capstone (civil/military) command and control (C2W) used their subscribed agents to remove, detain, and terminate potentially persuasive indigenous chiefs and headmen, holding diplomatic class standing. It is noteworthy, that ‘Custer’s’ military battle flag remains in the possession of the Lakota people. The present day ‘military commanders,’ their ‘commander in chief’ have a **lawful duty** to ‘preserve, protect, and defend’ the constitution, promulgated treaties, and the effected indigenous original beings.

(3) The ‘treaty covenants’ with the pre-existing indigenous organized civil societies, and actual signers who are indigenous hereditary Chiefs and Headmen, holding diplomatic class standing, were misconstrued as *law of the land*, e.g. UCC ‘*contracts*.’ The application of unitary (plenary) powers, the US Corporation’s ‘settled law,’ by and through British Administrative Registry (BAR) lawyers, has dominated the ‘interpretation’ of the actual treaty covenant, rather than mutual ‘interpolation’ of the covenant between ‘adverse parties having interests,’ e.g. *Perpetual succession*. The notion of a balance between the government’s rightful powers and the people is a myth as old as the invention of the ‘*state*’ buffer between the ruling *over souls, high priests* and the human masses. “Law” in itself was not honestly defined in common usage language within the treaty instrument. The treaty *contract* goes to *understanding* commercial international law (innovative international law), while the indigenous people did *comprehend* natural law.

(4) The precepts, *presumptions*, and speech encoding used to advance Indigenous identity Theft and fraud are cited and specified in the historic document- Felix Cohen’s ‘Handbook on Federal Indian law,’ Legislative Acts, Statutes, Codified Federal Regulations (CFR), Public Laws 280, 638, etc., Articles of Incorporation¹⁵⁸ of ‘sub-division’ states of the union, constitutions and by-laws, administrative agency rules, plans, policy and procedural regulations.

(5) The judicial branch of the US government has co-operated a political system through the appointment of district court judges (administrators), pursuant to the ‘myth of the rule of law,’ the appearance of *fairness doctrine*. Indigenous perceptions of ‘settled law’ are held inferior to the *decisions* and *summary judgment* rendered from the court’s political ‘majoritarian hearsay.’ The presiding judges claim “*honorable*” titles of nobility. The district courts have invoked a jurisdiction and venue over federal territories, reservations and inhabitants (residents, citizens). Natural real humans, such as the indigenous hereditary Lakota Chiefs and Headmen, having diplomatic political class standing, are not entitled to

¹⁵⁸ The Blackfeet tribal court[s], was issued a *Certificate of Incorporation* by the Secretary of State, Montana, termed “articles of Incorporation,” under general corporation statutes, executed by several person as incorporators and filed in the designated public state office. This certificate is subject to the statutes and bears a regular termination date. Tribal “charter” is a *legislative grant* of corporate existence and powers to named individuals.

‘standing’ and BAR *enfranchisements* before the court.¹⁵⁹ judges, lawyers, attorneys, and academic legal counsel are quick to restrict and control their exclusive franchise over federal Indian reservations and Indian country, e.g. *admission to practice* before the *bench*.

(6) The special organization of federal regions, reservations, preserves, “Exclusive economic Zones,” and strategic “Plans” (international, federal, state, tribe-state, county and municipal) under *inclusionary rezoning* of human habitat and ecological habitat are particularly arranged and sustainably managed by artificial *persons of the office of the state*, such as “decision makers, partners, stakeholders, facilitators, and change agency” under the prevailing secular political ideology of “collaborative governance” now implemented in ‘Indian Country.’¹⁶⁰ The invented (forced) fee patents follow austerity programs. These patents are legal franchises, and do not apply to the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land.” There is no presumption IRA corporate entity ownership and

¹⁵⁹Power of attorney is constricted to functional specialization—private contracts.

¹⁶⁰ The colonial state interdiction strategies and tactics have evolved to invoking the secular political philosophy of “collaborative governance” under false pretence of ‘participatory, deliberative and proportional democracy’, ‘Nation building’ (Indian country revolution), ‘Inclusionary rezoning,’ ‘aggregate reallocation’ of resources through ‘community development quotas,’ ‘smart growth,’ ‘smart water metering,’ austerity programming—conservation psychology, sustainable development, Agenda 21—ICLEI, globally integrated enterprise, ISO Environmental Management Systems (EMS), embedded into concurrent jurisdictions, P.L. 280; 638 public safety and efficiency regimes. Essentially, administrative ‘infractions’ are perverted to carry ‘criminal penalties.’ Force of law enables the seizure, confiscation, forfeiture of ownership and property. Those reserved rights ‘silent in the treaties’ are covertly taken through *statutory* claims, extending beyond the scope of ‘real estate’ land, to natural assets and personal property, such as minerals and water. The consumption of ‘air’ is prosecuted visa vi “carbon footprint taxation,” subject to specialized “derivatives speculation.” The private government organizations (PGOs) have applied ‘administrative law’ in many fake ‘environmental protection’ and ‘conservation’ legal strategies. Conservation easements, Trusts, when treated as ‘derivatives speculation’ may be scrutinized under Sherman Anti-trust, and RICO laws. According to a National Public Radio (NPR, KLCC, Eugene, Oregon) broadcast on 1-29/30-13, guest interviews, “taxation is the price for living in civilization,” and “the Nation state is obsolete,” e.g. sovereignty.

property over the sacred estates.¹⁶¹ This indigenous estate is separate from the presumptions of ‘ecclesiastical law,’ and notions of federally invoked ‘common law.’¹⁶²

Honored Lakota warrior Crazy Horse declared, “My lands are where my dead lie buried.” This is a *lawful maxim* of an indigenous real human. It is not truthful that Crazy Horse would answer as a “tribal citizen,” “resident” or “enrolled member of the tribe.” This matter goes to heirship, posterity, diplomatic class standing and perpetual succession. The contemporary existing family burial grounds, sites and individual graves are generally marginalized by federal, state, county and tribal officials, accept to serve their agency culture, e.g. stakeholder interests.

In the Lakota case demonstrations pertaining to the *sacred black hills* the matter of indigenous sacred estate held in the land is set off, e.g. land settlements between IRA tribal authorities and federal united States authorities, bearing subsequent congressional enactments. Chief Joe Brown-Thunder expressed (*Infraspect video tape, 2011*) interview at Hot Springs, South Dakota, in the *sacred black hills*, specifies the preeminent market driven standards applied to the hot springs economic (tourism) development, with a token ‘memory’ culture bill board showing ‘respect’

¹⁶¹ The Vatican, the Rome Catholic Church claims sovereignty over its ‘*sanctuaries*,’ which extends to the grave, burial places and tomes. The indigenous sacred estate held in the land encompasses “personal ownership and property.” (*Cross reference: IIM distributions*). Infraspect case file demonstration field audits exhibit the refusal of tribal authorities, and county authorities to regard, respect, or prosecute injury and damages to these burial estate properties, while supporting “repatriation.” Repatriation is being used to isolate, alienate, disenfranchise, and remove indigenous personal property ‘family’ estates, e.g. burial places. This manifest taking amounts to constructive fraud, in as much provides the appearance of compassion, while the property is conveyed, converted and multiplied in value to the new owners. In several instances the indigenous burial places are respected and made ‘tourism attractions,’ along side of travel ways. The tribal corporate interests are weighted in favor of market real estate values, e.g. sustainable economic development. The greater amounts of indigenous burial places and family plots are in proximity to valuable natural assets, subject to alien/foreign derivatives speculation, e.g. ecosystem services, cap & trade, off sets, exchanges, conservation easements, land Trusts, carbon certificates, carbon taxation subsidies, credits, and other financial targets. The intentional aspect is do nothing to maintain the estate, while leaving each to depredation, and offer ‘repatriation’ in line with the public purpose, health, safety and convenience. This aspect of personal estate, is indispensable in the treaty covenant instrument, the 1924 Indian Citizenship Act, IRA 1934, and parallel authorities, such as P.L. 95-341. These places are the last vestige of indigenous spiritual integrity, sense of living place, hereditary, cultural, and traditional cognition.

