

***Infraspect*** <sup>®</sup>™  
***Environmental Sciences & Community***  
Oregon, Nevada



## **INDIGENOUS IDENTITY THEFT**

Of people & place



**Stealing truth, value, fidelity, allegiance, integrity, life, society,  
sacred estate, tradition, custom, symbol, law, strength, honor,  
family and spirit.**

### *About the Cover*

The essence of expression is symbolic. The language, phrases, words are organized, communicated, to stimulate symbolic ideas, discernment, gestures and response. These symbolic expressions affect and effect attributes of life and aspects of society.

Those expressions marked on the cover depict various aspects in the context of Indigenous Identity Theft & fraud. The scope of the interdiction campaigns as passive, gradual and aggressive. These interdictions go to bloodline DNA, security papers, surrogate powers and special enfranchisements by DNA race, creed, color, political persuasion and religion. Bloodline is effected by DNA encoding, eugenics (planned parenthood, euthanasia— abortion), vaccination, corrupted transfusions, and trans-humanism. Surrogate powers are birth certificates, baptismal certificates, identification cards, social security numbers, military, academic diploma, order of fraternity, official tribe-state religion, Papal Bulls, UN DRIP— spiritual property, passports, visa, RFID— chip implants, credit cards, public assistance ID, loyalty promise, allegiance, badges, flags, crests, special salutes, vehicle licenses (CDL), insurance policy holder, contract/compact, security clearance profiles, badges of infamy/defamation— DHS, DARPA psyops narratives, superstitious use motifs, debt banking— foreign currency, creditor, debtor, stakeholder, shareholder, stockholder, speculator, credit score, etc. The spiritual cognition of indigenous peoples extends to the “golden ratio,” that which moves beyond the letter of the rule of law, dogma and doctrines of religious, science, social engineering, and academic credulity.

Felix Cohen’s Handbook of Federal Indian Law, qualifies as a bill of particulars as to the fact that the ‘treaty covenant’ expectations, compacts, concept of lawful promise, conditions and terms, purposefully suffered serial discontinuity affect, continuing into modern era applications of federal/state law, federal Indian law, and common law case precedents as substantive law exhibits. The royal courts co-operate by consensus and acquiescence of the BAR. This is evidentiary as the standing controversies prayed before the embedded equity and common law political courts (CFR & tribal) remain outside of the comprehension of indigenous people’s laws of nature, with the exception of opinion of accredited, certified, licensed, authenticated and indoctrinated BAR court jurists, legal counsel, lawyers, attorneys, advocates, judges (pro tem) and justices.

*William Blair*

# Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud “Matters of Liberty”

*Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs*

*William Blair, Auditor Directorate*

*Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs*

*Rev. 102037b/1213*

Infraspect’s Foundational Principle

“The sum of all things is the Truth.”™©

*“pari materia”*

The mission of Infraspect is to ***provide insight and parity*** to our clients on a basis of **fideli**ty** and trustworthiness**, regarding the differences, and sovereignty of **real people**.

Infraspect auditors ***regard the differences*** that people of the world possess, their sovereign personal political powers and standing as real beings, holding natural diplomatic heritage, societal estates and ‘sacred estates held in the land.’ The indigenous protocols are good faith, fidelity and trustworthiness as the suitable real human and being protocols.

---

Indigenous identity Theft (Blair, 2011) is manifest through tandem actions using frames of interdiction: academic colonialism, legislative treaty contracting, agency rule making, system of austerity programming, force of law under territorial governors, coercion of indigenous populations benefits under force of law (right of kings, right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain)—capstone doctrines, acquiescence to false rights.

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud  
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

©2011 Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community

liberty, all rights reserved, without prejudice

July 4, 2012

*All concerned people holding sovereign personal political powers as original beings, real humans, with liberty, immutable and inalienable rights,*

Greetings,

The technical brief “*Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud, Matters of Liberty*” is protected by liberty, all rights reserved, without prejudice. The technical brief is a formal document authored by William Blair, Auditor Directorate and Art George, Master Auditor for Indigenous Affairs, Infraspect, of Oregon and Nevada.

Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs, is copyrighted, trademarked and registered under “educational” services. Infraspect auditors are guided by Infraspect’s “Environmental Perspectives and Principles of political conservation.” The Infraspect *deep field audit system* is comprehensive and has been perfected over four decades. Infraspect’s “*Continuity of indigenous Interests*” is conceived, designed and drafted to create a ‘positive convergent affect’ that sets aside unnecessary structured conflicts and controlled oppositions ‘framed’ in conventional ‘institutional normative rationale,’ and departure from the “prefect law of liberty” covenant.

The purpose of the auditor’s discipline is to *provide valuable information* to Infraspect clients, and the public as deemed *rightful*. The Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud, Matters of Liberty, technical brief, is proprietary business information and as such the interpretation of its construction, words, phrases, speech encoding, spirit, intent, purpose and concept is reserved to the brief authors.

The significant, important, critical, controversial, and contentious substantive material are not inventions of the authors being auditors. The auditors are witnessing to various risk situations created by functionally specialized, particularistic arrangements, dysfunctional systems and behaviors. Technical performance auditors are not acting as lawyers, attorneys or providing representation or legal counsel.

Those viewing, transmitting, reading, studying, and reviewing the brief are mandated to act as a reasonable and prudent person would do under the same circumstances.

Sincerely,

***William Blair, Auditor Directorate  
Infraspect***

***Art George, Master Auditor,  
Indigenous Affairs***

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud  
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

## **Preface**

The Indigenous Identity Theft & fraud, technical brief was justified by Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community of Oregon and Nevada. The brief is authored by Auditor Directorate, William Blair, and Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs, Art George, with assistance from deep field auditors. The deep field audits produced a large number of principle witnesses, evidentiary materials and relevant information.

The deep field audits are formatted, while providing for particulars that may be reasonably inferred and linked. The observations encompass several hundred years and this ‘modern era.’ The case files remain open.

The several witnesses who have come forward, by interview consent, and those who have made their views open to fair use, substantiate and support the matters of Indigenous Identity Theft & fraud, as a standing controversy. The identity of the majority of witnesses will remain sealed, to protect each from protracted methods of reciprocal offensives, agency (TNC, federal, state, tribe, county, city, municipal, corporate) reprisals, purges, alienation and expatriation, slander, liable and group defamation campaigns (hate, bias, prejudice, harassment). These forms of causing fear and trepidation, to all that speak with truth, will be appropriately acted upon as perjury, treachery and terrorism, with impunity.

While total warfare interdiction campaigns have been perfected for several hundred years, the strategy, logistics, and tactics in this ‘modern era’ are focused upon as tyranny: genocide, atrocity, austerity, eugenics, serial warfare, collective punishment, extra-judicial murder, torture, and cruelty remain pertinent elements of crime against true sovereignty, individual liberty, peace, nature, and human decency, and which have been technically, legally, economically, socially, and consciously modernized.

William Blair  
Auditor Directorate  
Infraspect

## THE FEATURES OF INDIGENOUS IDENTITY THEFT & FRAUD.

The principle features of “Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud” are the use of **frames of interdiction**: academic colonialism, legislative treaty contracting, agency rule making, systems of austerity programming, force of law under authoritarian rule, coercion of indigenous populations to accept benefits under force of law, and acquiescence to false rights.

The theft and fraud rely on the mechanisms of **theft by deception** and **fraudulent conversion** and conveyance. The most insidious process of identity theft is **gradualism** applied to **Identity cloning** and **synthetic identity fraud**, e.g. membership [open class] enrollment of ‘Indians’ to bolster agency stakeholder financial benefits. Indigenous identity is completely or partially **fabricated** bearing the willful intention of stealing another person’s identity in order to access resources or obtain credit and other benefits in that person’s name, as a “strawmen” such as resident, tribal citizen, tenant, allottee, Indian, trustee, marginalized human resource or user group. This applies to individuals and **organisations**.

In the first and final instances the sovereign natural people are **un-naturalized** through the **transformation** of the sovereign personal political power holdings of an original being, real human, to being an **artificial person**: resident, citizen, tenant, being under the control of a commonwealth or municipality, that which are merely ‘organized (corporate) property.

**Hidden proxy** has emerged within Indian Country and federal Indian reservations. ‘**Decision teams**’ made of key authorities supplant the community committees that espouse ‘policy making’ in advisory capacities. Indian community members that ‘participate’ are constricted to functional specialization. **Echelon appointments** transcend the elected terms of office under the theory of “stability.” The echelon appointees ensure that external interests are served regardless of administration transitions pertaining to elections. “**Change agency**” is the personnel framework for fixing options, outcomes, while purging ‘consensus blockers.’

**Predictive programming** of the “public mind” is organized to characterize, disenfranchise and/or criminalize the targeted person, people and community. Difference is **misconstrued and mistreated** as dispute; dispute is disruption; disruption is disobedience; disruption, contention and disobedience are violent thoughts; violence is criminal; criminal is terror.

“**Plausible guilt scenarios**” are grounded in ‘**potentiality**,’ e.g. dissent, consensus blocking, objection, contention, activism, protest, direct action, assembly, and just revolt. **Positivist theory** discharges “well reasoned disobedience” by “obedience defiance disorder” (mental illness) quackery.

The capstone doctrines of **limited genocide**, serial warfare, atrocity, holocaust, dynamic entry, extra-judicial killing, torture, deception, depopulation en mass, austerity, eugenics and **espionage** are **made acceptable** through values neutral, false threats to national and personal security.

Law arbitrary is construed as the **supreme will** of the decision makers, **collaborators**, enfranchised beneficiaries and authenticated as the ‘inner circle.’

The attributes of life, the “quality of life,” is construed as “income,” and is sold as a ‘**product**’ of the agencies and private government organizations.

The sovereign economy of the indigenous community is supplanted, extinguished, and replaced by “**sustainability**,” under an alien monetary system, floating currency exchange rates, taxation inflation and pricing **strategies**. The reservations are failed states subjugated to a “predatory economy.”

The theft and frauds are acted upon under the ‘**capstone doctrine**’ under the **theory of uniformity**, *divine* right of Kings, right of discovery, right of conquest, Manifest destiny and Eminent domain. This ‘consensus reality’ implies ‘collapsing **wrong doing** to the authority of the **higher cause**,’ and ‘all acts are prohibited unless otherwise authorized.’

The ‘truth’ as a means of protecting personal liberty is abrogated in favor of applying institutional normative rationales, science as positivism, **force of law arbitrary** as to the ‘advantage of the majority,’ and ‘supervised and limited rights.’

The virtues: love, kindness, compassion, mercy, forgiveness, truth and **abrogation** of preeminent moral leadership are subjugated to compromise, capitulation, acquiescence to selfishness, greed, deception, and hatefulness.

Keep it **simple minded** and abstraction are used to **confuse** (ordered chaos) the people, community, and create false trust and hope in those who **synthesize** ‘high status knowledge,’ and ‘expert judgment elicitation’ as administrative court officers, lawyers, scientists, social engineers and psychologists. Discernment, intuition, seeing and faith are treated as ‘low status knowledge.’ *Confusion of rights* is purposefully adjudicated.

Prosperity is deduced to ‘**derivatives speculations**’ throughout all aspects of society, surrounding the person, the family and community, attributes of life and each living place. The **corporation**, as ‘organized property,’ is **given eternal life** as a *person*, while **not possessing a real soul or spirit**.

The **bonds** of the family are **systematically broken**, through legislated *protected group* categories & *status*, alienations, expatriations, institutional intervention and false oppositional defiance disorder, obedience defiance disorder-- demonstrated resistance against tyranny and **functioning with the dysfunction** on an *efficiency* basis.

The “sacred indigenous estate held in the land” and **in common** dominium are **contravened, alienated, exploited and are taken** as a real [estate] product, investment futures, derivatives speculation according to floating currency exchanges, econometric schemes and channeling monetary benefits to provisional beneficiary **partnerships**.

The attributes of life; spiritual, psyche, social and possession of living place; and matters of liberty stand aside from social contracts. Confusion of title is adjudicated.

The demonstration case file “Profiling Intrusions on Community Action Groups, Activists and Citizens” (Infraspect, 2002-12); and the “Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud, Matters of Liberty, Technical Brief” (Infraspect, 2011-12-13) provide an auditor’s framework applied to *values* of people and *systems integration*. The “Declaration by indigenous People” and “Continuity of indigenous Interests” (Infraspect, 2012) specify **values** and **principles**, which comprise the recourse, remedies, reconciliations, abatements, and resolutions to **acknowledge** and **regard** “attributes of life,” **honor** and **respect** “aspects of society.”

## Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud “Matters of Liberty”

*Infraspect, Environmental Sciences & Community Affairs*

*William Blair, Auditor Directorate*

*Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs*

*rev. 102037b/1213*

*Indigenous identity Theft* (Blair, 2011) is manifest through tandem actions using frames of interdiction: academic colonialism, legislative treaty<sup>1</sup> *contracting*, agency rule making, system of austerity programming, force of law under territorial governors, coercion of indigenous populations benefits under *force of law* (right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain)—capstone

---

<sup>1</sup> “In the case of *Jones v. Meehan* it was held that title to land granted to an Indian by treaty cannot be divested by any subsequent action of the lessor, Congress or the Executive department. The construction of treaties is the peculiar province of the judiciary; and, except in cases purely political, Congress has no constitutional power to settle the rights under a treaty; or to affect titles already granted by the treaty itself. The treaty must therefore be construed, not according to the technical meaning of its words to learned lawyers, but in the sense in which they would naturally be understood by the Indians. (Pp. 10-11).” *Wilson v. Wall*, 6 Wall. 83, 89; *Reichart v. Felps*, 6 Wall. 160; *Smith v. Stevens*, 10 Wall. 321, 327; *Holden v. Joy*, 17 Wall. 211, 247 (P.32). “Thus the issuance of a patent by the General Land Office upon lands reserved by a treaty with Indian tribes is void. *United States v. Carpenter*, 111 U.S. 347 (1884).” “In the first place, this court does not possess any treaty-making power... We are not at *liberty* to dispense with any of the conditions or requirement of the treaty, or to take away any qualification or integral part of any stipulation, upon any notion of equity or general convenience, or substantial justice.” *See: United States v. Choctaw Nation*. The Seven Fires Council, made a “Notice of Special Appearance, Supplemental Complaint of Sovereign Hereditary Chiefs, re: Supplemental Complaint Abuse of Discretion by Court Officers, Administrators and Persons, Case No. 1:96VC01285-JR, Objections to and applications of the District of Columbia Court protocols, procedures rules; and to the actual “Cobell Settlement” with those persons identified pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act, 1934; followed by a letter to President Obama of the United States, December 2010.” The court judge promptly disposed of the notice, keeping it from the record. These policies, laws and finding of the courts are material to the ‘Black Hills settlement agreement,’ which is not to be treated an article of any treaty, covenant, absent of the signatures of the “Chiefs,” whose system of *indigenous institutions* provides for their natural diplomatic class standing, superior to the IRA 1934 corporates, forever. In one case demonstration IRA corporate tribe has acted to create “application forms” for representative Chiefs and Headmen (Sioux) in order to officiate, supplant and eradicate the natural sovereign diplomatic class of “Chiefs,” making them merely surrogates to the respective executive branches of IRA government and the UCC system.



doctrines, acquiescence to false rights.<sup>2</sup> It is essential to comprehend that “truth is sovereign. For matters to be resolved it must be expressed.” Point of law – Silence equates to agreement. (*See: Affidavit of Truth*).

In the first and final instance sovereign natural people by consciousness may construct ‘settled’ principles, and social ideals. (*Cross reference: perpetual succession*). The *transformation* of the *real human* (original

---

<sup>2</sup> No ‘governmental’ entity (federal, tribe, state, county, city, municipality) has moved with any measurable successful action that preserves, or protects the insular indigenous sovereign economy, actuality of hereditary, customary and traditional rights reserved apart from the agent stakeholder’s sub-divisions created under the Indian Reorganization Act, parallel and subordinate legislated resolutions, statutes, codes and regulations belonging to the ‘foreigners’, as adjudicated under an alien conception of law, not being natural law. Entitlements, benefits and gratuities are not inherent rights or property. The security papers (birth certificates, state Identification [RFID], Social security cards, academic certifications & licenses, driver, boat, aircraft licenses & registrations, insurance binders, death certificates, FDIC banking, bonds, passports) are issued with expiration dates controlled by foreign agents, e.g. federal united States holding degrees of jurisdiction (i.e. **25 CFR, PL. 280, BAR courts, UCC**) over “domestic dependent nations.” The legal applications of “recognized title” are processed under the ‘myth of the rule of law.’ Absent of the dogma and doctrine of the “divine right of kings,” “right of discovery,” “right of conquest,” “manifest destiny,” and “eminent domain” the BAR courts andlawyers can not produce the basis of their ‘law.’ The BAR’s reliance on ‘recognized title’ is merely a special legal structural stagger. The indigenous people (men & women) as original beings (real humans) held the “true property or jus proprietatis in lands,” and were covertly isolated, alienated and expatriated from their “sacred estate held in the land,” and have “no right to enter without recovering possession in an action, has afterwards the freehold cast upon him by some subsequent and of course defective title. In this case he [she] is remitted, or sent back by operation of law, to his ancient and more certain title.” (*See: Black’s Law Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, p.1165*). “Indigenous sacred estate held in the Land,” by the autographed declarations of indigenous people is real. US Attorney General, Holder (2012) “announced” a “department of justice” “policy” effecting the “enforcement” of uniform regulations (US government / nation wide) pertaining to the religion, belief, faith and practices whereby “federally recognized Indians” as enrolled members of “tribes” are subject to criminal penalties for not complying with US Department of Justice “policy” derived from a “consultation” (collaborative governance) process, e.g. “possession and use of Eagle feathers.” The policy action is founded on ‘resource protection’ and a psychological reversal, e.g. “respect” Indian sacred, religionand practice. “As used in constitutional provisions of First Amendment forbidding the “establishment of religion,” the term means a particular system of faith and worship recognized and practiced by a particular church, sect, or denomination. *Reynolds v. U.S. 98 U.S. 145, 149, 25 L.Ed. 244, Wolman v. Walter, 433 U.S. 229, 97 S.Ct. 2593, 53 L.Ed.2d 714; Roemer, et al v. Board of Public Works of Md., 426 U.S. 736, 96 S.Ct. 2337, 49 L.Ed.2 1.*” “Within Constitution embraces not only the right to worship God according to the dictates of one’s conscience, but also the right to do, or forbear to do, any act, for conscience sake, the doing or forbearing of which is not inimical to the peace, good order, and morals of society. *BARnette v. West Virginia State Board of Education, D.C.W. Va., 47 f.Supp. 251, 253, 254; Jones v. City of Moultrie, 196 ga. 526, 27 S.E.2d 39.* See also Establishment clause.” The matter of Indian ‘holy men’ and ‘spiritual leaders’ receiving and wrongful accepting of payment for their ‘services’ has been raised by the Lakota Seven Fires Council in 2012 (Emerson Elk). The actual amounts are specified in the Infraspect case file exhibit. The US Justice Department, Eric Holder, et al, and tribal government officials received payments for their “consultations” in 2011-12 pertaining to the “sacred,” “religious,” and “spiritual” matter re: possession and use of eagle feathers by enfranchised Indian enrolled tribal members. The pattern activities of the USDOJ are constant in uniformity, and application of the capstone doctrine, while ‘indigenous settled law’ remains silenced through the co-operation of those ‘Indian’lawyers accredited, licensed, insured and bonded, admitted to practice, and authenticated by incorporated *institutions and fraternities*.

being) indigenous people, as sovereign personal political power holders, to artificial *persons* under the control of a *commonwealth* and *municipality* is identity theft, as the foundational commercial law of municipality is merely existing as “organized (corporate) property.” (*Cross reference: indigenous powers, surrogate powers, negative right, positive right*). Conversational hypnosis, as applied to *conventional* “Identity Theft” particulars<sup>3</sup> maintains a specialized version of its meaning and applications.

---

<sup>3</sup> Identity theft is not specifically defined in Black’s law dictionary, yet is defined by *offense* in U.S.C.s. The United States Secret Service investigates financial identity fraud, e.g. ‘bank fraud, credit card fraud, computer and telecommunications fraud, *social program fraud*, tax refund fraud, mail fraud,’ etc. Criminal activities include: ‘computer and cyber crimes, organized crime, drug trafficking, alien smuggling, money laundering.’ The federal statutory definitions of identity theft and fraud are intentionally constructed to execute a board sweep and effectiveness of subject matter, venue, jurisdiction and enforcement. It is self-evident that the applications are carefully protracted to keep the context of identity theft at the *functional specialization* level, e.g. *sumptuary law*. The theft and fraud committed by agencies, incorporated institutions is called ‘*legal plunder*,’ and specifically the taking of the identity of the original being, real human, such as “Indians” is often called the “*thieftom*.” The application of ‘false rights’ is the hinge pin for coercing ‘enrolled members’ to acquiesce, e.g. forfeit their absolute individual ownership and property, e.g. forced fee patents, for the benefits and *class action* settlement gratuities. The “Cobell Settlement” was essentially easy to acquire acceptance, given the general tribal communities *unemployment* rates (austerity programs) are 75-86 percent. En mass distribution of money occurred on 20 December, 2012. This event was witnessed (Lakota, Emerson Elk) as a ‘*run*,’ to the extent that local on reservation money lenders and check cashing services did not have enough funds available to ‘cash the checks.’ A specific banking institution’s floor was structurally jeopardized by the mass appearance of ‘Indians’ cashing their Cobell checks.

Generally, there is a *conclusive presumption* that all “native Americans,” “Indians” are subject to an auto-judicial *proxy*, where under BAR lawyers, attorneys, or counsel represent them, absent of their choice, consent, disclosure and instructions from their ‘client.’ The ‘*legal plunder*’ apparatus has netted more money and benefit than all the above criminal activities. The pattern of ‘settlements’ is to use the *courts Christian*, and commercial court officers, ESQ., to springboard from the direct *litigating party* to a *class*, to omnibus applications, such as indigenous people, not selecting to be *naturalized by oath of allegiance*, signatory promise, contract, acceptance of *surrogate powers*, and *security papers*. The pattern techniques involve speech encoding such as, “and other Indians.” The contention and controversy remains to present date. (*See: Infraspect file, Lakota, Special Appearance, Seven Fires Council, USDC in and for the District of Columbia, 2011*).

There are more than 186 references to the applications of *substantive law*<sup>4</sup> and court actions in this technical brief, footnotes and attachments. All together, these evidentiary citations depict legal game theory contraventions among the ideologies of collectivism, individual sovereignty, intrinsic ownership and supervised subordinate entitlements. The over-arching BAR & Bench premises are “Law as a Process of Resolution of Disputes; “Law as a Process of Maintaining Historical Continuity and Doctrinal Consistency; Law as a Process of Protecting and Facilitating Voluntary Arrangements; and Law as a Processes of Resolving Acute Social Conflict.” The only citations about “Indigenous settled law” are those observed and encoded as “Indigenous settled law” and derivative forms made by the Auditor Directorate, and Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs, Infraspect.

***Indigenous identity Theft*** (Blair, 2011) of *sovereign personal political power*, and *real human* personality, personal property, sacred estates held in the land (absent of the *full and explicit consent* of the natural being) is comprised of criminal elements, civil infractions and/or sins.

In every global circumstance, whereby an aggressor state invades a sovereign, the first elements of deception have been to demoralize, criminal and militarize the indigenous people, whom by nature of

---

<sup>4</sup> *Substantive law*. The Blackfeet tribal appellate court *justices* refer to “*substantive federal Indian law*,” and “*federal law*” in the Arrowtop case (2012). The *requirements of controversy* are standing in the indigenous community pertaining to a sovereign people, real humans, original being, man and women, and *restricted Indian*, regardless of the special citations of substantive law by BAR lawyers, attorneys and legal counsel *admitted to practice*, IAW Montana’s state BAR. The United Nations, permanent forum on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (collective context) has proclaimed (UN DRIP) that indigenous legal expert judgment elicitation follow suit with the UN member state’s philosophy of substantive law. (*Cross reference: UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, Innovative International law, UCC*). Substantive law is that “part of law which creates, defines, and regulates rights, as opposed to “adjective or remedial law,” which prescribes method of enforcing the rights or obtaining redress for their invasion...” *Kilbreath v. Rudy, 16 Ohio St.2d 70, 242 N.E.2d 685, 660, 45 O.O.2d 370*. The Infraspect “Declaration by indigenous People” (2007-2010) is distinguished from the UN DRIP collaboration among *stakeholders*. The “Holy see” participated directly in the *construction*, and speech encoding of the UN DRIP. Through the UN eternal, its “temple of understanding,” disputes among religions of the world are subject to *subject matter jurisdiction*, formulated in procedural rules of the UN ICJ. This creates a *conclusive presumption* is that the ‘court of international justice’ is the rightful jurisdiction to *resolve* disputes among indigenous *institutions*. Dissenting Indigenous sovereign people from this legal proposition are treated as “*Rogues*,” e.g. idle and disorderly persons. 4 Bl.Comm. 169. (*Cross reference: Consensus blockers*). *Separable controversy*. With respect to removal of case from state to federal court, *28 U.S.C.A. § 144 C provides*: “Whenever a separate and independent claim or cause of action, which would be removable if sued upon alone, is joined with one or more otherwise non-removable claims or causes of action, the entire case may be removed and the district court may determine all issues therein, or, in its discretion, may remand all matter not otherwise within its original jurisdiction.” See *American Fire & Casualty Company v. Finn, 341 U.S. 6, 71 S.Ct. 534, 95 L.Ed. 702*.

desperation use any means necessary to defend themselves. In drastic contrast to the indigenous Lakota “truth circle” is, “In 1869, the Supreme Court of the Territory decided that **they could not be considered Indians**<sup>5</sup> because the were “honest, industrious, and law abiding citizens and a

---

<sup>5</sup> **Indian.** If “enrolled on an Indian agency or reservation... the Indian blood is one-fourth or more.” The diversity of definitions of “Indianhood,” ... some definition as a person meeting two qualifications: (a) That some of [his] ancestors lived in America before its discovery by the white race, and (b) that the individual is considered an “Indian” by the community in which he lives... has Indian blood in [his] veins and who is regarded as an Indian by the society of Indians among whom he lives.” In *United States v. Higgins*, it was said, “In determining as to what class *half-breeds* belong... to the treatment and cognition the executive branch and political departments... have accorded them.” “I may say that they never have been treated as white people entitled to rights of American citizenship... as a rule, half-breeds or *mixed-blood* Indians have resided with the tribes to which their mothers belonged... never found a welcome with their white relatives, but with their Indian kindred... should be classed as Indians, and have all the rights of the Indians.” In *7 Op. Attys. Gen. 746*... “Half-breed Indians are to be treated as Indians, in all respects, so long as they retain their tribal relations.” (P. 352).” (*Cross reference: Treaty of July 15, 1830, with the Sioux Indians, 7 Stat. 330; Vezina v. United States, 245 Fed. 411 (C.C.A. 8, 1917); Alberty v. United States, 162 U.S. 499 (1896)*). “There are conflicting authorities, one holds to the **common law doctrine** that the off-spring of free parents assumes the status of the father; the other to the general tribal custom that the offspring assumes the status of the mother.” (*Act of January 30, 1897*). It is known that the federal government “census” was not to be used to establish indigenous tribal affiliations, and benefits. The arbitrary aspect of ‘blood quantum’ is exhibited by the *Act of March 4, 1931*, re: Eastern Band of Cherokees, “...no person of less than one-sixteenth degree of Cherokee Indian blood shall be recognized as entitled to any rights with the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians except by inheritance from a deceased member or members. (P. 1518). (*Cross reference: Tribal Distribution Acts; definitions of “tribal citizenship.”*). “The naturalization laws applied to free white persons and did not include Indians.” In re *Camille, 6 Fed. 256 (C.C. Ore. 1880)*. In re *Burton 1 Alaska 11 (1900); 13 Yale L.J. 250, 252 (1904)*. (*Cross reference: Oldest National minority, Felix S, Cohen’s Handbook of Federal Indian law, p. xx*). British styled jurisprudence, courts and BAR lawyers, applying “collaboration” (p. xx, Forward, Harold L. Ickes, re: collaborators) and “negotiation” have done more damage to the sovereign individual indigenous original being, real human, and their respective natural diplomats, *visa vi* substantive law and sumptuary law, then was done during the foreign BARBARous military interdiction campaigns of the 1800s. This is evidentiary in the applications of the “national faith,” “Oldest National minority,” and British Administrative Registry (BAR) designed and contrived “civil rights.” Modern era “law schools” are more concerned with academic collectivism (institutional normative rationale), political ‘indigenous worldview,’ social ‘values neutral,’ ‘shared values’, and ‘market driven solutions (ISO EMS proof of concept).’ The executive use of “domestic dependent nations” moves contrary to good faith exhibited by, “Congress has no constitutional power to settle the rights under a treaty; or to affect titles already granted by the treaty itself. The treaty must therefore be construed, not according to the technical meaning of its words to learned lawyers, but in the sense in which they would naturally be understood by the Indians. (Pp. 10-11).” *Wilson v. Wall, 6 Wall. 83, 89; Reichart v. Felps, 6 Wall. 160; Smith v. Stevens, 10 Wall. 321, 327; Holden v. Joy, 17 Wall. 211, 247 (P.32)*. The ‘common purpose’ (UK, 2011) is visible within the expert judgment elicitation processes of the United Nations eternal, HRC, permanent forums, and its “Global Compact” mechanism of “consultation,” as always commenced in the interests of “education.” Those ‘Indians’ that exhibit a ‘nativist’ interests contrary to collectivism are quickly subjugated to profiling, isolation, disenfranchisement, alienation and expatriation. (*See: Delphi [cult] techniques, change agency, re-socialization— total transformation: 11-17 youth re-programming, behavioral conformity, global citizenship role model*). It is noteworthy, that “half-breeds” were systematically placed within specific tribes to thwart, subvert, compromise, and supplant indigenous “ways of life.” This methods has been modernized as “change agency” as

“people living for three centuries in fenced abodes and cultivating the soil for the maintenance of themselves and families, and giving a example of virtue, honesty, and industry to their more civilized neighbors.” *United States v. Lucero, 1 N.M. 422, 438, 442 (1869)*. (Cross reference: *Cardozo, J., Morrison v. California, 291 U.S. 82, 86 (1934): Test of Common Understanding*).

The real human personality embodies *attributes of life*: spiritual,<sup>6</sup> psychi,

---

<sup>6</sup> The ‘worldly’ academic scholars particularly rationalize and distinguish “high status knowledge” from “low status knowledge.” “Religion is the opiate of the masses,” while “science is the opiate of intellectuals” (Blair). (**Cross reference: spiritual ecology, consensus reality, precautionary principle [IPCC]**). This concept is meant to separate the “remote interest,” e.g. the creator of the Universe from the “direct interest” of the ‘public.’ The courts, their jurists, administrators and BAR lawyers pretend that the “rule of law” creates a separation between the so called “church and state.” The social psychology of “fairness” is applied by legal doctrine to provide an appearance that the ‘outcome’ is arrived at by being *objective*. Objective is followed by “values neutral.” (**Cross reference: mind control, values and brain washing, Delphi technique**). The matter of “Public” “Policy” is constructive by the policy writer. The values neutral public policy is contrasted against spiritual cognition. (**Cross reference: General welfare principle**). The courts proceed under a false proposition that the ‘judges’ are lawfully objective while separating “spiritual,” “religious,” “Church,” and “state” one from another. (**Cross reference: Religious corporation, church corporation [CAC]**). The UN declaration on the rights of indigenous peoples transforms “spiritual” to “spiritual property.” This co-mingling has made it convenient to treat “spiritual” as a material property subject to exchanges: taking, purchasing, selling and restitution payments. “Religious Liberties” are subjected to “fundamental public policy” in the social contracting processes of society, e.g. “surrogate powers.” Religious liberties (covenants) stand outside of any social contract, such as the Constitutions (by sovereign people) and Charters of corporations, because these entities do not possess a soul, as merely being “organized property.” (**See: US Constitution, Article I.**) The courts demonstrate a constant **requirement of controversy**, e.g. “The Cornerstone of Liberty, The truths we hold to be self-evident... freedom of religion... Chief *cornerstone* of all liberties... religious liberty gives context to human freedoms... pillar belief in the U.S. Constitution... are fundamental rights that transcend government... rights endowed upon us.” The threats to Religious Liberty are removing religion from public square; general government interference in religion; hostility toward Christians/religion; changing culture, abrogation of personal liberty [isolation, alienation, expatriation]; contraception.” The UN capstone doctrine espouses convictions against sovereign personal political power holders and private property ownership, en mass depopulation, world citizenship role modeling (behavioral conformity- values neutral). [Collaborative] government v. Religious Liberties—Several US states (estates) have enacted immigration rules forbidding church services: baptizing, hearing confession, healing, counseling in the context of ‘sanctuary.’ The federal united States requires ‘contract specifications’ mandating providers to refer to contraception and abortion services [family planning]. Public vs. Religion—A court banned memorials (crosses) placed by the Utah Police Association along federally funded highways. The Eugene City Manager issued a “**memorandum**” “prohibiting decorated trees in virtually every publicly-seen and worker-shared part of government facilities and offices,” while the city government officials recognized “Christmas as a paid holiday.” A private federally funded senior citizens center in Georgia was directed to no longer offer prayer before meals, play piano hymns, or read the Bible to residents, while the cable TV channel ‘blared’ info news media sanitizing extrajudicial killings, and un-manned aerial attack vehicles making effective target killings (men, women, children) in the “war on terror.” The advocates of the “planks of humanism” acknowledge its religious foundation, as well does the United Nations eternal, by ways and means of the UN Temple of Understanding. (**See: The Religious Liberties Report, A Research Project, of the Oregon Family Council Educational Foundation; Alliance Defending Freedom; Romiekes’ Fight for Individual Liberty, 03-09-2013; Heritage Foundation legal Memorandum, No. 88, 10-01-2012; US Conference of Catholic Bishops Ad Hoc Committee for Religious Liberty. Bronx Household of Faith v. Board of Education for the City of New York; ADF, NH Court Orders Home School Child into Government Run School, 08-26-09; FFRF Eliminates Religious Music from Illinois Elementary School, 12-21-2012; NYT, Adam Liptak, Justice Decline Case on Highway Crosses, 10-31-2011; Manhattan Institute for Policy Research, Center for Research on Religion and Urban Civil Society, Objective Hope—Assessing the Effectiveness of Faith-Based Organizations: A Systematic Review of the Literature, Bryon R. Johnson, Ralph Brett Tompkins, and Derek Webb, 2002).**

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud  
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

social, and possession of living place.<sup>7</sup> These attributes of life embrace Liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, while *Liberty*<sup>8</sup> stands aside from any *social contract*.