¹⁶² Cognitive reduction is entrenched through a rationale of “keep it simple.” The operative doctrine of ‘ward of the state’ convinced the artificial persons, e.g. Indians, tribal citizens, residents, U.S. Citizens that the source of the law is the state, as an article belonging to legislators, judges, lawyers, and agency rule makers (deciders).

for the indigenous people who once culturally, traditionally, sacredly possessed the hot springs water, streams, pools, air and landscape.¹⁶³

¹⁶³ The history to “give up the Black Hills” demonstrates a perfect case of nullity, and subsequent case law style of ‘extrinsic frauds.’ The subscribed and sworn statements of Mark Marston (notary public), Judge Eli He Dog, William Garnett, Sioux and English translator, particular state, “... the Black Hills were our hunting grounds, and in addition had lots of valuable minerals, such as gold and iron in them and lots of timber. When the papers was signed by Red Cloud, Spotted Tail and others to give up the Black Hills the majority of the Indians of the Teton Sioux tribe were not there and they never consented to giving up the Black Hills and never gave those chiefs permission or authority to sell or give up the Black Hills. I was with those Indians who were not there when this paper was signed, and there with us the Arapahos, a band of the Cheyenne, the Minnecoujous, most of the Hunkpapas or Standing Rock Indians, the Sans Arcs from Cheyenne River Agency, some of the Brule Band from Spotted Tail Agency, also some of the Santee and Yankton Indians... and most of my band...” “We were altogether on the north side of the Big Horn Mts at the time the treaty was signed giving up the Black Hills, and we never knew the treaty was signed until long time after... when Red Cloud’s brother, Spider, and my brother, High White Man, come out and joined us... they had fled from the Red Cloud Agency.” “Louis and Joe Richard came out to see us... told by the Government... papers they had with them was to give up our hunting rights but we didn’t agree to them or to give up the Black Hills.” “The papers... also stated that we were to give up the Black Hills country... we refused... and refused to go with them to the Agency...” “I have been working on this Black Hills claim now for 25 years... never gotten permission to even put our proofs and evidence before the Court.” Moses Flying Hawk, by thumb print and as a signature, stated, “I know said Eli He Dog’s statement as given hereinbefore... is true and I endorse all that he has said.” A resolution of the Black Hills claim and treaty Council, at a duly called meeting, September 6, 1979, at Calico Community, Pine Ridge, SD adopted, “1. No action will be taken on the proposed settlement of Docket No. 74 (1868 Treaty Claim), 2. No money will be accepted, 3. Land to be returned... based on the provisions and agreements negotiated under the Ft. Laramie Treaty of 1868... whereas, the Black Hills Claim and Treaty Council... have never authorized the Tribal Council established under the IRA to approve any settlement... Therefore, any action taken by the two parties mentioned above to negotiate, or approve the proposed settlement of Docket No. 74 will be in conflict with the Preamble in the Constitution of the Oglala Sioux Tribe. [unlawful].” :Nolawyers or other Indian organizations cannot be allowed to negotiate, accept, or approve, any settlement for land claims without the consent of 3/4 majority of adult males of the Sioux Nation in accordance with Article 12 of the Ft. Laramie Treaty of 1868... Resolved... membership comprised of direct descendants of the principle negotiators and signers of the... treaty will be the principle negotiators for any settlement... certified and signed, 13 for o against, secretary Johnson Holy Rock, President Francis He Crow. (*Cross reference: Documents of United States Indian Policy, 106 Marriage Between White Men and Indian Women, August 9, 1888*). The Annual Census to be taken in accordance with the Treaty of April 29, 1868 (15 STAT. 635) shall given the “names of each full-blood male Indian, over 18 years, registered, of any oceti Sagowin of the Titanwan Oyate that is or shall hereafter become a party to this treaty... or become aresident or occupant of any reservation or Territory. Person must be direct male line of any chief or headman descent who is living in the year 1868, and his name appear on this Treaty. Penalties for filing false information are, under 18 U.S.C. 1001... maybe fined and/or imprisonment, not more than five (5) years.” “The United States Government promised that no cession of all or part of the Great Sioux Reservation would have any force or validity unless executed and signed by at least 3/4 of all the adult male Indians, e.g. article 12. P.F. Wells of the Pine Ridge Agency, Dakota, by the Indian Commission certified 173 signatures, e.g. “The Non-Indians signed the Great Sioux Agreement Act of March 2, 1889. The individual names of ‘mixed blood’ are particularly identified. There several contentions, unresolved Rights: Reservation settlement, water rights, sacred sites and places, and manipulated ‘off sets.’ The mis-use and application of Indian Census Roles is alive in the administrative motives of “open enrollment.” The IRA administrators and lawyers participation in treaty covenant affairs is likewise a standing contention (2012) repeatedly presented by and through the natural indigenous hereditary chiefs and headmen, having treaty diplomatic class standing.

The effect of sumptuary law, e.g. modern era economic command modeling is to manifest an actual “spiritual dessert” (2012, Blair) within Indian country, such as reservations, reserves, preserves, parks, and monuments. This is exhibited by the USFS, Black Hills National Forest, Harney Peak known by Lakota people including the Six Grandfathers, sacred peak, Opahatal, and the “center of all that is.” The religious landmarks, e.g. Harney Peak, Inyan Kara, that were sacred to the Lakota

are now alienated¹⁶⁴ as a supra-regional tourist attraction, condo rentals, Custer Vacation Rentals, Native American heritage center, Indian Museum of North America, Native American Educational institution, etc. It is

¹⁶⁴ The protection of Indian “sacred sites” is clear example of *mitigation failures*. The Lakota Holy man, Black Elk received his “great vision” at nine years old at the sacred peak, Opahatal. This place is considered to be the center of all that is, as a Lakota religious landmark, a sacred mountain. Black Elk said, “I was standing on the highest mountain of them all, and round about beneath me was the whole hoop of the world... for I was seeing in a sacred manner [discernment] the shapes of all things in the spirit, and the shape of all shapes as they must live together like one being.” This is similar to “**One heaven**.” Black Elk’s education (orientation) included being ‘educated’ according to Catholic church doctrine. (Lakota, He Crow, 2012). **The majority of the ‘seventh generation’ are in DNA fact ‘mixed blood’ racial heritage, not original beings.** The present fundamental public policy of the USFS is to respect the *AIRFA 95-341*, e.g. the access, use and possession of sacred objects. The Embedding political ideology is exemplified by, “the Sioux Parks & Recreation Authority... a official agent (Kills Speak) advocated a parliamentary system with a house of lords and house of commons. (Seven Fires Council, Emerson Elk, 2012). Religion has more to do with operational goal value priorities, than spirituality. Lakota Seven Fires Council member Emerson Elk and his Lakota wife attempted a ceremonial visit to pray on Opahatal, via the USFS Black Hills National Forest and the Black Elk Wilderness. The agency double speak is “No permits are required,” and there is a \$5.00 per person fee to drive the Needles Highway, which is used to access Sylvan Lake and the trailhead. Upon entry to a parking area the Lakota man and woman were faced with a uniformed agent (6-2012), and a license fee requirement of \$15.00 for a week tourist visit. The agent advised them that park access fee violations carried penalties and they could be cited. The open visiting hours are designed for tourist visitations, e.g. monument trail access times. Money, e.g. market driven standards, has been embedded in the ‘conditional use’ of USDA, and USDI access by ‘Indians.’ The Lakota people must subscribe, submit and consent to the tourist attraction mandates in order to go to their sacred mountain and pray. It is ironic that the conjunctive ‘Crazy Horse’ monument is controlled by similar alien concepts following sumptuary laws, and proof of concept doctrine. The words of Black Elk are useful to the IRA authorities, State Parks concessionaires, and USDA USFS agents in the context of ‘*leisure time experience*,’ and ‘*recreational opportunity spectrums*.’ The un-naturalization mechanism and process incorporates treating the original being, real human, Lakota people as a user group, climbers, and hikers. The posted memorial flags are ‘road side attractions’ to the foreign visitors. This mechanism, born from **institutional normative rationale, operational goal value priorities**, significantly contributes to effecting the “spiritual dessert” (Blair) for original beings. Gradualism is applied in **proof of concept market driven standards** (solutions). The concept encoding is visible among the Harney Peak sacred site; Spirit Mountain Casino; UN DRIP “spiritual property”, false re-patriation, USFS hiker BARRIER gates at various Washoe family ‘burial grounds,’ other ambitious tribal innovative economic developments and tribe/state/federal ‘revenue sharing agreements.’ The Lakota man and woman were denied access to a sacred site under the terms of their faith, culture, heritage and exclusive treaty rights. The institutionalized authorities remain inept and indolent, while applying substantive alien and foreign concepts to the original being’s perception of the creator of the universe, and **indigenous sacred estates held in the land** (Infraspect, 2007). The public policies, particularistic arrangements and universal regulations affecting and effecting spiritual personalities of the individual indigenous people remain dangerously defective. A collective of the Medicine Man, Sun Dance Leader, and Spiritual leaders is exemplified by, “ We are the Medicine men, cultural leaders, Sundance leaders and Traditional Chiefs of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe the Sicangu Oyate... statement to the public... Medicine men and relatives welcome the non-Indian with compassion in our spiritual ceremonies to strengthen and nourish the Wolakota, good, dreams and visions... will be one family with generosity to be heard and respected... will honor each other’s words... we are medicine men and ceremonial leaders... are the grandchildren of the past chiefs... will walk together through all seasons... through the powers of the four-directions with the messenger the spotted eagle and the Buffalo of the Universe...” This declaration to the **Public** is signed by: Leonard Crow Dog, Sr, Medicine Man [\$7,000], Keith Horse Looking, Sr., Medicine Man [\$22,000], Albert White Hat, Sr., Medicine man [\$22,000], Elmer Running, Medicine man [\$34,000], Florentine Blue Thunder, Sundance Leader [\$18,000], Kenneth Farmer, Sundance

obvious that a memorial to the sacred “ghost dancers”¹⁶⁵ is deleted. The “ghost dancers” were considered ‘insurgents’ in their own land.

The indigenous *original beings* realized their way of life and “*medicine bundles*,” such as Blackfeet. The alien concept encoding in the BAR modeled ‘treaties’ was specialized to “sufficient for their wants.” The “total warfare” strategy, the “removal” (detainment) and “termination era,” represented the interdiction tactics purposefully embedded in the ‘colonial’ processes. The geographic actuality of these methods is coincidental to the **known existence of the “synchronic lines” and “leylines”** on plant earth. The living places of the indigenous people were complementary to these *dynamic lines*, as exhibited by, “ceremonies of renewal, rituals of faith, dream beings, vision quests and every day activities.” Places of sustenance: buffalo jumps, pounds, root and berry picking spots, campsites, tipi rings, trails, river crossings, and sites of creation (Sun and Moon and coming of light). Places of sacrifice, revelation, apparition, sources of knowledge and wisdom (left by ancestors), and memory of animal beings, were continuously enacted in songs, ceremonies and heritage stories. Rock formations, tipi rings, cairns, and other markers existed. The original beings were displaced (Capstone doctrine: right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain) and the favorable living places associated to the *synchronic* lines and *leylines* are occupied and consumed under present day econometrics of

¹⁶⁵ “Ghost dance” is not a ‘process psychology,’ ‘process ideal’, or part of a suffrage or political movement, it’s spiritual intent is “sacred prayer.” The “ghost dance” was a responsible counter-measure against the “sick” (Lakota, He Crow) rationale of the Capstone doctrine implemented by the church, political, military and sub-department (IRA 1934) partnership authorities. The modern era ‘collaborative governance’ mechanism, and “formal consensus” reality methodologies (Delphi techniques) have ‘streamlined’ the assimilation paradigm.

“sustainability.” (ISO: EMS). The present uniform commercial codes¹⁶⁶ (UCC), UNDP global trade liberalization, ICO sustainable development, and market driven standards (ISO:EMS green certification-- derivatives

¹⁶⁶ *Uniform Commercial Code*, one of the Uniform Laws drafted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws governing commercial transactions (sales of goods, commercial paper, bank deposits and collections, letters of credit, bulk transfers, warehouse receipts, bills of lading, investment securities, and secured transactions). The U.C.C. has been adopted by all states, except Louisiana. (*See: Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Edition*). The Uniform Commercial Code is “the supreme law on the planet, and all other forms of law are encompassed by it and included in it (except you as a Sovereign, of course). There are twelve transactions to which the UCC does not apply: 1. Security interests governed by federal statutes; 2 Landlord liens, 3. Liens for services or material provided, 4. Assignment for claims for wages, 5. Transfers by government agencies, 6. Certain isolated sales of accounts or chattel paper, 7. Insurance Policies, 8. Judgments, 9. Rights of setoff, 10. Real Estate interests, 11. Tort Claims, 12. Bank accounts. (*See: BARron’s Law Dictionary, 3rd Edition*). Pennsylvania was the first state to adopt the UCC (July 1954). The National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, together with the American Law Institute, drafted so called Nation-wide Uniform Laws. A **Sioux business council adopted the U.C.C. in 2013**, under the theory of uniformity. The medium of exchanges are identified above, and include currency. The *Uniform Consumer Credit Code (U.3C.)* has been adopted to (a) simplify, clarify, and moderate the law governing credit and *usury*, (b) provide rate ceilings, (c) credit at a reasonable cost, (d) address unfair practices, (e) permit credit practices, (f) make administrative rules among various jurisdictions. Uniform means conforming to one rule, mode, pattern, or unvarying standard, all within a class. It must be extended to all property subject to taxation, so that all property may be taxed alike and equally. *Edye v. Robertson, 112 U.S. 580, 5 S.Ct. 247, 28 L.Ed 798. (Cross reference: Nation buidling, carbon foot print taxation).*

speculation) have directly contributed to the dynamic outcomes of “*serial discontinuity*.” (Blair).¹⁶⁷

“Repatriation” embraces the entirety of the indigenous original being. The *institutional* definition is subjected to pre-decisional criteria less than returning culture, heritage, and wellbeing. The tribal ‘cultural specialists’ and ‘authorities’ do not corporately own ‘medicine bundles,’ because the bundles are ‘living beings.’ There is an acknowledged ‘resonance’ between the bundles, original beings, today’s children and the ‘*sacred material*.’