---

<sup>7</sup> The intrusions upon the *living place*, indigenous people, their original being, the diplomatic hereditary class standing of *trusted* and *perfected* ones, and spiritual consciousness were protracted centuries before the waves of colonization in north America. The indigenous *parallel consciousness* acknowledged attributes of life and aspects of society. These attributes and aspects engaged (1) gratitude to a *sacred force*, (2) represented symbolically by the *sun* whose light sustains all things, (3) natural beings endowed with *sacred power*, (4) *visions*, arts and practices individually and collectively, (5) assemblies, ceremonies, and purification *rituals*, (6) *renewal* of the seasons, and the living places, (7) *virtues* of justice, honor and truth. The natural people shared precepts of the earth world, posterity and *inherit intuitions* about the *universe*, the changing earth and seeing coming events. The indigenous original beings, real humans, shared *symbolic figures*, *language*, and *dynamics* with people separated by continental geography and *epizodic* events. To say the indigenous people were ‘savages’ lacking aspects of society, describes the lower consciousness of those elites maintaining the ‘anthropological stance.’ The *ancient* posterities acknowledge the Druid *advancements* in society, science, economics and astro-theology linkage existing before conventional and *optimized history*. The modern era’s applications of *sumptuary laws* may more so depict the *Nephilim*, e.g. those ‘who fell’ from grace. *Capitulation* and human ‘values neutral’ have resulted in abstractions, confusion, and co-dependency upon a dialectic oligarchy serving its own secular material purposes. Even within the new Masonic Christian precepts, the *truth* is given to preserve “God’s Perfect Law of Liberty” (Bible) from ruthless despotism. To say that the indigenous were *uncivilized* begs a definition of *modern era savagery* committed by the *preeminent jihads* of religion, science, body politics, legal *fraternities* and *regencies*.

<sup>8</sup> *Liberty generally defined*: Freedom from government or private interference or constraints. The ability to exercise the rights enumerated by a constitution or available or under natural law. Freedom from duress, coercion, thought reform, mind control, brain and values washing. The power of sovereignty: consent. See “*Claim of liberty*.” (Black’s law dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> ed.).

The taking, as fraud, relies on the mechanisms of *theft by deception* and *fraudulent conversion and conveyance*.<sup>9</sup> The methodology encompasses isolation, alienation, disenfranchising, plausible guilt scenarios: hate, bias, thought crimes; and prejudicial listing and labeling. The process ideology is organized to *characterize, disenfranchise and/or criminalize* the

---

<sup>9</sup> The indigenous people, their diplomatic classes convene in a circle as ‘*truth providers*.’ “Truth” protected individual freedom and liberty. The alien ‘church’ presumed itself to be the ‘primary arbiter of truth.’ This inspired *dogma* was applied when church directorates *confessed* that the earth was flat and the universe was smaller, while accusing and indicting the un-civilized ‘savages.’ “God” was called upon as a witness, when no other could be brought forth,” e.g. “Do you swear to tell the truth, so help you God?,” “God as my witness,” and “so help me God.” Given the claims of being the ‘arbiter of the truth,’ all fail to provide a lawful definition. The “truth” is not defined in Black’s law dictionary, fifth edition. Deliberative aspects of ‘seeking’ the truth attempt to use particular *methods* and *interrogatories* to determine “truth from falsehood,” “fact versus fiction: reality and illusion.” (See: *Truth vs. Falsehood, How to tell the Difference, Chapter 8, D.R. Hawkins, M.D, Ph.D*). Intuitive insights are indispensable, yet can be influenced by degrees of deception, delusion, or un-sound mind. The methods claiming to proclaim the truth range from ecclesiastical law, equity and common law, all being dependent upon the sentimental states of mind and spirit (love, hate, fear, ignorance, error, attitude, affliction). One man’s terrorist is another’s liberator. ‘Jesus’ said, “I am the truth.” (See: *Bible scripture; [?]23[?]1*). The ancient religious *regencies*, the old and new testaments of the Bible, together, provide an enduring *paradox*, e.g. righteous v. wicked. The “truth is not merely the assemblage of facts, it involves the operation of compassion and regard.” (See: *Infraspect, Community Auditing Handbook, Blair*). ‘Consensus reality’ appears in religious rituals and sustainable management’s ‘precautionary principles.’ Both rely on elite ‘credulity,’ more so than ‘proof of fact.’ The principalities, oligarchies, have found *abstraction* (keep it simple, vague), and “*opinion*” useful in avoiding, circumventing and supplanting truth, while invoking the *participants* specialized ‘purposes.’ (See: *suppression of favorable evidence, majoritarian hearsay*). The media’s public perception management campaigns, conversational hypnosis, and the Courts application of “fair & balanced” may answer ‘social justice’ among a *den of thieves*, in absence of morality, decency and truth. (See: *values neutral, public mind, market driven standards, fair market theology, just economy, mitigation*).



targeted person, people and community.<sup>10</sup> Resistance to so called

---

<sup>10</sup> *Communi dividundo*. In the civil law, an action which lies for those who have property in common, to procure a division. **It lies where parties hold land in common but not in partnership.** *Community*. Neighborhood; vicinity; synonymous with locality. *Conley v. Valley Motor Transit Co., C.C.A. Ohio, 139 F.2d 692, 693*. A society or body of people living in the same place, under the same laws and regulations, who have common rights, privileges, or interests. *Sacred Heart Academy of Galveston v. Karsch, 173 Tenn. 618, 122 S.W.2d 416, 417*. It connotes a congeries of common interests arising from associations— social business, religious, governmental, scholastic, recreational. *Lukens Steel Co. v. Perkins, 70 App.D.C. 354, 107 F.2d 627, 631*.

Based on the natural hereditary Lakota Chiefs and Headmen of the 7 Fires Council, their statement of holding diplomatic class standing, not as unitary chief deciders, their territorial lands were held in common, but NOT partnership. The IRA authorities abuse “partnership” at every juncture of true title, ancient title, and indigenous sacred estate held in the land. The Black Hills settlement agreement is fraught with fraudulent conversions of the original being, real human, indigenous people with mis-constructions of citizenship, state residency, and manipulated “tribal citizenship.” The officials executing these ‘particularistic arrangements’ must *perjure* themselves as to possessing auto-judicial proxy for people having no knowledge of the obligations created or a lawful defense for injuries probable, as the attorneys,lawyers and corporate officers fail to advise them of their rights and warn each as to a threat of injury. The vague applications of “public” hearing are not sufficient in adjudicating the provisions of standing “treaty covenants.” Mis-construction is self-evident in the UN’s Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, when in the UN Secretaries designed, established, conveyed powers to its “member states” to “adjudicate” “protection” of indigenous peoples “spiritual property.” The foreign concepts of surrogate powers: contracts, birth certificates, baptismaltitles to the soul of the child, licenses, permits, bonds, insurance binders, academic degrees, death certificates are strawman contraventions of the sovereign personal political power holdings and original being, e.g. indigenous man and women. The common usage of “partnership” plays on the ‘public mind’ and ‘status conscious individual’ central tendency to “go along to get along,” e.g. personalized “empowerment.” (*Cross reference: covert hypnosis, conversational hypnosis, theraputic alliance*). The social engineers and psychologists, as operant/conditioners play on the term “partnership” in “change agency.” Their socio-political goal value priority is abrogation of actual indigenous culture and tradition, while injecting a new “process” which derives ‘values neutral’ common to ‘market driven standards.’ (*Cross reference: United Kingdom, common purpose, proof of concept, continuity of government*). It is noteworthy that “change agency” is implemented on a ‘trans-national’ UN member state basis. *Professional* credulity is mandated, e.g. ‘expert judgment elicitation processes.’ “Mitigation failure” as a ‘failed experiment’ provides an omnibus escape clause (plausible deniability) for change agents *interoperable* from the global to local levels.

*Sovereign people*. The political body, consisting of the entire number of citizens and qualified electors, who, in their collective capacity, possess the powers of sovereignty and exercise them through their chosen representatives. See *Scott v. Sandford, 19 How. 404, 15 L.Ed. 691*.

'change'<sup>11</sup> may be diagnosed as "oppositional defiant disorder." (UN WHO- DSM-IV). DSM-V psychiatric manual has altered this fictitious diagnosis to "Obedience Defiance Disorder." Public "obedience" was the behavioral compliance theme of the proposed "Oregon Declaration of

---

<sup>11</sup> IN THE MATTER OF "CHANGE," it means to put one thing in the place of another; to exchange; **to alter**; or to make different. *Territory v. Scott*, 20 N. W. 401, 402, 3 Dak. 357. Applied to statutes, but is a repetition of the idea expressed by the word "altered." *Wallace v. Blair (P.a.) IGrant, Cas. 75, 79*. In the Cheyenne River Sioux Nation's council resolutions, the repeated pattern form language is "nothing in this resolution diminishes, divests, **alters**, or otherwise affects any *inherent, treaty, statutory or other rights* of the... Tribe or its members... authority over the *property* and activities described herein, including but not limited to *legislative, regulatory, adjudicatory*, and taxing powers." The operative theme of "change" relies upon a present state of *degradation, austerity, and social chaos in order to accept "change."* The economic planners have injected "sustainable development" into federal Indian reservations through "Native Nation [re] Building" (Bush Foundation). Abstraction, keep it simple (minded) is used to maintain a "dialogue" (conversation). From simple comes group "consensus," at which phase complexity is identified and the 'experts' are elicited. The actual dimensions and element of change are: interest, less than title (Abbot's Law Dictionary). See: *East Texas Fire Ins. v. Clarke*, 15 S.W. 166, 79 Tex. 23, 11 L.R.A. 293. Changing right of way. *Lieghton v. Concord & M.R.R.*, 55 Atl. 938, 939, 72 N.H. 224. Insurance. *King Brick Mtg. Co. v. Phoenix Ins. Co.*, 41 N.E. 217, 219, 104 Mass, 291. Warehouse stamps. *Rev. St. U.S. section 3326, U.S. Comp. St. 1901, p. 2169. United States v. BARDenheier (U.S.) 49 Fed. 840, 847.* The modern era replacement for 'warehouse stamps' is "BAR codes." Beneficiaries. "... act of naming and specifying some other persons in place of those previously designated, who shall be entitled to receive the benefits of the certificate." *Hanson v. Minnesota Scandinvatan Relief Ass'n*, 60 N.W. 1001, 1003, 69 Minn. 123. Citizenship. "Change of citizenship means an actual removal from one state to another; an actual change in domicile... abandoning the former place of residence." *Kemna v. Brockhaus (U.S.) 5 Fed. 762, 764.* "Change the districts," as used in the judicial articles of the Constitution, section 15, authorizing the general assembly to change the districts of the court of common place pleas..." *District Court Case, 34 Ohiol St. 4341, 437.* Domicile. "There must be the animus to change the prior domicile for another." *Tuttle v. Wood 88 N.W. 1056, 115 Iowa, 507 (citing Mitchell v. United States 88 U.S.)*, *In re Williams (U.S.) 99 Fed. 544, 545.* Right of election. "There is a right of election by expressed intention, only where the facts of residence are to some extent ambiguous. *Hallet v. Bassett, 100 Mass. 107, 171* (several citings). *McLean v. Janin, 12 South. 747, 749, 45 La. Ann. 664.* "A temporary habitation [federal Indian reservation] without an intent to make it a permanent home or one of indefinite duration, is not a change of domicile." *Young v. Pollak, 5 South, 279, 280, 282, 85 Ala. 439.* The confusion of rights; and titles is exhibited by the Citizenship Act of 1924. The federal 'census' "law forces upon him the character of a citizen of the state wherein he has chosen his domicile, although he may have formally declared that he considered himself a citizen of the state he has left. *Pacific Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. Tompkins (U.S.) 101 Fed. 539, 543, 41 C. C. A. 488.* The execution of federal "settlements" is condition on "... a person leaves his domicile with an intention never to return ... by the party acquiring the intention of permanently residing in some other place." *Ayer v. Weeks, 18 Atl. 1108, 1109, 65, A.H. 248, 6 L. R. A. 716, 23 Am. St. Rep. 37.* The mutated jurisprudence of Roman Law, certainly did not acknowledge 'settled indigenous law,' e.g. nationality as this would controvert the dogma and doctrine of the "papal bulls," and "covenant of one heaven," and "day of redemption," (12-21-2012). This goes to "global citizenship role model." Domicile is the true, fixed, permanent home of a person, to which, whenever he is absent, he has the intention of returning... notwithstanding he may have a following intention to return at some future period. *State v. Casinova, 1 Tex. 401, 407.* It is clear and concise in Lakota Chief Dave Bald Eagle's message to USDI, BIA under-secretary, Echo Hawk, e.g. "... I am your chief... I will not give up the land and what is under it..." This applies to all so called executive "settlements" of distribution and land claims. Lakota Chief Crazy horse said, "my land is where my dead are buried." "Change of grade," may be interposed to inclusionary rezoning, community development

Human Rights Act.” (*See: Infraspsect case file, Oregon Declaration on Human Rights Act*). The Violent Radicalization and Home Grown Terrorism Act advanced to “discontents.” The UN WHO DSM V potentiality encompasses sentiments of ‘sadness, grief, anxiety, frustration, impatience, excitement’ as “mental disorder,” demanding chemical treatment. The diagnosis itself causes the symptoms to appear. (*Cross reference: circular reasoning, false positives*). Teachers acting as *contingency managers* can be convinced that these symptoms are grounds to require treatment of students. Under the color of “community education” and “whole child education,” the parents are involuntarily subjugated to *resocialization*, e.g. thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing in order for their children to remain in the ‘safe learning atmosphere’ of the ‘class room.’ (*Cross reference: at risk parents, primary persons, Abacus, Project Ten, UN global citizenship role model*). The Pandemic and All Hazards Preparedness Act provides a spring board of federal authority to move with en mass (individual, sector, whole systems) ‘treatments.’<sup>12</sup> “Positivism” is applied through conversational hypnosis, political and law rhetoric. Positivism is a doctrine, and is not maintaining a ‘optimistic’ personal point of view. (*See:*

---

<sup>12</sup> The former UN WHO DSM-IV (1994) chairman, Allen Frances “apologizes [LA Times article] for creating “false epidemics” ... inadvertently contributed to three false epidemics—attention deficit disorder, autism and childhood bipolar disorder... ballooning number of false positive... psychosis risk syndrome, e.g. presence of strange thinking... diagnosing people with disorders that don’t exist, diagnosed by a psychiatrist. “General Anxiety Disorder” has omnibus applications. The conversational hypnosis is that the disorders are given highly technical sounding names, as well as the chemical treatments for managing the fabricated “condition.” (*Cross reference: Simpsonwood; mandatory vaccinations*). Violent public episodes are followed by official stories that specify the offender “has a history of mental illness,” or was under “treatment for,” etc. It is noteworthy, that when a military coalition attacks, using Unmanned Aerial Attack Vehicles (Drone), kills innocent civilians, the command and control officers, the operators, are not diagnosed with a specific type of “disorder” or “syndrome,” but rather are decorated and awarded. (*Cross reference: Nuremberg Defense-- Nazi Doctors, WWII war crimes tribunals; Japanese kamikaze pilots; values neutral*). The personification of the office of the President, as the minister of God, has advanced to the appearance of psychopathy. The book, *Doubling Down*, released Nov. 1, quotes the President in early 2012, “I’m very good at killing people.” The subject was drones (UAVs). White House “killing sessions” were used to determine whom the drone WMDs would strike next. Widening the scope of “plausible guilt scenarios” contains the element of personal pre crime “profiling.” Profiling is in concert with thought crime prosecution, including treatments, based upon “potentiality,” with emphasis on “status” of the aggressor and/or victim. Plausibility supplants elements of criminality, due process and proof of actuality. (*see: Infraspsect, Profiling Intrusions on community action groups, activists, and citizens, Blair*). The encoded term to tactically define these extra-judicial murders is “dynamic entry,” e.g. kill any primary attainable in the immediate vicinity of the killing field, with impunity. It is important to comprehend the psychology of the psychopath/sociopath personality, which embraces “over population” as a “threat to national security.” The population is manipulated into “metroplexes,” (Blair), e.g. ‘human capital transport to optimal consumption pathways’ (President’s rural council, re-investment); austerity is applied to create the behaviors of a stressed population (convergent impact affect); eugenics— en mass depopulation; structured conflict and controlled opposition— sectarian tensions. (*See: UN WHO DSM IV-V; oppositional defiance disorder, obedience defiance disorder, total transformation*). Those Indian youths (11-17 years old) expressing independence, e.g. sovereignty, rather than “interdependence” are candidates for “total transformation” treatments.

*The Nature and Functions of Law, 4<sup>th</sup>, Edition, Berman, Greiner, 1980*). Positivism is associated to politics. The fundamental basis of agreements in the group's collaboration<sup>13</sup> (formal consensus) is a single outcome. (*Cross reference: Science as positivism, Treason*).

This doctrine is exemplified in the "Meaning of Sustainability," e.g. "... Brundtland Commission of the United Nations, Sustainable development is development that meets the *needs* of the present without compromising the *ability* of future generations to meet their own needs... The *First Law of Sustainability*... is absolute... Science is not democratic... Sustainable Growth is an oxymoron... term used by an untutored person..." "The First Law is not debatable; it can not be modified or repealed by professional societies." [The UN IPCC modified the "global warming crisis" to "climate change crisis."]. The pretext and context of the "climate change crisis" is controlled by a consensus of math scientists (i.e. Hubbert Curve, Gaussian Curve, Hockey Stick Curve, Uppsala Protocol, Malthusian dialectic). "... positive proof... over population as the main cause of global climate change... global climate change is proportional to the product of the size of the global population..." "... over population is the world's most serious and threatening problem." (*See: The Meaning of Sustainability, Bartlett, Professor Emeritus, Dept. of Physics, University of Colorado, American Association of physics Teachers, 2011*).

The scientific article omits "HAARP," "Directed Energy Weapons," "weather warfare" and indispensable 'solar' events. The 'Inclusionary' mindset drives the underlying motives pertaining to Geoengineering, Chemtrails, HAARP, World Orders, Time lines and Ascension. Geoengineering experimentation is linked to Phoenix III, time travel aspects of the Montauk Project. Phoenix III was a covert attempt to determine the nature of time. The pretext and contest error was the assumption of the "negative time line," and the scientific theory of "synthetic quantum environment." The HAARP, chemtrail experiments

---

<sup>13</sup> Collaboration is the "act of working together in a joint project; commonly used in connection with treasonably cooperative efforts with the enemy (see also Conspiracy)." "Colibertus". "In feudal law, one who, holding a free socage, was obligated to do certain services for the *lord*. A middle class of *tenants* between servile and free, who held their freedom of tenure on condition of performing certain *services*. Said to be the same as the conditionales." (*Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Addition, p. 236*). (*Cross reference: Ecosystem services, stewardship contracting*). *Civil Conspiracy*. A combination of two or more persons who, by concerted action, seek to accomplish an unlawful purpose or to accomplish some purpose, not in itself unlawful, by unlawful means. *Lake Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Federal Nat. Mortgage Ass'n, 159 Ind.App. 605, 308 N.E.2d 739, 744*. Raise to cause of action. *Wooded Shores Property Owners Ass'n, Inc. v. Mathews, 37 Ill.App.3d 334, 345, N.E.2d 186, 192*.

yielded more intensive super-driven conditions, e.g. droughts, hurricanes<sup>14</sup> and extreme ‘high density rain’ as ‘super storms,’ impacting the indigenous dominiums. Stellar evolutionary sequences, such as the sun heating up (Quantum Steps) were avoided in the public perception management campaigns, e.g. “climate change crisis.” Radioactivity: Accelerating to FTL Speeds, is substantiated by examination of U-236 accelerating past the speed of light, X-Ray Emission: FTL to Sub-light Speed. The sun’s dynamic change of brighter and hotter, appears as “bright flashes, like a mini novae.” (*See: Glimpses into the Structure of the Sun, Prof. KVK Nehru, foot note 28*). The changes in the sun produce changes in the planets, particularly the electro-magnetic alignment of the poles. The re-alignment of the poles will cause a “core flare,” expansion event of FTL matter... causing the crust of the Earth to expand and open tectonic boundaries (earth quakes). HAARP, the High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program has been used to develop geo-inventories, strategic economic plans and under-surface human facilities, through a GIS/GPS mapping system. These contingencies are grounded on the probability of major climate changes, e.g. Regional Extinction Level Events (Blair). The HAARP (Arco Power Technologies) and chemtrail programme were construed to maintain the present risk circumstance on the planet, as part of geoengineering would contribute to “global dimming” produced by atmospheric dispersal of nanoparticles distributed in the tropopause, creating a “partially-reflecting mirror,” global dimming on the surface. The multi-dimensional technique included disbursing of aluminum, barium, strontium and iron in the stratosphere using highflying aircraft (10,000+). These elements are listed on climate modification and geoengineering patents. The ‘proof of concept’ white papers, concentrate on the human caused ‘global warming’, which structures *derivative speculation* (Chicago Carbon eXchange, Chicago Mercantile eXchange) system, e.g. ecosystem services, cap & trade, *exchanges*, off sets, carbon foot print taxation and subsidies, carbon credit banking, carbon certificates, conservation easements, swaps, and out-of-kind trades. Sustained derivative speculation exchanges require global climate change mitigation failures. The known ‘side effects’ tend to “poison all the life on the planet.” (*Cross reference: Monsanto—drought-resistant, toxic chemical resistant, GMO foods, Pandemic and All Hazards Preparedness Act, CDC Simpsonwood conference*). These modifications,

---

<sup>14</sup> Dewey Larson and Prof. KVK Nehru. “Migration of Prominences” of Nehru’s paper on the “Solar Interior and the Sunspots,” it shows that hurricanes show up at the same latitudes as sunspots, move in the same fashion, and diminish. The hurricane are the product of “co-magnetic thredules” in the Earth’s core, they are natural events... but they can be intensified during formation by the same process that creates the super-cell thunderstorm mentioned... excessive amounts of water vapor nuclei forced into the upper atmosphere through chemical seeding of the tropopause to create vast quantities of clouds and torrential rain, through HAARP- Chemtrail geoengineering, e.g. hurricane Sandy.

such as “terminator seeds” have been cited in Russian “torsion fields” experiments to modify DNA. “Geoengineering requires genetic modification to keep the status quo.” (*Cross reference: Failed reservation state, predatory economy*). “What is being called the negative time line is the one the globalists want, their artificial environment with total control.” atmosphere is being altered to a photosphere. (*Cross reference: uniform product certification, chain of custody, community development quota, UN ICLEI, per capita income (moderate living needs), centralized economic command modeling*). (*See: Geoengineering, Chemtrails, HAARP, World Orders, Time Lines and Ascension, Daniel, Cherokee*). As an cause factor, element in global warming, the scientific ‘community’ has purposefully omitted HAARP (geoengineering) as an element in the negative time line assessments. The ecosystem services benefits monitoring and assessment are in the hands of beneficiaries of grants, stipends, taxation shelters, subsidies; and occult aspirations. The applications of HAARP, NASA project “red socks,” Soviet E4 experiments, extreme high altitude explosions (248 miles AGL), and A119 (RAND corporation) “Nuc the moon” were aimed at “Star Gate.” The failed high altitude (248 miles) NUC explosion resulted in creating a third ‘belt’ surrounding planet. Together, these scientific manipulations are surface, atmospheric and ionic sphere heaters. The vast usage of microwave technology significantly effects ‘global warming,’ and is carefully omitted as the principle element of cause. HAARP events are loaded with 3.6 billion watts, which essentially replaces conventional NUC warfare. ARCO (ARCON) is owned by the British Empire’s Queen. The over-arching purpose and underlying motive of these global co-operations has been “Star Gate.” (*Cross reference: NASA, Golden Dragon, Clementine, dark side of the moon*). In the “second scenario,” Michael Tsarian explains (taroscopes.com, Origins & Oracles, Disc., 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5) the elites (over souls) grasping ‘full spectrum dominance’(UN Capstone). chains of custody (ISO EMS) and behavioral conformity (UN WHO DSM IV, V) over every aspect of occult, social, technological and economic global command (C2W, REX 84). The indigenous people recorded an *evidentiary history* of planetary events, while the contemporary contingency managers (Rhoads scholars, professors) “optimized” history suitable to their religious and scientific ‘collaborative’ purposes. These *purveyors struggle to maintain ordered society and functional specialization*— sustainable management: global to local, e.g. *Lex fori*. The primary feature of occult is ‘secretive.’ This begs the question, ‘Does the truth need to be kept a secret?’

The doctrine of “Nation Re-Building” (Bush Foundation)(Udall Foundation) has been injected into ‘reservations’ and ‘Indian country’ being a format for implementation of global Collaborative governance,

e.g. *consensus* of those selected through *predictive programming* and *Delphi techniques* to sit ‘at the table’ of deciders. The central *economic command* model is “sustainable development.” The *proclaimed principles*<sup>15</sup> are written on a ‘fixed option’ and ‘outcome basis,’ which excluded the real human, original beings, that maintain convictions supporting individual sovereignty, property and ownership. The recent tribal ‘buy-out’, ‘buy-back’ programs applied to individual real property

---

<sup>15</sup> The **top down aspect of control** stems from the **global TNC** level, to the **US President’s Council on Sustainable Development** as specified by 15 proclaimed principles: preserve, where possible integrity of natural systems... sustain economic prosperity and life... 2. Economic growth, environmental protection, social equity should be interdependent... national goals, and policies... integrated... 3. Appropriate protective measures, market strategies... harness private energies and capital... efficient allocation of resources... 4. Population [control] must be stabilized... capacity of the earth to support its inhabitants... at a level of per capita wealth sufficient for a good life... [moderate living needs for consumers]... 5. Changed patterns of consumption... efficiency with which society uses natural resources... 6. Economic progress, equity, and environmental quality... population control... 7. All segments of society should equitably share environmental costs [authorized depredation rates, impact fees, taxation] and benefits [franchise channeling to provisional beneficiaries]... 8. Economic and environmental decision making should consider well-being of future generations... cannot be effected by piecemeal individualism [liberty & rights], 9. public health will be adversely affected... prudent action is required... 10. Sustainable development requires changes in the conduct of government [change in the form of government], private institutions, and individuals. 11. Environmental and economic concerns are central to our national and global security [applications of *capstone doctrine, civil/military* “interoperability.”]. 12. Sustainable development best attained in society in which free institutions flourish [corporate: institutional economic command, collective freedom, market solution]. 13. Decisions... open and permit informed participation [collaborative governance: participationism]... interested parties... fair opportunities for review and redress. 14. Advance... eco-efficiency... change consumption patterns. 15. ... global sustainability... protection must be considered in the international implication of these “policies.” [Note: shifted from proclaimed principles to policies]... filled by the transnational corporations (TNC).] (*See: Beyond the growth: the economics of sustainable development ISBN 0-8070-4708-2, 1996, Herman Daly*). Essentially, the tribe-states are re-structured as ambiguous municipalities, chartered and incorporated. The BAR legal policies, administrative practices and scientific expert judgment elicitations follow the 15 “proclaimed principles.” The several states, counties, cities and municipalities fully agreed, and this is exemplified by the various tribal ratifications of the Universal Commercial Codes (UCC), sustainable development plans, UN ICLEI, and the “one heaven... day of redemption.” (December 21, 2012). The linkage between tribal agencies and ‘partnership’ corporations is by no means a choice made by the original beings, real humans, e.g. natural indigenous hereditary chiefs, headmen, flesh and blood men and women. Contrary to the proclaimed principles, the collaborative (consensus) systems of public engagement are executed in the ‘spirit of confidentiality,’ and ‘non-attribution agreements.’ Externalities (BAR, educational, agency) are entrenching and mandating the ‘consensus’ and secretive *executive session* aspects of being a tribal “decision maker.” (*Cross reference: State accreditation, certification, licensing, authentication local to global*). The tribal executive pattern is to avoid *consensus blockers* by implementing the Delphi techniques. On May 15, 2013, Infraspect, Auditor Directorate, William Blair, filed a challenge with the Governor of South Dakota, naming Cabinet Secretary LaPlante, e.g. conflict of interest, and duty in regard to co-operating outside of the judicial branch of government by attorney LaPlant: among the tribe-states, state of South Dakota, and Bush Foundation (Nation Re-building) co-mingling executive with legislative roles, being paid outside of the judiciary, and transaction of assets, and political prestige (titles of nobility). The matter of “collaboration” was specified and raised. Collaboration is the secular political doctrine named, used, in orientation of tribal members, potential and existing leaders of a sovereign people. According to Black Law dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> ed, collaboration is treasonable. (*See: ReBuilding Native Nations, Strategies for Governance and Development, edited by Miriam Jorgensen, forward Oren Lyons, afterword by Satan (Herb George), University of Arizona Press, Tucson, Arizona Board of Regents, 2007*).

ownership by various IRA tribal corporate officers, clearly follow the religious dogma and state doctrines advocating supervised entitlements, and *collective* (communistic) ownership of all property, including “spiritual property.” (*See: United Nations, Declaration on the rights of Indigenous Peoples, Article 11.2, etc.*). USDI BIA *superintendent* Echofee (2013) has participated in legislation of so called “buy-back” programs, espousing the property (land, resources) will be “owned” by “all of you.” Change agents faced with questions from indigenous people, such as “where will we live? Don’t have an honest or real ‘answer for that.’ (*Cross reference: rural cleansing, human capital transport to optimal consumption pathways, Metroplexing*). Those treaty signers have a lawful basis that meet the *requirement of controversy* pertaining to his agent role in espousing, controlling and executing ‘policy’ involved in the matters of original title, ownership and ‘collectivism’ as a secular political doctrine. The takings, using federal “eminent domain,” amount to



fraudulent conveyance<sup>16</sup> and conversions to *tribe-state* corporate natural assets subject to ‘derivatives speculation,’ e.g. “ecosystem services, of sovereign indigenous rights of soil (territory), right of Blood, and the land will be cloaked in ‘first Indian national park ever’ sophistry and quackery.

---

<sup>16</sup> “An action is a **derivative action** when the action is based upon a **primary right** of the corporation, but is asserted on its behalf by the stockholder because of the corporation’s failure, deliberate or otherwise, to act upon the primary right. *Lehrman v. Godebaux Sugars*, 207 Misc. 314, 138 N.Y.S 163, 168. Procedure in such actions in federal courts is governed by *Fed.R. Civil P. 23.1. Derivative contraband*. Items of property not otherwise illegal but subject to forfeiture according to use to which they are put. *Kane v. McDaniel, D.C.Ky., 407 F. Supp. 1239, 1242. Derivative conveyances* which presuppose some other conveyance precedent, and only serve to enlarge, confirm, alter, restrain, restore, or transfer the interest granted by such original conveyance. They are releases, confirmations, surrenders, assignments, and defeasances. 2 *Bl.Comm.* 324. “*Derivative tort* liability may be imposed on a principal for wrong committed by agent and to this extent the principal’s liability is derivative.” These maxims can be construed to apply to the ‘declarations of indigenous sacred estate held in the land.’ It is noteworthy that the OST (tribe), and other un-incorporated *entities* assert multi-tribal representation, e.g. collective Sioux Nation; and others. One specific tribal council has adopted, by “resolution” the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)— before the Sioux Nation protracted “incorporation.” The said president of the ‘Sioux Nation,’ as of 11-2013, visited Washington, D.C. to confer on the matters of “incorporation.” The Lakota, Seven Fires Council, hereditary natural indigenous Chiefs and Headmen have not been consulted in this serious matter pertaining to the change in their form of government (11-2013). There is a legal issue set off as to the *conclusive presumption* of the application of a newly invented and material change in the form of indigenous governmental *institutions (see: UN DRIP re: customs, traditions, culture, religion)* by the IRA (1934) tribal councils and business committee, which is **primary taint**. The omnibus provisions, embedded in the council and business committee ‘resolutions’ goes directly to “BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that nothing in this resolution diminishes, divests, alters, or otherwise affects any **inherent, treaty**, statutory, or other **rights** of the ... tribe over the property or activities described herein... expressly retains all rights and authority over the property and activities... not limited to legislative, regulatory, adjudicatory, and taxing powers.” (*See: Resolution no. 62-2012-CR*). The adoption of the UCC, and various instruments, such as compacts, and specifically the UN Covenant on Human Rights (HRC) and UN Declaration On the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, provide substantive contradictions and contraventions of the above cited resolution no. 62-2012-CR. The IRA tribal councils and business committees did not exist before, during, the actual treaty making with the US federal government, its military commanders. While the IRA tribal authorities participated in “consultation” (P.L. 106-511, Section 104(f)(3)(c), the Lakota Seven Fires Council, Lakota Oyate Government as an indispensable institution, has been caricaturized, disenfranchised, marginalized, alienated, and expatriated. (*Cross reference: IRA OST, agency form application to be Chiefs; collaboration-formal consensus of the group*). In actuality, the IRA government authorities “are not qualified,” according to custom, tradition, and principles of the Lakota and respective processes, in accordance with natural law, not being UCC law. (*See: Infraspsect record, Chief Dave Bald Eagle, Chief Francis HeCrow, 11-18-2013*). To thwart, obstruct, interfere with, supplant, circumvent, or omit the traditional, customary attributes and aspects of the *institution*, e.g. (Seven Fires Council), Lakota Oyate Government, Office of the Council of NACAS, would be an extreme Derogation of settled Lakota law, and settled indigenous law, and violation of human reason and ordinary due diligence. The maxims of substantive law are clear, e.g. “sovereignty remains with the people” not the corporation existing as “organized property.” As per citations of federal law and case law demonstrations, the several union state, were transformed into ‘corporations’. On August 4, 1790; *Article ONE of the U.S. Statutes at Large, pages 138-178, abolished the States of the Republic...* [same year] reorganized each as Corporations, and their legislatures wrote new constitutions... the new State Constitutions fraudulently made the people “Citizens” of the new Corporate State.” The *theory of uniformity* is being played out, e.g. the IRA authorities, as principles of a corporation, are

Austerity programming on reservations and Indian country always precedes the offer of “buy-out” or “buy-in” schemes, e.g. Black Hills settlement and Cobell settlement. The preceding austerity programs set up the legal “consent” probabilities. *Commercial bribery* is a form of corrupt and unfair trade practices in which an employee accepts a gratuity to act against the best interest of his employer. *See: People v. Davis, 33 Cr.R. 460, 160 N.Y.S 769*; and, May assume any form of corruption in which an employee is induced to betray his employer or to compete unfairly with a competitor. *See: Freed v. U.S. 437 F.Supp. 1252, 1260*. It is noted in law, citizens are employees of the state. This sets off the matter of indigenous original beings, real humans, being *manipulated* as “tribal citizens.”

Part of the “worldwide” socio-economic strategy (global democratic strategy) is maintaining the context of women as ‘victims,’ e.g. “emancipation of women, giving women freedom to make their own health, reproductive, economic and political decisions.” There is an appearance of group defamation against ‘men,’ and their role in ‘family.’ The Eugenicist purposefully isolate, alienate and expatriate the ‘man’ from the conception, birthing, rearing, educating and prosperity processes. The “Indigenous Wealth Separation Index” (IWSI) (Infraspect, 2012) shows the protracted economic channeling within federal Indian reservations. “Depopulation” en masse demonstrates many faces, such as ‘limited genocide, atrocity, holocaust, serial warfare (war on terror), austerity, dynamic entry, extra-judicial killing, torture and deception.’ The transformation of the judiciary from protection of individual’s liberty to an *inquisition* style of *persecution* involves the development of plausible guilt scenarios, abrogation of the ‘elements of criminality’ (proof of evidence, fact) to mere arbitrary status based ‘potentiality,’ e.g. thought crimes. US Supreme Court Justice Blackman equated oppression of a minority religion as a ‘consequence of democracy,’ as its foundation is treatment of law as ‘to the advantage of the majority.’ (*Cross reference: majoritarian hearsay, dissenting religion*).

The Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CMX) and the Chicago Carbon Exchange (CCX), their corporate co-operation in ‘**derivatives speculation**’ schemes of climate change crisis mitigation, ecosystem services, cap & trade, environmental off sets, exchanges, land trusts, conservation easements, carbon foot print taxation, carbon credits, banking, swaps, and personal euthanasia (including abortion) credits set off matters of scientific perjury, Sherman Anti-trust and RICO violations. Climate change, regional extinction level events (RELEs, Blair) are systems integration problems. (*Cross reference: Financial terrorism, environmental terrorism*). The over-arching attitudes, beliefs, public perceptions and conversational hypnosis, e.g. the ‘public mind,’ are not

within the grasp of people within an ordered federal Indian reservation. (*See: orientation, thought reform, mind control, values washing, conservation psychology, predictive programming, political media censorship and ordered blackouts*). “The masses will not trust the unaffiliated individual.” This programming is entrenched in the ‘status conscious individual’ personality (go along to get along, agree to disagree) and the formal consensus group think, e.g. Delphi cult technique includes “Just say yes,” and “consensus minus one.” (*See: Origins Oracles, Subversive<sup>17</sup> Use of sacred Symbolism in the Media, Michael Tsarian; Diplomacy by Deception; Tragedy & Hope*). Anxiety and guilt are prerequisites of tractability in propaganda devises, such as media perception management, public re-socialization, predictive programming. **The reservations are NOT OVER-POPULATED with ‘enrolled members.’** The respective un-employment rates, institutional incarcerations, abuse outreach intervention programs, and environmental toxicity are designed, mapped, planned and implemented through systems integration. (*See: open enrollment*). The reservation “infrastructures’ are the products of collaborative agency planning, action decisions and measures. The **Indian population is reduced to fit the capacity of the**

---

<sup>17</sup> **Subversive activities.** Acts directed toward the overthrow of the government, including treasons, sedition and sabotage. Such acts are federal crimes. 18 U.S.C. § 2381 et seq; 50 U.S.C.A. §781, See Espionage, Smith Act. **Substraction.** The offense of withholding or withdrawing from another man what by law he is entitled to, which the principle are as follows: “The offense of withholding or withdrawing from another man what is by law he is entitled to which the principles are as follows: (1) Substraction of suite and services, which is a species of insuring affecting a man’ real property, and consists of a with drawl of (or a neglect to perform or pay) the fealty , sutit of court, rent, or services reserved by the lessor of the land. (2) Substraction of tithes to with which is the is the withholding from the passion or vicar the tithes to which he is entitled, and this is cognizable in the ecclesiastical court. (3) Substraction of conjugal rights is the with-drawing or withholding by a husband or wife of those rights and privileges which the law allow to either party. (4) Substraction of legacies is the withholding or detaining of legacies by executor. (5) Substraction of church rates, in English aw, consists in the refusal to pay the amount of rate at which any individual parishioner has been asserted for the necessary repairs of the parish church. (*Cross reference: DHS, faith based organization, stewardship contracting*).

**existing governmental<sup>18</sup> regimes** and subsidized city, county, tribal, state and federal programs effecting ‘economic channeling’ to provisional beneficiaries. The institutional governments serve the stakeholder<sup>19</sup> partnership, e.g. public / private partnership (PGOs). (*See: Infraspect, Reservation Wealth & Prosperity Separation Indexes, 2012*).

---

<sup>18</sup> The IRA tribal corporate governments have moved beyond “*governmental functions*.” “Where duty involves general public benefit not in nature of corporate or business undertaking for corporate benefit and interest of municipality, function is “governmental,” whether duty be directly imposed or voluntarily assumed. Those conferred upon municipality as local agency of prescribed and limited jurisdiction to be employed in administering the affairs of the state and promoting the public welfare generally.” *State ex rel. Gebhardt v. City Council of Helena, 102 Mont. 27, 55 P.2d 671, 673, 675. Governmental immunity*. “The federal government under the federal Tort Claims Act has waived its immunity in certain cases “in the same manner and to the same extent as a private individual under like circumstances.” *28 U.S.C.A. §§ 1346(b), 2674*. The modern era governmental activities are centered upon perpetuating and creating special ‘advantages’ to stakeholders, preferred ‘partnerships’ (PGOs, 501 (3)c, (6)) and “sustainable management.” *See: Goble v. Zolot, 144 Neb. 70, 12 N.W.2d 311, 312*. Generally, the ‘enrolled members’ of tribes have come to rely on the government for benefits, distributions and majority of employment opportunities. The tribal government “buy out” programs (allotments, private real estate) are indicative of a “failed reservations” and a demonstration of “predatory economics.” The process psychology, playing on desperate people subject to taxation (direct and indirect), is “you will all own the land.” (*Cross reference: force fee patents, tax deed sales*). The so called “Nation Rebuilding” (Bush Foundation) agenda reduces the original being, real humans, to “tribal citizenship.” The process is used to *gradually* effect “practical sovereignty,” “emotional sovereignty” (Arrowtop Case, 2012, court of appeals, BAR justices) and the secular political doctrine of ‘collectivism.’ The experience of outside reservation economic investors addresses reliability. The tribal agency/corporations are not reliable, as demonstrated by ‘hard liability shields’ against civil claims and criminal prosecution. Although the tribal court (Blackfeet court of appeals) has confessed tribes are no longer sovereign, having modern era “emotional sovereignty,” sovereign immunity is used to shield agency/corporate partnerships at every opportunity. Likewise the advanced ‘poverty’ level of the “enrolled membership” of tribes illustrates the lack of en mass ‘reliability,’ e.g. unemployment insurance, TANIF, government ‘make work’ programs, reliance on “not-for-profit” institutions to generate “revenues” in the context of ‘stipends,’ ‘grants,’ and federally insured loans.

<sup>19</sup> Generally, a **stakeholder** is a third party chosen by two or more persons to keep on deposit property or money the right or possession of which is contested between them, and to be delivered to one who shall establish his right to it; and it is one who is entitled to interplead rival or contesting claimants to property or funds in his hands. *Cochran v. Bank of Hancock County, 118 Ga.App. 100, 162 S.E.2d 765, 770. State v. Dudley, 127 N.J.L. 127, 21 A2d 209, 210*. The “stakeholder councils” are soviet style and rely on the “spirit of confidentiality” and “non-attribution agreements” among the group “folks” (collaboratives) to stay “under the public scrutiny radar.”

The corporate matters of ‘state,’ in reality go to ‘**un-naturalization**,’<sup>20</sup> that is the real human is nullified by accepting the status of being an artificial

---

<sup>20</sup> “*Nationality* is traditionally based either on *jus soli* (“*right of the territory*”) or on *jus sanguinis* (“right of blood”).” The United Nations eternal (ICO), HRC, presumed the power to assign the *member states* legal venue to adjudicate rights pertaining to “spiritual property.” “Spiritual” is not an article of commerce, a tangible instrument, negotiable bond, or surrogate power belonging to a naturalized citizen, or a person office of the state (estate). There is no statutory naturalized “global citizenship.””

The tribal authorities (IRA 1934) and facilitators of “Nation [re]Building” have constructed “tribal citizens.” Concurrently, the facilitators have widened the scope to “tribal citizen entrepreneurship.” In this instance the facilitators are creating the corporate as a citizen “person.” The artificial person can be the human being and the corporation dependent upon the comity applied by the courts in matters of jurisdiction. In the ‘nation building strategy’ the tribal stakeholders can implement “capacity building” through electing and enrolling a new member.

The matter of *original being* becomes *ancillary* to the corporate agent’s purposes, and collateral benefits of assigned and supervised *usufructuary rights*. The tribal authorities proscribe and invoke *tax liabilities* upon the *debtor citizen*, whose original being, a *real human* and status as a *sovereign personal political power holder* is extinguished, as “accepted.” The *original being* never realizes an *immutable promise* of counsel, explanation of rights and is not afforded knowledgeable consent. The tribal authorities are ‘stakeholders in fact’ in the matter of exploiting the territory, resource assets and human capital, and particularly citizens or residents as tax debtors.

According to intellectual scholar concept encoding, “Naturalization (or naturalisation) is the acquisition of *citizenship* and *nationality* by *some body* who was not a citizen of that *country* at the time of birth.” The speech encoding ‘somebody,’ is ‘some’ ‘body,’ a particular body of flesh and blood, or un-natural entity. The encoding “acquisition” is vague. The matter of *standing* before a sovereign tribunal is convoluted by “immigrant rights.” The foreigner, foreign agent, and alien through BAR ‘legal counsel’ is sponsored, “represented.”

The tribal trial court BAR judges, lawyers admitted to practice, the ‘inter-tribal court’ appointed justices are well *indoctrinated* into state, federal and *innovative international law* and BAR associations (501 (C)(3); (6), doing education and political action. The judges and justices are lawfully affected by “comity.” Their loyalty rests with their professional canons as *brothers in the bond*, and the estate entities that license, insure and admit each to practice. The ‘modern era’ loyalty promises, and oaths of allegiance are abstract and omnibus and primarily move contrary to ‘settled (indigenous) law,’ and the operation of “medicine bundles,” etc. The tribal ‘business committee’ IRA modeled charters and constitutions appear as to have never consulted the ‘medicine bundle’ people and other traditionally recognized societies in matters of ‘naturalization.’

Some countries also require that a *naturalized national* must renounce any other *citizenship* that they currently hold, forbidding dual citizenship, but whether this renunciation actually causes loss of the person’s *original citizenship* will again depend on the laws of the countries involved. Original citizenship is not original being, as citizenship has particular *artificial* meaning and application. Art George, Master Auditor, Indigenous Affairs particularly cites (2012) the Washoe traditional, cultural and spiritual way of life in the context of the relative meaning of *nation*, as all *attributes of life*, e.g. people, places, soil, air, water, landscapes, animals and the creator of the universe. This is documented in the “Declaration of indigenous sacred estates held in the land,” autographed and dated by several Washo people and filed upon the State of Nevada, IRA Washoe Tribe, Governor of Nevada, USDI BIA, USDOJ, and TRPA. Those people desiring to be Washoe were obligated to give up their previous ‘oath of allegiance’ to another *nation, socially, lawfully, economically, and spiritually*.

In ‘Arrowtop’ the jurisdiction, citizenship, nationality and country arises, and importantly the

person of the corporate state.<sup>21</sup> There are no lawful binding agreements, e.g. P.L. 280, 638, or penalty revenue sharing arrangements that allow agencies, agents, and officers to disregard the lawful and rightful status of an individual indigenous private, sovereign national. “Waivers of Constitutional Rights [embracing ‘tribal constitutions’] not only must they be voluntary, they must be knowingly intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness” *Brady v. U.S.* 742, 748. If the “State converts a liberty into a privilege, the Citizen can engage in the right with impunity.” *Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham*, 373 U.S. 262. In the context of common usage and sufficient awareness in applying “Tribal citizen,” it follows that “Citizenship is retained unless a citizen voluntarily relinquishes it”. *Afrojim v. Rusk* (1967) 387 U.S. 253 18L, Ed 757, 87 S. Ct. 1660 pg. 2<sup>nd</sup> Am. Jur. “The individual’s right can not be usurped by any State Legislature.” *Kent v. Dulles*, 353, US 116-125. (Cross reference:

---

<sup>21</sup> The superlative degree of being, e.g. original being, real human, is subjugated to the prerogatives of the over-state: as a corporation, a corporate entity, a corpse, a trustee, an officer, a straw man, an employee, agent, person, resident, legal fiction (title of nobility- Esq., judge, justice, magistrate, lawyer, attorney, peace officer, public safety officer, family/communal names- father, mother, guardian, custodian, minor, adult), fiduciary, guarantor, debtor, creditor, U.S. Citizen, landed immigrant, enrolled member and resident alien. The original being’s lawful capacity is manipulated, altered, rearranged, and abbreviated. Changing your name to all capital letters may be treated as a deliberate act of fraud, perjury and a misprision of felony. (See: *Government Styles Manual; The Manual on Usage and Style, 8<sup>th</sup> Ed., ISBN 1-878674-51-X, Texas Law Review, 1995; Elements of Style, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. ISBN 0-205-30902-X, Strunk and White, 1999*). Pursuant to the UN implementation of the “capstone doctrine” (interoperability of civil/military forces), in this “modern era” the enforcement agency officers rely on the ‘Nuremberg defense’ (Nazi war crimes tribunal) of ‘just doing my job’ or ‘just following orders,’ while conducting unwarranted espionage, house raids, plausible guilt scenarios, community sweeps, dynamic entry (extra-judicial killing), stop & frisk check points, use of force & chemical / electronic weaponry, detentions, confinement, search & seizure, weaponized prosecution, forced plea BARGAINS, imprisonment, treatment, re-education, and re-socialization. The agent’s ‘reasonable belief’ does not constitute “lawful justification” because the agent’s belief is derived from administrative pre-decisional criteria, and special orientations of the agent’s social attitude, and embedding of ‘plausible guilt scenarios’ (Infraspect, Blair), such as exhibited by modern era “goon squads” targeting “trouble makers, dissenters, activists, protestors, demonstrators,” people at large assembled when their senate (representatives) no longer listen and respond to the rightful grievances. In the Sioux case demonstration, **National Guard**man Cross stated to Fred SittingUp of the Lakota Seven Fires Council, that he would kill Lakota people if ordered to do so, with impunity. (See: *Infraspect case file, Emerson Elk, Seven Fires Council, 10-2013*). Individually and collectively, people are absolved of civil disobedience when the ‘deciders’ no longer listen to them. The political courts maintain a benefit of doubt to favor to their enforcement officers. (Cross reference: *Article 3 warrants*). Unlawful arrest of movement is actionable and may be prosecuted as a misprision of felony.

*preemptive legislation, legislative offense,<sup>22</sup> extrinsic fraud, fraud, racketeering*). “Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation, which would abrogate them.” *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 425. “Any law that is repugnant to the

---

<sup>22</sup> Legislative offenses are measures, deeds, or actions that go against the rightful will of people, principles and maxims of lawfully promulgated public policy, morality, decency, and due regard for individual liberty and limitations upon the force and effect of law, ordinances, codes, statutes, regulations and procedural practices. The perversions resulting from manipulation of the letter of the law, that is corruption and misuse of “public policy,” harm the public good, welfare and prosperity in any circumstance. “*Policy*” as a species of “lottery” whereby the chance is determined by numbers; “numbers game” also being a lottery rely upon concealment of odds that the “*policy*” actually benefits welfare and prosperity. When ‘game theory’ is the basis of acting on a legislative proposition, the political actors merely convince the mass majority of enfranchised voters to vote with the policy writer. *See: People v. Hines*, 258 App.Div. 466, 17 N.Y.S2d 141, 142; *Harris, Mo.App. 325 S.W.2d 352, 354*. “The term “*policy*” as applied to a statute, regulation, rule of law, course of action, or the like, refers to its probable effect, tendency, or object, considered with reference to the social or political well-being of the state.” Certain class of acts are said to be “against public policy,” “when the law refuses to enforce or recognize them, on the grounds that they have *mischievous* tendency, so as to be injurious to the interests of the state... apart from immorality.” *Public policy* offers no substantive lawful grounds to be used to attack inalienable, unalienable, rights. “**Political offenses,**” as a designation of a class of crimes usually excepted from extradition treaties, such offense must involve uprising of some other violent political disturbance and act in question must have been incidental to occurrence; status of offense is to be determined by circumstances attending it and not by motives of those who subsequently handle prosecution.” *Garcia-Guillern v. U.S. C.A.Fla., 450 F2d 1189, 1192. Political crime*. In general, any crime directly against the government; e.g. treason; sedition. It includes any violent political disturbance without reference to a specific crime. General classification of crimes are: Compounding crime; Continuing offense; Criminal; Degrees of crimes; Inchoate crimes; Instantaneous crime; Lesser offense- Misdemeanor; Offense; Petty offense; Serious offense; Common law crime; Crime against law of nations; Crime against nature; Crime against property; Crime of omission; Crime of violence; Crimes mala in se (immoral or wrong in themselves- larceny, arson, rape, murder, and breaches of peace); crimes mala prohibita- prohibited by statute as infringing on others’ rights; Infamous crime- treason, felony, *crimen falsi* (*see: Mackiin v. U.S., 117 U.S. 348, 6 S.Ct. 777, 29 L.Ed. 909, Brede v. Powers, 263 U.S. 4, 44 S.Ct. 368, 370, 66 L.Ed. 700*); Organized crime- product of groups; Quasi crimes, a class of offenses against the public which have not been declared crimes, but wrongs against the general or local public... qui tam actions and forfeitures imposed for the neglect or violation of a public duty; and Statutory crime. *Infraspect’s Profiling Intrusions on community action groups, activists and citizens*, subsection on “Tandem Action Probes,” addresses Poisonous tree doctrine, which goes to compounding crime and continuing offense. Agency administrators and line officers now rely on their ‘orientations’ for priority arrest targets, establishing plausible guilt scenarios, reasonable belief, while probable cause is subordinate to “potentiality” theories of status rather than elements of criminality, well articulated and certified particulars, e.g. proof of evidence.

The secular political ideology of “collaborative governance” is *mischievous* in that it specifies superior formal consensus of the group and enforcement of its decision, by circumventing and supplanting the force and effect of “public policy making” in the “referendum” and “initiative” public processes. The “collaborative governance movement” is espoused as “formal consensus decision making” among the “inner circle” and “enforcement of the group’s decision.” The collaborative group constructs *omission* in their “spirit of confidentiality” and “non-attribution agreements,” e.g. “what happens in the group, stays in the group.” (*Mckenzie WSC, Oregon*). The the Oregon case demonstration, e.g. Mckenzie Clearwater Coalition took a floor vote to removed the *Infraspect* field auditor that was an invited speaker, as the subject was ‘property rights’ and smart electric meters. Auditor Blair was labeled a conspiracy theorist by participating group members who espoused that the meeting the Leaburg community operated fire and safety building “was not public.” The infamous Carl Marx espoused “participationism” that is central to “collaborative.” (*See: Infraspect, “Profiling Intrusions on Community action groups, Activists,*

Constitution is null and void of law.” *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137. “The State cannot diminish the rights of the people.” *Hertado v. California* 110 US 516. “Statutes which violate the plain and obvious principles of common right and common reason are null and void.” *Bennett v. Baggs*, 1 Baldw 60. “There can be no sanction or rule penalty imposed upon one because of his exercise of constitutional rights.” *Sherer v. Cullen*, 481 946. The Nation buidling (Indian Country Revolution) movement embedded “tribal citizen” within the collaborative proposal, which furthers “confusion of rights,” and “confusion of title.” These ‘confusions’ are protracted to result in abrogating the real human, original being of indigenous individual people. This speech encoding is present in the “Black Hills” settlement, where in the ‘Indians’ (tribes, enterprises, inhabitants, persons) are seduced by ‘benefits’ to be ‘stateresidents’, ‘citizens,’ and ‘enrolled tribal members.’ The interposing (living place, substance, person) of the real human, original being, with the “tribal citizen” (artificial person) is a “Confusion of rights,” e.g. “A union of the qualities of debtor and creditor in the same person. The effect of such a union is, generally, to extinguish the debt.” See: *Baylor University v. Bradshaw*, *Tex.Civ.App.*, 52 S.W.2d 1094, 1101. This is followed by “Confusion of title.” This creates a *merger*, as used in the common law, applying where two titles to same property unite in the same person. (*Cross reference: Original title, recognized title, fraudulent conveyance & conversion*). The artificial tribally enrolled member is merely a “tenant” on the reservation / Indian country landscape. “The **Color of Title Act** is a federal law which gives Secretary of Interior the right to issue a patent for land, exclusive of minerals, to one who has occupied it adversely and under color of right for period of time for a nominal amount of money.” *See: 43 U.S.C.A. §§ 1068- 1068B.*

A citizen cannot be denied the right to travel without a sealed and signed (U.C.C. § 1-201(39) warrant for due cause and application of due process, as liberty is embedded in the “due process clause.” Interoperable (state, tribal, federal, national) ‘check points’ constrict, obstruct, interfere with, delay and defeat freedom (liberty) of movement by and through intimidation. “Sovereignty itself, of course, is not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law, but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, **sovereignty itself remains with the people**, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts.” *Supreme Court decision, Woo Lee v. Hopkins* 118 U.S. 356. (*cross reference: sovereign personal political power holder, UN DRIP: “peoples” as institutional collectives*). ‘Sovereignty immunity,’ as a method of *shielding* wide spread IRA tribal government corruption is



pathetically common place,<sup>23</sup> e.g. “Tribal sovereign immunity” has essentially told a generation of tribal leaders that once they are in office, they are *above the law* and can do whatever they please. (***Cross reference: de facto government, IRA 1934 tribes = 564 federally chartered & funded***). The only culture that tribal sovereign immunity is protecting is a culture of corruption, denial of rights, and unaccountability.” (***See: Dying in Indian country, 2006***). It is important to cite that the tribal governments are under the advisement and *representation* of British Administrative Registrylawyers; attorneys of record; and legal counsel “admitted to practice.”

Washoe Tribal Court v. Emmett Rogers, case no. CR WT 12-074; Cr Wt-10-098; CR Wt-11-027; Cr WT-12-027, Motion for a Writ of Habeas corpus, filed Feb. 20, 2013, Washoe Tribal Court particularly states charges of *malicious prosecution, abuse of process*, and mis-conduct charges against principle officers and agents of the Washoe tribe, e.g. Tribal Council chairperson, administrators, judges, prosecutor, police officers, and others. The face of their actions resulted in the isolation, alienation, tribal government program employment disenfranchisement, punishment, imprisonment and attempted tribal expatriation (tribal colony banishment) of Emmett Rogers, and any person willing to come forward

---

<sup>23</sup> Internet websites. Tribal Government Corruption toc, Tribal Corruption, Our children deserve a tribal government which is free from corrupt, Read a Tribal Elder's Thoughts on Corrupt Tribal Government, Tribal Government Corruption | montanafesto, Navajo Monster Slayers: a tribe struggles to fight corruption, ***Sovereignty and Civil Rights By Julie Shortridge***, When silence is betrayal - The Independent Local, Confidential Family Matter - Tribal Corruption, Federal prosecution of public corruption in the United States, BIA officer convicted in corruption trial, Tim Giago: Allegations of tribal corruption are aired, Say Anything Standing Rock Sioux Tribal Members Claim Corrupt, Tribal Corruption, ***Disenrollments*** - Tribal Corruption, Corruption among Elected Tribal ***Officials*** - C-SPAN Video Library, Tribal Members write about corruption on the ***Reservations***, Tribal Corruption » Independent Indian Press, Images for tribal government corruption, Corruption of California's Government by Indian ***Gaming*** Dollars, 18.DEC.06 Alex White Plume: election process - Lakota Country, Navajo Lawmakers Turn to Prayer Amid Corruption Investigation, Why the Indian Bureaucracy Should Be Dismantled | National Legal, Put the Tribal Funds under Federal oversight, Find out About Chippygate - Tribal Corruption on the Leech Lake, ***Examples of*** Public Corruption Investigations - Fiscal Year 2013, Ex-Kickapoo treasurer says tribal government is corrupt – ICTMN, tribal corruption | Tumblr, HOMELAND - The Reservation: Tribal Corruption, Revolution long in coming, Reclaiming Accountability In Tribal Governments - Citizens Equal, Wounded knee 1973 Seige, Food and Health, Government Corruption and Exploitation of Indigenous Peoples, Canada's Tribal Women Fight (Mostly Male) Graft - New York Times, Government Corruption | Hot Tribe, News > Indictment alleges corruption within Utah tribe, ***Cobell Case*** Showcases How Corrupt Our Government and Political, The Buffalo Post » Tribal Government, Original Pechanga's Blog: Anatomy of Pechanga Tribal Corruption, Tribal Government Elections - News From Indian Country, cn2204, Jack Abramoff scandals - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, Leonard Crow Dog - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, Jeffrey Whalen: Oglala Sioux running a corrupt government, Protect Indian Kids from being exploited by Corrupt Tribal Leaders, Arguments in ***Traditional Government vs. Tribal Government***, Who Are the Makah Indians?, SaukSuiattlehome - Tribal Corruption, Pine Ridge Indian Reservation, Washoe reservation, Quileute reservation.

and openly speak to tribal government corruption and police mis-conduct. Emmett Roger was and is a '*public figure*,' being published on various matters pertaining to tribal funding, governmental practices of inner circle collaboration, excluding due disclosures of tribal business operations. The *reprisal* mechanisms, as appears 'on the record,' are footnoted.<sup>24</sup>

The matter of "plea bargain" essentially benefits the state, prosecutor, and judge/administrator of the court. This is face value in the matter of an indigent defendant having no financial capacity or obligation, e.g. 'if your unable to afford an attorney, one with be appointed for you by the court.' The "public defender" is an attorney, certified, authenticated and admitted to practice according to BAR professional conduct rules. The financial burden is upon the 'state.' The BAR association lawyer becomes a negotiator, playing on the state's (state, county, city, municipal) budgetary

---

<sup>24</sup> The *reprisal actions* stem from Roger's social history of contentions against tribal government fraud, embezzlement, and "force in Defense of Persons," 1. A person is justified in threatening or using force against another person when and to the extent that he reasonably believes such force is necessary to defend himself or a third person against such other person's immediate use of lawful force." The Roger Declaration includes, but is not limited to: social labeling & listing, assault, police brutality, excessive use of force, extrinsic fraud, malicious prosecution, abuse of process: "discovery" never granted or afforded, violation of due process, hostile prejudice, interference with defendant 'presentation,' concealment of evidentiary statements, testimony of witnesses and favorable evidence, false arrest, detainment and imprisonment, civil rights violations and political crimes of despotism. Although the Washoe *tribal court* professes that it is not a CFR court, 'culture and tradition' are displaced from its operational goal value priorities. The Roger declaration provides factual particulars, legal case law citations, federal law, constitutional law, tribal police standards and procedures, civil rights audit of police agency, and causes for action in federal district court. The actions taken against Rogers were at the direction of the "tribal council" members, in concert with tribal/inter-tribal court judges, triballawyers, and court clerk. Following in the history of reprisals, EMMETT ROGERS, (not being a Washoe enrolled tribal member) was charged by Criminal Complaint filed September 7, 2012, allegations: Interfering with Law Enforcement, battery on Police Officers, Disorderly Conduct, Failure to Obey Court Order T.P.O. The actual use of the 'defendant' right to jury trial, trial by jury, is conspicuously absent. The plausible defense is cited in the "Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California Law and Order Code, (WC), () 5-80-060, sections: 4-10-040, 1-30-020, 3-50, 4-31-103, 1-40-110, 5-60-080, 4-50-061, 4-50-070, 1.becgk, 4-50-010, 3.AB, 2-90-100, 4.C, 4-60-030, 3-20-050. Attorneys that prevail against the corporate home rule (charter) tribe, its court law 'style,' are subject to disapproval and not 'admitted to practice' before the tribal court and co-operating inter-tribal court system. In the Rogers case demonstration, the court appointed a favorablelawyer in objection to Rogers, and Rogers was not allowed to instruct the appointedlawyer or examine and approve communications and record pleadings before the judge and prosecutor. Rogers' declaration goes to judicial indolence and quackery. Emmett Rogers filed the "Proposed Witness List," Case No. 12-074, stamped filed on Jan. 30, 2013, captioned Adrienne Ratner, and the Washoe Tribal Court, v. Emmett Rogers, delivered on 01-29-13. The witness list included: Judge William Kockenmeister, Jenifer Leal, Captain Richard Varner, Blackeye Ryan Collierr, Chairwomen Wanda Batchelor, Vice chairman Lloyd Wyatt, Linell Hartway, Lenora Kizer, Dave Humke, Mathew Ence, Scott Sours, Anne Laughlin, Tribal attorney Susan Garcia, former prosecuter Patricia Lenzi, Council member Darrel Kizer, Darrel Cruz, Gary Nevers, Chad Malone, Woody Racow, Dave Tom, Tribal secretary Tamera Crawford, FBI agents: Brian Keeney, Youngman, Johnson, BIA Law Enforcement: Molley Hernandez, Damon Edmunstein, Clifford Serowap, John Olevera, Attorney General Catchrine Masto, Washoe County Sheriff Make Haley, Lt. Herara, officer Flaherty, USDI: Carol Russo, US Public Defender: Megan Hoffman, Michael K. Powell, Kathryn Rogers, Lavell Lennon, Eric Rogers, Kath Plympton, Alton Evans. Emmett Rogers did not list himself as a witness.

constraints. The matter of verdict does not mean the ruling is based on proof of evidence, e.g. due process of law. The ‘plea bargain’ practice carries a compound affect on the defendant’s guilty plea. In circumstance where the Indian person is ‘found guilty,’ penalty paid, the convicted Indian is subject to *conditions of release; probation*. (*Cross reference: Indeterminate sentencing*). The x-convict becomes disenfranchised, unable to acquire government agency employment, based on a “criminal record.” In the cases where the defendant’s alleged crime, plea bargain, was not substantiated by proof of evidence, vindication can be realized. In matters petitioned before a *traditional and customary* tribal court indigenous settled law should apply, as distinguished from a CFR court. The Indigenous people can invoke a “*Declaration of Vindication*,” where the indigenous original being, real human, has been subjugated to recklessly conceived plea bargains, false witness, malicious prosecution and abuse of process, especially where the prior court refuses to *reverse*, as a matter of “policy,” or prerogatives containing *bias* and *prejudice*. “*Motions for Reconsideration*” are seldom granted, especially in so called “plea bargain” cases. The appellate court justices, comprised of BAR lawyers/attorneys/legal counsel, as *officers of the court*, are particularly sensitive in ruling against fraternal ‘brothers in the bond.’ Maintaining a BAR association membership and being seated as a judge in tribal courts, and tribal appellate courts, at the same time, is a live controversy (2013) regarding indigenous people. (*Cross reference: confusion of rights, and title of nobility*). This is self evident in *treaty covenant* related issues of substantive law, addressed by commercial courts, e.g. Uniform Commercial Codes (UCC). In the Blackfeet demonstration the ‘tribal court’ (Browning, Mt) is a registered corporation in the *state* of Montana to provide services. Often, when appealed, cases are **remanded** to the court that *rendered* a wrongful decision or *verdict* in the first instance. The BAR (county, state, federal, national, international) and judge associations exercise abstract codes of conduct, in order to provide an ‘appearance of fairness.’ (*Cross reference: Innovative international law*).

“*Force of law* is applied by military governors, consistent with the foreign *capstone doctrine*, and specifically the Indian Citizenship Act of 1924. This legal venue is in fact ‘*preemptive legislation*.’ The “essence of

sovereignty<sup>25</sup> is *Consent*.” “Food sovereignty” is an important aspect of indigenous families, however soft weaponized immigration travels under racial diversity, “cultural diversity,” sustainability, usufructory rights and

---

<sup>25</sup> “*Sovereignty* itself, of course, is not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law, but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts.” *Supreme Court decision, Woo Lee v. Hopkins 118 U.S. 356*. The academic establishment relies principally on credulity within the accepted ‘expert judgment elicitation processes,’ whose personalities are vested in ideological, religious, philosophical, legal, science as positivism and proofs of concept. The establishment cloaks its higher education in discussions, deliberations, and authoritative paradigm secured in the ‘public interest,’ ‘greater interest,’ ‘common purpose,’ and ‘institutional normative rationale.’ The professors justify their *theory of uniformity* to the “advantage of the majority” which mandates the abrogation of personal and institutional sovereignty, exhibited by, “Essentially, the greater the authority of international institutions, the more sovereignty states have yielded to them... institutions can make decisions that legally bind domestic governments on specific issues even without the government’s consent. Two factors that impact international structure—previously existing institutions and the presence of systematic shocks. “Dispute resolution” is co-mingled with “collaborative governance” Human values (values washing) are manipulated to fit the system. Constraints are: anarchy, which is reluctance to yield sovereignty; and representative rule over arbitrary “decision making.” The mitigation of values means “change” from cultural and traditional identity to that of construction of the “global citizenship role model.” The institutions build “public trust” through re-education, orientation, thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing techniques, to produce a greater willingness to yield sovereignty. Nation “re-building” is common on federal Indian reservations. A prime objective is to construct in minds the de jure recognition of “decision making.” Autonomy is convoluted by “inter-dependence” and “interoperability.” (Capstone doctrine, compliance). Wars are utilized to take natural assets from the sovereign ‘creditors,’ and invoke a “post-independence” monetary / currency system (inflation), which controls the “quality of life” of the “debtors.” (*Cross reference: regionalism*). “Mapping the Authority of International Institutions [includes] Issue areas: Human Rights, Currency Institutions.” The central tendency is to focus the ‘public mind’ on achieving ‘efficiency’ as an outcome of ‘functional specialization.’ ‘Institutional normative rationale’ (normative reasons) is used to construct “policy beliefs.” The institutional ‘actors’ rely on “appropriateness.” The frames are ‘acceptable, indigenous world view, world mind, world spirit.’ The common purposes is controlled the process of establish, hold, build, and the social engineering, ‘replicate or alienate.’ (Consensus reality). Within ‘innovative international law’ the state (estate) is a legitimate “stakeholder” with “interests” (prestige, double control, monetary benefit). It is essential to note that corporations, including the state, are “organized property.” Dissent, in any form, is deemed “the problem of anarchy.” The use of “shared understandings” is made to appear ‘logical.’ The “war on terror” is a “shock” doctrine designed to create “value-neutral opportunities.” “Shock and experience with institutions are the two most important structural factors in the creation of authoritative [authoritarian] institutions.” Among greater / lesser powers capitulation dominates post-independence cooperation. “*System shock*” is used to establish, hold and build “common purpose.” The inner circle of the academic establishment is confused between the sovereignty of people, e.g. original being, real human, and the legal sovereignty (trust) held by the corporate entities. (*Cross reference: Perpetual succession*). In the context of ‘Hu man’ Rights—crimes against humanity and nature, systems shock: limited genocide, holocaust, atrocity, austerity, extra-judicial killing, eugenics (Nazi style- en mass depopulation), torture, and deception are the highest opportunity to establish ‘Authoritative Institutions.’ The matter of sovereignty embraced “consent,” of the real human, e.g. sovereign personal political power holder. Universal jurisdiction, in respect of this, does not license “innovative international law” de jure. The global ‘mechanism’ (inner circle institutions) protract trust building, constituency pressure [UN, ICO sanctions], predictability [economic command models], shocks—demolishing constraints and franchise of “shared opportunities” among those ‘seated at the table.’ “The largest challenge will be to integrate system structure and state motives into a comprehensive model of why states yield sovereignty to authoritative institutions.” (*See: International Studies Review, (2008) 10, 501-524, Yielding Sovereignty to International Institutions: Bringing System Structure Back In, Scott Cooper,*

Indigenous identity Theft & Fraud  
“Matters of Liberty”

copyright 2011 Infraspect, Blair, George (102037b/1213)

all rights reserved without prejudice

alien land claims.<sup>26</sup> All posterities, societies,

---

<sup>26</sup> The North American Union is grounded upon global government “interdependence, interoperability,” and the politically tainted theory of “bio-diversity” (WWF) and racial/cultural diversity, e.g. inclusionary rezoning. The collectivist community relies upon ‘market driven standards (solutions),’ and human values neutral. The applied process ideologies use reversals, such as, “... a young Mexican women who went to New Mexico... to restore traditional agriculture... thereby reclaiming the... land... such programs as Tesuque Indian Pueblo... ancestors... fought for *Tierra y liberad*, which means land and liberty... next year at the Head Start is to have each kid have their own garden... deliver pumpkins for Day of the Dead... working with senior centers... we growing food on their land... plan spreading... not just on the *reservations*... We can’t compartmentalize ourselves... Harvest USA bridges the... food movement with the farmworker movement... groups forming food policy councils to strengthen food systems... protect your community from corporate take overs. (*See: truth-out.org, This Land Is My Teacher: Preserving Native Agriculture and Traditions, Beverly Bell, Other Worlds, “Birthing Justice” Series, May 26, 2012*). The phrase “young women” appeals to gender advocacy groups. Nayeli Guzman is said to be a “Mexican,” which sets off issues of nationality, foreigner, and alien. The federal Indian reservations are targeted for *transformation* to ‘open enrollment,’ under false rights flags of ‘multi-culturalism,’ and ‘diversity.’ Austerity programs are commonly applied to Indian reservations through precisely controlled ‘certification’ strategies that direct franchises and multiple monopolies. (*Cross reference: Uniform Commercial Codes, nation buidling*). Government employment, subsidies, and forms of contracting dominate ‘Indian Country.’ Under force of acute nepotism the enrolled tribal memberships compete for reservation “jobs.” The collaborating inner circle co-operates on recruitment of select students for ‘university’ and ‘college’ scholarship. The PGO “Head Start” is an operative organization utilizing change agents (agency) effecting ‘whole child’ thought reform, mind control, brain and values washing. Indigenous children (11-17) that do not comply with the UN “global citizenship role mode” are treated for “oppositional defiance disorder” (UN- DSM IV), altered to “Obedience Defiance Disorder (UN- DSM V, 2013) and their parents as “at risk,” likewise requiring treatment: orientation, re-education and re-socialization.