¹⁶⁷ This enduring *serial discontinuity* is measurable in ‘all cause impact,’ (EPA), e.g. ‘convergent impact’ socially, economically and environmentally. Mitigation failures are the product of ‘consensus reality.’ Derivatives speculation is co-dependent upon mitigation failures. This is illustrated by **First Nations Consultation Guidelines on Land Management and Resource Development (Alberta, 2005), Historical Resources Act, “Glacier National Park,” “CFB Suffield National Wildlife Area,” “1978 Indian Child Welfare Act,” “Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act,” “First Nations Sacred Ceremonial Objects Repatriation Act,”** among the hundreds of others. The corporate states, inter-governmental organizations, IRA tribes, counties, cities and municipal partnerships have exploited their occupancy of the attributes found in and around the synchronic lines. The “Indigenous sacred estate held in the land” (Blair, George) has been taken as ‘tool kit’ for visitor experiences at ‘interpretive centers’ in the name of protecting and preserving artifacts, objects, geographic locations, name places, and human remains, all framed in ‘market driven standards.’ Often token ‘honored elders’ are invited to ‘negotiate’ the levels of mitigated impact on target ‘repatriation’ sites. This negotiation is subjected to ‘anthropological theories’ of ‘compliance archeologists,’ touting ‘scientific data’ and expert judgment elicitations (EPA). The value of the actual ‘sites’ is controlled by the institutional normative rationale that applies to ‘knowledge formation.’ The initiators see that participants experience “phenomenology of landscape” (Tilly, 1994). “Repatriation is a form of resistance, a way of taking back much of what once belonged to the people, a way of turning trauma into healing” (Thompson & Todd, 2003). The collectivist’s mindset travels to the suffrage tripwire. The burial sites, the belonging and loved ones resting there are part of the personal estate, and by posterity the natural family’s interest is in tact, generation to generation. The typical agency approach to initiating, isolating, alienating, conveying and converting the ‘soil,’ the ‘landscape’ the place to a corporate real estate asset is to make the interest a collective ‘public’ purpose, in the ‘greater interest,’ or ‘advantage of the majority’ subject to “eminent domain.” Collapsing wrongdoing against “religious tradition” to the authority of the higher “modern era” cause is always present.

The academic institutions are vested stakeholders in ‘repatriation,’ and their partners, such as the Institute for Tourism and Recreation Research, University of Montana, whose studies include “marketing,” “Tourism,” “Outdoor Recreation,” planning and development subsidize the ‘industry.’

The functionally specialized context is present in the majority of academic ‘input’ as illustrated by the concept and speech encoding, “LaKota theologian and scholar Vine Deloria Junior... major problem... rights based society... attitude... East Glacier Park... Blackfeet reservation... Glacier National Park... nice trail for cross country skiing... Native American religion... traditional religious... transformative events... tribal America today... religious experience... religious practices... religious freedom... how do we balance various needs and uses of lands... public lands... Bear Butte is a South Dakota state park... culturally significant... tribal use needs... Badger Two Medicine lands overseen by the National Forest Service... Two Medicine River... B2M... ceded land... unceded land... sacred site... political-legal entity... sacred activity... tribal input... Flathead Indian Reservation... planning process... memorandum of understanding... cultural heritage sites... new hiking trails... Chief Mountain... Native religious practices. (See: Native American Sacred Places, David R.M. Beck, Unitarian-Universalist Fellowship of Missoula, 2-24-08).

The Infraspect field audits exhibit various methods of intrusions, e.g. public utility easement (water, street, electrical), recreational site travel ways, planned unit developments, conservation easements, trust lands, ecosystem services derivatives speculation schemes and public facilities and proposed tourism attractions.

The academic institutions principle vested interest¹⁶⁸ is exchanging information for gain, credits, and monetary profits. The sites, and extractions of materials provide a wide scope of benefit to colleges, universities, professors and their respective *enfranchised* partnerships.

The transfer of presumed ownership is centered on benefits from ownership, e.g. *primacy of property*. The first mis-presumption is that the 'tribe' as an entity holds title to sacred sites (land) under legal disguises, such as culture and tradition. This flies in the face of the 1st Amendment to the US Constitution (law of the land), of which the IRA incorporated, chartered and USDI approved tribal business committees, and councils are subject to. That assumption is lawfully flawed as the burial places, ground, soil, surroundings, the grave and people resting therein are part of a family, guaranteed a religious resting place forever. The natural or adopted family is the successor, trustee, personal representative, for the place and the 'relative' resting there. At best, the "domestic dependent nation" agents are merely 'administrators,' in absence of the natural heirs.

Access to these sites, graves and loved ones is thwarted, obstructed and blocked in several ways: *protective* gates, blocked road ways, un-

¹⁶⁸ *Vested interest*. The indigenous original being, real human maintains an individual hereditary true title, ancient title to the "indigenous sacred estate held in the land." This title embraces the liberty of individual people to educate themselves, their family, children and community. (Infraspect, Declaration by indigenous People, Blair & George). "When a person has a right to immediate possession on determination of preceding or particular estate." One in which there is a present fixed right, either of present enjoyment or of future enjoyment. *Painter v. Herschberger*, 340 Mo. 347, 100 S.W.2d 532, 535. A *vested right* is one that is "complete and consummated, and of such character that it cannot be divested without the consent of the person to whom it belongs, and fixed or established, and no longer open to controversy." *State ex rel. Milligan v. Ritter's Estate*, Ind.App., 46 N.E.2d 736, 743. The *vested legacy* of the indigenous original being, real humans is an irrevocable attribute of the person, private personality, the family, and hereditary diplomatic personalities that speak to "culture and tradition" beyond the reach of mere academic normative rationale. This hereditary legacy is given absolutely, not arbitrary among "enrolled members" of incorporated IRA tribes, occupying federal Indian reservations. In the Sioux exhibit, the OST president, Bryan Brewer's actual relatives are traced, e.g. "Joseph Brewer, 1928, Soloon Brewer, 1794, Betsy Moots, 1794, Triphania Chamberlain, 1848, Sara Jones, 1815, Willim Riley, Mary, Ann Caroline, Richard, Sarah Kathenne, Elizabeth Jane, George W., Raymond, Emma Mae Wallace, Joseph Vinton, Peter, Wilbur, Thomas Newton, Emma Breweer Wilcox, Fred Wilcox, Anna Quiver." This exhibit is captioned, "Federally Recognized Indian Artificial – Not Lakota. **Tribal membership is not Lakota** and Fraudulent to use OCETI-SAKOW in this means. "Persons other than . 4/4" CDIB." "Public education" is not part of this vested indigenous legacy. Public school and public education are not defined in Black's Law Dictionary, 5th edition.

maintained road ways and trails, daily time tables, and official postings by the authorities who claim not to have jurisdiction. The tribal authorities are submitting to 'state' institutions that provide financial assistance to families whose relatives have died. The financial service is restricted to "cremation" methods of disposing of the *body*, which is accepted in the wake of austerity programs effecting the *current economic conditions*. This fixed option precludes the actuality of continuing a personal family burial estate, subsequently alienating and expatriating further personal and actual property interest in the land. In specific Lakota case demonstrations (2011) the remains were *repatriated* to a different located only after mandated cremation. (Cross reference: 'tribal' inclusionary rezoning.)

As the market driven standards, values neutral and *proof of concept* commercialize these places and people, the appraisal value is multiplied as an asset, and for the real estate 'market value' – when the relative's body is removed from the burial place and the resting place liquidated.

Access, site investigations, and scientific takings are lucrative enterprises, and 'in the name of science' fortunes are made, with many subsidies and taxation *exemptions* and *shelters* provided for. The 'tribal' authorities talk a respectful talk, yet this talk does not match their walk straight for the revenues. The Public Relations themes are many and innovative.

Repatriation has become a useful tool in Indigenous identity Theft (fraudulent conversion of the sacred estate held in the land) as it puts plausible immunity on the scientific experts and administrative actions for terminating the burial places, removing the graves and loved ones to a 'safe location.' The remaining land, soil, minerals, trees, landscape become natural assets ripe for value multiplication, as real estate, real property, derivatives speculation: ecosystem services, exchanges, conservation easements, land trusts, swap, off sets, carbon foot print taxation, carbon certificates, carbon credits, etc. All of a sudden, there is plenty of money and energy to protect the converted natural assets. These assets can be converted to and conveyed as **"Usufruct legal right to use and derive profit or benefit from property that either belongs to another person or which is under common ownership, as long as the property is not damaged or destroyed."** The indigenous real property is

manipulated and alienated through the Courts¹⁶⁹ following the tradition of

¹⁶⁹ In *674 F.2d 816 WASHOE TRIBE OF NEVADA AND CALIFORNIA, et al., Plaintiff-Appellees, v. Joseph GREENLEY, et al., Defendants, and The State of Nevada, Appellants. No. 80-4241. United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.* Argued and Submitted Oct. 15, 1981. Decided April 15, 1982. “The Washoe Pinenut Allotments are not subject to the jurisdiction of the State of Nevada with respect to hunting activities of members of the Washoe Tribe within such allotments, and ... the State of Nevada has no jurisdiction to directly or indirectly enforce its hunting laws or regulations against members of the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California in connection with the hunting activities of such members within the Pinenut Allotments.” According to the Washoe Tribal Court internet website (2012): “The Washoe Pinenut Allotments are *lands* located in two *counties* of Nevada. The lands were never part of a reservation, but rather were *public domain* prior to their allotment. Pursuant to the *General Allotment Act of February 8, 1887, 24 Stat. 388, as amended by Act of February 28, 1891, 26 Stat. 794, 25 U.S.C. §§ 331-358*, these *lands* were allotted to individual Indians to be held in trust by the United States for their sole use and benefit.” Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That all of the right, title, and interest of the United States in the following described public domain land located in Alpine County, California, are hereby declared to be held by the United States in trust for the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California... By virtue of the authority contained in Section 7 of the Indian Reorganization Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. L., 984) the lands described below, acquired by purchase under the provisions of Section 5 of that Act, for the use and benefit of the Washoe Tribe of Indians in Nevada, are hereby *proclaimed* to be an *Indian reservation*. The USDI, BIA Secretary, presumed the authority to create a “federal Indian reservation.” (1970).

“*Public domain*,” and “*eminent domain*” are the springboards for “legal plunder” (Bastiat). Mitigation measures: mediation, remediation, reconciliation, alternative dispute resolution, and arbitration have mutated to ‘collaboration,’ formal consensus (consensus reality). According to U. of O., PIELC panelist Professor Woods, in response to Art George’s request for the definition of mitigation—the Professor of Indian law, said, mitigation is ‘when you feel good about the damage done.’ R. Smokey, enrolled Washoe tribal members, participated in an previous Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community, panel, U. of O., PIELC. During the video taped presentation, she exclaimed, “**I am not the public!**” (striking papers on the desk). Agrarian laws remain quiet in discussions about takings of indigenous property, by “public authority, of the lands constituting the public domain, usually territory conquered from any enemy.” In terms of aggregates for the landed citizens and residents, the “laws which have for their object the more equal division or distribution of landed property; laws for subdividing large properties and increasing the number of landholders.” (Black’s Law Dictionary, 5th Ed., p.61). The “Land,” for purposes of the foreigners, was defined by definitions useful for ‘takings.’ Land comprehended any ground, soil, or earth whatsoever; including fields, meadows, pastures, woods, moors, waters, marshes, and rock. *Reynard v. City of Caldwell, 55 Idaho 342, 42 P.2d 292, 296...* denotes the quantity and character of the interest or *estate* which a person may own in land. *Holmes v. U.S. C.C.A. Okl., 53 F.2d 960, 963...* Comprehending all things of a permanent and substantial nature, and even of an unsubstantial, provided they be permanent... Land is the material of the earth, whatever may be the ingredients of which it is composed, whether soil, rock, or other substance, includes free or occupied space for an indefinite distance upon the use of airspace imposed, and rights in the use of airspace granted, by law. *Calif. Civil Code, § 659*. All of the federal governments “*land certificates*” rest upon the “treaty covenants,” by following the requirements of law. *State v. Balli, Tex. Civ.App., 173 S.W. 2d 522, 538*. Since the treaties are executed among adversarial sovereigns, “settled indigenous law” is *compelling*, in the complete comprehension of law by the treaty signing parties and lawful indigenous successors, as determined by their *culture and tradition*. The majority of the tribal courts; and respective IRA tribal ‘commissions’ and ‘committees’ actively contravene culture and tradition. The modern era ‘recreational, sport trophy, lottery tages,’ hunting and fishing codes and regulations, enacted by tribal business committees, are **glaring examples** of preempting culture and traditional subsistence and ceremonial arts and

the “Law of Moses.”¹⁷⁰ The applications include: water rights of use, and derivatives speculations: cap and trade, ecosystem services, exchanges, conservation easements, land trusts, carbon foot print taxation, carbon certificates, carbon credits, swaps. The *assets* become the *profits* of Private government Organizations (PGO) under “stewardship contracting.” The “appropriative system” is used to implement “aggregate re-allocation” of the “flow resource” and rights. “When affected by drought, the right of each riparian owner is diminished proportionally.” The appropriator is guaranteed the right to continue to take the same amount of water as long as it is put to “beneficial use.” Local customs are recognized by statutes, e.g. *Desert Land Entries Act of 1877, 43 U.S.C.A. §§ 321-25*, declared all non-navigable water available to appropriation in the states of California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Utah, Colorado, Nevada, Arizona, New Mexico, and North and South Dakota. See: *California Oregon Power Co. v. Beaver Portland Cement Co. 295 U.S. 142 (1935)*. Appropriators not using water for beneficial use may lose its use. Indian water rights are referred to as “Winters rights.” (Winters and Arizona v.