<sup>27</sup> embrace the requirement for consent in all human interactions and is the foundation of all of our sovereignty as individuals, and is foundational law, e.g. settled law. Human values, as integrated with systems is exhibited by, “That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men,

---

<sup>27</sup> The Zuni Indian reservation is created pursuant to the 1934 Indian Reorganization Act, et. al. They are labeled “Native Americans.” The Dogon people possess knowledge of a galaxy they claim was given to them by a star god named Amma. The Hopi and Zuni people celebrate Kiachinas, gods from the sky, whose headdresses and costumes appear to resemble modern helmets and protective clothing. The Chinese legends tell of the Han leader, Huangdi, arriving on Earth on a flying, yellow dragon. The academic establishment, e.g. colleges and universities, created credulity to distinguish their colleagues ‘high status knowledge.’ The knowledge of the sky gods, seris A, B, were common indigenous knowledge centuries before the ‘scientists’ invented technical means of comprehending the universe. See Schoch; Seager; O’Brien; Mahooty; Birns; Simplicio; and Tsarian for factually supported findings. Reservation corporate economy proscribes ‘quality of life’ as ‘income,’ with embedded cultural themes for sustainable ‘economic development.’ Zuni or Ashiwi are Native American tribes], one of the Pueblo people], who live in the Pueblo of Zuni on the Zuni River, a tributary of the Little Colorado River, in western New Mexico. Zuni is 55 km (35 miles) south of Gallup, New Mexico and has a population of about 6,000, nearly all Native Americans, with 43.0% of the population below the poverty line. The poverty line is based on the foreign floating currency exchange rate determinations, and protracted austerity programming. The Zuni IRA authorities signed a historic ‘Water Rights Settlement Agreement.’ The water is appraised as an article of commerce, e.g. ‘flow resource.’ (**Cross reference: Black Rock Dam, second reserve, rivers to ridges**). An internet website, e.g. ‘Zuni,’ shows conventional wood houses, with mettle patches on the roof. These contemporary houses are captioned as Zuni “scenic” road side attractions. Likewise, under heritage preservation the ancient homes built off the natural landscape floor are tourist and academic institutional attractions. (**Cross reference: Inclusionary rezoning: human habitat, ecological habitat, smart growth, smart metering, ICLEI, Genome DNA mapping**). The Zuni indigenous original beings, real humans, are subjugated to derivatives speculation: ecosystem services, cap & trade, exchanges, conservation easements, land Trust doctrines, carbon certificates, carbon foot print taxation, swaps, subsidies, grants, tax shelters, and exclusions. Conservation psychology, e.g. aggregate re-allocation of natural resources and assets, is part of the modern era conversational hypnosis of the UN’s “worldview.”

deriving their just powers from the *consent*<sup>28</sup> of the governed.” (Declaration by Independence). The *ulterior motives* of ‘*eminent domain*’

---

<sup>28</sup> *Consent*. "A concurrence of wills. Voluntarily yielding the will to the proposition of another; acquiescence or compliance therewith. Agreement; approval; permission; the act or result of coming into harmony or accord. Consent is an act of reason, accompanied with deliberation, the mind weighing as in a balance the good or evil on each side. It means voluntary agreement by a person in the possession and exercise of sufficient mental capacity to make an intelligent choice to do something proposed by another. It supposes a physical power to act, a moral power of acting, and a serious, determined, and free use of these powers. Consent is implied in every agreement. It is an act unclouded by fraud, duress, or sometimes even mistake. See also Acquiescence; Age of consent; Assent; Connivance; Informed consent;" voluntary [*Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 305*]

*Constructive consent* occurs through one of the following three means: 1. Domicile within the territory of a government that is operating outside of natural law and natural right, thereby becoming subject to injurious civil laws which undermine rather than protect your rights. Becoming a taxpayer requires your consent. 2. Engaging in a privileged or regulated activity. 3. Signing a government form or application to contractually procure some privileged benefit, which make us subject to the laws... surrender some of your rights in return for a perceived benefit.

“Waiver of Constitutional rights not only must be voluntary, but must be knowing, intelligent acts done with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences. [*Brady v. U.S., 397 U.S. 742 (1970)*].” “SUB SILENTIO. Under silence; without any notice being taken. Passing a thing sub silentio may be evidence of consent” (*Black's Law Dictionary, Fourth Edition, p. 1593*). “It is a maxim of law that you cannot be compelled to surrender your rights and that anything you consent to under the influence of duress is not law and creates no obligation on your part.”

The right to contract is protected by preventing anyone from being compelled to enter into or terminate any contractual relationship (Article I, Section 10, US Constitution), such as being compelled to convert the “private property” to “public use,” e.g. engaged in a privileged taxable activity called a “trade or business” or a “public office,” obtain or use an identifying number corresponding with you. The regulations at **20 CFR §422.103(d)** say that the number belongs to the government and not you. It is public property, and anything you attach becomes “private property donated to a public use... destroys all of your constitutional rights. “No duty rests more imperatively upon the courts than the enforcement of those constitutional provisions intended to secure that equality of rights which is the foundation of free government.” [*Gulf, C. & S. F. R. Co. v. Ellis, 165 U.S. 150 (1897)*].

“Equal rights” is not found in Black’s Law Dictionary. See: *Poindexter v. Willis, 23 Ohio Misc. 199, 256 N.E.2nd 254, 260; Equal and uniform taxation. See: Weatherly Independent School Dist. V. Hughes, Tex.Civ.App., 41 S.W.2nd 445, 447; Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C.A. sec 1691 et seq; Equal degree, e.g. common ancestor; Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 241, 42 U.S.C.A. sec 2000a; Equality. Boyne v. State ex rel. Dickerson , 80 Nev. 160, 390 P.2nd 225, 227; Equalization. County of Sacramento Co., 32 Cal.App.3d 654, 108 Cal.Rptr. 434, 441; Equalize. Los Angeles Co., v. Ransohoff, 24 Cal. App.2nd 238, 74p.2nd 828, 830, De Mille v. Los Angeles Co., 25 Cal.App. 506, 77 P.2nd 905, 906; Equal Protection Clause. Richardson, 251 C.A.2nd 222, 59 Cal.Rptr. 323, 334; Equal Protection of the Law. People v. Jacobs, 27 Cal.App.3d 246, 103 Cal. Rptr. 536, 543; 14th Amend, U.S.Const; Equal Rights Amendment; Equal Time Act, 47 U.S.C.A. sec 315; Equitable abstention doctrine; Equitable action, Fed.R. Civil P.2; Equitable Adjustment Theory. Roberts v. U.S., 174 Ct.Cl. 940, 357 F.2nd 938; Equitable adoption. BARlow v. BARlow, 170 Colo. 465, 463, P.2d 305, 308; Equitable assignment. Stewart v. Kane, Mo.App., 111 S.W. 2d 971, 974. Sneesby v. Livingston, 182 Wash. 229, 46 P.2d 733, 735; Equitable conversion. Lampman v. Sledge. Tex. Civ.App., 502 S.W.2d 957, 959; Equitable defense. Fed.R Civil P. 8; Equitable doctrine of*

are realized in the purposes of special ‘voting rights’<sup>29</sup> and more so forcing jurisdiction over the pre-existing sovereigns and natural indigenous real human. The purpose of taxation is clearly and concisely exhibited by the “General Allotment Act of 1887; and, “The purpose of the [new] policy

---

<sup>29</sup> The matter of political voter precincts is subjected to the myth of majority rule. In fact, the Democratic Party separates the decision maker from the people, as does the Republic Party. This event is distinguished from a ‘constitutional republic.’ The US ‘Senate’ is democratic. The matters of intrusions into exclusive domains, possession of natural assets, the foreign state and county voting precincts were not aligned with the indigenous territory, culture and traditions. The tribal constitutions, approved pursuant to the **1934 IRA stipulations**, do not possess an “indigenous continuity of interest,” as these documents are modeled in the spirit, intent, and purposes of a ‘foreign power’ distinctly different from the will of indigenous people. The state and federal district BAR courts argue ‘letters of the law’ over treaty covenant articles, over which the district court administrators (judges) have no lawful power. Inferior instruments (M.O.U.s, contractual agreements, resolutions) are commonly ‘used’ to defeat the superior ‘indigenous settled laws.’ The maxim of constitutional law, grounded in the settled ‘general welfare principle,’ is that ‘Liberty stands outside of any social contract.’ The methodology of the secular political ideology “collaborative governance” is used to put a legal statutory color (mask) on the workings of the select ‘inner circles,’ being merely corporately enfranchised ‘preferred partners, and stakeholders.’ The protracted outcome of the **1924 Indian Citizenship Act** was ‘order out of chaos,’ and a political synthesis beyond the effective reach of indigenous people in the federal united States. Those artificial persons, such as ‘tribal council members’ serving simultaneously as ‘county commissioners,’ ‘state representatives,’ state or federal ‘congressmen’ or ‘senators,’ especially lawyers ‘admitted to practice’ operating outside of the respective state and federal ‘judicial branches of government’ cause questions of unconstitutional legislative offenses, there being unlawful, collective wrongs and individual misconduct. (See: Misprisions of perjury, sedition, treason). The presumptions of absolute immunity and qualified immunity can be challenged on the basis of both positive and negative rights. (*See: 42 U.S.C. § 1983*). The issue of ‘duel control’ by those persons seated and making decision affecting and effecting each sovereign flies in the face of “political reality,” e.g. articles of the respective constitutions, “preeminent moral leadership,” and the actuality of political decency.

The use of out-of-kind legislative riders is exhibited by, “**House Resolution 3874,**” and “**HB 605,**” both using “suspension of rules,” a “five minute vote” (electronic) to effect the legislation. The measures promulgated to circumvent the USDI BIA approval and public scrutiny in the matter of tribal (IRA 1934) business committees, and councils power to lease ‘Indian (tribal) Trust Land’ without USDI, BIA Secretary approval, for the intent of various purposes, e.g. agency, non-profit, religious. This out-of-kind rider is face value disrespect for the affected indigenous (Indian) families, their heirship, tradition and hereditary fundamental reserved rights, natural treaty rights, and absolute individual Indian real and personal property (rights, ownership), e.g. sacred estates held in the land, including but not limited to “cemetery, burial grounds,” which are personal property. This legislation further the ulterior motives of piecemeal territorial and living place takings of indigenous ownership and property. At first glance, this legislation contravenes the **United Nations Declaration ON the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (collectivist), the United Nations Covenant on Human Social and Political Rights**, and is a constructive violation of the American Indian Religious Freedoms Act (AIRFA 95-341); and contrary to the principles expressed in the “Washo declaration of sacred estates held in the Land, 2007” (Infraspect case file, Art George), and the “Declaration by indigenous People” signed by many indigenous people, including co-incidentally the Chairwoman of the 13 International Indigenous Grandmothers, Agnes B. Pilgram (2011, Infraspect case file exhibit). Under the elements of extrinsic fraud, HR 3874 is subject to “nullity,” and its honesty, transparency and purpose are impeachable, even by human decency. These legislative offenses use ‘consensus’ and ‘collaboration’, which exclude the effective participation of original beings (real humans) disenfranchised from their own sovereign affairs of state. BARBARA Boxer (Ca), and Cruz (Ore) received copies of the “legislative offense, Complaint” 5-17-2012.



was to assimilate Indians into American society and to open reservation lands to ownership by non-Indians. Id., at 128 (See: F. Cohen, Handbook of Federal Indian law 127-138 (1982). *Ecclesiastical law* (discovered law, *Courts Christian, ecclesiastical court, consistory courts*) is not fully and explicitly cited as to its force and effect on the “Great Law of Peace,” “federal Indian law,” “federal law,” and the continuing effect of the Roman Cult Pontiff’s formal document, e.g. “Papal Bull.”<sup>30</sup> “Taxation is the price for living in civilization.<sup>31</sup>” (*National Public Radio, interview, 1-29-13*).

This ensuing methodology appears in modern economic “derivatives speculation” econometric formulas manifested in the “global warming economy,” e.g. exchanges (CCX), cap & trade, off sets, carbon certificates,

---

<sup>30</sup> “The Papal Bull is a formal document issued by a Roman Cult Pontiff upon a major *act of law, curse or claim* to extend the power of the Cult over its claimed domination of the world, all nations, all people, all law and all religions. All legitimate Papal bulls were issued on human skin, usually the skin of a sacrificed child, or some famous heretic.” The use of human skin is a fundamental prerequisite for a Papal Bull to have power... this is a concept of necromancy inherited through the Rabbi of Venice, the successors of the Samaritans, themselves successors of the Cythians and Tarsus... from exiles of Ur. The ‘Parchment’ (of which written upon) meaning paraca = one of the three fates – the fate of death, h = letter of binding and ment/mentis = mind, thought, intention, intellect or in other words “mind/soul bound to the fate of death. (*Cross reference: Book of Ceremonial Magic, Waite; Victim of the Art*). The first legitimate Papal Bull is about 1136 (*Ex commisso nobis*). Several are missing from history. The Roman *Cult* did not claim Rome as quasi-christians until George VII. The papal bulls are dedications to the Dark *Lord* or Ba’al. Under all western law, the highest form of law... is a Papal Bull- based on parchment. The Roman Cult has convinced all jurisdictions to consider documents as devoid of life, except for those granted limited power to resurrect the “dead paper,” through a form of ‘seal.’ The Papal Bull is held superior- no document has higher “life.” The Papal Bull being a satanic curse. The official versions of most of Papal bulls are challenged as forgeries, representing Deeds and Wills, breached the *‘trust laws’* first created by the Papacy. This fraud has resulted in the collapse of all the major Trusts and Testamentary Trusts of the Papacy. **The continuation of obedience to such claimed authority itself is a fraud against the rules of Trusts and Property by which the whole world allegedly adheres and such organizations as the United Nations, the Bank for International Settlements are guilty of fundamental and gross fraud in recognizing the Vatican has any effective authority.** The historic sequencing of the Bull (Incipit), translation, Issuance, and description are cited in Attachment IV.

<sup>31</sup> “*Civilization* covers several states of society; it is relative, and has no fixed sense, but implies an improved and progressive condition of the people, living under an *organized government*. It consists not merely in material achievements, in accomplishment and accumulation of wealth, or in advancement in culture, science, and knowledge, but also in doing of *equal and exact justice*.” While the indigenous people who dissent from alien social concept engineering, behavioral conformity, are labeled “savages,” there is no definition in Black’s Law Dictionary.

special carbon foot print taxation, conservation land Trust<sup>32</sup> (revocable trusts), environmental easements, ecosystem services: fictitious off set appraisals & false 'resource' inventories, skewed damage output statistics. Equator Principles Financial Institutions (EPFI) travel under a mis-

---

<sup>32</sup> The use of *land Trust doctrine* is subjugated to the same majoritarian hearsay of the Supreme Court's arbitrary and inconsistent applications of the "constitutional requirement" in "eminent domain." The words, 'public, resident, and citizen' are misapplied to indigenous real humans, under the entitlements of "economic development." In "Kelo" the Supreme Court offers vague opinions about the definition of public use, the government's role in channeling economic values to "unintended" third party beneficiaries. The sovereign's or nation's right to exercise the power of eminent domain and the right of the landowner to compensation predates the US Constitution, traced to England and the early *Roman Empire*. The US Supreme Court relies on "due process" then 'takes' the property and interest for 'public convenience.' (See: *Amen v. City of Dearborn*, 718 F. 2d 789, 794-95 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983); *Gardener v. Vill of Newburgh*, 1 N.Y. Ch. Ann. 332 (1816), *Fifth Amendment, US Constitution—the "Taking Clause."*) A purely private taking cannot withstand a constitutional scrutiny. The not-for-profit and non-profit public benefit corporations attempt to illustrate a pattern of "to which private benefits are merely incidental," and provide legal excuses as to "whether the private interests are paramount and the public benefits are merely incidental" (*relying on Midkiff*, 467 U.S. 229 (1984)). "Public use means public advantage, convenience, and uses that contribute to the **"general welfare"** and the prosperity of the whole community, or a portion of it." (26 AM. JUR. 3D, *EMINENT DOMAIN* § 49 (2004) §§ 50-52). In *Johnston v. Alabama Public Service Commission* it is noted, "[s]trictly speaking, the Legislature cannot delegate the power of eminent domain. It cannot divest itself of sovereign powers. (Cross reference: *Infraspect's Profiling Intrusions, case file: seigniorage*). Under a far flung stretch of federal administrative law, it would appear that the 'supreme tribal business councils' would be specifically prohibited, and likewise limited, when relying on federal law, and federal Indian law. It is well established that sales to potential condemnors are involuntary sales and as such cannot establish the fair market value of comparable property. *United States v. 10.48 Acres*, 621 F.2d 338, 339 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.1980) ("The price paid by a condemnor in settlement of condemnation proceedings or in anticipation of such proceedings is inadmissible to establish value of comparable land as 'such payments are in the nature of compromise to avoid the expense and uncertainty of litigation and are not fair indications of market value.'"); *United States v. 46,672.96 Acres*, 521 F.2d 13, 17 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir.1975) ("The general rule is that evidence of prices paid by the government for the purchase, through private negotiations, of lands in connection with the project for which land is being condemned cannot be received."); *Slattery Co. v. United States*, 231 F.2d 37, 41 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir.1956) ("This rule, based upon the view that such payments are in the nature of compromise to avoid the expense and uncertainty of litigation and are not fair indications of market value, is the generally [ 329 F.Supp.2d 734 ] prevailing rule in this circuit and elsewhere."); *Miss. State Highway Comm'n v. Taylor*, 293 So.2d 9, 10 (Miss.1974) ("Generally, sales of property made to agencies vested with the power of eminent domain cannot be used in eminent domain trials as comparable sales."); *State v. De Tienne*, 218 Mont. 249, 707 P.2d 534, 538 (1985) ("[W]e hold sales to condemnors are not admissible to establish fair market value when the sales are part of the same project which resulted in the condemnation of other property, however similar the property may be to that in controversy, and regardless of whether the payment was the result of a settlement, an award or a jury verdict."); *State v. Johnson*, 282 N.C. 1, 22, 191 S.E.2d 641, 655 (N.C.1972) ("It is our opinion that any sale to a prospective condemnor is highly unlikely to be a fair test of market value...."); In re *City of Bethlehem Redevelopment Auth.*, 474 Pa. 75, 376 A.2d 641, 643 (1977) ("[S]ales to a condemnor are neither representative of nor probative of the comparable sales between a willing and informed buyer and a willing and informed seller.") (emphasis in original); *Gomez Leon v. State*, 426 S.W.2d 562, 565 (Tex.1968) ("Our courts have consistently held that proof of sales of property to a corporation or governmental entity having power of eminent domain is not admissible in a condemnation suit"); see generally *5 Nichols on Eminent domain* § 21.06 (3d ed.1997) (discussing the general rule that sales to condemning authorities are not admissible to establish fair market value). The legislature demonstrates judicial indolence and *law arbitrary* when it *confers* the power of takings "upon corporations, public or private, upon individuals, upon foreign corporations, or a consolidated company." It is clear that the United States of America Constitution does not confer the power of 'eminent domain' to said sovereign Indian Nations. The

presumption that *full spectrum dominance*, such as ‘*climate engineering*,’ will enable the “borrower” to comply with respective social and environmental policies. Essentially, since the mitigation strategies are merely ‘goals toward,’ etc., the compliance is actually, “feeling good about the damages done.” (U. of O., PIELC, Panelist Professor Woods). This ‘modern era’<sup>33</sup> of global *operational goal value priorities* amounts to an ordered “land rush” under the flag of ‘climate crisis,’ in actuality framed as “*crisis economics*.”

The IRS and global tax sheltered 501(C)(3) public benefit corporations (ICOs) are leading the “charge” into the last remaining (2012) indigenous thresholds targeting “natural assets.” Sustainable (stabilized) derivatives speculation is co-dependent upon ‘failed mitigation’ measures, in which authorized depredation rates affecting air, water, soil, land, minerals, persons, and “community development quotas” are agency permitted, licensed and authorized, concurrent with foreign ‘floating currency exchange rates.’ The IRA tribal system provides a **multi-faceted veil of**

---

<sup>33</sup> *Sumptuary laws* (from Latin *sumptuariae leges*) are laws that attempt to regulate habits of consumption, in this modern era.

## immunity for tribal ‘partners.’<sup>34</sup>

---

<sup>34</sup> The several state codes provide for partnership disclosures. The social abstraction of partnership has become pervasive. ‘Indian country’ financial institutions have acquiesced to inter-operability, exemplified by, “**Partnership for Progress**, A program for Minority-Owned and De Novo Institutions from the Board of Governors of the **Federal Reserve System (FED)**” [Jekyl Island Club, J.P. Morgan]. (*Cross reference: Rothschild, keeper of the Vatican treasury*). The City [corporation] of London owns the FED. The Tribal ‘sovereign immunity card’ holds little weight against the Federal Reserve System, monetary system bonds, credit instruments and floating currency exchange (speculation) rates, e.g. **exchanging, selling, purchasing money**. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the **IMF**, its president the **Secretary of the US Treasury** are integrated international entities. The United States has not had a Treasury since 1921 (*41 Stat. Ch. 214 page 654*). The IRS is not a U.S. Government Agency. It is an agency of the IMF (*Diversified Metal Products v. IRS et al*).

The International Association of Deposit Insurers (IADI, 2002) members conduct research and produce guidance for the benefit of those countries seeking to establish or improve a deposit insurance system, using IADI process ideals in forums. IADI currently represents 64 deposit insurers from 63 ‘jurisdictions’, is a non-profit organization constituted under Swiss Law and is **domiciled at the Bank for International Settlements (BIS)** in Basel, Switzerland. Mervyn King, Governor of the **Bank of England** is the chair of the Group of Governors and Heads of Supervision (GHOS). The GHOS is the oversight body of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Stefan Ingves, Sweden Central Bank). The Basel Committee issues consultative documents on credit risk adjustments to *derivatives*. The scope of the Basel Committee includes, “... quality of banking worldwide (information exchange, approaches and techniques, common understanding). The common understanding is used to “develop guidelines... supervisory standards... international standards on capital adequacy; the Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision; Concordat on cross-border banking.” “The Committee’s members come from Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, Hong Kong SAR, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Korea, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States. The present Chairman of the Committee is Mr Stefan Ingves, Governor of Sveriges Riksbank. The Committee [initiates] contacts and cooperation among its members and other banking supervisory authorities, through published and unpublished papers. The four main sub-committees are: Standards Implementation Group, Policy Development Group, Accounting Task Force, and Consultative group. The Operational Risk Sub-group handles implementation for measurement of ‘risk.’ The Task Force on Colleges is chaired by Mr. Ian Tower, Head of the Overseas Banks Department at the Financial Services Authority, United Kingdom. Sound Compensation Practices Task Force- F. Varas, Bank of Spain. The Standards Monitoring Procedures Task Force, is chaired by B. Gully, Superintendent of Financial Institutions, Canada. Seven working groups report to the PDG: the Risk Management and Modelling Group (RMMG), the Research Task Force (RTF), the Working Group on Liquidity, the Definition of Capital Subgroup, the Capital Monitoring Group, the Trading Book Group (TBG) and the Cross-border Bank Resolution Group. The Research Task Force for *economists* is chaired by P. Kupiec, FDIC, division of Insurance. The Trading Book Group addresses revisions to the Basel II market risk framework, co-chairs Norah BARger, FED, Alan Adkins, CSD, FSA, United Kingdom. The Definition of Capital Sub-group “explores emerging trends in eligible capital instruments in member jurisdictions. (*Cross reference: Protocols of Zion, Protocols 21 through 24, capstone doctrine*). The attributes of life and aspects of society are affected, effected and filtered through the banks, in the context of quality of life being defined as “income.” Quality of life is deemed a “product” of the state (LCOG). Absolutism is visible in the Protocols, and is part of the game theory implemented in the UNDP, ICLEI, inclusionary rezoning models (ISO EMS), e.g. ‘aggregate re-allocation’ of ‘moderate living needs.’ “Community development quotas” are mandates at the ‘functional specialization levels.’ (*Cross reference: Smart meters, smart gride*).

<sup>35</sup> (*Cross reference: Stewardship contracting, source contracting*). The ‘stock markets’ are crisis dependent and rest on consensus reality (selected expert judgment elicitation), failed mitigation (experimental failures), contracting frauds masked in speech encoding such as, “innovative tools to eliminate legal BARriers,’ (US Taskforce, mission agencies, Ecosystem Management Approach—Lockheed corporation funding FACA, FOIA, Sherman-Anti, RICO statutes) and ‘buy-in agreements’ to blur assignments of consequences and actual liabilities.

The federal Indian reservation system provides a model of corporate (profit & not-for-profit) franchises, while escaping complete and full impact analysis. ‘Declarations of Non-significance’ were adopted from the federal/state system, particularly in the construction of tribal “planning”

---

<sup>35</sup> The concept and speech encoding “tribal partners” is “junk English” and high order abstraction. The “partnership disclosure” laws are obstructed, avoided, and blocked in cases where the matter of ‘eminent domain’ carry “ulterior motives” that indicate unfunded mandates, and particularistic arrangement (revenue sharing agreements, spin offs) that are likely to primarily benefit pre-selected provisional beneficiaries, such as ‘some-profit’ organizations, cartels, false partnerships, etc. The cloak of tribal “sovereign immunity” is used by corporate shareholders (stakeholders) to conceal the provisions (terms, conditions, beneficiaries) within “private contracting.” The co-mingling of the agency / non-profit false partnership is exemplified by, “It can be a little *confusing*—who owns what and why... habitat management... recreational planning and development... restoration... R2R is a framework that brings together existing open spaces and targets future areas uniting entities like cities, government agencies and conservation groups... collaborative efforts... with public input... R2R vision or partnership is “a blueprint... McKenzie River Trust... key players... range across the entire political spectrum and there’s a reason for that says Jeff Krueger... Lane Council of Governments.” “Arlie Land Company... incentives for them... healthy open space system adjacent to development areas is really important for property owners today... R2R is “strictly a **voluntary collaboration of partners**... with no funding requirements... signing onto the conceptual vision.” “McKenzie River Trust coordinator Liz Lawrence... a **real working partnership** on the ground... restoration **capacity** to do projects... bring to the table... members of the **partnership** come together... On a regular basis... share technical information or executive level folks... the big picture funding... balancing recreation and habitat enhancement right at the core of our mission.” (*See: Eugene Weekly, Earth Day 2012, Rivers to Ridges, Government and non-profits band together for open spaces in Lane County, Stacey M. Hollis, 4—2012*). The Rivers to Ridges **partnership** (R2R) includes: Lane Council of Governments, McKenzie Watershed Council, The Nature Conservancy, City of Eugene, McKenzie River Trust, City of Springfield, Willamette Park & Recreation District, Lane County Parks, Eugene Water & Electric Board, Willamette Riverkeeper, Oregon Dept. of fish & wildlife, metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission, Oregon Parks & Recreation Department, Long Tom Watershed Council, Coast Fork Willamette Watershed Council, Middle Fork Willamette Watershed Council Lane Council of Governments (LCOG) has published that the “quality of life” is a “product” of government. These entities enjoy a status of double control, e.g. franchise public inputers, and agency/private government organization “decision makers” on fundamental public policy, administrative management rights, ownership privileges, resource allocation and recovery (restoration, enhancement) monitoring, e.g. stewardship contracting. In this case demonstration there is no mention of indigenous peoples effective participation in the actual decision making affecting the original beings domain. Real humans are conspicuously absent. (*Cross reference: stakeholder councils, formal consensus, Delphi technique, collaborative governance, science as positivism*). *IRA tribal* Government ‘make work’ programs and agency employment statistics speak for themselves in the last several decades. As of 04-26-12 the Oregon State Secretary, corporation division, has no registration for the “rivers to ridges partnership.” The “rivers to ridges partnership” is a product of conversational hypnosis and public mis-perception management.

instruments. Tribal inner circle “decision teams” replace the wider scope of public engagement. (*Cross reference: collaborative efforts, FEIS, EA*). The corporate entities are shielded by false *sovereign immunities*<sup>36</sup> absolute & limited immunity and insurance indemnification. The actual

---

<sup>36</sup> The principle of the tribal sovereign’s common law immunity from suit is exhibited in *Santa Clara Pueblo v. Martinez*, 436 U.S. 49, 58 (1978). Sovereign immunity prevents a court from entering orders against the tribe itself in the absence of an effective waiver, but it does not prevent a court from adjudicating the rights of individual tribal members or enjoining the acts of tribal officers over whom it has acquired personal jurisdiction. The individuals do not share the tribe’s immunity. *Puyallup Tribe, Inc. v. Washington Game Department*, 433 U.S. 165 (1977). Congress may waive the tribe’s immunity when clearly expressed. Federal officials acting without congressional authorization are not capable of waiving tribal immunity. *United States v. United States Fidelity & Guar. Co.*, 309 U.S. 506, 513 (1940). Many tribes have formed tribal corporations under the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, 25 U.S.C.A. § 477, which authorized the Secretary of the Interior to issue to the tribes corporate charters. Many of the charters issued conferred the power to “sue and be sued.” See: *Omaha Tribe*, 430 F.2d 143 (8th Cir. 1970). This applied to the tribe’s economic dealings for which the tribal corporation was formed. *Atkinson v. Haldane*, 569 P.2d 151 (Alaska 1977). *Boe v. Fort Belknap Indian Community*, 455 F. Supp., 462 (D.Mont. 1978). The congress claims that its treaty with the “Indians” can be unilaterally abrogated, when congress enacts controlling statutes. *Chae Chan Ping v. United States (Chinese Exclusion Case)*, 130 U.S. 581 (1889). *Cherokee Tobacco*, 78 U.S. (11 Wall.) 616 (1871).

sovereign indigenous economies exist as fringe entitlements.<sup>37</sup> The drastic economic command conditions on reservations are the result of treachery in limited genocide and modernized austerity. The process of evaluating “poverty” on federal Indian reservations is statistically skewed and

---

<sup>37</sup> In the Oglala Lakota Oyate case demonstration, the Pine Ridge Area Chamber of Commerce co-operates Prairie Ranch Resort and controls the greater ‘reservation’ franchises. This is exhibited by the 66 members of the Chamber of Commerce. Of the listed members, approximately 90 per cent provide retail products and services ‘co-dependent’ upon mainstream sources to support non-traditional activities, e.g. casinos, travel services, ranch & resort, colleges, gas & propane stores, Café, recreational tourism outfitters, chemical products, Burritos fast food, radio stations, guest ranches, B&Bs, Marts, Subways, Oil co., newspapers (white media), tourism museums, vehicle repairs, National monuments-parks-reserves, Buffalo Gap Grasslands, Oglala National Grasslands, Wounded Knee Massacre, free range buffalo zoos, rodeos and en mass government services (commodities, physical & behavioral health facilities, family and employment assistance). Exploitation of ‘tradition, culture, and religious attributes of the indigenous people is a principle part of the chamber’s invitation to the “reservation,” e.g. Cultural events, camping, hiking, horseback riding, sightseeing, hunting and fishing. The en mass convergent impact effects of ‘economic progress’ has isolated, alienated and terminated the majority of indigenous prosperity and indigenous sovereign economy. Point source pollution and so called ‘non-point source pollution’ resulted in mitigation measures, such as ‘don’t eat the fish’ warning on the greater Cheyenne river, compounds and lakes. Since the moral ethic of the recreational fishers is “catch & release” under the managed “recreational opportunity spectrum” (tribal, state, federal) the subsistence/ceremonial use of fish has been made into an “up side down” (George, 2010) mirror image of “sport angling,” targeting classified game trophy fishes (bass, trout, etc). The “cultural diversity” economic development programs are controlled by “proof of concept” values neutral/market driven standards (ISO: FSC, SFI, CofC). “Sufficient for their wants” has been legally mutated to corporate “perpetual succession,” under which the corporation out-lives the original being [flesh & blood real human] “people.” The ‘reservations’ have become ‘food desserts’ in so far as subsistence and ceremonial access, arts, practices, use, and personal possession of sacred objects. “Behavioral health” programs are more so subject to “though reform, mind control, values and brain washing, and public perception management.” The federal Indian “reservation invites the public to participate in several cultural events... see the reservation... fish the rivers, and creeks and favorite fishing holes on the reservation.” “No other event captures the Native American spirit like the pow-wow or “wacipi.” (See: *Discover Lakota Country, Rich in Culture, Pine Ridge South Dakota, Rich in Beauty, Pine Ridge Area Chamber of Commerce, 7900 Lakota Prairie Drive, Kyle, SD 57752; South Dakota Vacation Guide; American Heritage, 2010, Custer vs. Sitting Bull, The Real Story, Philbrick; Exploring The Black Hills & Badlands, 2012; Black Hills Visitor, play-shop-eat, free*). The process of disenfranchising the indigenous original beings is exemplified by, “The uniformed Oglala Parks & Recreation officer, Small Wood, participated in directing ‘tourists,’ e.g. large buses channeling prospective costumers to specific reservation businesses. The Oglala parks & recreation officer said, “don’t go to them, their expensive,” identifying [Emerson Elk]. O.P.R. espouses ‘partnership’ with The Pine Ridge Area Chamber of Commerce. During a *collaborative* market targeting campaign, the Chamber representatives set an *operational goal value priority* by inviting various “state” institutions to Prairie Ranch Resort (2011-12). Lakota Emerson Elk presented his products, yet was required to ‘set up outside.’ (*Cross reference: Institutional Economy, President Clinton*). The Kyle, S.D., community, as well as others, was photo documented in 2011 by Infraspect auditors (Blair, George), guided by Lakota Chief, Brown-Thundcr. The ‘reservation’ marketeering materials are near *perjury*. (*Cross reference: TRPA, Lake Tahoe, ‘transportation theater,’ Grand Ronde- Spirit Mountain Casino, highway 126 billboard, USFS (Nev) travelway / trail-side Indian burial site hiker attractions, enterprise environmentalism, casino culture*). With the advent of the “Keystone Pipeline,” linked to ‘Trans Canada’ [Ltd], the bread & circus economy and spin offs will provide a boon to the ‘state’ and ‘local economies, not to be confused with sovereign indigenous prosperity. The transportation,

compared to the ‘national averages.’ The ‘Prevalence of Poverty’<sup>38</sup> in terms of trend commences with the omission of the monetary system itself and the floating currency exchange rates manipulated by the FED. The

---

<sup>38</sup> Depending on the stakeholder’s purpose for the demographic and census information the “official poverty rate on reservations is 28.4 percent.” Thirty-six percent of families with children are below the poverty line on reservations... [some] more than 60 percent of residents living in poverty. The *extreme poverty* rate on the largest reservations is almost four times the national rate. The matters of ownership and poverty is skewed by “homes” owned by the tribe, or HUD dwellings managed by the tribal authorities. *Material hardship* includes homeless, and those unable to pay for utilities, expenses and cost established under the practice of “sustainable management.” The number of ‘*human resources*’ allowed to reside in each dwelling is a aspect of the *counter-indigenous culture*. The Indian Removal Act of 1830 was a functional under-pining of what would be visible in the dynamics of *modern era* austerity programming, e.g. eugenics-depopulation en mass, economic channeling to provisional [enfranchised] beneficiaries, such as ‘enrolled members.’ The so called ‘environmental movement’ IGOs, NGOs, and PGO collaboratives ride the Indian’s ‘mother earth’ ethic to limit the lands Native *people* were [are] allowed to inhabit (human habitat). *Community development quotas* and *inclusionary rezoning* have replaced the Indian Removal Act of 1830. Stewardship contracting is linked with CCX, CMX, and other crisis “*derivatives speculation*” schemes. The wilderness and rural attributes found in the living places of Indigenous people (families) became ‘ecological habitat’ and ‘mitigated’ land use, favored for development by the non-Indians, under aspects of ‘civilization’ and ‘society.’ (*Cross reference: Sustainable development*). The Dawes Act of 1887 was adopted, demonizing allodial ownership and property. Pre-existing substantive law, preempted settled indigenous law. A foreign monetary system and floating currency exchange rate, as applied, can not be separated from protracted austerity put upon indigenous families. The modern Indian ‘*domestic dependent nations*’ have used every opportunity to *consolidate* ‘natural assets,’ ‘real estate’ and subsequently liquidated the ‘assets’ primarily for the IRA chartered and incorporated entities *stakeholder interests* in sustainable management, gain and profit. The tribal budgets are merely ‘service on the contract’ the tribal authorities make with their tenants. It is not likely that the tribes Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) will see the light of day. The dogma and doctrine have mutated to new secular ideologies of the modern era, yet “assistance programs aimed at forcing cultural change on tribal members” remains. This is evidentiary in the political ‘non-attribution’ and media blackouts imposed by tribal administration, employers, and in tribal school quarters, in which critical discourse pertaining to religion, politics and social engineering are constricted to “positivist theory.” Critical discourse is met with reprisal disciplinary measures and termination of employment threats and dismissal actions. (*See: Infraspect, case files: Lakota, Blackfeet, Quiloute, Washoe*). The Indian school teachers are subjugated to behavioral conformity and as teachers maintain “low educational attainment levels.” The unilateral interpretation of “sufficient for their [needs] wants” as stipulated in the ‘treaties’ (covenants) remains evidentiary in so called “Food Distribution on Indian Reservations (FDPIR),” “commodities,” which are given out to “enrolled tribal members.” These toxic provisions “contribute to high rates of obesity and diabetes on reservations.” This “public assistance does not effectively reduce poverty on the reservations, reinstitute cultural institutions, or create a source of pride for reservation residents.” The federal government has delegated management authorities to ‘tribes’ in creating the “own versions of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) with federal monies. The ‘casino cultures’ are put upon reservations so as to “exempt [the gaming corporation] from many federal and state regulations.” The ‘house take’ is carefully construed as “profits” and “Gambling earnings.” These earnings by tribal casinos “were unable to reverse the prevalent trends of “worsening poverty.” Factionalization of the reservation population is noted as ‘those who spend at casinos and those who earn from them.’ “When reservation residents spend portions of their sometimes very sparse incomes gambling, casinos can serve to exacerbate rather than relieve conditions of poverty.” The consistent objections and rejections by indigenous people “that gambling goes against cultural beliefs and values, and is not a solid cultural foundation for native economic development” is met with increase themes of “family friendly gambling,” and public marketeering, such as “spirit mountain casino”, e.g. psychological encoding. The S.P.I.N. is creating “culturally sensitive investment in education and job creation.” The “open enrollment”



alien monetary system and its floating currencies have repeated failed, and are historically noted in the so called “Banking bailout” (fraud & theft).