¹⁷⁰ In many legal usufruct systems of property, such as the traditional ejido system in Mexico, individuals or groups may only acquire the usufruct of the property, not legal land ownership. **Usufruct originates from civil law, where it is a real right of limited duration on the property of another.** The holder of an usufruct, known as the usufructuary, has the right to use and enjoy the property, as well as the right to receive profits from the fruits of the property. In Roman Law, usufruct was a type of servitude or *ius in re aliena*, a right in another's property. The usufructuary never had possession of this property (on the basis that if he possessed at all, he did so through the owner), but he did have an *in rem right* to the property itself. Unlike the owner, **he did not have the right of alienation (abusus)**, but he could sell or let his enjoyment of the usufruct. This goes to ‘derivative speculation’ e.g. ecosystem services cap and trade, exchanges, off set, carbon foot print taxation, carbon certificates, swaps, conservation easements, land trusts. Despite the usufructuary's lack of actual possession a modified form of the possessory interdicts is available. The term fruits should be understood to mean any replenishable commodity on the property, including (among others) actual fruits, livestock and even rental payments derived from the property. These may be divided into civil and natural fruits. In tribal cultures usufruct means the land is owned in common by the ‘tribe,’ but families and individuals have the right to use certain plots of land, e.g. “tribal citizen tenants.” Most IRA “Indian tribes” own *things* like *land trusts*, and *re-acquisitions*, as a sub-unit corporation. This is called usufruct land ownership. A person must make (more or less) continuous *use* of the item *or* else he *loses ownership rights.* (*Cross reference: U.S. v. Washington, civil no. 9213, Interim Plan & Stay Order, “orderly exploitation”*). This is usually referred to as “possession property” or “usufruct.” Thus, in this usufruct system, absentee ownership is illegitimate. Exceptionalism is applied to benefit ‘banking’ institutions, derivatives speculators (ecosystem services), lenders, real estate agents, brokers and ‘stakeholders.’ The oldest examples of usufruct are found in the *Code of Hammurabi* and the *Law of Moses*. The Law of Moses directed property owners not to harvest the edges of their fields, and reserved the gleanings for the poor. (Cross reference: environmental justice). In Canada, Aboriginal people have a usufructuary right to hunt and fish with restriction on “*Crown lands*.” In Louisiana, usufructs generally are created in a manner similar to other real rights, through donation, *testament*, or operation of law. They typically operate as *life estates*. Unless otherwise provided in a *will*, a person's share of community property accedes to descendants as *naked owners*, however if that person has a living spouse, the latter will receive a usufruct in that portion of the *estate until death or remarriage (Civil Code Art. 890)*. Under certain other conditions a usufruct may arise giving rights to that person's parents (*Civil Code Art. 891*). (*Cross reference: U.S. vs. Washington, no. 9213, Boldt Decision: 50/50 allocation of fishing opportunity, treaty and non-treaty, ‘all other act prohibited’*).

California cases). The establishment of ‘reservations’ were useful in dating prior non-Indian claims, e.g. aggregate re-allocation. Winters rights are not lost by non-use. The federal court apply ‘flexibility,’ that is ‘ethical environmental tradeoffs’ in order to ‘meet the needs of their (reservation) inhabitants.” *United States v. Finch*, 548 F.2d 822, 832 (9th Cir. 1976), *rev’d on other grounds*, 433 U.S. 676 (1977); *Conrad Investment Co. v. United States*, 161 f. 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1908); *Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe v. Morton*, 354 F.Supp. 252 (D.D.C. 1973); *United States v. New Mexico*, 438 U.S. 696 (1978).

The federal allotment system of adjudication provides a legal methodology for non-Indian “transferees” and “non-Indian heirs” to realize “full value” of the estate. The transferees must rely on showing of actual appropriation and continued use under state law. *Colville Confederated Tribes v. Walton*, 460 F.Supp. 1320 (E.D. Wash. 1978). Indian water rights and lands may be leased to non-Indians. *Skeem v. United States*, 273 F.2d 93 (9th Cir. 1921). Derivatives speculation, masked in “ecosystem services” (stewardship contracting): cap & trade, carbon credits, credit banking, off sets, carbon foot print taxation, exchanges, land trusts, conservation easements and tax exemptions and exclusions are legal progeny; and travel under “economic recovery” in the interest of making the “reservation self-sufficient.” (*Cross reference: Nation building, Bush Foundation, piece meal alienation of tribal property*). Winters rights are property stemming from a treaty or statute to which “title is recognized,” and individual compensation is due under the Fifth Amendment. “Water rights” and “Indian water rights” are NOT real estate, and in fact of law may be “*personal property*.” Many indigenous, original beings, real humans federally and involuntarily subjugated to being “Indians,” “tribal citizens,” “residents,” “reservation inhabitants,” have signed the “*Declaration by indigenous People*” (Infraspect, Blair, George), and have filed the declaration¹⁷¹ on the UN, federal, state, county and tribal ‘authorities.’ The declaration embraces “water” as part of the “Indigenous Sacred estate held in the land.” The federal united States claims and holds *legal title* (not lawful title) to Winters rights as *trustee* for the (incorporated) IRA 1934 tribes. The standing controversies among the many peoples pertaining to water rights shows that ‘*settled law*’ of the

¹⁷¹ The “declaration by indigenous people” is distinguished from the United Nations, eternal international religious corporation in fact, e.g. UN D.R.I.P. “On” the rights of Indigenous “peoples.” The individual indigenous people’s freedom, liberty and rights existed prior to the UN. The ‘declaration by...’ is different from a “Declaration of Homestead.” The declaration of homestead ‘statement filed with proper state or local official or agency showing property ownership for purposes of securing homestead exemption rights... securing a right or privilege given him by statute... not a conveyance nor a contract, and there is no transfer of, or change in title, nor any agreement of transfer or change. *U.S. Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Alloway*, 173 Wash. 404, 23 P.2d 408.

indigenous people is purposefully ignored. The United States is a necessary part of legal suits for the adjudication of water rights. Colorado *River Water Conservation Dist. V. United States*, 424 U.S. 800 (1976); McCarran Amendment. Indian lands and land owned by non-Indians are intermixed within reservations. This applies to non-Indian entities (chartered, incorporated- profit and not-for-profit [501(c)(3)], public benefit utilities) that construct “surplus” and “second reserve”, relying on the “state” to “regulate the use of surplus water not allocated or used by Indians.” Collaborative governance is widely used in ‘Indian country’, such as “watershed councils” to establish ‘partnership’ ‘authorities. The authorities claim rights and representative authorities to circumvent the public initiative processes, with management rights, resource allocation and monitoring, and water rights “alternative dispute resolution (ADR).” The group formal consensus process flies a false flag of participatory democracy among the “decision makers, partners, stakeholders, and facilitators.” The FACA requirements have mutated to vague and arbitrary abstractions, e.g. legalistic speech encoding. The *global* International Corporate Organization *partnerships* will receive benefit from the collaboratively crafted “United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples,” which *espouses, supports, encourages, establishes, grants, assigns* its “member states” the power to “*adjudicate*” the protection of indigenous people’s water and rights, extending to “spiritual property.” (See *attachment: Indigenous worldview*). The association between the ‘member states’ and the UN permanent forums on water has gained political weight, and direct funding under the UN apparatus is available to ‘tribes.’