The global democratic movement effects sovereign indigenous economies. The over-arching collaborative/dispute resolution model is embedded in the “Just Economy.” Its scope applies to Fair Trade, Family Farm Movement in Global North, Sweatfree Apparel, Labor Justice, Policy and Campaign Work, System Change for a Better World. The Fair World Project espouses global “diverse mechanisms” and pathways to justice in

the market place. The political ideology, concept and speech encoding<sup>39</sup> are commonly relied upon in “change agency,” e.g. university and college social engineering models.

---

<sup>39</sup> There is an appearance of ‘parallel vector management’ and ‘management of the problem and solution simultaneously,’ providing a United Nations *synthesis* of economic command through trade-mark, labeling and certifying indigenous services, products and franchises, e.g. “mechanisms provide concrete opportunities... reforming and transforming [economies]... FWP aims to transform the global economic system... [using] *collaborative strategies*... guardians of *biodiversity*... fair trade *certification* schemes... FWP and United Students for Fair Trade (USFT) Join Forces... collaborated... university campaigns... outreach... Cincinnati Food Hub: A Model for Union and *Cooperative Collaboration*... Center for Community *Change*... sustainable food system.” Human rights are addressed through the ‘collaboration’ global network system [Workers Rights Consortium, in-country field representatives]... student activists and labor experts initiate ‘*conversations*.’ The universities of Missouri, North Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin, Notre Dame, Maryland and Duke are mentioned. NAFTA is noted, “US failed trade policy that prioritizes corporate rule above sovereignty, environmental and labor protections, and human rights.” The “NAFTA experiment... results are in... industry *consolidation* and *subsidies*... institutionalized supranational level trade laws... impact [ing] jobs, wages, agriculture, migration, the environment, access to medicine, consumer safety, banking regulations, indigenous rights, internet freedom, government [takings]. The USTR... negotiated the TPP behind closed doors... with 600 corporate advisors.” “The United Nations has declared 2012 the International Year of Co-operative... socio-economic development... co-operative values of democracy... *co-operative supply chain*... partnership... global family of traders... member control... political empowerment.. Equal Exchange... Board of Directors... have other decision making rights... profitable... a social mission... United Nations chose to uphold co-ops as a community economic development and worker empowerment model, the biggest U.S. certifier, Fair Trade USA... Fair Trade for All... co-operative values... participation and *grassroots* democracy... women’s formal representation [affirmative action, protected group status]... leadership training... healthier communities.” “Making Fair and Sustainable palm Oil in Ghana... high volume... applications in food, body care and energy us. *Large* palm plantations... achieve very high fruit and oil yields per unit area.” (Maximum Sustained Yield). The article cites “Serendipalm/Danieama project, NGO Fearless Planet (Internal Control System)... thousands of small holders... Institute of Marketecology (IMO)... *Swiss* Certification Body... Fair for life programs... tool for rural development... discussed, agreed upon and implemented by a *representative stakeholder* Fair Trade *Committee*. [Consolidation]. The Organic movement... need harmonization of standards [ISO EMS] and third party verification systems to ensure consumer confidence... community-owned standards... use *stakeholder-driven* processes to promote and develop world-wide... *global standards* that meet diverse needs... regional organic practices... definitions of organic world-wide... National Organic Standards Board.” The core credibility of the reformed economic system rests with its **Participatory Guarantee System**, e.g. standard setting owned by the larger community, participatory mechanisms among stakeholders, meaningful stakeholder over-sight, collaborative dispute resolution (ADR), partnerships, protecting [franchise] rights.” (*See: Fair World Projects, Just Economy, Consumer Engagement and Campaigns, System Change... Issues and Challenges, Fall 2012*). The meaning of “fair trade” follows the myth of the rule of law, e.g. doctrine of fairness. The matters of absolute individual ownership of sovereign trade names, marks, labels, patents and franchise are reduced to what is “fair.” This is contextualized as the “fair trade movement.” The so called “not-for-profit” corporations shield their cause in ‘public benefits.’ The law is defined as ‘that which is to the advantage of the majority,’ e.g. “*social justice*.” The non-profit fair trade movement relies on ‘positivist theory,’ to distinguish their ‘organized property’ being created by articles of incorporation. (*Cross reference: Surrogate powers*). The “certification” corporations derive benefits from franchising their corporate rights and authorities to discuss, deliberate, collaborate and decide on ‘standards’ applicable to indigenous dominion, e.g. a *collusive presumption*. Pattern recognition indicates the “just economy” secular political movement is ‘collaborative governance,’ cloaked in ‘participatory democracy.’ The ‘stakeholders’ exercise authority to execute trade offs at the supra regional and trans-national levels of ‘decision making,’ e.g. “mechanisms.” (*Cross reference: Millennium Development Goals, Global*

Jurisdictional ‘gradualism’ relies upon common law (admiralty, maritime), and confessions by ‘Tribal appellate court’ officers as exemplified by, “... Court is bound by the *concepts of legal sovereignty*... the prevailing concepts of *Federal law*... Applying the *concepts of Federal Indian law*... “*emotional sovereignty*.” Emotional sovereignty essentially asserts the *Indian Tribes* still enjoy absolute sovereignty... Neither our Tribe, the Blackfeet Tribe, or any Indian Tribe in this *country* enjoys absolute sovereignty in this *modern era*.<sup>40</sup>” (See: *Bernadine Arrowtop vs. Tony Sitzman, Glacier County Commissioner, Order Denying Request for Stay of Judgment and Dismissing Appeal for Lack of Jurisdiction, No. 2011-CA210, 2011-AP-32, 2011-AP-33, Justices Parsons, Cross Guns, Merchant, 27 Jan. 2011 (mis-dated, e.g. actual date 2012)*). The justices adjust the facts to fit their opinions. The ‘order’ is absent of ‘Blackfeet settled law.’ While tribal *procedural law* and *federal* facts of *case law* are relied upon, the justices express an attitude about “emotional sovereignty” absent of a lawful definition, “in this *country*,” and “in this *modern era*.” This country, in common usage, appears to mean the UNITED STATES corporation or *federal united States*. Likewise the persuasive psychological term<sup>41</sup> “modern era” has no lawful meaning or citation to support court’s political thesis. “Emotional sovereignty” appeals to psychologists and social engineers, yet “Sovereignty itself, of course, is not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law, but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts.” *Supreme Court decision, Woo Lee v. Hopkins 118 U.S. 356*.

---

<sup>40</sup> *Sumptuary laws* (from Latin *sumptuariae leges*) are laws that attempt to regulate habits of consumption. Black's Law Dictionary defines them as "Laws made for the purpose of restraining luxury or extravagance, particularly against inordinate expenditures in the matter of apparel, food, furniture, etc." Traditionally, they were laws that regulated and reinforced social hierarchies and morals through restrictions on clothing, food, and luxury expenditures. In most times and places, they were ineffectual. This economic channeling has been expressed as “moderate living needs” by decision makers (1970-2012) in *conservation psychology* and policy planning documents. Functional specialization applies to the under classes characterized as “useless eaters,” and “bottom feeders” by leadership of the global eugenics movement. (*Cross reference: socially, economically disadvantaged*). The ‘collaborative governance’ secular political ideology, e.g. formal consensus of the group (stakeholder councils) ensures ‘non-point liability.’ The actual burdens and taxation liabilities are maintained at the under class levels of society. **Collaboration is the “act of working together in a joint project; commonly used in connection with treasonably cooperative efforts with the enemy (see also Conspiracy).”** “Colibertus “In *feudal law*, one who, holding a free socage, was obligated to do certain services for the *lord*. A middle class of *tenants* between servile and free, who held their freedom of tenure on condition of performing certain *services*. Said to be the same as the conditionales.” (*Black’s Law Dictionary, Fifth Addition, p. 236*). (*Cross reference: Ecosystem services*).

Throughout history, societies have used sumptuary laws for a variety of purposes. They attempted to regulate the balance of trade by limiting the market for expensive imported goods. They were also an easy way to identify social rank and privilege and often were used for social discrimination.

This frequently meant preventing commoners from imitating the appearance of aristocrats and sometimes also to stigmatize disfavored groups. In the Late Middle Ages, sumptuary laws were instituted as a way for the nobility to cap the conspicuous consumption of the prosperous bourgeoisie of medieval cities, and they continued to be used for these purposes well into the 17th century.

<sup>41</sup> The Hegelian system goes completely against the grain of most people, particularly those who view the individual as the true sovereign. Hegel’s stated belief was that Man is subordinate to the State. Burrhus Frederick (B.F.) Skinner, a student of Wundt theories, and a member of U.S. Army intelligence, fine tuned “operant conditioning,” and invented “Skinner’s Box.”

While “jurisdiction” is the consensus among court room collaborators, “*jurisprudence*” is avoided within their conversational hypnosis to common law. The construction of law applied to ‘Indians’ is born from *collusive presumptions* (divine right of kings, right of discovery, right of conquest and manifest destiny), while the oldest jurisprudence is not Roman law— it is Durid. (*See: Curtis, land of the “Arya,” “Aryan”— man of the land, “Terra”, “Elder,” “healing of the earth.”*). The word “Aryan” had nothing to do with race, but were of a caste. Aryans did not distinguish between higher and lower life forms. Aryans “did not even kill a plant without necessity.” The Bible scripture, as an authority, says, “1611 King James Version of Luke 11:52 Woe unto you, lawyers! for ye have taken away the key of knowledge: ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering in ye hindered. - 1769 Oxford King James Bible 'Authorized Version.” Lakota Chief Dave Bald Eagle, has stated in the matter of the “Advocates” group requesting Sioux tribal funding for a traditional and customary Oyate, the IRA “is not our law,” ours’ is “the law of nature.” (*Chief Dave Bald Eagle, Lakota Oyate Government, Office of the Council of Nacas, Cheyenne River Lakota National Territory, 11-20-13*). This expression of *jurisprudence* has been consistently re-stated by Chiefs (HeCrow, Bald Eagle, Brown Thunder) of the Lakota Seven Fires Council. (*See: Notice of Special Appearance, Supplemental Complaint of Sovereign Hereditary Chiefs, re: Supplemental Complaint Abuse of Discretion by Court Officers, Administrators and Persons, Case No. 1:96VC01285-JR, Objections to and applications of the District of Columbia Court protocols, procedures rules; and to the actual “Cobell Settlement”*). Controlled participation was executed in the USDC 1:96VC01285 proceedings, while Lakota Chiefs & Headmen, an indigenous diplomatic political class, were forced to submit to an auto-judicial proxy in order to get ‘Cobell’ federal settlement distributions, with no real or actual say over the adjudicated settlement plan and distribution formulas, e.g. grouped share aggregates and Individual Indian Money (IIM). It is noteworthy, “in Common” does not mean “partnership,” or “collaboration.” The present ‘failed reservation states’ are outcomes of the application of “foreign laws,” suitable and advantageous to ‘enrolled tribal members,’ and ‘non-Indians.’ (Bald Eagle, HeCrow). The Lakota diplomatic class of Chiefs and Headmen have been subjugated to a foreign jurisprudence, which has left them ‘powerless’ over their respective social and trade commerce affairs. Indian census rolls have been used to identify original beings, real humans (men, women and children), including the indigenous diplomatic classes as enlisted *persons*, and issued security papers. (*Cross reference: tribal court “advocates”*).

In *Lenore Salois v. Area Director, Billings Area Office, IBIA 81-3-A* decided May 15, 1981, appealed from March 21, 1980, affirmed *Wm. Phillip Horton, CMJ, Arness, AJ, 8 IBIA 2882a.*, the appearance of James Zion, *Esq.*, for appellant, Ross Cannon, *Esq.*, for appellee, Blackfeet Tribe. The findings included: action by the Business Council of the Blackfeet tribe adopted a “resolution” (No. 151-79) to dismiss the chief judge of the Blackfeet Tribal Court; cited the Blackfeet Plan of Operation, section IV.C., Law Enforcement Program, jurisdiction of the tribe’s Law Enforcement *Commission*; Court of Indian Offenses, CFR Courts, of which the Blackfeet Tribal Court<sup>42</sup> (reservation) is not a “CFR” court, by virtue of 25 CFR 11.1(d), as not being listed in the federal register; cited: **“These are the Indian courts that derive the core of their substantive law from 25 CFR Part 11.”**<sup>43</sup> All other Indian courts derive their substantive law from tribal codes or customs.” (*Cross reference: Chapter I, section 3 of the Blackfeet Law and Order Code of 1967*). The Blackfeet tribal court is a corporation contracted to provide

---

<sup>42</sup> The Blackfeet Tribal Court, West Boundary Street, Browning, Mt. 59417, is “a private company categorized under City Government-Courts.” It was established in and incorporated in Montana. The Blackfeet Tribal Court also does business as Black Feet Tribe, (Inc), location Type: Branch, State of Incorporation: Montana, SIC listed, NAICS Code 921190, Other General Government support. The company offers: “Sheriff Court Services, Court Social Services, Court Record Services, Court Filing Services, and Superior Court Jury Services.” Tribal courts receive substantive federal, state, and county *funding* for law enforcement (S. 1925, Desert Beacon), empowerment- self/determination, limited land use planning, Aid to Tribal Government, LEAA, Tribal Court Assistance programs, planning for single and inter-tribal court systems, managed (ITCN) federal and state funded programs aimed at improving... substance by numerous *treaties*, laws, *Supreme Court decisions*, and *executive orders*. The Department of Homeland Security has provided substantive funding and equipment for DHS purposes to cooperating IRA tribes. The Blackfeet tribal court justices (Arrowtop, 2012), *deferred* to tribal “emotional sovereignty” in “this country,” during the “modern era.” (*Cross reference: practical sovereignty*). In each tribal case demonstration “funding” was an influential factor in advancing substantive policy and practices not being of indigenous culture and tradition, running contrary to funding and taxation principle embraced by the “General welfare” *clauses* of the US Constitution. “The power went no further, however: for though Congress might appropriate *ad libitum*, it could not gain by virtue of the appropriation any jurisdictional rights over the improvement for which the grant was made.” (*See: Fordham Law Review, The General welfare Clauses in the Constitution of the United States, Herman J. Herbert, Jr., Rev. 390 (1938), (a). Internal Improvements, Comments, p. 399*). In the Blackfeet case demonstration, those tribal administrators, in consultation with BIA officials have been *coerced*, through mandates, the tribe would lose federal funding in the event the tribal officials did not make specific capitulations affecting tribal sovereignty and jurisdiction, and evidentiary facts. The usual junk English is, refusing “the pork BARrel,” “buy-in,” and taking the “dole.” (*Cross reference: spring broad legislation*).

<sup>43</sup> **There is no federal common law, and Congress has no power to declare substantive rules of Common law applicable in a state, whether they be local or general in their nature, be they commercial law or part of law of torts** (See: *ERIE Railroad Co. vs. Thompkins*, 304 U.S. 64, 82 L. Ed. 1188.). This applies to types of “tribal law.”

services, and can be sued and enjoined to provide such services, in the State of Montana, wherein the Montana Secretary of State has registered the corporation. The tribal law and order codes are subject to the “approval” of the US Secretary of the Interior.<sup>44</sup> (*See: Conroy v. Frizzell, 429 F. Supp. 918 (S.S.D. 1977), aff’d 575 F. 2nd 175 8th Cir. 1978*). BAR lawyers by enforceable standards rely on substantive federal law in their BAR court administration of justice. Their disrespect for “settled” indigenous law is exemplified by, “U.S. Attorney Brendan Johnson *researched* the allegation and *decided* that the Native Americans who honored the fallen officers [Rapid City, S.D.] were within their *legal rights*... Most Native Americans would agree with the assessment of Brendan Johnson... offering their condolences in the *best* way they knew and by offering a *religious gift* as sacred as an eagle feather... exercising their religious beliefs by having them (eagle feathers) buried with their fallen *comrades*. (*Cross reference: Double headed Eagle symbol & motif*). The *folks* of the Black Hills *Treaty Council* is an important part of the overall makeup of the different Sioux *Tribes*. The treaty council believes the “IRA governments do not represent the people, but are illegal governments... they are the only governments recognized by congress... IRA governments are the *law of the land*.” “Eagle feathers are a sacred and highly regarded *relic* of the Indian people... they are handed out on special occasions... never given to non-Indians because of the law... comes to religion and spirituality... Oglala [IRA tribal] Officers... attempted to bridge the *gap of disunity*... fellow fallen officers. (*See: News article, Rapid City, the offering of the eagle feathers to the Rapid City police officers killed in the line of duty was not against the law, 2012*). It appears that US Attorney Johnson acted outside of the judicial branch of government by merely injecting his legal prestige, e.g. USDOJ. “Within legal rights” does not necessarily constitute “lawful rights.” US

---

<sup>44</sup> *Conroy v. Frizzell, 429 F. Supp. 918 (D.S.D. 1977), aff’d, 575 F.2d 175 8th Cir. 1978*). In Conroy, the defendant contended that Part 11 of 25 CFR applied and invalidated the appointment of a judge to the Oglala Sioux Tribal Court for the reason that the judge appointed was not a member of the tribe, in violation of 25 CFR 11.3(d). Referring to provisions of the Oglala Sioux Tribal Code and regulatory provisions identical to those presently found at 25 CFR 11.1(e), the court stated: In the Constitution and By-Laws of the Oglala Sioux Tribe, the Tribal Council is empowered to establish reservation courts, and define the duties and powers of those courts \* \* \*. The Oglala Sioux Tribal Council has enacted provisions of its Code which set up qualifications for Oglala Sioux Tribal Judges \* \* \*. These provisions of the Code were approved by the Superintendent of the Pine Ridge Agency of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Under *25 U.S.C. § 1a*, approval by the Agency Superintendent is tantamount to approval by the Secretary of the Interior. The judicial qualifications so enacted by the Oglala Sioux Tribal Council do not include a requirement that a tribal judge be a member of the tribe.

attorney Johnson appears to misconstrue constructive agent ‘*privileges*’ as rights. US BAR attorney Johnson’s bias is noted in his statement to the chairman of the Standing Rock tribal council as the treaty covenant is dead, and the chairman could “read the treaty in prison.” (7 Fires Council, Emerson Elk, 2012). The agent’s social role is one of being above the law disguised as ‘unity’ among “*comrades.*” (*Cross reference: BAR courts & lawyers, officers of the court, “brothers in the bond”*). The people of “Indian country” are required to brand eagle feathers will *federal control numbers*, in order to possess the “*sacred object.*” (*see: American Indian Religious Freedoms Act, P.L. 95-341*). The Oglala IRA tribal enforcement / public safety *officers* act according to oaths of allegiance to the UNITED STATES CORPORATION and its *state* sub-departments, and the federal legal entities: “tribal business committees,” and ‘tribal councils.’ The enforcement officers are recruited, oriented and trained according to state police institutional curriculums, concurrent to federal “guidelines,” and “standards.” Hiring preference is given to US combat service veterans, while Indians speaking to Independence and Sovereignty are caricaturized as modern era “Indian activists,” such as Russell Means and countless others systematically disenfranchised from ‘equal opportunity employment.’ The newspaper journalist was operative, e.g. beyond facts and speculated on the ‘*theory of uniformity,*’ and ‘social consensus,’ ‘*public mind*’ and relied on the BAR US attorney Johnson to decide what law is, while *marginalizing* the IRA treaty area council as “*folks,*” and only considering their ‘advisory’ role after the Rapid City Police department decided to act *above the law* of the land, using the ‘legal prestige’ of the US Attorney’s office. The “treaty council” is not made of “folks.” The treaty council members are officially appointed tribal members. The IRA echelon corporate tribal appointments are wrongfully grounded in the context of treaty signer standing. In the first and final instance, the IRA tribal business committees and councils did not

exist at the treaty covenant signings, nor did the State of South Dakota.<sup>45</sup> The operative journalist mis-encoded the eagle feather as an anthropological Indian “Relic.” (*Cross reference: Symbolic bird of the United States of America*). In the event that the “relic” (eagle feather) did not have a federal control number, the eagle feather is *contraband*, and buried with their fallen “comrades.” The Oglala tribal officer giving the eagle feather is an enrolled tribal member, however is not a *traditionally perfected* Lakota, e.g. while acting as an IRA tribal enforcement agent. (HeCrow, 7-2012). The operative journalist and US attorney appear to be in concert. The encoding “folks” is usual to group think “collaboration” co-mingled with “alternative dispute resolution.” The false premise is that “collaborative governance” enhances “democracy.” As a secular political ideology it combines the *legislative, executive* and *judicial* branches of government. The prosecution of ‘disputes’ is a matter for the judiciary. The collaborative/dispute resolution process ideal creates *conflict of interest* and *duty* within the tribal ‘decision teams’ and fuels a contentious social atmosphere, as demonstrated by chronic nepotism in tribal councils, administrations, judiciary and enforcement departments. A US District Attorney exercising due diligence would not exacerbate confusion resulting in further distrust set off by disregarding the *sacred possession* and *use of sacred objects*, e.g. Eagle feathers. (*Cross reference: Goon Squads, AIRFA 95-341, UN Declaration ON the rights of Indigenous peoples, Declaration by indigenous People*). In this case demonstration the UN DRIP relies on the “[UN member] states shall adjudicate”

---

<sup>45</sup> These invented entities do not have rightful *standing* in treaty matters. During a meeting, Chief Dave Bald Eagle made these indispensable facts know to the members of the tribal council and BAR attorney Steve Emery. Attorney Emery was directly confronted with his misrepresentations by Chief Dave Bald Eagle during the meeting with the tribal council members. (*See: Infraspect, case file, statement of Lakota Chief Dave Bald Eagle, 2013*). Since that meeting, Steve Emery (BAR attorney) was ‘made’ a Chief during a “Sun Dance”, held on tribal allotted property of Crow Dog, as well as others likewise appointed. (*See: Seven Fires Council, Emerson Elk, 2013*). This sets off a matter of attorney professional responsibility, e.g. solicitation and acceptance of a “title of nobility.” Steve Emery’s practice profile includes, Current: Owner at Emery Law Firm. Past: Senior Tribal Attorney at Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Artist In Residence at Mato Tanka Recording Studio, Recording Artist at Mato Tanka Productions. Education: *Harvard Law School*, The University of South Dakota (1986), Nebraska Indian Community College, Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center, Marty Indian School. Summary: G.E.D. at Marty Indian School, Yankton Sioux Adult Education, June 1977. Following suit, Steve Emery did announce candidacy for a *Democratic Party* nomination for *S.D. State Senate*, as an *enrolled member* of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, advocating for rights of Indians and *non-Indian landowners*, while a member of the *South Dakota BAR* since October 1989. Indian BAR associations, as incorporated and published, engage in secular political activities. (*Cross reference: National Indian BAR Association;lawyers Guild; The Harvard Project; Nation buidling (Bush Foundation), Sioux’s IRA tribal council adoption of Sustainable Development plan and Uniform Commercial Code; Montana representative Shannon J. Augare (Mo. Democrat)/Blackfeet business and executive committee member*).



“protection” of “*spiritual property*.” This “adjudication” flies a false flag of ‘consultation’ with the indigenous ‘institutions’ comprised of accredited and authenticated experts according to UN standards of acceptance. The global over-state relies on “government to government” relations exhibited by, “Attorney General Eric Holder signed (U.C.C. § 1-201 (39) the new *policy*<sup>46</sup> after extensive department consultation with tribal leaders and tribal groups,” re: possess or use eagle feathers. The manipulation of the pretext and context of the consultations are “feathers,” “an issue of great cultural significance to many tribes,” “unique and important role in the religious and cultural life of many tribes,” “Federal wildlife laws such as the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act... criminalize the killing... commercialization... ensure that eagle... remain healthy and sustainable... Many Indian tribes... members have historically used... for their cultural and religious expression,” “This policy will help ensure a consistent and uniform (theory of uniformity) approach across the nation... protecting and preserving eagles... honoring cultural and spiritual significance American Indians” (Holder)... “striking the right balance... respecting the cultural and religious practices of federally recognized Indian tribes... unique government-to-government

---

<sup>46</sup> Sovereign original beings, real humans, are not the ‘public.’ The attorney for the US federal government, E. Holder, *signed* policy on behalf of the US Justice Department re: possession, use, and distribution of eagle feathers. “Public policy is a *principle of law* that no subject can be lawfully do that which is a *tendency* to be injurious to the public.” (Black’s Law dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed.). During a University of Oregon, PIELC convened panel, addressing indigenous affairs, Rene Smokey (Washoe) declared, “I am not the public, I am Washoe!” A *tendency* as applied to a “statute, regulation, rule of law, course of action, or object, considered with reference to the social or political well-being of the state.” Indian sovereigns (nations) are not states, even though the subdivision state (trusts) pretentiously invent “domestic dependent nations,” pursuant to which corporate “partnership” requirements of disclosure are violated *visa vi innovative policy decisions*. *Native American Church v. Navajo Tribal Council*, 272 F 2d. 131 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1959). As a species of “lottery” whereby the chance [tendency] is determined by numbers; “numbers game” also being a lottery. *People v. Hines*, 258 App.Div. 466, 17 N.Y. S.2d 141, 142. The bet is placed with the policy writer. When “law” is construed to be “that which is to the advantage of the majority” a numbers game is applied, such as in ‘social justice.’ (*Cross reference; open IRA tribal enrollment*). “Social justice” may be determined within the ‘*public mind*,’ which can be demented and controlled by the ‘inner circle’ of ‘collaborators’ acting as ‘decision makers.’ (*cross reference: proof of concept, values neutral, market driven standards, consensus reality, common purpose, worldview, world mind, world spirit, UN D.R.I.P.-- spiritual property*). “Thus, certain classes of acts re said to be “against public policy,” when the law refuses to enforce or recognize them, on the ground that they have a mischievous tendency, so as to be injurious to the interests of the state, apart from illegality or immorality. When churches are incorporated, their directorates consent to abide by “fundamental public policy.” This means obey the statutes, regulations, rules, codes and policies, while abrogating “preeminent moral leadership.” (*Cross reference: DHS, faith based organization contractors*). Indigenous identity Theft & fraud have a mischievous tendency, e.g. underlying motive stipulated in ‘interdiction campaigns’ executed in preemptive legislation, legislative offenses, political crimes, crimes of omission (culpable ignorance), compromising the indigenous sacred estate held in the land, forced fee patents, construction of false rights and benefits, government tax certificates, tax deed sales, conversion of personal / individual allodial ownership and property to IRA corporate assets and debt collateral.

relationship... sought tribal input... 2011, consultations June, July, August 2012].” “Many Native Americans have viewed eagle feather... sacred elements (USDOJ, Ass. AG Env. Nat. Resources, Ignacia S. Moreno).” “... step forward by establishing a consistent and transparent policy.... Enforcement of the nation’s wildlife laws... respects the cultural and religious practices of federally recognized Indian tribes and their members.” “Its reasoned approach reflects a greater understanding for cultural beliefs and spiritual practices.” [Auditor’s notation: note psychological reversal]. “This *policy* helps to clarify how federal enforcement goes about protecting... reassure federally recognized tribal members” (Brendan V. Johnson, Chairman Native American Issues Subcommittee, USDOJ, SD). “... we remain dedicated to providing every American with the opportunity to experience them in the wild (USFWS, Director Dan Ashe). It is noteworthy that the USFWS terminated over 5,000 American Bald Eagles in Alaska to protect the commercial value and harvest of pacific salmon. The “*policy* expands upon longstanding Department of Justice practice and USDI *Policy*... close coordination with USDOJ ENRD, USFWS, BIA. (See: *Sherry Rupert*, [srupert@NIC.NV.GOV](mailto:srupert@NIC.NV.GOV), 10-12-12 to [NVICWA@LISTSERV.STATE.NV.US](mailto:NVICWA@LISTSERV.STATE.NV.US), *USDOJ Department Announces Policy*). The US departments are following the executive order of President Obama to “implement” (absent of ratification) the United Nations “Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.” (See: *Infraspect on the UN Declaration on the rights of Indigenous Peoples*). The UN’s declaration provides for ‘member states’ to “adjudicate” the “protection” of Indigenous peoples “spiritual property.” The capstone doctrine applies, e.g. collaboration among the inner circle, ‘government-to-government.’ The Eagle is part of the indigenous original beings (real

humans) “Indigenous sacred estate held in the land.”<sup>47</sup> (*See: Declaration by indigenous People, attachment*). Those lawyers, attorneys, legal council engaged in “consultations” with IRA tribal authorities and incorporates are ‘negotiating’ with subordinates, and furthermore are enfranchised through foreign accreditations, certifications, licenses, fraternities, religious faith based organizations, the British Administrative Registry (BAR), and swearing oaths of office. (*Cross reference: Misprisions of felony, perjury, treason*). The *secular legal profession* possesses strong vocational traditions, directly drawing upon western *university law professors* and the university trained *jurists*, which constitute a *secular civil service*, providing a systematic body of legal concepts and doctrines to meet political, economic and social conditions. Social justice (arbitrary change, normative historic fiction) is not the ‘*science of law*.’ The unification of the law is the work of the oligarchy and monarchy ‘operating largely through the common law courts.’ This control manifested the inception of the capitalist era—the creation of a uniform law, *Uniform Commercial Codes*. The *heritage of feudalism* is a unified body of institutions and rules, while lacking in logic, are capable of being intellectually absorbed and applied, e.g. “innovative law.” The

---

<sup>47</sup> “Indigenous sacred estates held in the land” is determined by original beings, real humans, by each one’s “*superlative degree of human identity*” (Blair). The western legal doctrine distinguishes that estates may be *absolute* or *conditional*. “Present” and “Future enjoyment” are abstract terms subjected to economic ‘sustainable development,’ UCC (theory of uniformity), religious corporation dogma/doctrine and trans-national corporate (TNC) sub-contracts, innovative international law, and global processes of *re-socialization change agency*, e.g. *indigenous worldview*, values neutral, goal value priorities, world mind, and world spirit. The treaty covenants, as comprehended by real humans, original beings, were expressly *executed*. This expressed execution gave lawful substance to the actual *estate in possession*. (*2Bl.Comm. 162*). The indigenous *children yet to be born*, men and women are vested, inalienable, unalienable. The real human estate is superior to the manifestation of corporate estates, trust, because ‘free people maintain control over the *instruments* they create.’ The degree, quantity, natural attributes, and scope of interest in which an original being, real human has in real and personal property may be in lands, tenements, and hereditaments that signify such interest. Western precepts of law, and inject ‘common law’ use case law to depict the “condition or circumstance in which the owner stands with regard to his property. *Boyd v. Sibold, 7 Wash.2d 279, 109 P.2d 535, 539.*” In this pretext and context the term “estate” is in connection with conveyances, e.g. “rights,” “title” and “Interest,” and in degrees is synonymous with all. The laws of inheritance, in the indigenous context, go with the *customs* and *traditions* of those given powers. The “Uniform Probate Code” has been used as substantive federal law in “federal Indian reservations.” The tribal codes, statutes, are merely copied with Native American *themes*, controlled by BAR attorneys (Esq),lawyers, and judges. Common law classifications of estate, e.g. original, vested, derivative, qualified, small, at sufferance, at will, absolute, conditional, executed, executory devise, remainder, contingent, conventional, dominant, expectant, particular servient, settled, and futures are societal inventions. These surrogate instruments are subordinate to the establishment clause, e.g. First amendment to the US Constitution, “Congress shall make no laws respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof... such language prohibits a state or federal government from setting up a church, or passing laws which aid one, or all, religions, or giving preference to one religion, or forcing belief or disbelief in any religion.” *Everson v. Board of Education, 330 U.S. 1, 67 S.Ct. 504, 91 L.Ed. 711; McCollum v. Brd. Of Education, 333 U.S. 203, 68 S.Ct. 461, 92 L.Ed. 649.*

processes of the common law primarily meet the needs and habits of a legal profession organized in *guilds*, preserving the vocational bodies. *Systematisation* is not necessary to overcome the *chaos* of local laws and customs. It is important to comprehend that, “Both the commonlawyer and the Roman jurist avoid generalizations and; so far as possible definitions.” (*Cross reference: formal rationality, Max Weber*). The Arrowtop (Blackfeet tribal court, appellate court, 2012) case is a demonstration of (1) generalizations, (2) lack of definitions and (3) conclusive presumptions. This answers the Rothschild model: abstraction; confusion; and injection of professionally admitted experts. (*Cross reference: Doctrine of Precedent*).

The matter of Glacier County’s proof of jurisdiction to issue warrants, e.g. tax deeds’ and taking Blackfeet people’s real and personal property is a commonly known **contentious matter**. The Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution was adopted 1870, which provided, “right of citizens of the U.S. shall not be denied or abridged by the U.S. or any State, on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude.” The following year the US Citizenship Act of 1870 was passed. See: *United States v. Reese; Elk v. Wilkins, supra*. This applies to the establishment of “Glacier County, Montana” and the exclusion of Indians from the processes, adjudication, voting, and rightful participation in establishing Glacier county, especially over-lapping their treaty territory. “The supreme Court held that state Statutes did not take precedent over Constitutional law.” *James v. Kentucky, 466 US 341, 80 LED 2d 346, 104 S. Ct. 1830 (1984)*. The “states are a party to the Constitution.” *Padelford, Fay & Co. v. The Mayor & Alderman of the City of Savannah, 14 Ga. 438 (1954)*. The matter of jurisprudence and Glacier County’s lawful standing from the **first instance** has been over-looked, while ‘*ulterior motives*’ embedded in matters of ‘*eminent domain*’ and particular arrangements (compacts, agreements) as made go to taxation “revenue sharing,” extending to actual bank loan creditor benefits, operating under SEC and FDIC federal statutes. The *county* of Glacier, if in deed is lawfully established, shares “*supremacy*” with the *state* of Montana and the *city* of Browning, Montana. There is a US Post Office located in the city center. The ‘states are not subject to federal direction.’ (*US Supreme Court Justice Scalia*). The county of Glacier, Commissioner Sitzman, has filed a suit in US District Court against Arrowtop, Blackfeet tribal Judge Edwards and the tribe. The court must first prove jurisdiction, yet the court requested Arrowtop to accept a special judge. The matters of absolute and qualified immunity of Arrowtop and Judge Edwards are at issue. These court causes

of action involve *subject matter, inviolable sovereign immunity* (*US Const., Art. 6, Clause 1; Art. 8, Clause 17*), The laws pertaining to exclusive, concurrent, partial, and/or proprietary jurisdiction dictate the application of the court doctrine, "*pari materia*" (all together). The tribal constitution specifies "liberty," and "liquid" tribal boundaries. While the federal constitution is *interpreted* according to its "preamble," the tribal constitution's preamble appears to be *consequently* interpreted according to "federal Indian law." Likewise the state; and the federal government are required to *interpose* to protect the residents and the *citizens*. The maxim of *oath of office* is superior to officers of the court *obeying the statutes*. In the Arrowtop (judge, tribe, person) case demonstration the tribal court, the justices of the tribal appellate court (system) "derive the core of their substantive law" from 25 Codified Federal Regulations, BAR court case law, federal Indian law, federal law, this "country", in the "modern era," and "emotional sovereignty." The matter of "emotion sovereignty" by the tribal justices is exhibited by, "... Commissioners show a lack of respect for the Blackfeet Tribe, whose reservation encompasses approximately 90% of Glacier County." (*Cross reference: Pains, & Penalty*). The maxim of law applies—if it is not constitutional, it is unlawful,<sup>48</sup> which applies to the opinions, judgments and orders of "tribal courts of appeal." "The United States is a foreign corporation to the states. *19 CJS Sect. 884, Merriam's Estate 36 N.Y. 505, 141 N.Y. 479, U.S. Perkins 163 U.S. 623.*" In the Montana case demonstration the Governing Philosophy Requirements for all Candidates for the 6 top State Executive offices of the state (estate) of Montana include "learning" from

---

<sup>48</sup> "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." *Norton vs. Shelby County 118 US 425 p. 442.* "The general rule is that an *unconstitutional statute*, though having the form and the name of law, in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it." *16<sup>th</sup> American Jurisprudence 2n, Section 177, late 2<sup>nd</sup>, Section 256.* There are 4 types of constitutions: 1. constitution of nature, 2. constitution of society, 3. constitution of the state, 4. constitution of the government. A "statute is unconstitutional if it violates any of the above constitutions, of government, state, society or nature." (See: attachment IV).

*209 U.S. 123, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908)*, "which permits injunctive relief in federal court against state officers carrying out official duties in violation of the Constitution." *See Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co., 435 U.S. 151, 156 n. 6, 98 S.Ct. 988, 993 n. 6, 55 L.Ed.2d 179 (1978).* The Thirteenth Amendment BARed lawyers from ever holding a seat in public office. BAR association lawyers are 'admitted to practice' under the judicial branch of government, and when operating outside of the judiciary set off a matter of unconstitutional actions and misconduct. Dictionary definition of democracy is defined as: "A Socialist form of government and another form of Communism";

“**Democracy**” (Alexis de Tocqueville), “**Civil Government**, Second Essay” (John Locke), “Wealth of Nations” (Adam Smith), and “The Bible, Romans 13: 1-7; 1 Peter 2:13-17.” The “Executive Branch Officer Qualifications includes: subscribe... under **Natural law**... original limitations of the **rule of law** to the free exercise of the State’s rights and responsibilities under the Federal and State Constitutions.” The rule of law<sup>49</sup> is known as the “myth of the rule of law.” The term Democracy<sup>50</sup> **does not appear** in the Declaration by Independence, Articles of Confederation, and federal and State constitutions. ‘Democracy’ is defined as “A Socialist form of government and another form of Communism.” The Bible embodies ‘church law,’ ‘church doctrine,’ ‘Ecclesiastical law’ set in **Books**, including Romans 13 selected by the State of Montana as a **faithful** ‘standard.’ The new testament of the Bible is grounded in **astro-theology**. The source of humanity, the original beings, are substantiated in books of the new testament, Companion Bible version. The Vatican condemned the Declaration by Independence as “wickedness” and called the Constitution of the United States a “Satanic Document.” (*See: Avro Manhattan, The Dollar and the Vatican, Ozark Book Publishers, 1988, p. 26.*) Romans 13.1 says, “Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that are ordained of God.” **Rulers** are designated “he” as the “**minister of God.**” (*Cross reference: Personification, Solar cult, Humanist manifesto, capstone doctrine, benefit of clergy*). US President Bush publicly proclaimed, he is “minister of God.” Under benefit of clergy, had he been confirmed the “minister of god,” his plausible deniability and immunity would be sustained by his “**compurgators**,” which would attest to his innocence in under ecclesiastical law. The Criminal Law Act of 1827, and the congressional Act of 1790, c. 9, § 31, 1 Stat. 119 provided that there should be no benefit of clergy for any capital crime. In this modern era the

---

<sup>49</sup> “The source of the law which produces oppression and social division is almost always the state.” e.g. law arbitrary. The rule of law’s purpose is to enlist emotions of the public in support of society’s political power structure... **the rule of law is a myth and like all myths, it is designed to serve an emotive, rather than cognitive structure.** The myth of impersonal government is simply the most effective means of social control available to the state. (*See: The Myth of the Rule of law. John Hasnas, Board of Regents, Univ. of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Law Review, 199 (1995).*)

<sup>50</sup> United States” is a Legislative “Democracy” within the Constitutional Republic, and is known as the Federal united States”... the “Act to Provide a Government for the District of Columbia,” Section 34 of the Forty-First Congress of the United States, Session III, Chapter 61 and 62, enacted February 21, 1871, states that the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is a **corporation**, whose jurisdiction is applicable only in the ten-mile-square parcel of land known as the District of Columbia and to whatever properties are legally titled to the UNITED STATES.

decision makers, their collaborators, all as compurgators immunize one another, e.g. serial extra-judicial killings in the “war on terror.” (unsolemn war). The president, Chief Executive Officer of the United States corporation, unconstitutionally assumed a *title of nobility*, placed the title ‘minister of God’ and actuality under the union of [sovereign] States, subject to *fundamental public policy* law, which is promulgated by the democratic legislature, contrary to constitutional maxims of lawfulness (1<sup>st</sup> Amendment). The conventional notion of ‘separation of church and state’ was in actuality protection of the *church*<sup>51</sup> from the arbitrary force of the *state*, e.g. state terrorism, despotism, repeated violations of “God’s perfect law of liberty.” The *Northwest ordinance* imputed foreign Christian religion, morality and institutional knowledge as criteria for *colonial*

---

<sup>51</sup> A group of Christians; church is a biblical word for “*assembly*.” The church visible “consists of all those throughout the world that profess *the true religion*, together with their children.” It is called “visible” because its members are known and its assemblies are public. (***Cross reference: IRS tax shelter, SIC, Religious Corporation; Church Corporation, Cult of the little fishes, Solar cult, Stellar Cult, Delphi Cult, congregation, low status knowledge, spiritism, para-religious organization, faith based organization***). Here there is a mixture of “wheat and chaff,” of saints and sinners. “*God has commanded* his people to organize themselves into distinct visible *ecclesiastical* communities, with *constitutions*, laws, and officers, badges, ordinances, and discipline, for the great purpose of giving visibility to his kingdom, of making known the gospel of that kingdom, and of gathering in all its *elect subjects*. Each one of these distinct organized communities which is *faithful* to the great King is an integral part of the visible church, and all together constitute the catholic or universal visible church. The Pope can abolish any law in the United States (***Elements of Ecclesiastical Law Vol. 1, 53-54***). The Pope claims to own the entire planet through the laws of Conquest and Discovery. (Pap al Bulls of 1495 & 1493; papal bulls of 1455 & 1493; Bened. XIV., De Syn Dioec, lib, ix, c. vii., n4. prati, 1844 Syllabus prop 28, 29, 44). (***Cross reference: Ecclesiastical Property***).

*subjects* to petition the united States for admission, e.g. *statehood*.<sup>52</sup> The *faith* embedded in the Northwest ordinance provided a *legal* springboard for the US civil/military capstone doctrine of ‘*total warfare*’ campaigns

---

<sup>52</sup> *Northwest ordinance*, (Thomas Jefferson), Article 3 (1787) states: “Religion, Morality [meaning Christian character] and knowledge being necessary to good government and the happiness of mankind, Schools and the means of education shall forever be encouraged (*Public Statutes at Large of the United States of America, vol. 1, 1845*). (See: *The Myth of Separation, BARTon*). The Northwest Ordinance, Article 3 provides, “The utmost good faith shall always be observed toward the Indians; their land and property shall never be taken from them without their consent; and in their property, rights, and liberty, they never shall be invaded or disturbed, unless in just and lawful wars authorized by Congress; but laws founded in justice and humanity shall from time to time be made, for preventing wrongs being done to them, and for preserving peace and friendship with them.” See: Cohen, Handbook, chapter 4., Federal Indian Legislation, Section 1. p. 69). The **Act of July 22, 1790** was titled, “**An Act to regulate trade and intercourse with the Indian tribes.**” **Section 4 declared:** That no sale of lands made by any Indians, or any nation or tribe of Indians within the United States, shall be valid to any person or person, or to any state, whether having the right of pre-emption to such lands or not, unless the same shall be **made and duly executed at some public treaty, held under the authority of the United States.**” [Auditor’s notation: The Pacific Fisheries Treaty, the several treaty settlement agreements, especially those manipulating the regulation of ‘water’ (aggregate re-allocation) were SECRETED and fly in the face of the above cited Congressional Acts]. The Northwest ordinance was reenacted in 1789, framed as models for state constitutions, pursuant to an enabling Act. The “high sounding” words “ordinance,” and “compact” in the northwest ordinance were lacking an actual ‘contracting’ treaty party, e.g. indigenous hereditary leaders as diplomats. Justice Thomas, in his concurring opinion, *Rosenberger v. University of Virginia, 1995*: “A broader tradition can be traced at least as far back as the First Congress, which ratified the Northwest ordinance of 1787... Article 3 III of that famous enactment of the Confederation Congress had provided: ‘Religion, morality, and knowledge... being necessary to good government and the happiness of mankind, schools and the means of learning shall forever be *encouraged*’... Congress subsequently set aside federal lands in the Northwest Territory and other territories for the use of schools... Many of the schools that enjoyed the benefits of these land grants undoubtedly were church-affiliated sectarian institutions as there was no requirement that the schools be ‘Public.’ In 1845 Congress limited land grants in the new States and Territories to non-sectarian schools.” According to Justice Thomas: “See e.g. *Act of Feb. 20, 1833, ch. 42, 4 Stat. 618-619* (authorizing the State of Ohio to sell ‘all or any part of the lands heretofore reserved and appropriated by Congress for the support of religion within the Ohio Company’s... purchase... and to invest the money arising from the sale thereof, in some productive fund; the proceeds of which shall be for ever annually applied. For the support of religion within the several townships for which said lands were originally reserved and set apart, and for no other use or purpose whatsoever.’) The 1803 treaty with the Kaskaskia, provided for “**baptized**” and “received into the Catholic Church.” These protracted provisions provided a springboard to acquiring ownership and property. In the above cited treaty, Congress provided “a stipend of \$100 annually” from the federal treasury to the Catholic priests to “minister” to the .... Indians., e.g. Cherokees in 1807.” In the debate over the *Jay treaty*, the House of Representatives, noting its exclusion from ‘treaties,’ Abraham Baldwin’s exemplified, the “introduction of an established religion from a foreign country.” “It is significant that Jefferson did not register any doubts about this treaty violating the NO Establishment clause.” “Van Buren’s treaty with the Oneida in 1838 called not only for ‘erection of a church’ but also for a ‘parsonage house.’”. Commercial law surfaces in Cords, referring to Justice Rutledge’s dissent in *Everson v. Board of Education, 1947*: “Justice Rutledge does not mention, for example, that the footnotes in the Reuben Quick Bear Case indicate that ‘Catholic mission schools were erected at the cost of charitable Catholics’ and with the approval of the United States government [war department].... In 1820, twenty-one schools conducted by different religious societies were [funded]... “to aid these schools” and “engaged in these enterprises.” The Commissioner of Indian Affairs report (1824-1831) document that the government supported church schools run by the Society of the United Brethren, the American Board of Foreign Missions, the Baptist General Convention, the Hamilton Baptist Missionary Society, the Cumberland Missionary Board, the Synod of South Carolina and Georgia, the United



(dynamic entry- absent of virtues) against the *original beings* of indigenous ordered societies, the *righteous nation vs. wicked nations*.

The appearance and use of “*comity*” is embedded court judge’s and justice’s cherry picking of *case law precedents*, *theory of uniformity*, *capitulation* and *acquiescence* to federal, state, and sub-division jurisdictions, while *indigenous settled law* is held silent in “issues of law” to be decided by the court. *Ancillary jurisdiction* could have been *adjudicated* by the Blackfeet tribal court and appellate court, e.g. “Power of court to adjudicate and determine matters incidental to the exercise of its primary jurisdiction of an action.” Under “*ancillary jurisdiction doctrine*” federal district court acquires jurisdiction of case or controversy as an entirety and may... possess jurisdiction to decide other matters raised by case, though district court could not have taken cognizance of them if they had been independently presented. *Ortman v. Stanray Corp., C.A.III., 371 F.2d 154, 157*. The Montana state (Glacier county) and Blackfeet tribal business committee “tax revenue sharing agreements” go against the requirements of controversy. The principle party standing controversy of Bernadine Arrowtop before the court[s] was nullified, as [she] (Blackfeet, women) was isolated, disenfranchised, alienated and expatriated, as well as her written contentions. The Blackfeet “Ancients” (honorary tribal council) have merely an IRA tribal business committee advisory role, and in Arrowtop (2012) were not solicited and heard by the federal district court judge pro temp, and Blackfeet court[s] in the matter of ownership, *restricted* Indians, property, tribal land and reservation. This is also exhibited the *multifariousness* in the ordered legal chaos and invention of “*innovative international law*” as arbitrarily applied to reservations and Indian country. The membership of the officers of the court precisely shows the swaying of allegiance to professionalism among the incorporated lawyers. The *immutable promise* to the indigenous and Indian client is often inferior to ‘professional loyalties.’ (*Cross reference: ‘brothers in the bond,’ consensus, values voting among the seated justices, majoritarian hearsay, BAR association codes of ethics, ELAW, Trans Pacific Partnership*). It is essential to comprehend the meaning of comity and its far flung applications among the trial courts, municipal diversions (mediation, arbitration, alternative dispute resolution) to

districts, circuits, superior courts, and supreme court officers. <sup>53</sup> Those court officers subordinated to ‘consensus’ and ‘collaborative’ secular process ideologies favorably weight ‘contracts,’ ‘agreements,’ ‘compacts,’ and ‘memorandums of understanding,’ and especially “revenue sharing agreements” among tribal *business committees*, departments, municipalities and ‘Private government Organizations.’ These *particularistic arrangements* carry ulterior motives (underlying motives)

---

<sup>53</sup> Courtesy; respect; a disposition to perform some official act out of goodwill and tradition rather than obligation or law. The acceptance or Adoption of decisions or laws by a court of another jurisdiction, either foreign or domestic, based on public policy rather than legal mandate.

In comity, an act is performed to promote uniformity, limit litigation, and, most important, to show courtesy and respect for other court decisions. It is not to be confused with full faith and credit, the constitutional provision that various states within the United States must recognize the laws, acts, and decisions of sister states. “The Conformity Act used to designate Act of June 1, 1872, c. 255, § 5, 17 Stat. 197, providing that the practice, pleadings, and forms and modes of proceeding in civil causes, other than equity and admiralty causes, in the federal district court shall conform, as near as may be, to those existing in like causes in the courts of the state within which such district courts are held. Since the adoption of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 28 U.S.C.A., the Conformity Act is no longer effective. *Hydraulic Press Mfg. Co. v. Williams, White & Co., C.C.A. Ill. 1947, 165 F.2nd 489.*”

Comity of nations is a recognition of fundamental legal concepts that nations share. It stems from mutual convenience as well as respect and is essential to the success of international relations. This body of rules does not form part of International Law; however, it is important for public policy reasons. Judicial comity is the granting of reciprocity to decisions or laws by one state or jurisdiction to another. Since it is based upon respect and deference rather than strict legal principles, it does not require that any state or jurisdiction adopt a law or decision by another state or jurisdiction that is in contradiction, or repugnant, to its own law. Comity of states is the voluntary acceptance by courts of one state of the decision of a sister state on a similar issue or question.

and go to the practice of ‘*eminent domain*,’<sup>54</sup> and strategic state/county

---

<sup>54</sup> (26 AM. JUR. 3D, EMINENT DOMAIN § 49 (2004) §§ 50-52). In *Johnston v. Alabama Public Service Commission* it is noted, “[s]trictly speaking, the Legislature cannot delegate the power of eminent domain. It cannot divest itself of sovereign powers.”

---

<sup>55</sup> The county of Glacier, Montana, has an ulterior motive, real estate marketeering as illustrated by, “Tax lien certificates are backed by real estate for added safety.” [Auditor’s notation: “backed by real estate for added safety” goes to insuring the ‘product[s]’ with real property as an asset. It is essential to note the population size of Browning, Mt, e.g. FDIC specifications]. “Tax Deeds instead of placing a lien on a property with delinquent taxes, counties in some states foreclose on the properties and sell the property for literally only the taxes owed to investors. You can buy incredible properties at tax deed sales for 50%, 75%, or more than 90% below market value. And here’s a little known secret. In states like Texas, Georgia, Delaware and Rhode Island, tax deeds carry a right of redemption bearing an interest rate penalty that can be as high as 25%. This means you get the full interest rate even if the tax deed is redeemed right after the sale, giving you annual returns as high as 300% per year. Georgia offers a redemption fee to investors of 20%, if redeemed within one year. If the property owner redeems in one month, your effective annual interest rate is 240%. Texas offers a redemption fee to investors of 25% for most properties, when redeemed within 6 months. If the property owner redeems in one month, your effective annual interest rate is 300%. Delaware offers a redemption fee to investors of 15%. If the property owner redeems in one month, your effective annual interest rate is 180%. This process is very similar to a tax lien certificate. The following U.S. states and Canadian provinces have tax deed sales and/or tax lien certificates... Alaska, Alberta, Arizona, Arkansas, British Columbia, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Manitoba, Michigan, Minnesota, [Montana], Nevada, New Brunswick, Newfoundland, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Nova Scotia, Ohio, Oklahoma, Ontario, Pennsylvania, Prince Edward Island, Quebec, Rhode Island, Saskatchewan, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Wisconsin and Washington. Success in life is often about finding hidden opportunities before everyone else, and tax deed sales are definitely a hidden investment opportunity. (*See: Rogue Investors, Government Tax lien certificates and Real Estate Foreclosures*). In the South Dakota, Butte County sale of ‘range land’ to Calgary-based TransCanada Corp, was “land taken over by the county” re: government tax lien certificates, tax sales deed. TransCanada was the sole bidder (Commissioner Smeenk). The pipeline is trans-national, and serves trunk lines into North Dakota’s “oil patch.” The land’s conversion encompasses having been an “allotment” to an Indian, subjugated to county taxation, e.g. revenue sharing agreements. The matter of “Just Compensation”, “which is fair to both the owner and the public when property is taken... that the private property shall not be taken for public use [government tax deed sale, tax lien certificates] without just compensation, “just compensation” means the full monetary equivalent of the property taken. *U.S. v. Reynolds, Ky., 397 U.S. 14, 90 S.Ct. 803, 805, 25 L.Ed.2d 12. Includes all elements, Jacobs v. U.S. Ala., 290 U.S. 13, 54 S.Ct. 26, 78 L.Ed 142.* Glacier County offers a significant profit margin beyond the tax value equivalent of the Arrowtop property, in the City of Browning. The value of the property escalated with the Keystone Oil Pipe Line (TransCanada) boon 2012-13.

“*Section 24 of the Bank Act* states that a national bank shall have the power to deal in securities and stock, but that [t]he business of dealing in securities and stock by the [bank] shall be limited to purchasing and selling such securities and stock without recourse, solely upon the order, and for the account of, customers, and in no case for its own account, and the [bank] shall not underwrite any issue of securities or stock *12 U.S.C. § 24(Seventh); see also NationsBank, 513 U.S. at 256, 115 S.Ct. at 813* (quoting language of the statute). This section of the Bank Act was amended by *section 16 of the Glass-Steagall Banking Act of 1933, 48 Stat. 162, 184 (codified as amended at 12 U.S.C. § 24(Seventh))*. The reasons for the amendment were many, but most centered around the desire to prevent in the future the damage done to national banks by the then recent collapse of the stock market. Congress was concerned not only about the obvious danger to a bank’s deposit accounts caused by its investment in speculative securities; it also was concerned about the indirect pressure that involvement in securities investment would cause. See *Investment Co. Inst. v. Camp, 401 U.S. 617, 631, 91 S.Ct. 1091, 1099, 28 L.Ed.2d 367 (1971)* (“Moreover, the pressure to sell a particular investment and to make the affiliate successful might create a risk that the bank would make its credit facilities more freely available to those companies in whose stock or securities the

The foreign concept of admiralty (maritime), force of law, is face value in the BAR court's judicial indolence<sup>56</sup> as exemplified by, "Held: State and local governments may impose ad valorem taxes on *reservation land* that was made alienable by Congress and sold to non-Indians, but was later repurchased by the *tribe*. Pp. 6-11. The Goudy Court concluded... Congress would have to "clearly manifest" such a contrary purpose in order to counteract the consequences of *taxability* that *ordinarily flows* from alienability. Id. At 149... Burke Act proviso and of the GAA manifested an unmistakably clear intent to allow state and local taxation of allotted land. (*See: Cass County, Minnesota, Et Al., v. Leech Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth District., No. 97-174, decided 6-8-1998*). Justice Thomas delivered the '*opinion*,' [majoritarian hearsay] "under the tests established by our precedents." The justices of the court are secular political appointees, who hold social, political and religious convictions as identified by their individual garments, costumes, the posted flag, chamber organization and objects,<sup>57</sup> British Administrative Registry (BAR) loyalty promises, which appear in known literature pertaining to the Masonic order of fraternity. Other officers of the 'political court' claiming to abide by 'rule of law,' and merely apply 'force of law arbitrary.'<sup>58</sup> Multifariousness exists in the term "manifest." The federal district court's comingling of ecclesiastical and common law is obvious in the precedent of assimilation, e.g. the expatriation of the people of the indigenous sovereign, imposition of 'missionaries,' and 'churches.'

The underlying motives of the aggressing agent(s) are realized through their perfected *purposes* of *prosecuting* the taking of territories, living

---

<sup>56</sup> Luke 11:52 Viewing the 1769 King James Version and 1611 King James Version of Luke 11:52 Woe unto you, lawyers! for ye have taken away the key of knowledge: ye entered not in yourselves, and them that were entering in ye hindered. - 1769 Oxford King James Bible 'Authorized Version.' Oxford College is entitled "all souls college." (See: Allen Watts). (Cross reference: Ivy league).

<sup>57</sup> The BAR court chambers include a flag, a rail separating the people from the law, judge and lawyers, a higher bench position for the court administrators, while the presiding judge wears a black robe.

<sup>58</sup> Arbitrary means in an "arbitrary" manner, as fixed or done capriciously or at pleasure. Without adequate determining principle; not founded in the nature of things; nonrational; not done or acting according to reason or judgment; depending on the will alone; absolutely in power; capriciously; tyrannical, despotic." *Cornell v. Swisher County, Tex.Civ.App., 78 S.W.2d 1072, 1074*. Without fair, solid, and substantial cause; that is, without cause based on the law, *U.S. v. Lotempio, D.C.N.Y., 58 F.2d 358, 359*; not governed by any fixed rules or standard... synonymous with bad faith or failure to exercise honest judgment..." *Huey v. Davis, Tex.Civ.App., 556 S.W.2d 860, 865*.

places, natural assets, valuables, expressed intellectual ideas, inventions and the indigenous identity in and for itself. Although the expressed “treaties” were “covenants” these corporate papers written in ‘bad faith,’ were embedded with falsehoods, taking advantage of linguistic abstractions, sophistries and speech encoding usual to the *letter of the law*, e.g. “divine right of kings,” “right of conquest,” “right of (Christian) discovery,” “manifest destiny,” and “eminent domain.”<sup>59</sup> Mis-constructing

---

<sup>59</sup> There is a false pretence that the church and state are separated, in direct contradiction to the premises of the ‘right of kings, right of conquest, right of discovery, manifest destiny, and eminent domain.’ The matter of public welfare and purpose of eminent domain has advanced to mere ‘convenience’ of economic planners, under proof of concept commercial doctrine.

the *treaty covenants*<sup>60</sup> as contract law<sup>61</sup> assembled the foundational acts, statutes, codes, conventions, Habitat Conservation Plans, accords, agreements and false rights leadership models, which were protracted to *take* effect in cessations, without regard for pre-invasion ‘perpetual

---

<sup>60</sup> “There is no fixed or essential form for a covenant. Each covenant may constitute a separate sentence, and a single promise may embody the substance of several covenants. *Johnson v. Hollensworth*, 48 Mich. 140, 142, 11 N. W. 843.” “Words of proviso and condition will be construed into the words of covenant when such is the apparent intention and meaning of the parties.” *Hale v. Finch*, 104 U.S. 261, 268, 26 L.Ed. 732. “Same---Seal... the promise remains, and is not changed in a contract of a proper nature.” *Cram v. Bangor House Proprietary*, 12 Me. (3 Fairf.) 354, 358. “General Laws, c. 202, § 4, provides that no seal shall be required on an instrument conveying land, and that the word ‘covenant’ shall have the same effect as though a seal had been affixed.” “A covenant is simply a contract of a special nature... rule of interpretations thereof is to gather the intention of the parties... considering such surrounding circumstances as they are presumed to have considered when their minds met.” *Clark v. Devoe*, 124, N.Y. 120, 124, 26 N.E. 275, 276, 21 Am. St. Rep. 652. *Stubbs v. Page*, 2 Me. (2 Greenl.) 378, 381, and *Perry v. Rice*, 10 Tex. 367, 371. Breaches of the treaty covenant include receiving damages because a party has defaulted.” *Bailey v. White* 3 Ala. 330, 331; *Hambly v. Delawqre, M. & V. R. Co. (U.S.)* 21 Fed. 541, 551, 553. The Indian treaty covenants were “real” and “personal.” *Indiana Natural Gas & Oil co. v. Hinton*, 64 N.E. 224, 225, 159 Ind. 398. “An action of covenant at common law, “though in form for the recovery for damages, is the action based on a contract under seal.” *Stickney v. Stickney*, 21 N.H. (1 Fost.) 61, 68. “An action of covenant lies on a specialty exclusively, not on a specialty modified or enlarged by a simple contract. *Vicary v. Moore (Pa.)* 2 Watts, 451, 456, 27 Am. Dec. 323. Covenant for Quiet Enjoyment. Covenant of Warranty of Title. Covenant running with the Land. A “Covenant which “runs with the land” is one which follows the interest demised. It exists when the right or obligation created by the [treaty] covenant is attached to the interest conveyed [Arrowtop’s enjoyment as an individual original being], or to the estate [indigenous sacred estate held in the land], out of which it is created, so the right or obligation, upon an assignment of the estate, devolves upon the assignee.” *Tillotson v. Prichard*, 14 Atl. 302, 307, 60 Vt. 94, 6 Am. St. Rep. 95. “The “Covenant for quiet enjoyment” is one of the covenants for title in a conveyance... said to be an assurance consequent upon a defective title.” *Poposkey v. Munkwitz*, 32 N.W. 35, 37, 68 Wis. 322, 60 Am. Rep. 858 (Citing Rawle, Cov. 17, 125). Cross reference: Easement, of natural support. In the Arrowtop eviction case (*Glacier Co. v. tribe/judge/ Arrowtop consolidated petition, 2011-12*), [she] has declared a continuing state of *adversity*; and, “Actual disseisin,” the *actual adverse possession*. **The indigenous sacred estate held in the land according and regarding spiritual, cultural and tradition personalities embraces the scientifically expressed and proven “Golden Ratio.”** This is now “settled science,” through the expert judgment elicitation process usual to credulity, which marginalizes the ancient indigenous perception as ‘mysticism,’ which acknowledges “Intelligent Design,” implying the ‘creator of the universe’ (father, sky mother, etc) as operative in the making of the “treaty covenant.” (*See: Infraspect, Hierarchy of Participationism*). The *federally recognized title* and inferential ownership title (birth & baptismalcertificates) of the soul of the original being, real human (man, women) and sacred estates is clouded and defective, while relying on ‘conclusive presumptions’ of substantive federal Indian law, which flies in the face of settled indigenous law. Glacier county’s *right to convey* a covenant, even in the event the county is a lawfully established *political division*, has been challenged in tribal court, self said as based on ‘culture and tradition.’ The county relies on the law of the *state* of Montana, under which ‘*eminent domain*’ can not be assigned to the county (territorial sub-division) **administrators** (commissioners).

<sup>61</sup> **IN THE EVENT, that a ‘treaty covenant’ is construed as a “contract,”** then “Essence of the Contract” applies. “Any condition or stipulation in a contract which is **mutually** ‘understood’ and agreed by the parties to be of such **vital importance** that a sufficient performance of the contract cannot be had without **exact compliance** with it is said to be “of the essence of the contract.” See “Basis of the BARgain.” Black’s law dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, p.490.

succession.’<sup>62</sup>

---

<sup>62</sup> Acquiescence to ‘false rights’ is instigated by coercion and duress in each and every political moment. The debtor class of Indians are maintained in a stupor as to their ‘colonial status.’ (*Cross reference: Confusion of rights; confusion of title*). The matter of ownership of a corporation’s legal existence is separate from those of its owners. A corporation is a “franchise,” (possessed by one or more individuals) who subsist as a body politic under a special denomination with the capacity of perpetual succession,” re: *State ex rel. Walker v. Payne, 129 Mo. 468, (Mo. 1895)*. A corporation is merely “organized property,” and is misconstrued legally as “an invisible and intangible being.” Corporations do not possess a “soul.” (*Cross reference: Catholic Dictionary of the Bible*). The speech encoding “person,” collective user group, citizen, resident, inhabitant, permittee, tenant are used to supplant, extinguish and preempt “real human” and “sovereign political power holder.” Corporations are incorporated pursuant to the ‘state’ (estate, diocese). “Perpetual succession is a power normally granted to a private corporation“ (*Solem v. Port Authority Transp. Co. 1987 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10585 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 13, 1987)*). There is no such thing as a “private corporation,” as it is a fictitious legal invention, not found in the natural order of things. It is, in point of fact, *legal quackery*. The corporate ‘board of directors’ may be sued for the purpose of enforcing the provision of any trust accepted by the corporation and its directors. (*Cross reference: 1<sup>st</sup> Ecclesiastical Trust*). Federal corporations have perpetual succession, “business” entities, such as “business councils,” do not. The United States is the ‘master corporate partnership’, e.g. corporation legally in fact and subordinated *functions*. The master corporation, by and through its agent, Secretary of Interior, issues *charters of incorporation*, as ratified by *artificial persons (enrolled members)*, conferring specifications of authority to function, within the federally determined “reservation.” The corporate rights, entitlements, and powers are limited to those “*enumerated*” in the charters, constitutions and by-laws, *ratified* by the members of the quasi-corporation, e.g. “business councils.” The over-arching power of the master corporation extends to “body politic,” within the ‘reservation.’ It is interesting to note that the master corporation, e.g. UNITED STATES, operates ‘sub-divisions’ and ‘sub-departments,’ including “states’ (estates, dioceses), as legally mutated from territories controlled by military governors and combat forces following ‘Capstone doctrine.’ (*Cross referenced: Right of Kings, right of conquest, right of (Christian) discovery, manifest destiny, eminent domain*). Case law discussions, deliberations, findings of fact, opinions of the BAR courts, among BAR association and ‘admitted to practice’ lawyers, manipulate the corporate entity of “Tribe’s” among “sovereign nations,” to “domestic dependent nations,” co-dependent upon the ‘nature of the claim’ being made before the tribunal, or court of jurisdiction; and *ulterior motives* protracted by the BAR court officers. *Eminent domain* is relied upon for public purpose ‘takings.’ When the specialized and select ‘stakeholders’ derive a specifically protracted benefit, their veil of absolute and/or qualified immunity can be pierced, especially when each one and their legal council knew better under the laws, as a reasonable and prudent person would have acted. The co-mingling of the agency-corporate, private-public “Partnership” has unlawfully jeopardized perpetual succession through applications of a secular legal philosophy of “innovative international law.” The corporation, business firm, and agency administrator distinct roles are convoluted. (*Cross reference: Economic Command model, Globally Integrated Enterprise, Enterprise Environmentalism, Synarchists International, Institutional Economy, Re-Inventing Government (Al Gore), Collaborative governance- stewardship contracting*).