The matters of *perpetual succession* go to ‘representation.’ Oaths of allegiance, loyalty promises, *powers of attorney*, attorney of record, personal representative, trustee are *surrogate powers*. Indian treaty autographers, being natural hereditary chiefs could only make *assignments* regarding nations, tribes, exclusive to their band. This power traveled under natural law, traditions and customs, and prayer to the creator of the universe.

“A *precinct* is generally the lowest-level governmentally-related division in the United States, and in that context is also known in some places as an *election district*. Precinct committeeman, precinct captain, or Precinct Committee Officer, are elected by *ballot* or *county* party executive committee, to represent *precinctresidents* in every level of party operations.” They represent how the voters in a precinct feel about candidates and issues, and encourage people to vote.

The matter of “re-District” or “re-apportion of the Precinct “districts” is modeled from foreign concepts, such as the *City of London*, and does not perpetuate the cultural, hereditary, customary manners of indigenous culture as articulated by the hereditary chiefs and headmen-- who by the will of people hold *diplomatic class standing*, exclusive to their respective *thresholds*.

The invented precincts for the *sub-division* federal ‘Indian’ reservations are *political artifacts* of inclusionary rezoning. The invoked precincts constitute aggregate re-allocations of voting rights among the ‘tribal enrolled members,’ while *expatriating* the natural real human indigenous people not *enrolled*. Historic records show those not accepting tribal census, membership or enrollment are labeled as *outlaws*, hostiles and *enemies* of the state.

As a condition of receiving benefits and agency administered distributions the “Indians” are legally coerced to *nullify* their hiership, ceremonial given names and accept strawman status as an enrolled tribal member, tribal citizen, US citizenship, stateresident, juvenile and ‘*registered voters*.’ These artificial identifications are used to enfranchise access to other security papers, such as exchanges, gratuities, bonds, birth certificates, marriage licenses, insurance binders, *political candidate* qualifications; and in turn isolate, alienate and expatriate real indigenous humans from their original *posterity*. (*Cross reference: colony, stock exchange security papers*). This may be viewed as “un-naturalization” of people. Under present Homeland Security Act provisions possession of any and all of the security papers are contingent one upon another. Under the Coke Bill, substantively the same as the *Dawes Act (1887)*, the

allotment movement realized structured grants, with “citizenship¹⁷² to be conferred upon allottees and other Indians.” The “other Indians” were those isolated, alienated and expatriated, or selecting not to be “enrolled tribal members.” The Indian was to become a “citizen of the Republic.” (*See: General Allotment Act, the Dawes Act, D.S. Otis (1898), U.of O. Press*). The BAR lawyers and courts have been meticulous in constructively using *identity cloning* to delete “citizen of the republic” in “issues of law.” The legislated identity of being an “Indian” (Indian Citizenship Act 1924) and “enrolled tribal member” has been a gradual slippery slope to mere *persons* (residents, inhabitants, citizens, tribal citizens, tenants on the real estate) *of the state* (diocese). As the original being, a real (indigenous) human, was *alienated* from ownership and personal property the corporate IRA ‘tribal business committees,’ *chartered* under the US federal government, expanded their *hidden proxy* to the present day *innovative solutions* (Obama, 2012) of ‘collective’ institutional rights, following the “communistic” tendencies of tribal decision makers. (*Cross reference: authoritarian rule, collaborative governance, soviet stakeholder councils, Indian homesteading privileges*). It has been long recognized that the actual ownership and property belonging to individual indigenous

¹⁷² The *Dawes Act* inspired “development in Indian education.” In 1889 General Morgan was installed as Commissioner of Indian Affairs. The commissioner’s 1891 report is an important benchmark in comparison to ‘modern era’ education, e.g. “...youth should be instructed in their rights, privileges, and duties as American citizens; love the American flag; imbued with a genuine patriotism; education should seek the disintegration of the tribes; respect which is accorded their white sisters; replication of the American public school system- uniform courses of study; being made over into the image of the white American; acquaint the girls with household duties; saturated with moral ideas, fear of God; love of truth; fidelity to duty; liberalizing influence of the high school which breaks the shackles of his tribal provincialism; full participation in the best fruits of modern civilization; extinguish heathenish life of the camp; alien games & sports; social purity; fervent patriotism- stars and strips; hear little or nothing of the wrongs of Indians, or injustice of whites; they are Americans with common rights and privileges; the law hold the opportunity open to them to become self-supporting citizens of the United States; sales of surplus [Indian] land be used... instructors; The school itself should be an illustration of the superiority of the Christian civilization.” (*See: Board of Indian Commissioners, Commissioner Morgan, 1891*). Although the United Nations eternal, an International religious corporation, adopted its “Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples” the umbrella organizations (permanent forums) prescribe the “*Global Citizenship Role Model*.” The UN declaration focuses on collective rights, e.g. “peoples,” while emphasizing institutional rights. The American higher educational system was designed and developed from the frame of the Prussian education model. Indian individualism was to be perfected at the functional specialization level, e.g. productive citizens. The matters of ‘sovereign personal political power holders’ and sovereign economy [exploitation of natural assets] was made silent. Tribal ‘decision teams’ (2012) are trained in total quality leadership (TQL), public perception management, and values neutral economic channeling, e.g. “market driven solutions,” “interdependence,” “interoperability” (globally integrated enterprises) following the standards setup (top down) by the international Organization for Standardization (ISO). (*Cross reference: innovative international law, laws of learning, whole child education, outcome based education, mastery of learning, resocialization, values clarification, thought reform, values washing, open tribal membership enrollment*).

heads of household strengthened each one's *ambition* and *authority*, which they have acquired by holding property. (US Secretary IA, Schurz, 1877). Senator Dawes, at the 1885 Lake Mohonk Conference recounted the words of a head chief of the Five *Civilized*¹⁷³ Tribes, cited the value of individual family homes (lodges); and, King George's system "under that there is no enterprise to make your home any better." Senator Morgan advocated the success of communal institutions in Russia, as the same "system of the Indians." Once the original being, real (indigenous) human, was dehumanized as a citizen or resident federal, state, tribe, and county *cultures* legislated their respective revenue interests, such as government *tax lien certificates*, and *tax deed sales*. During this "modern era" of invoked *sumptuary laws*, the agency stakeholders control tax inflation and market values at the same time, e.g. double control. The mortgage default and foreclosures (SPV scam) are evidentiary to this game theory. 'Enterprise Environmentalism,' monetizing 'natural assets' provides for ecological derivatives speculation, while attracting the greed and demands for surplus tribal land exchanges, priority assignments and acquisitions for *sustainable management* of agency cultures.