The *meaning* and *interpretation* of words were originated in English linguistic precepts that the indigenous people's living place, an indigenous sacred estate held in the land by the creator of the universe, could merely be converted to '*land*' and '*ceded*' these 'attributes of life,' over which the '*Indians*' confessed belonged to the creator of the universe. The English translation (concept and speech encoding) of the "Great Law of Peace" was created by the Iroquois "to stop neighboring tribes from fighting." The document was "recorded on wampum belts," the original beings (lords) formed a confederacy: Iroquois tribes: Oneida, Mohawk, Cayuga, Onondaga, Senecas, Tuscarora (1000, 1450 A.D.). The beings were called "*real people*."

In the matter of immigration, real people applies to "taxation" and it is recorded that "the soil of the *earth* from one end of the land to the other is the *property* of the *people* who inhabit it. By *birthright* the Ongwehohwea (Original beings) are the *owners* of the soil which they *own and occupy*. The "*Lords*," even under *surrogate powers* did not assume *plenary*

---

<sup>63</sup> *Treaty*. A compact made between two or more *independent* nations with a view to the public welfare. *Louis Wolf & Co.. v. United States, Cust. & Pat.App., 107 F.2d 819, 827; United States v. Belmont, N.Y., 301 US. 324, 57 S.Ct. 758, 761, 81 L.Ed. 1134*. An agreement... between... sovereigns... formally signed by commissioners properly authorized, and solemnly ratified by the several sovereigns or the supreme power of each state. *Edye v. Robertson, 112 U.S. 580, 5 S.Ct. 247, 28 L.Ed. 798, Charlton v. Kelly, 229 U.S. 447, 33 S.Ct. 945, 954, 57 L.Ed. 1274, 46 L.R.A., N.S., 397*... full force and effect to all its parts. *United States v. Reid, C.C.A.,Or., 73 F.2d 153, 155*. It is indispensable to note that Black's Law Dictionary omits the actual definition of "treaty" as a "covenant bonafide." This means the treaty involves the 'operation of the creator of the universe,' e.g. "solemnly ratified." Also the Indian treaties were construed as "treaties of peace," while the United States government, its military governors, armies, did not "agree to lay down their arms." The capstone doctrine remained and foreign 'forts' (reservations) were maintained in place enforcing the federal government's interdiction strategies, tactics, and logistics into this 'modern era' (Blackfeet App.Ct, Arrowtop case, 2012) e.g. assimilation (collaboration, partnership, formal consensus, special court approved and certified settlements, court comity). Individuality (sovereign personal political power holding, original being) and individual real and personal property are contravened by government tax lien certificates, tax deed sales, taxation revenue sharing schemes at every opportunity, credit banking priority assignments exercised by co-mingling tribal/county/state/city multiple political status, fraternal organization membership, admissions to practice, authentication of surrogate privileges advantageous to the beneficiaries of the "trust." (Cross reference: Forced fee patents). It is likewise to note that treaties are executed by the supreme power, regardless of accountability, of the "state." The supreme court's have repeated found that "tribes" are not "states." The US Constitution represents the "general welfare" principle, while the case law quotes "public welfare" as pertains to treaty definition. **Act of July 22, 1790** was titled, "**An Act to regulate trade and intercourse with the Indian tribes.**" **Section 4 declared:** That **no** sale of lands made by any Indians, or any nation or tribe of Indians within the United States, [none] shall be valid to any person or person, or to any state, whether having the right of pre-emption to such lands or not, unless the same shall be **made and duly executed at some public treaty, held under the authority of the United States.**"

powers or ownership of the “soil.” (*Cross reference: Natural assets, CCX, derivatives speculation*). The Supreme Court manipulated this to mean Indian “right of occupancy” (usufruct rights) as entitled and granted by the United States government, “either by purchase or conquest.” *21 U.S. (8 Wheat.) at 574, Id. At 587*. This right of occupancy later came to be known as “original Indian title.” The federal government’s presumption of the title could only be compromised by the federal government. *Oneida Indian Nation v. County of Oneida, 414 U.S. 661 (1974)*. This was the basis of the legal quackery that the taking of Indian lands held by “original Indian title” does not give rise to any right of compensation under the Fifth Amendment. *Tee-Hit-Ton Indians v. United States, 348 U.S. 272 (1955)*. It is noteworthy that the legal counsel ‘representing’ Indians (artificial persons) were British Administrative Registry (BAR) lawyers, e.g. *Esquire.*, owing loyalty to the King of England (Crown). The capitulations embraced “aboriginal title,” which follows “rights of occupancy,” transitory and temporary in nature. This context of legality contravened the original being’s “Indigenous sacred estate held in the land.” (*See: Infraspect on the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*). “Recognized title” is that it is a property right within the meaning of the Fifth Amendment, so that its taking by the federal government gives rise to a right of compensation. *United States v. Creek Nation, 295 U.S. 103 (1935)*. Legal interest may be charged against the federal government for a Fifth Amendment taking. See *United States v. Sioux Nation, 100 S.Ct. 2716 (1980)*. The collaborative governance secular political ideology, e.g. formal consensus of the “stakeholders” such as ‘private government organizations’ (PGOs), the “partnerships” are utilized to circumvent treaty covenants. (*Cross reference: NGOs, watershed councils, stakeholder councils, collaboratives, liquid reservation boundaries, General Allotment (Dawes) Act of 1887, 25 U.S.C.A. § 331*). The IRA tribe’s corporate agents (employees), including BAR lawyers, have engaged directly in “treaty negotiations,” in the interest of “workable international agreements governing [rights & resources] harvests.” The “political economy” is illustrated by, “... forge workable international agreements... hatchery production has supplemented and/or *supplanted* wild runs... commercial and sport fishing interests used political pressure to influence the design of regulations (Higgs, 1982)... resulting pattern of fishing effort was viewed as neither biologically sound nor economically efficient (Crutchfield & Pontgecorvo, 1969)... The intention of the consensus rule was to overcome conflicts among US interests (Yanagida, 1987)... A side agreement between Alaska and the Tribes and Bands v. Baldrige (W.D. Wash. 1985) broke the impasse...Yanagida, US Dept. of State, argued that “consensus is a double-edge sword.” “The game theory approach... suggests... attaining an optimal cooperative solution... payoff matrix.” The [treaty] rule

requiring *consensus* among the U.S. parties prior to negotiations... unified negotiation strategy... *reducing the number of players* involved... non-cooperative behavior will be detected and punished... Rights can only exist when there is a social mechanism that gives duties and binds individuals to those duties.” “Treaty only provides guidelines” within which bargaining...The treaty provides no mechanism to maintain convergence of interests in the face of changing circumstances. (*See: Salmon Stock Variability and the Political Economy of the Pacific Salmon Treaty, Kathleen A. Miller, Contemporary Economic Policy, ISSN 1074-3529, Vol XIV 1996, Western Economic Association International*). The Pacific Salmon Treaty was a special structural stagger, e.g. isolating the ‘capture fisheries’ from the actual ocean farm ICOs, mariculture, aqua-ranching and hatchery/pen rearing harvesters as direct competitors in resource extractions. The quasi-government partnerships benefit from funds derived from the Pacific Salmon Treaty. (*Cross reference: WDFW, ODFW, SAFE, STEP*). Altering the fishing regime methodologies was central, utilizing the doctrine of collapsing wrong doing to the authority of the global cause (UNDP). “Piscis Apocalyptical Perspectivus Eleven, W. Grubb, 171337/0383” (revised 041420/0385) was submitted to the “Olympic Peninsula, Wild Fish Conference, Port Angeles, WA., March 1983.” The matter of Identity theft and fraud, *visa vi*, ‘change’ of the superlative degree of indigenous, original being, real human, identity to mere “user groups” (reformation as ambiguous municipalities) was central to the analysis. In the name of “conservation” the indigenous cultural, traditional, and treaty fishing arts (reserved rights), such as merely “hand fishing” (*See: Notarized Affidavits: Arlene Jackson, 231655/1184; Lillian Pullen, 060921/1184; Gladis Pavel, 231828/1184*) were prohibited and nullified, absent of ‘sound science’ and expert elicitation in order to fit the prevailing “sustainable management” models (Cessation Yield, SD, OY, OSY, MSH). This is further exhibited by, “The practice of HAND FISHING has been promulgated to EXTINCTION by the “court”, and the special interests of the State of Washington’s departmental authorities (i.e. sport licensed bio’s & affiliates). Regional and local fishery “management” authorities have enacted their logistical plans & regulations specifically effecting “gear use” in each fishery, and respective geographic area. The means of taking fishes has been the

management object of the regimes.”<sup>64</sup> This indispensable matter was written and delivered (Cert. Mail, Return Receipt) to Federal (agencies, USDOJ, BIA, etc), State (governor, agencies, counties), Tribal (Quileute, Qinault, Hoh, Makah), NWIFC, PFMC authorities and attorneys of record. Those treaty fishers objecting to the construed ‘user group’ pretext/context were isolated, marginalized, ignored and alienated from the inner circle, “main stream,” (QFD report, Chitwood) invoked participation processes, controlled through the ‘academic establishment’ expert judgment elicitation processes, e.g. *U.S. v. Washington, Civil No. 9213*, US Fish & Wildlife Service, and Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission. The ‘lip service’ was ‘respect the treaty,’ while the pre-decisional criteria and expert judgment elicitation went to preemptive agency measures, followed by legislative sophistry. The “negotiations” were collaborative and secretive, ‘government to government.’ Authoritarian [group consensus, concentric rings of control] rule, supplanted representative rule. The federal united States (government) can not spiritually, politically, or by statute, lawfully hold the treaty making power of an indigenous sovereign invalid. If the federal authorities *desire* to treat a treaty as a contract, then the right of contracting is subject to *perpetual succession*, in the first and final instance.

Fish Hatchery production is central to the Healthy Forest Restoration Act of 2003. The treatment of hatchery production stocks (all species) as wild stock involves the mixing of scientific indexing, tabulation, and analytical data. This conversion of hatchery production stock status to wild status is a special scientific stagger, containing bias and context errors that defeat and neutralize every covenant, principle, policy, standard, rule and practice that goes to the precautionary preservation of wilderness ecosystems. Essentially, hatcheries have been permitted to over-harvest wild fish stocks as a matter of policy and practice at the federal, state, tribe-state, corporate and institutional levels. (*See: Auditor’s Framework*,

---

<sup>64</sup> Regional concepts of absolute management did not originate within the (IDT) of the ONF Plan, nor did “non-declining flow”. Absolute management is tendered by the definition that “all acts are prohibited unless otherwise authorized and options are fixed.” The method is utilized where involuntary subjugation to a modified economic structure is desired. Technical Performance Affidavit 211415/0984 (Grubb/Penn), Attachment to 211415/0984 Fishery Mgt Agreements, Quileute Indian Tribe/State of Washington, Synopsis of the Record, U.S. v. Wa Civil No. 9213 (FAB) describes an impact of this practice in the following language: “The most significant fishing practice denied the original people, and land people “living” in the Quileute (quillayeute, etc.) river system, and adjacent marine fishing locations, during the period of academic fishery “management” history, is the natural human skill of HAND FISHING, which is the fundamental practices of laying hands upon the fishes as a means of capture, and preserving them.” (*See: 101933/0387, March 10, 1987, Comment & Notation, Land and Resource Management Plan & (DEIS) Olympic National Forest (Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson & Mason Counties in Washington State, concerning the 1994 Sport fisheries for shellfish and foodfish species State of Washington, Department of Fisheries, W. Grubb*).

*Aquaculture, Mariculture, Ocean Farming, Hatchery Protocols, Sustainable Eco-System Approach, 1998; Model Hierarchy & Implementation ECOSYSTEM APPROACH, Healthy Ecosystems and Sustainable Economics 1998; and Management Synthesis & Interface Mapping – Ecosystem Approach, Sustainable Development, Bioregionalism, 1999, Infraspect, Blair*). On August 14, 2003, “Implications of Planting Unmarked Hatchery Salmon & Trout and counting them as “Wild” fish, Complaint and Demand for Scientific Audit of NMFS, USDA, USFS, BLM, COE, Tribal, institutions (educational, profit & non-profit) and ODFW fish hatchery, mariculture, aquaculture, enhancement, augmentation, restoration programs to determine the total numbers of specific “unmarked” fish species strategically released (planted) in impoundments and streams flowing within the State of Oregon, was delivered to Senators Gordon Smith (R-OR), and Ron Wyden (D-OR), with additional deliveries to: Oregon Plan, ODFW, EPA, CEQ, Native Fish Society without acknowledgement as of 10-20-03. The audit form pertaining to hatchery operations was delivered to Judge Redden, USDC, DO., 8-26-03, certified mail. Likewise, the governors of Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, California, NMFS, USDI, USDOJ, USDOC, USCOE, ISC, and several ‘enviropacs’ were delivered copies of the complaint.

The indigenous original beings, the real humans, have been linguistically alienated from the ‘salmon’ as is exhibited in the various ‘institutional’ taxonomic keys used to identity and manipulate ‘aggregate re-allocation’ among ‘user groups,’ such as the “enrolled members” of IRA tribal entities, chartered<sup>65</sup> by the US government, sub-divisions. “Cultural

---

<sup>65</sup> *Charter*. A charter differs from a constitution, in that the former is granted by the sovereign, while the later [constitution] is established by the people themselves. Such was the “Great Charter” or “Magna Charta,” and such also were the charters granted to certain of the English colonies in America. A charter is an act of a legislature creating a corporation, or creating and defining the *franchise* of a corporation. **Executive order tribes** are created by the presumption that the President is the ‘sovereign,’ unless clearly made by the congress, prior to the executive order. Pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, the indigenous “Chiefs” were not allowed to “be above the rest.” (Black’s Law dictionary). Although it was *convenient* for the US *military* to *negotiate* the treaties, with the Chiefs, there was no honest intention of regarding the sovereign diplomatic standings of each Chief during the post treaty making period. It is clear that the “Charters” *granted* by the US went to creating limited *franchises* among *stockholders* to ensure the natural assets belonging to the original beings, real humans, were taken by *due course of law* and by any effective means. The econometric valuations of the takings are multiplied through foreign control of the monetary system and floating currency exchange rates. Indian collaborators that capitulate and acquiesce are favored by the federal departmental under-secretaries, superintendents and state authorities. “Held in common” does not mean “partnership.” In this political moment, they are referred “apples” by people that are cognizant of “sovereign personal political power holdings.” While the IRA corporations encourage “open enrollment,” the corporate executives seek to further constrict and spike the ‘decision making’ processes, e.g. collaborative governance. The secular political ideology of collaborative governance is embedded in the *interoperability* of “nation rebuilding.” Collaboration has been caricaturized as a “dagger in the heart of democracy.”

diversity” and “social justice” are utilized as a ‘smoke screens’ as part of public perception management. It is noteworthy, each year the ODFW effects and regulates a ‘free [sport, recreational] fishing day’ in June, coincidental to retail store sport fishing equipment, gear and supplies marketeering. This is synthesized with ODFW “harvest trout” “planting schedules.” The ‘hybridized’ broodstock and progeny are specified for sport commerce benefit by *strategic plan*. Economic “spin off” coefficients are calculated according to ‘operational goal value<sup>66</sup> priorities,’ e.g. special waters permits: catch & release (hook & release) fly fishing only, etc. Recreational *trophy hunting and fishing* co-operate from the same ‘values’ system. Ecosystem dependent species, such as the Ospry (bird), are geographically decoyed according to the harvest trout planting schedules, in the Mckenzie river, Oregon, and others. (**Cross reference: conservation psychology, thought reform, values washing,**

---

<sup>66</sup> *Value*. The utility of an object in satisfying, directly or indirectly, the needs or desires of human beings, called by economists “value in use,” or its worth consisting in the power of purchasing other objects, called “value in exchange.” *Joint Highway Dist. No. 9 v. Ocean Shore R. Co., 128 Cal.App. 743, 18 P.2d 413, 417.* “Value,” as used in Art I, § 8, U.S. Constitution, giving congress power to coin money and regulate the value thereof, is the true, inherent, and essential value, not depending on accident, place, or person, but the same everywhere and to everyone...” The global *risk planning* regimes use “*market driven standard (solution)*” in the ISO Environmental Management Systems (ISO EMS) injected into “*proof of concept*” white papers, and the global Agenda 21, ICLEI processes, e.g. states, cities, counties, municipalities. “Value as used in eminent domain proceeding means market value (g.v.). *Epstein v. Boston Housing Authority, 317 Mass. 297, 58 N.E.2d 135, 137.*” “*Under U.C.C. § 1-201(44)*, a person gives “value” to rights if he acquires them: (a) in return for a binding commitment to extend credit or for the extension of immediately available credit whether or not drawn upon... (b) as security for or in total or partial satisfaction of a pre-existing claim, or (c) by accepting delivery pursuant to a pre-existing contract for purchase, (d) generally, in return for any consideration sufficient to support a single contract. It is not by accident that the United Nations, eternal, an international religious corporation in fact (i.e. temple of understanding), devised “spiritual property” in the Articles of the *UN Declaration On the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*. The indigenous original beings, real humans as distinguished from IRA tribal business committees and their artificially enrolled tribal members, held by posterity and treaty “*Intrinsic value.*” The value which a thing has as of itself, and not the value reflecting extrinsic factors such as market conditions. *People v. F.H. Smith Co., 230 App.Div. 268, 243 N.Y.S. 446, 451. (Blacks Law Dictionary, Sed., p.1391).* “True value. As referring to value at which property must be assessed.” *New York Bay R. Co. v. Kelly, 22 N.J. Misc. 204, 37 A.2d 624, 628.* The “*use value*” is applied to the immediate risk circumstance, to minimize the settlement amount, through ‘negotiation.’ The *Value of matter in controversy*, as “used in the *Judicial Code. § 24 (28 U.S.C.A) § 1331 et seq.*), the pecuniary result to either party which a judgment entered in the case would directly produce, either at once or in the future. *Ellioett v. Empire Natural Gas Co., C.C.A. Kan., 4 F.2d 493, 497. see Jurisdictional amount.* The tribal ‘lawyers’ merely used soft collusive presumptions to “negotiate” monetary ‘*restitution,*’ while *collaborating* with ‘affected participants’ outside of the judicial branch of government, e.g. *representation*. These collusive presumptions travel to the National Indian BAR, which claims to represent ‘all indigenous people in the federal U.S.’ without their individual knowledge and consent. (**Cross reference: U.S. v. Washington: Clallam Co. v. Christian Penn, Jr.; assumed auto-judicial proxy**). While the tribal business committees have moved forward with resolutions adopting U.C.C., their social engineers stress “social justice” platforms. This produces a controlled paradox between U.C.C. market driven solutions (UN) and the legal invention of “spiritual property.” (UN DRIP). The Lakota Seven Fires Council Chiefs and Headman, being original beings, real humans, have stated to the Indigenous identity Theft record (2012), particular concerns about “exchange values,” e.g. Indian religious leaders and holy men receiving payments from the IRA tribal system. The IRA fiscal and financial system is one of a foreign “floating currency exchange rate,” which is not a true value.

*whole child education, inclusionary rezoning: human habitat, ecological habitat, urban/wild interface, recreational opportunity spectrum, leisure time experience).*

Matters of Identity theft and fraud are set off, e.g. “**25 U.S.C.A. § 70a: (3)** claims which would result if the treaties, contracts, and agreements between the claimant and the United States were revised on the ground of fraud, duress, unconscionable consideration, mutual or unilateral mistake, whether of law or fact, or any other ground cognizable by a court of equity.” (See: *Indian Claims Commission Act of 1946, 25 U.S.C.A. §§ 70-70v.*). It is noteworthy that treaties are distinguished from contracts and agreements. The “laws common to all” are grounded in “Royal law.” The royal courts are central. Treaties are misconstrued as “**supreme law of the land.**” The ‘brothers in the bond’ sought to adapt and displace customary local tribal courts and preempt them with ‘socio-political institutions’ (*feudalism*), e.g. *social justice*. “Equity” (Roman Law) considered the principle of mercy and fairness... mitigation of hardships, through a “system of procedures and remedies.” Chancellors, being *statesmen* subordinate to the King, were *ecclesiastics* and drew on the canon law of the Roman Catholic Church and ‘law books’ of the Roman Empire. Equity was a system of justice in cases where “the legal (i.e. common law) remedy is inadequate.” This form of court *administration* provided a “means through which *royal prerogative* could be implemented.” The dual court system of “law” and “equity” was embedded in the American *colonies*. This system is masked in the modern era “unified procedures, remedies and rules applicable in civil actions.” Many enrolled tribal members mis-call perversions of the letter of the law and corruption “politics.” By doing so the petitioners essentially defeat themselves because the “court[s] hold that the plaintiff and/or defendant has tendered an issue which involves a so called political question not within the jurisdiction of the Court.” See *Cf. United States v. Mitchell, 369 F.2d 323 (2d Cir.)*. Perfected accusations are commonly *dismissed* by tribal court judges and appellate tribal court *justices*. (See: *Fed.R. Civil P.41*). *Dismissal for want of equity* may be because of the averments of complainant’s bill have been found untrue in fact, or because they are insufficient to entitle complainant to the relief sought. (*Reinman v. Little Rock, 237 U.S. 171, 35 S.Ct. 511, 513, 59 L.Ed. 900*). The paradox between ecclesiastical law and common law is a built in source of cultural confusion and distrust for *Indian* courts prosecuting enrolled tribal members. Weaponizing the tribal court system for ‘family feuds’ is a common practice. This practice appears in the family courts, e.g. Child Protective Services (CPS) warrants. The arbitrary and *flexible* applications of P.L. 280, and P.L. 638 add to distrust. In federal Indian reservation

instances, when the branches of government are *co-mingled*, the matter of *corruption* charges are repetitious and numerous, as the concurrent administrations view themselves as *surrogate decision makers*, in the face of tribal membership apathy,<sup>67</sup> complacency and mediocrity. Each succeeding tribal administration ‘collapses [its] wrong doing to the authority of their [prerogatives] higher cause [economic command].’

The diplomatic indigenous classes often cite that their “*law is not written.*” (HeCrow, 7 Fires Council, Lakota). Tribal law in history notes that “*folklaw*” was local in character, while *official law*,<sup>68</sup> imperial and *feudal* in character. The King, as the military head, did not take much initiative in the making of folklaw. See the Development of European Law (NY, 1928; Weimar, 1880). The folklaw was based primarily on the *family unit*. The Anglo-Saxon “*hundred court*” met regularly. The court “*freemen*” attended the court as “doomsmen—to declare the law and make the dooms,” e.g. judgments of the court. These *People’s courts* administered formal law... law based on form. Proof was by oath and by ordeal. (*Cross reference: Truth circles*). In this modern era of television the “People’s court” is dramatized and acted. There is an appearance of predictive programming, e.g. “social justice.”

The collaborative unitary executives ‘decision making’ process effecting “state rights” is subordinate to “confirmation of the voice of the people” (*Art 20, Great Law of Peace*). The Great Creator has “made us and only different tongues constitutes different *nations he established* different hunting grounds and territories and made *boundary* lines between them” (*Art. 73, Great Law of Peace*). As indigenous *real humans* and *owners*, those who live in places were the ‘creditors,’ not the debtors. No lawful basis existed for per capita taxation (tax liability, burden, confession, remittance) on property, real property, personal property, spiritual property

---

<sup>67</sup> “Legal apathy leads to violence.” Larry Gene Grubb, 1950-2011. Hand written notation, Black’s Law Dictionary, Special 5<sup>th</sup> edition.

<sup>68</sup> The King was outside the folklaw. He governed his large and important household by his own law, official law. His household officers—treasurer, secretary, and the like—gradually became institutionalized; the count of the stable became the constable, the secretary became the chancellor, the chamberlain and butler and other household servants in time became high officials of the realm. The King granted land to these and to churchmen, providing these with immunity from local tribal law. The central law-court in the modern sense is meant (a) a permanent tribunal, (b) which exists solely to hear and decide cases, (c) exercising general jurisdiction, (d) in the name of the king as head of the [realm]. The King’s court (Curia Regis), five appointed men (justiciars, justiciaries) of the law court, was formed by the king to “hear the claims of the people.” These *justices* were *professionals*. The court coram rege began to restrict its jurisdiction to cases affecting the royal person and to crimes, while “Common pleas”—that is ordinary civil disputes between ordinary freemen—were left to the Court of Common Pleas. (*Cross reference: Court of Exchequer, officers of the Crown, King’s Bench*).



(UN D.R.I.P.), carbon foot print tax, sale tax, and inheritance tax. There were no warranted prosecution ‘takings,’ penalties, and exemptions for an individual real being’s; real human’s, or sovereign personal political power holder’s failure to ‘remit’ tax payments due upon property owned by the real being (creditor), in the first instance and final instance. (Cross reference: allodial property and ownership, tax warrants, tax deeds, CAFR, anonymous bonds). The interposing (living place, substance, person) of the real human, original being, with the “tribal citizen” (artificial person) is a “Confusion of rights,” e.g. “A union of the qualities of debtor and creditor in the same person. The effect of such a union is, generally, to extinguish the debt.” See: *Baylor University v. Bradshaw, Tex.Civ.App., 52 S.W.2d 1094, 1101.* This is followed by “Confusion of title.” This creates a merger, as used in the common law, applying where two titles to same property unite in the same person.

The foreign lawyers concurrently applied their concept of ‘persons of the state,’ citizens, residents, human resources, and slaves to ‘imposing’ a Tax or duty on each immigrant person. Indigenous identity Theft required the expatriation and “alienability” of the ‘*original being*’ from their individual life and property. In the matter of *Glacier County, Sub-Div. State of Montana v. Arrowtop, Judge Edwards, Judges Blackfeet Tribal Court, USDC Great Falls Div., Cause No. CV-11-71-GF-SHE-RKS* rely on hiding lawful (constitutional) defects in the standing of the state subdivision Glacier County; fiscal *ulterior motives* within eminent domain and tribal taxation *revenue sharing agreements* with the tribal “*business council*,” organized pursuant to IRA (1934), to defeat the *standing* of the indigenous original being. The elements of identity theft by deception apply, and are buried in the text of the USDI and USDOJ modeled tribal

*'corporate charters.'* The *preambles* go to settled natural laws<sup>69</sup> and are abstractly different from the many legal perversions embedded in *statutory parallel authorities*. The vast layers of "taxation" authority (international, regional, federal, state, tribe-state, county, city, municipality, and PGO: non-profit corporate partnerships) are weighted against the *actuality* of a community of real beings. The so called 'public benefit' corporations, include 'religious corporations' that enjoy taxation shelters and exemptions, as artifact 'immortal beings,' while the flesh and blood individual indigenous real beings are subject to per capita

---

<sup>69</sup>*Settled natural law* requires comprehension of the law of primitive society, *positivist theory* and law itself. "Natural-law theory, by focusing on the elements of reason and morality in law, tends to obscure the fact that unreasonable and unjust laws which do not conform to the "body of received ideals," may be present in a legal system--- may be imposed, for example, by a tyrant, or a conqueror. [auditor's notation: see right of conquest]. Such prerogatives of tyrants are really not laws at all. "... Only just law is law... seems to distort language; also it tends to remove from the scrutiny of legal theory those aspects of social control in which irrational and arbitrary goals are imposed through legal processes. Laws which condemn whole races or whole classes to destruction, or which impose ex post facto punishments for acts which were both morally just and legally justifiable when performed, do indeed violate basic principles upon which law itself is founded" (p.24). A war may be legal, under rules of international law, but war is not a law-way of settling disputes" (p.27). "moral principles, political authorities, historical experience and expressed values tend to the law." "Law is a social institution, pattern and process of behavior and ideas" (p.26). "Legal solution sought against problems that arise... disruptions to the norm (p.26)" seem to answer *social justice*, e.g. that which is to the "advantage of the majority." This treatment of the law 'reflects an explicit judgment of the community' through its "law makers." The "imperative theory of law" essentially is a "general command of the highest political authority." The secular political ideology of "collaborative governance" ignores the "people" as the highest political authority, e.g. sovereign personal political power holder, real human. The collaboration is said to be "treason" (Black's Law), as it consolidates law-making, law-administrating, and law-interpreting by a "formal consensus of the group and enforcement of its decision." (See: *stakeholder council, watershed council, collaboratives, ICLEI, change agency*). The *common purpose* of the group is controlled through the Delphi techniques, lacking full and explicit transparency and disclosure. Law may be an expression of "common consciousness of a people at a specific time and place," e.g. "political moment." It becomes a "suitable solution for some, but not for all." This is called the "ordered estate." (Infraspect, Blair). What is legal embraces 'ordered chaos,' such as *austerity programming*. The common purpose of eugenicists is to *legalize* en mass depopulation, providing absolute and qualified immunity to officials use of serial warfare against the *security threat* of "over-population." (See: *UN IPCC precautionary principle*). The conclusive presumption is that this law arbitrary "function[s] to restore equilibrium to the social order (or to some part thereof) when that *optimum population* has been seriously disrupted. (See: *Optimum Population Council, Internment and Resettlement*). In this model 'limited genocide' (serial warfare, austerity, eugenics, holocaust, dynamic entry kills, extra-judicial killing, and inducing over-all toxic and lethal measures) is designed to reduce the population to fit the economic model, how-so-ever made from planned obsolescence, e.g. "human capital transport to optimal consumption pathways." During protracted 'emergencies,' and 'crisis' force of law supplants and extinguishes natural law to "maintain public order," e.g. *order out of chaos*. In this instance the 'problem' is created and managed at the same time. (See: *CCX, CEX-derivatives speculation, problem-reaction-solution, sustained mitigation failures*). The society, One heaven stipulates "canons of natural law." Article 90.1, "By this most sacred Coveant, the Canons of Natural law are formed, also known as Canonum De Juris Naturae. All standards of Divine Law as it pertains to Natural law are subject to inclusion in the Canon of Natural law." "Excluding this Covenant, all other laws, claims, and agreements claiming standards of Natural law shall be secondary and inferior... ab initio (from the beginning)." "Any law, court orders, opinions or other quasi legal claim that contradicts this most sacred fact or contradicts one or more clauses contained within... shall be, ispo facto null and void from the beginning." (See: *Covenant of One heaven, and 21 December, 2012*). (Cross reference: *safe haven, safe harbor*).

**taxation.** In part, the “religious (church) corporations” on the Blackfeet reservation were established by the defective warrants of the state of Montana, its sub-division Glacier County. (*Cross reference: fraudulent conversion and conveyance of property, Blue sky laws*). These case demonstrations exist throughout the federal reservation system, fostered and perpetuated by state (sub-division) ‘*statutory*’ applications and enforcement.

The ‘treaty negotiations’ (covenants) manipulated the ‘*Indians*’ into the context of *ecclesiastical strawmen*, e.g. ‘ministers of god.’ The present day incorporated tribal business committees, councils, directors, and executives are legally subjugated to ‘fruits of evil’ (perversions of the letter of the law), in that, *oaths* of allegiance, corporate *loyalty promises* are made, while holding US government *citizen* and resident identification, e.g. security papers. The *certificate holder* and *owner* of the identification is the UNITED STATES, and its *enabled* and *assigned* ‘sub-divisions,’ including *agency*. The US court has held, “All persons *born* or *naturalized* in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the UNITED STATES and of the state wherein they reside.” (*see: 1 U.S.C. sec 1. Church of Scientology v. U.S. Department of Justice (1979) 612 F. 2d 417, 425. The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Section 1*).

Following suit, the Blackfeet Appellate Court, Justices, relied on “federal law,” “federal Indian law;” and their concurrent opinions about “this country” (US) and “modern era.” (*see: Bernadine Arrowtop v. Tony Sitzman, Glacier County Commissioner, Order Denying Request for Stay of Judgment and Dismissing Appeal for Lack of Jurisdiction, [consolidated] Case no. 2011-CA-210, 2011-AP-32, 2011-AP-33*). The terms “federal law,” “federal Indian law,” “country,” and “modern era” are found in model tribal court codes, authorized as part of the so-called “Indian Bill of rights,” which enumerates those constitutional requirements deemed by Congress in 1968. The Indian Civil Rights Task Force’s “Model Code for the Administration of Justice by Courts of Indian Offenses” (25 C.F.R. § 11 (1975) were established. These courts were legislatively preempted on reservations by modeled “Tribal Courts.” “Congress could not have chosen a better way to neutralize tribal

government,<sup>70</sup> or to assure wide-spread adoption of the Model Code.” (Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 40: No.1, p.26).” This mode “is nothing more than a redraft of the old Bureau regulations, harmonized with the Indian Bill of rights... barrowing from the American Law

---

<sup>70</sup> Establishing a neutralized tribal government is addressed in *“Tribal Courts, The Model Code, and the Police Idea in American Indian Policy, Russel Lawrence BARch, J. Youngblood Henderson, , Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 40: No. 1, The American Indian and the Law, 1976, Duke University, School of Law, NC*. The definition and meaning of “law” has been linked to the definition and allocation of property rights. Federal Indian law does not adequately address the ‘law’ in its self, Ecclesiastical Trusts, the purpose and effect of *Baptismalcertificates* on *allodial property* and *ownership, right of soil, sacred estates held in the land* (Blair, George) held by *original beings* and families. The relationship between the BAR lawyers (Esq.) and the aristocratic of the Illuminati has bearing on this matter.

The *church doctrines, capstone doctrine, the Holy see*, and English law are likewise underlying. The *Crown* and the “common law” was the extension to private parties of a royal *action*, jurisdiction, royal *officers*, to the royal (English BAR) *justices*. The Common law *experiment* has been injected into “Indian country.” The “common law reflects the *unification* of England under the authority of the *King*, and the centralization of virtually all rules of policy and morality in London.” (p.27, tribal courts). The institution of the police has swayed through various titles, e.g. military, constable, marshal, sheriff, officer, goon squad (Dick Wilson’s “Defenders of the Sioux Nation”), and enforcement agents, in the name of justice and public safety. Outlaw, enemy, or hostile are mutated to dissenter, disrupter, consensus blocker, badges of infamy, protestor, radical, extremist subject to removal, detainment and compulsory treatment for “oppositional defiance disorder.” (UN DSM IV, 11-17 Year old youth personality programming).

While plausible guilt scenarios, e.g. ‘type of offense’ and ‘type of offender’ (FBI) are widened, the USDOJ, attorney general and IRA tribal officers announced to the Lakota people (2011), that the administrators would not “prosecute their way to prosperity,” but espoused, encouraged and supported new correctional facilities (jails), in the face of an supra-regional economic austerity program, contingent to new initiatives to apply innovative tax revenue mechanisms on ‘*tribal citizens*.’ The actuality is that the tribal ‘peoples’ are now ‘*tenants*’ lacking positive law, likewise do not have allodial ownership and property. (*Cross reference: Government tax lien certificates, tax deed sales and foreclosures applied to Indian allottees*). It is clear that the function of the federally standardized (federal, state, county, municipal) authority was and is the “alienation of traditional leadership and suppression of traditional law” (Law & Contemporary Problems, vol. 40, No. 1, p.34). (*Cross reference: Lakota Seven Fires Council; truth circles, medicine bundles, law of blood*). “Plains Indians already enjoyed a paraprofessional police institution, variously known as “police societies, or Camp Soldiers.” (Cheyenne Way, 1941). The Washoe sacred estate held in the land involved families, as such the families selected protectorates. The systems of reconciliation was superior to the penalty of destruction (*Minutes of the Council and General Court of Colonial Virginia, 1622-1632, 1670-1676, at 483 (1924)*).