In the 2012 Infraspact Lakota case demonstration a manifested condition of receiving 'aid' to families, the family bond is systematically broken. The breaking commenced with the *1924 Citizenship Act*, which entitled indigenous women to become 'allotees,' 'tenants' and pursuant occupants of "HUD" housing. The women in order to get benefits were required to expatriate themselves by "standing," "hand over heart," "holding a work bag," and recite an pledge of allegiance before the "flag of freedom" repeating the promise to become a "true American citizen." (*Cross reference: Lakota Odyssey, human Lakota child trophy*). The traditional Lakota woman stood "as one with her husband" for better or worse. The modern era agency aid to families (benefits) manifestly and rationally breaks the family bond, husband from wife, man from woman, parent from child of the blood. Child Protective Services (CPS) moves beyond protecting the child from actual harm (elements of criminality), and subjects the 'whole child' to control by institutionalized 'primary persons.'

¹⁷³ *Civil action*. Action brought to enforce, redress, or protect private rights... all types of actions other than criminal proceedings. *Gilliken v. Gilliken*, 248 N.C. 710, 104 S.E.2nd 861, 863... includes all actions... suits in equity and actions at law. *Thompson v. Thompson*, 107 U.S. App. D.C. 27, 274 F. 2d 89, 90. *Civilization*. A law, an act of justice, or judgment which renders a criminal process civil. *Civil law*. Body of law which every particular nation, commonwealth, or city has established... called "municipal" law, distinguished from the "law of nature," and from international law. (see: Black's law dictionary, 5th ed.).

CPS is weaponized, in that the specialist's *expert opinions* are tainted with religious over-tones, whether the over-tones stem from the "official religions" or the "humanist" religion. (*See: Ten Planks of Humanism*). The natural indigenous Lakota father's effective role in the family is supplanted, extinguished, thwarted, obstructed and destroyed. The lack of the father's (male) presence and injury is mitigated by social, psychological 'outreach,' 'council,' therapy, treatment and state legal *guardianship*. (Child Protective Services [CPS]). The domestic violence programs (abuse and *child* protection) are in actuality are 're-socialization' schemes. Collateral visitation programs, under court control, are fraught with ulterior motives, e.g. "voluntary" relinquishment of personal liberty-unalienable rights (Giving up the right to bear arms annexed whether or not there is any bill of particulars, declaration of facts by a known witness (accuser), well articulated and certified under oath police report). When the "marriage license" is issued, the state presumes rights (*ex. Rel.*) and 'property' interest in the 'conception (population management), birth, rearing and education.' '**Birth control**' is big business. Pattern wrong doings of CPS specialists are carefully concealed by rules and sealed records, especially from those espousing 'family power' and 'parent's rights.' One to six old children are favored targets of social engineers and other behaviorists (*Cross reference: Headstart, No child left behind, UN global citizenship role model*). Indigenous cultural and traditional values are carefully controlled in the '*class room*,' Indigenous 'class room aids' that 'step out of line' are subjected to corrective and reprisal actions. Critical discourse is limited and controlled by various group techniques, e.g. 'oppositional defiance disorder,' 'aggressive alienation syndrome.'

As stated by a Lakota woman (Infraspect interview, 4-27-2012), having substantive knowledge, "the *state* gives women money as the "head of the household." The woman is told she has to "get a divorce" to get money, food stamps, commodities, and services. "The divorced spouses are required to live separately, and are *charged* with welfare fraud if found to be living together." The woman is subjugated to a work search / school training enrollment mandate, e.g. 60 months limit. "The husband is no

longer needed in the family home.174” The “single” Lakota birth mothers, in order to get money, are required to get the child a social security card, state birth certificate, [child vaccine record], and tribal member enrollment number. These *security papers* are “owned by the state” and US respectively. (***Cross reference: FBI, NCIC, DARPA, TIA- fusion centers, CDC***). The school sex protocol instructions, values neutral orientations, and community health clinics are *synchronized*. The Lakota woman and man are required to produce state identification, and at legal age a “driver license” for proper documentation for services and worker identification. (BAR coded RFID). In the event that the under lawful age “father” (caricature- dead beat dad) is delinquent on “child support” (ex rel) payment, the father is prohibited from getting a license (ID). The case file includes Lakota fathers “hiding in the closet,” forced removal by enforcement officers and “charged” with welfare fraud, etc. It is noteworthy that the actual unemployment rate, known by “state unemployment offices on reservation,” has approached 85-90 per cent.

The correctional institutions (minimum security inmate labor camps, medium and maximum security prisons), and the inter-tribal court justice system significantly benefit from high unemployment austerity programs, as well as do military recruiters. (***Cross-reference: FM 3-39.40 Internment and Resettlement Operations 2010***). “Resettlement Operations occur across the spectrum of military operations, e.g. Events under the category of resettlement operations include relief, CBRNE, civil laws, community assistance operations and are conducted to provide security and support for Daces, CA/civil-military operations and HN,

174 “To destroy communities for the enjoyment of their inherited rights, is a crime of nameless atrocity.” The HUD housing program in its face is a demonstration of mitigation failure. To the indigenous original being identity and place embraced a lodge, household, and enjoyment. The lodge in itself was evidentiary as to living, acquiring, possessing, using, sharing and giving natural assets. The family life prayer was realized from their individual personal lodge as a place of rest and security as well. The HUD housing facilities by their protracted design and effect merely house those consuming under sumptuary laws, while the HUD rules of occupancy are secured in alien concepts of ‘housing’ ‘tenants.’ In the Blackfeet demonstration a pattern of displaying ‘Christmas lights’ exemplifies the affect and effect of socio-economic centered sumptuary laws. The reputation of tribal HUD housing authorities has moved to collaborative ‘policing’ and constant ‘inspections.’ (***See: Infraspect case file, 7 Fires Council, Lakota***). (***Cross reference: UN, ICLEI, Smart Meters***). There is absolutely no personal sense of owning a place. The majority of reservation planned unit developments take on secular social and economic caricature foreign to the customary family lodges and manners of living together. This is especially exhibited by housing complexes designed for “assisted senior living.” In some instances, the senior assisted living complexes take on a quasi-military instillation appearance, e.g. security fences and limited entry system of control. Other secular communities play to BARriers, such as the mixed bloods, half breeds and full bloods, and more so key tribal government employees and contractor quartering.

NGO [preferred resources], and other military specialties, while minimizing “civilian interference with military operations...”

The foreign *penal law* systems, as functional templates, have been injected into indigenous domains by *force of law* and *military measures*. FM 3-39.40 specifies transnational *interoperability* with “indigenous” populations, e.g. institutions, agencies, IGOs, NGOs, PGOs, and quasi-civil/military forces, enforcement (police) and counterintelligence agents. (*Cross reference: Goon Squads, COINTELPRO*). The PSYOP *conversational hypnosis* is “a clear message of fairness and impartiality toward the indigenous people.” *Detainees* (suspects) “may be tried as criminals in duly established military proceedings or turned over to