The US government protracted the ‘acceleration of the deterioration of native institutions to effect the absolute transfers of powers and jurisdiction. The Indian Police and Courts of Indian Offenses took on a subversive (or educational) character.’ (Annual report 1881). Tribal elections for judges were subject to the US federal agent’s approval... purpose was to undermine the traditional leadership succession process. (*W. Hagan, supra note 37, at 16*). (See: old police societies, Cheyenne, Pine Ridge). Indian policy, the police idea, was an experiment in the effectiveness of unlimited police power to shape society. This is evidentiary in ‘modern era’ criminal penalties for administrative infractions, ICLEI ISO: EMS market driven solutions (UN IPCC precautionary principle), legal mutation from due process (probable cause) embedded in the elements of criminality to the potentiality (ADL) prosecution of thoughts, hate/bias crimes, and terror enhancement sentencing. The matter of original beings goes to primacy of environment, and primacy of property was a responsibility.

The “right of soil” was silenced, while jurisdiction over invented “fee patent Indians” within the

institute's Model Code for Pre-Arrest Procedure.” (Hearings on Constitutional Rights of the American Indian, *supra* note 2, at 28). Applying offenses and criminal penalties to administrative *infractions* exemplified ‘force of law arbitrary.’ The executive administrators of the court become addicted to the ‘revenues’ remitted to the court. (***Cross reference: anonymous bonds, private tort— public law prosecution, English criminal law***). Budget ‘needs assessments’ are transformed to proof of concept commercial enterprises, such as the offender is charged with a fee for detainment, with a contingency to a civilian inmate labor program, e.g. *conditions of release*. It is important to distinguish the budget as the “service on the contract” with the public for services to the beneficiaries. The Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR) are separate and are considered as the shadow ‘legal plunder’ system (Pro-forma balance of assets: federal, state, tribe-state, county, city, municipality, and sub-divisions).

“Budget cuts” (sequestration) are a “tool” to achieve *limited entry*, e.g. *aggregation re-allocation* and *efficient use* of natural resource targets. In terms of treaty hunting and fishing rights, the tribal government and externalities create the illusion that it has the treaty right and can allocate “*user days*” (access, occupancy, use, possession) to tribal beneficiaries, e.g. enrolled tribal members, in the “interest of conservation.” For the ‘convenience of management’ the individual original being and real human’s liberty (reserved inalienable right) is reduced to ‘supervised privileges.’ Resource *scarcity* is used to drive up the retail value (market driven solution) of the “quality of life.” The deciders merely exercise their *collaborative prerogatives*, pretending that these are “public policy.” (***See: policy: numbers game to suit the policy writer***). This doctrine of force is cloaked as “administrative rights.” Likewise management capacity, health & human services, fire & rescue operations, e.g. protracted service user days, dictate the quantitative services provided. (***Cross reference: failed reservation state, austerity programming***). Over arching these ‘innovative tools’ is the *operational goal value priority* of per capita (per person) income limits (moderate living needs), and community development quotas. Several types of collateral deceptions are applied through *predictive programming* and *public perception management* campaigns. A glaring example of sequestration, e.g. limited entry mechanism, is the ‘REX 84’ style used to *remove, detain and terminate* the Mustang horse herds indispensable to the indigenous real humans. First the Mustang is deemed an ‘Invasive specie,’ is removed from the *ecological habitat* according to the *game theory* of ‘carrying capacity.’ The BLM facility

near Pyramid Lake, Nevada, detains and terminates the herds, following a ‘cowboy’ style ‘round up.’ (Stewardship contracting). The ***proclaimed principles*** (H. Daly, 1996) underlying this limited genocide (environmental terrorism) operation is “market driven solution.” (***See: United Nations Sustainable Develop, ISO EMS, ISO 14000***). This special stager of “policy” is carefully segmented away from other environmental restoration, recovery, augmentation and enhancement ***sustainable*** programs, such as the fish stock transfers and planting of hybrid fish stocks (steelhead, Chinook, Coho, Chum, Sockeye) into recreational sport (trophy) “low land lakes,” and “high lakes” (parks, wilderness areas, HCPs, experimental forests, wild lands) under the management jurisdiction of the USDA and USDI and their ‘partnership’ PGO institutions. These fish are “invasive species” in biological fact, yet serve the ***vested interests*** of the ***stakeholders***, including the agencies deriving control, prestige and financial benefits (fee, taxation) by planting, managing and monitoring the standing populations of invasive species. (***Cross reference: universal commercial codes, economic channeling***). The transfer, and stocking of “big game” sport hunting (trophy) species (bear, deer, elk, etc) follows the special structural stager of “policy.” Bear in mind, “ecosystem services is a trillion dollar boon.” (USFS, MRDS, Watershed council statement).

The actual ***applications*** of citizen and resident to tribal council officers, directors, executives, and agents directly effects a spiritual, social, legal and lawful paradox. (***See: Indian Reorganization Act, 1934, amended; parallel authorities***). This constructed paradox directly impedes, thwarts, obstructs, blocks and destroys the rightful ***diplomatic class standing*** of indigenous hereditary Chiefs and Headmen, and their reliance on natural indigenous law, and perpetual succession as representing their ‘way of life.’ The IRA authorities, et. al., by federal definition as citizens and residents, present a major confliction of oath, promise, duty and contravention of their allegiance to the indigenous people themselves. This contravention leaves the IRA artificial persons of the office of the state, constitutionally ***incompetent*** to negotiate treaty covenant matters, as their

representation of *originality* is defective.<sup>71</sup> These artificial IRA authorities, being agents of the foreign state, are *mediating* with themselves, as the

---

<sup>71</sup> In the Blackfeet business committee case demonstration, conflict of interest and duty is illustrated by Montana Legislator, Shannon J. Augare (Mo. Democrat) simultaneously holding Blackfeet tribal council and executive committee status: legislative and executive powers, authorities, and privileges. Montana legislator Augare maintains two distinctively sovereign ‘oath’ of public offices, e.g. State & tribal. The legislator, as published, is the “Primary Sponsor of LC0276, HB 161, Short Title: Establish the Blackfeet-Montana Water Compact (***Cross reference: Montana vs. US, Winters doctrine***); LC0437, HB 605, Short Title Equitable distribution of fish and game fed excise taxes between state & tribe. This is a direct violation of treaty covenants, and the PRO, e.g. *US vs. Washington Civil No. 9213*, re: treaty Indian fishing rights; LC0441, HB 159, Short title: Require actuarial opinion summary for domestic property and casualty insurers; LC0756, HB 308, Short title Allow donation of food as method of serving sentence of community services. (cross reference: PL 280; PL 638); LC0757, HB not assigned, Short title: Encourage university spending on Montana grown food. (Cross reference: IRS 501(c)(3) status). LC1444, HB 1668, Short title: Regulate refund anticipation loans; LC1668, HB 618, Short title: Require % of health insurance premiums spent on health care; LC1876, HB 193, Short title: **Change name of Coordinator of Indian affairs to Director of Indian affairs**. The powers and authorities of a “director” are significantly different in applications of “policy making,” and “discretionary / unitary decision making; LC1894, HB 158, Short title: Tribal eligibility for economic development loans; and LC2265, HJ 23, Short title: **Support UN declaration on rights of indigenous peoples. (See: *Infraspect on the United Nations Declaration ON the rights of indigenous peoples*)**. The Infraspect audit report particularly examines the presumption to grant UN member states the power to “adjudicate” the protection of indigenous people, their sovereignty, and sacred sites, e.g. “spiritual property” to the UN member states. There is a obvious direct conflict of interests, e.g. sovereignty of the state; indigenous original beings (real humans), and IRA 1934 status of “tribal business committees.” Tribal business committees are not entitled to “perpetual succession.” The central tendency of the ‘decision makers’ (deciders, partners, stakeholder councils, facilitators) is to comply with ‘collaborative governance,’ that not being representative rule under a ***republican form of government***. The state of Montana is lawfully bound to entrust and enforce a republican form of government. Espousing, encouraging, and supporting the UN DRIP creates a conflict of interest and duty, visible by a reasonable and prudent legislator, and tribal council member.

*principal, trustee and beneficiary.* The land “*settlement*”<sup>72</sup> *instruments* manifested under foreign and alien control (1<sup>st</sup> Ecclesiastical Trust; UN Capstone doctrine), e.g. BAR courts (officers, judges, administrators) provide a convincing *bill of particulars* as to the contravention

---

<sup>72</sup> The several ‘Indian’ tribal settlement agreements, particular arrangements, compacts are merely capitulations born from economic desperation, institutional underlying motives and stakeholder interests in territories, natural assets, natural resources. These settlements affect indigenous attributes of life, aspects of society, and confuse rights and titles. In many instances change the indigenous creditor, owner of real property and real estate to a debtor, tenant occupying the land. The over-arching issue of informed consent, the Infraspect deep field audits, show that the people, the majority of their tribal authorities and executives, do not comprehend what a settlement is in its self, and likewise submit to executive branch mediations that substantively amount to synthetic identity fraud, resulting in Indigenous identity Theft. This is possible through the fraternal status of BAR lawyers, appearing to ‘represent’ the ‘parties’ to a legal cause of action, certified by BAR courts, operating under common law and equity. The more secreted and unified the ‘mediation’ and provisions of settlement[s] the more damage and injury done to the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land.” Settlements are distinguished one from another, e.g. “**Settlement.** Act or Process of adjusting or determining... between persons concerning their dealings or difficulties... reach or ascertain what is coming from one to the other... determination by agreement. See: *Sowers v. Robertson*, 144 Kan. 273, 58 P.d 1105, 1107. **Payment or Satisfaction.** *Ledbetter v. Hall*, 191 Ark. 791, 87 S.W.2d 996, 999. In legal parlance, implies meeting of the minds of parties to transaction or controversy. *Exmirlian v. Otto*, 139 Cal.App. 486, 34 P.2d 774, 778. Final disposition. *Wager v. Burlington Elevators, Inc.*, 116 N.J. Super. 390, 282 A2d 437, 441.” (Black’s Law Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., p. 1231). **Estate.** The settlement of estate consists of its administration by the executor... all debts and **legacies** have been paid and the individual shares of distributes in the corpus of the estate, or the residuary portion, as the case may be, **definitely ascertained and determined**, and accounts filed and passed, **so that nothing remains** but to make final distribution. “Settlement,” in reference to a **decedent’s estate**, includes the full process of administration, distribution and closing. **Final settlement.** Refers to “the court order approving the account which closes the business of the estate, and which finally *discharges* the executor... from the duties of **TRUST.**” When there is a “**real estate sale**” a “separate statement is prepared, as regulated by the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act.” Black’s Law dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., p. 1231). The matter of the estate goes to the indigenous original beings, real humans, allodial ownership and property— being the priority targets of exterior stakeholder’s ‘interests.’ In aggressive interdiction campaigns (in this modern era) the object is to convince institutions of governance and law, that the indigenous people have been provided an (1) explanation of their rights, (2) have acquiesced, (3) consented to **full and final settlements**. The central methodology is appointing (hiring) BAR attorneys, lawyers, legal counsel (ESQ) to “**collaborate**” and “**negotiate**” secreted **mediations**, outside of the judicial branch of government, ‘off’ the public record and scrutiny, except for arbitrary joint reports. Legal counsel, attorneys, lawyers co-operating with professional BAR loyalty, fraternal brothers in the bond, titles of nobility, issued by foreign powers can not honestly demonstrate **allegiance** and **immutable promise** to a individual sovereign indigenous, an indispensable party to full and final settlement of estate, including so defined ‘real estate.’ The matter of the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land,” is inter-generational, applying to the decedents (ancestors, individual family member legacy) to the present and ‘future’ people. (**Cross reference: Declaration by indigenous People, Declaration by various Washoe people, the ‘sacred estate held in the land).** The several types of **easements** are substantive, some being **Scintillis juris**, meaning **real property with a spark of right or interest.** This applies to indigenous natural, supportive, affirmative, apparent, appurtenant, estoppel, necessity, in gross, access, convenience, equitable, implied, intermitment, negative, private, public, Quasi, secondary easements. When these easements are disregarded on an inter-generational basis, the entire indigenous tradition, culture, custom, and community is targeted for termination, which is known as a “**faceless atrocity.**” There has been much recent (2013) public mis-perception management among the ‘tribes’ about settlements, distributions to the corporate tribal sub-departments to off set ‘budget cuts,’ e.g. “**sequestration.**” As if affects and effects the “indigenous sacred estate held in the land,” (Blair), the following must be comprehended, “Sequestration. ... process by which property of funds are attached pending the outcome of litigation... a species of



**methodologies** being acted out. ESSENTIALLY, the so called “settlements” are utilized to execute “sequestration,” e.g. the taking into the custody of the law of the real and personal estate (or rents, issues, and profits), International law. “...**seizure of the property of an individual, and the appropriation of it to the use of the government.**” (*Cross reference: Salazar settlement, mineral extraction leasing*). The methodologies include the isolation, alienation and expatriation of the real indigenous human diplomatic class holding chiefs and headmen, the actuality and realization of indigenous societies in the spiritual, social, political and lawful makeup of their communities. The artifact of “fundamental public policy” (common purpose, greater interest, shared worldview) lends to furthering the re-construction of indigenous communities as “ambiguous municipalities.” (*Cross reference: Usufruct rights- taxation revenue sharing agreements, debt collateral, Seigniorage: contractual assignments, ecosystem stewardship contracting, bonds, loan promissory notes*). During the “declared a state

of emergency” (Blackfeet Executive committee<sup>73</sup> of 4, 2012) the Great Falls Tribune published the quotations, e.g. “executive resolution issued... violation of two Blackfeet tribal ordinances--- “causing members of the Blackfeet Business Council to be exposed to hatred, ridicule and contempt” as well as “degradation and disgrace.” “It also states Old Chief violated his oath of office... he swore to ‘cooperate with some other members of the council so that we can provide and protect the interest of

---

<sup>73</sup> *Executive committee* means, “In business, the body which directly manages the operations between meetings of the board of directors, commonly consisting of the principle officers and directors.” *Executive capacity* is “Duties in such capacity relate to active participation in control, supervision and management of business. *Arkansas Amusement Corporation v. Kempner, C.C.A.Ark., 57 F.2d 466, 473, Wilkinson v. Noland Co., D.C.Va., 40 F.Supp. 1009, 1012.* Executive powers. The power to execute laws... that is, to carry them into effect, as distinguished from the power to make the laws and the power to judge them. *Tucker v. State, 218 Ind. 614, 356 N.E.2d 270, 291. Executive Order.* “... some administrative authority... giving administrative effect to a provision of the Constitution or of some law or treaty. To have the effect of law, such orders must be published...” The President and vice president of corporation are executive officers. *Emmerglick v. Phillip Wolf, Inc. C.C.A.N.Y., 138 F.2d 661, 662.* “*Executive Session* of a board or governmental body is a session closed to the public.” Executive privilege, based on constitutional doctrine of separation of powers... such exemption is necessary to the discharge of highly important executive responsibilities involved in maintaining governmental operations... an appropriate exercise of the executive’s domestic decisional and policy making functions...” *Black v. Sheraton Corp. of America, D.C.D.C., 371 F.Supp. 97, 100.* However, need for confidentiality of high level communications cannot, without more, sustain an absolute unqualified presidential privilege of immunity from judicial process under all circumstances. *U.S. v. Nixon, 418 U.S. 683, 94 S.Ct. 3090, 3106, 3107, 41 L.Ed.2d 1039.* The executive committee that *suspended* the tribal council members elect, conducted an *ex parte meeting*, e.g. taken and granted at the instance and for the benefit of party(ies), without contestation by, the council members elect, being persons with adverse interests to the encumbant political regime and consequent appointed member(s) to the Blackfeet business council. The Blackfeet council members suspended had “natural and civil obligations” to act in *good faith* with the voters who elected each one to office. This natural obligation is binding on the parties who make it in conscience and according to *natural justice*. *Ogden v. Saunders, 25 U.S. 213, 337, (12 Wheat.) 6 L.Ed. 606.* A *civil obligation*, such as the campaign promises made to the voters by the Blackfeet council members elect, is a legal tie, which gives the parties (voters and officers elect) with whom it is *contracted* the right of enforcing its performance by law. Those Blackfeet voters aggrieved by unlawful interference with the promises of their chosen candidate can bring appropriate legal suits. Financial contributions to their candidate, created a substantive cause of action in the matter. *Schwartz v. California Claim Service, 52 Cal.App.2d 47, 125 P.2d 883, 888. Lee v. Kenan, C.C.A.Fla., 78 F.2d 425. Estoppel in pais.* “The doctrine by which a person may be precluded by his act or conduct, or silence when it is his duty to speak, from asserting a right which he otherwise would have had.” *Mitchell v. McIntee, 15 Or.App. 85, 514 P.2d 1357, 1359.*

the Blackfeet tribe...” The executive committee<sup>74</sup> **resolution**, voting to suspend other **elected** Blackfeet ‘council,’ is comprised of : Willie Sharp Jr., Forrestina Calf Boss Ribs, Roger Running Crane and Shannon Augare. Those suspended<sup>75</sup> are William “Bill” Old Chief, Cheryl Lynn Little Dog,

---

<sup>74</sup> **De Facto government.** One that maintains itself by a display of force against the will of the rightful legal government and is successful, at least temporarily, in overturning the institutions of the rightful legal government by setting up its own in lieu thereof. *Wortham v. Walker*, 133 Tex. 255, 128 S.W.2d 1138, 1145. De Facto. In fact, in deed, actually. This phrase is used to characterize an officer, a government, a past action, or a state of affairs which must be accepted for all practical purposes, but is illegal or illegitimate... In this sense it is the contrary of de jure, which means rightful, legitimate, just, or constitutional. Thus, an officer, king, or government de facto is one who is in actual possession of the office or supreme power, but by usurpation, or without lawful title; while an officer, king, or governor de jure is one who has just claim and rightful title to the office or power, but has never had plenary possession of it, or is not in actual possession. *MacLeod v. United States*, 229 U.S. 416, 33 S.Ct. 955, 57 L.Ed. 1260. “An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.” *Norton vs. Shelby County* 118 US 425 p. 442. The executive committee of 5 are, in fact, making claims to the possession, use, and exchange of ‘tribal assets’ subject to the provisions of the tribe’s ratified constitution. See *Deep Rock doctrine*, Insider claims to corporate assets... during reorganization or liquidation as a matter of equity. *S.E.C. v. S & P Nat. Corp.*, 360 F.2d 741. The tribal executive committee moved beyond the Blackfeet corporation’s **incidental powers**, e.g. “with the rule that a corporation possesses only those powers which its charter confers upon it, either expressly or as incidental to its existence, means such powers are directly and immediately appropriate to the execution of the powers expressly granted and exist only to enable the corporation to carry out the purpose of its creation.” (See: *Black’s Law dictionary*, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed., p.68, 511). Natural equity was absent from the executive committee’s action to suspend the tribal council persons elect. (*Arkansas Amusement Corporation v. Kempner*, C.C.A.Ark., 57 F.2d 466, 473; *Wilkinson v. Noland Co.*, D.C.Va., 40 F.Supp. 1009, 1012.) The executive privileges of the Blackfeet “Tribal council” were co-mingled with the functions of the executive committee. The executive committee, by means of the presence of the tribe’s chief executive officer, prejudicially BARrowed from the legislative branch of government to benefit specific members of the executive committee. (*Tucker v. State*, 218 Ind. 614, 35 N.E.2d 270, 291). **Malfeasance** is a wrongful act which the actor has no legal right to do, or any wrongful conduct which affects, interrupts, or interferes with performance of official duty, or an act for which there is no authority or warrant of law or which a person ought not to do at all, or the unjust performance of some act, which party performing it has no right, or has contracted not, to do. *State ex rel. Knabbb v. Frater*, 198 Wash. 675, 89 P.2d 1046, 1048; *Daugherty v. Ellis*, 142 W.Va. 340, 97 S.E.2d 33, 42. The 2012 pattern activities included **constructive force**: fear and aggressive reprisal actions: prohibition of public assembly for redress of grievances, sensor of tribal media and non-Indian media, tribal agency employment terminations, weaponized police actions against high priority target dissenters, and defamation of individual characters. These measures were taken in concert with the tribe’s **legislated court**, e.g. Blackfeet Tribal court is a “domestic corporation” under and registered with the Secretary of State of Montana. (*I.R.C. §§ 4920(a)(5), 7701(a)(4)*).

<sup>75</sup> **Suspend.** To interrupt; to cause to cease for a time; to postpone; to stay, delay, or hinder; to discontinue temporarily, but with an expectation or purpose of resumption. As a form of censure or discipline, to forbid a public officer, attorney, employee, or ecclesiastical person from performing his duties or exercising his functions for a more or less definite interval of time... distinguished from permanent severance accomplished by removal. Suspension of a right... act by which a party is deprived of the exercise of his right for a time... contrasted with a complete extinguishment, where the right is absolutely dead. See: *Speedy Trial Act 1974*. “As a form of censure or discipline, to forbid a public officer, attorney, employee, or ecclesiastical person from performing his duties or exercising his functions for a more or less definite interval of time.” (*Black’s Law Dictionary*, 5<sup>th</sup> Ed., p. 1297).

Woodrow “Jay” Wells, and Paul McEvers. The ordinance language is over broad, absent of clear and concise meaning under law, leaving its application a matter of interpretation. There is an appearance of traveling under the *color of law*<sup>76</sup> as the suspended council members have addressed the executive committee’s action as “unconstitutional.” Justly intruding is-- *Usurpation* of franchise or office authorizing *quo warranto* action under statute may be with or without forcible seizure of office and prerogatives thereof, and may consist of mere unauthorized assumption and exercise of power in performing duties of office upon claim of right thereto.” *State ex rel. Kirik v. Wheatley, 133 Ohio St. 164, 12 N.E.2d 491, 493, 10 O.O. 236., Neal v. Parker, 200 Ark, 10, 139 S.W.2d 41, 44.* The matter of *breach of trust* has been set off. The term breach of trust includes, “every omission and commission in carrying out the trust according to its terms, of care and diligence in protecting and investing the trust property, and of using *perfect good faith*. A violation by the trustee[s] of any duty which he owes to the beneficiary[ies].” *Bruun v. Hanson, C.C.A.Idaho, 103 F.2d 685, 699.* Civil conspiracy, lawful purpose by unlawful means, unlawful purposes by lawful means. *Lake Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Federal Nat. Mortgage Ass’n, 159 Ind.App. 605, 308 N.E.2d 739, 744.* The public illustration of breach is, for instance, Laura Brewster Bossell, Top Commenter, Montana State University is quoted, “Talk about *inmates running the asylum*. The actions of these people are a *disgrace* to Native peoples everywhere... Blackfeet *play yard*... chaos does not reign in *this country*... her family are members of the United States military... serving this country... THIS IS AMERICA... *you live within the boundaries* of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA... Anarchy WILL NOT REIGN *within our borders*... I do not live on the *reservation, thank God*... your actions are subject to the scrutiny of the *rest of Glacier county, the state of Montana*, and maybe the entire nation... USA this is in case you forgot *who is really in charge here* – if *you folks* get too carried away... I am not the only *citizen* watching with disgust and disdain... you won’t get an ounce of sympathy or assistance from any legitimate *agency in this country*... rights of the individual and personal

---

<sup>76</sup> *Color of law*. The appearance or semblance, without the substance, of legal right. Misuse of power, possessed by virtue of state law and made possible only because wrongdoer is clothed with authority of state, is action taken under “color of law.” *Atkins v. Lanning, D.C.Okl., 415 F.Supp. 186, 188.* “... the unlawful acts must be done while such official is purporting or pretending to act in the performance of his official duties... the unlawful acts must consist in an abuse or misuse of power... outside the bounds of lawful authority. *42 U.S.C.A. § 1983.* “An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no right; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed.” *Norton vs. Shelby County 118 US 425 p. 442.*

freedom... no place for anarchy... certain protections from the *outside world*... *basic laws* of this land... not what you claim is your *land*, but rather OUR *land* that is America... I *guarantee* if there was a *hate rally*<sup>77</sup>... of *any other city in this state*... the perpetrators would find themselves *cuffed and stuffed*<sup>78</sup>... you folks may think you are special... you are simply... behaving badly... this sort of *lunacy* occurred in my town... any other community in this state, there would have been swift action by authorities... those of us who are proud of... our... *homeland*..." (See: *Great Fall Tribune, Blackfeet Tribal Business Council declares a state of Emergency, commentors, 8-2012*). Published top commentor, Laura Brewster Bossell, Montana State University, may be scrutinized according to the Blackfeet 'ordinances' and the elements of hate/bias crimes, thought crimes, and group defamation. The Great Falls Tribune importantly attached her 'credulity' to Montana State University. The quotations go to dynamics of social, economic, political loyalty and religious 'consensus reality.' The terrorism aspect is to 'cause fear among the population.' (Cross reference: *terrorism, Institutional normative rationale, hate/bias crime, thought crimes, group defamation*).

The infamous reputation of the Blackfeet people, their constituted government is constructive and has been exacerbated by the applications

---

<sup>77</sup> The matter of "**Deception**," e.g. 'The act of deceiving; intentional misleading by falsehood spoken or acted. Synonymous with fraud. *Jackman v. Mau, 78 C.A. 234, 177 P.2d 599, 605*. Knowingly and willfully making a false statement or representation, express or implied, pertaining to a present or past existing fact. See also Bait and switch; Deceit; Fraud; Misrepresentation. Deception... old writ lay properly against one that deceitfully did anything in the name of another, for one that was damaged thereby. It was either original or judicial.'" See *Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Ed., P.366, 375. Emergency*. A sudden unexpected happening, an unforeseen occurrence or condition, perplexing contingency or complication of circumstances; a sudden or unexpected occasion for action; exigency; pressing necessity. **Emergency** is an unforeseen combination of circumstances that calls for immediate action. *State v. Perry, 29 Ohio App.2d 33, 278 N.E.2d 50, 53. Emergency Doctrine. Sandberg v. Spoelstra, 46 Wash.2d 776, 285 P.2d 564, 568*.

<sup>78</sup> **Chilling effect doctrine**. In constitutional law, any law or practice which has the effect of seriously discouraging the exercise of a constitutional right, e.g. the right of appeal. *North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 U.S. 711, 89 S.Ct. 2070, 23 L.Ed.2nd 656*. The "top commenter" of the Montana University, Laura Brewster Bossell, enjoys the right of free speech, of consequences and expressed [herself] about the "Blackfeet emergency." The words and phrases used may be treated as an implicit threat and intended to create a serious chilling effect. There is not only a chilling effect, but malice forethought. The commenter caps [her] comment with 'thank god' [she] does not live on the Blackfeet reservation. The 'federal Indian reservation,' its governing body modeled 'policies, public laws, constitution, statutes, codes, law, regulations, and administration practices' come from the United States, and its sub-division, the State of Montana. The top commenter, University of Montana, provides an incomplete, incompetent, and near indolent 'opinion,' found in the 'public mind' as presented in Media cover-stories, official reports, and information packets, authored by operative journalists. Yet, there was no demonstration of doing what a 'reasonable and prudent person would do' and say under the extraordinary circumstances. (Cross reference: *patsy*).

of exceptionalism and duplicity. The matters of conflict of interest<sup>79</sup> and conflict of duty has been set off, e.g. State of Montana representative, Augare/Blackfeet tribal councilman co-mingled representative roles, simultaneous oaths of office and promises of loyalty to two distinctive difference politically constituted entities. The matter of Augare's conflict of duty has been put on the Montana state Senate floor, e.g. critical absence while "doing tribal business," in Browning, Montana. The absence may appear 'justified', yet demonstrates a serious conflict of duties, and loyalty promises to both incorporated entities. The Augare council 'faction' and others of the tribal council, are subjugated to the force and effect of State legislative representative Augare's prestigious political weight, and the appearance of dual control within the 'sovereign State of Montana,' and the sovereign Blackfeet people, e.g. "tribe." Various Supreme Court cases noted in this technical brief, clear specify that "tribes" are NOT "states." Now popular, Collaboration is known to be wrongfully co-mingled with 'Alternative Dispute Resolution' (ADR) and is associated to "treasonable" in Black's Law Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> edition. This political ideology is linked to 'consensus reality' used by 'decision makers.' The BIA, agent Crow, has offered to "facilitate" and "mediate" political dispute resolution, while simultaneously being a stakeholder in various state senator *sponsored* initiatives. Augare's political prestige is embedded as a public figure, known to the state of Montana and Blackfeet tribe, and USDI Bureau of Indian Affairs contingent agency collaborators and stakeholders in the Blackfeet Water Compact as influenced by State representative/tribal council member Augare. The council Augare 'faction'

---

<sup>79</sup> In addition to conflicts of interest, there are elements of "*conflict of laws*," and "*conflict of personal laws*." The collaborative governance secular political ideology has been injected into federal Indian reservations and Indian country, e.g. Native nation re-building. (Bush Foundation, etc.). The Montana state political doctrine (social, philosophy, religion, sovereignty) is clearly distinguished by the "treaty covenants." The State legislators are bound by different, social, cultural, traditional, lawful, and political dogma and doctrine, which applies to Montana state representative Augare. The state's assertion is that the tribes are "domestic dependent nations." "Conflicts of laws are inconsistency or difference between the laws of different states or countries, arising in the case of persons who have acquired rights, incurred obligations, injuries or damages, or made contracts, within the territory of two or more jurisdictions. See: *Center of gravity doctrine; Choice of law; Grouping of Contracts, Kilberg doctrine; Lex celebrations, Lex loci contractus; Lex situs; Lex solutions; Lex validitatis; Renvoi; Restatement, Second, Conflicts of law, § 2*. The Conflict of personal laws describes "Conflicts within a particular state arising from application of general law to racial and religious groups which have their own laws. E.g. tribal law of the Indians. (See: *Black's Law Dictionary, 5<sup>th</sup> edition*).

has ‘welcomed’ the agency facilitation and mediation. Councilman Shannon Augare (defendant) made a tribal meeting motion to halt the seating of a judge in the Blackfeet tribal court, Jay Well (plaintiff) case, a known tribal political opponent. The infamous reputation, the fidelity, of the Blackfeet government is affected by the Blackfeet Tribal Court (established & incorporated in Montana), Judge Edward’s adjudication of Augare v. Blackfeet tribe (2013). Judge Edwards of the ‘tribal court’ applied sanctions that set off issues that are subject matter of “The National American Indian Court Judges Association” in its adopted code of ethics to demonstrate the court’s integrity and fairness that in turn defines the communities’ faith and health in utilizing a tribal court. The code of ethics, section 11, reads: “A judge should be aware that their conduct has a direct bearing on public attitude toward their court and as such, should conduct themselves appropriately.” The sanctions applied by tribal court judge Edwards are peculiar, as a *special stagger* from penalties usual and fitting to the crimes confessed to by State representative/Councilman Augare. The media operative journalism appears favorable to the Montana State Representative, while repeating the language the “Sharp administration,” which in reality is Blackfeet “tribal administration.”

The actual individual voters elected the suspended council. The American Law Institute (1974) has defined Contract: §“A contract a promise for the breach of which is a remedy, Promise, Promisor; Promisee, Beneficiary, bargain defined, Requirement of a bargain. The promise is a manifestation of intention to act. The bargain is to exchange promises. The requirement is the “mutual assent” to the exchange between two parties.” The voters and candidates made an expressed contract, and promise in writing, e.g. exchange and consideration. The executive committee has interfered and obstructed contractual performance between the officer elect and voter, especially when the action to suspend was unconstitutional, e.g. politically tainted decision making by the tribal council’s ‘executive committee’ officers. The committee’s “fears” do not justify suspension of duly elected officials, whose personality is trusted by the public voters. Beginning from the moment the suspended Blackfeet ‘council members’ filed their candidacy each and all became “Public figures,” as the subject matter of their campaigns were controversial, because their speech was contrary to the political incumbent platforms. “The term “public figure,” for purposes of determining standard to be applied in defamation action, includes artists, athletes, business people, dilettantes, and anyone who is famous or infamous because of who he is or what he has done. *Rosanova v. Playboy Enterprises, Inc., D.C.Ga., 411 F.Supp. 440, 444*. Public figures, for libel

purposes, are those who have assumed roles of special prominence in society; commonly, those classed as public figures have thrust themselves to forefront of particular public controversies in order to influence resolution of issues involved. *Widener v. Pacific Gas & Elec. Co.*, 75 C.A.3d 415, 142 Cal.Rptr. 304, 313.

The key element of deception in the word “Indian” is in actuality *unnaturalized*, e.g. “Indian” being a *strawman* construction of artificial identification determined by distribution of US federal law formula benefits, e.g. racial “blood quantum” and “enrollment” in a “federally recognized” incorporated *entity* (Indian tribe). The basis of *false rights* has been the ‘civil rights’ applications of “equal access and opportunity,” “protected group status,” and “affirmative action” in perfection of international, federal, state, county, municipal, coordinated and synthesized assimilation programs, e.g. UN “Global *Citizenship* Role Model.”

The several ‘states’ subordinately co-operated under military governors, as sub-departments of the *master corporation*, abide by the *capstone doctrine*, e.g. “*domestic dependent nations*.” Those *incorporated* and *registered* tribal *business committees* making contracts that further ceded sovereign immunity for their own stakeholder interest and ‘*public convenience*’ did so contrary to the respective “treaty covenant” articles and provisions. (*See: Treaty of Fort Laramie, 1851; abrogation- 1861, Chicago Rewrite*). The United States commissioners mis-presumed to be lawfully competent to embed treaty obligation among the pre-existing, and acknowledged sovereigns (nations). Matter of a ‘treaty covenant’ was established before the general appearance of the ‘white man.’ Common usage of ‘Whiteman’ did not include, ‘Blacks’ and Asians. The treaty language specified “citizens of the United States.” Modernized linguistic conversions are mis-construed under ‘*administrative consolidation*’ (Acts) wherein class action ‘settlements’ embed individual treaty Indian descendents (hierarchy) as state “citizens” and “tribal citizens.” (*See: Black Hills claims Settlement [agreement], Nation building*). The secular political ideology of collaborative governance, for its purpose and intent is centered on “collective rights” of the corporate ‘tribe’ and more recently tribal agency “administrative rights.”<sup>80</sup> Executive orders, business resolutions, signing statements, memorandums of understanding,

---

<sup>80</sup> **There is no such instrument of a treaty between the master corporation and its owned subdivisions and agents.** The capstone doctrine, e.g. combining the civilian and military aspects of government, is embedded in the United Nations member state resolutions, which are not founded upon natural law.



compacts, easements, trusts, environmental standards and assignments used to achieve *waivers* of indigenous *sovereign immunity* are tantamount to legal quackery, e.g. circumvention of constitutional promulgation of law, maxims of law and informed consent of the governed. (***Cross reference: seignorage, extrinsic fraud, constructive fraud***). The federal and state courts cross the sovereign immunity threshold as a matter of judicial convenience, such as demonstrated in “eminent domain” executions. (***Cross reference: Comity***).

***Concept encoding*** and ***speech encoding*** based on falsehood (sophistry) is exhibited by, “Collaborative governance practice is emerging as an augmentation to existing government, not a replacement.” Collaborative

governance,” the *political movement*,<sup>81</sup> is a secular political ideology, stemming “from Participationism.” The Clinton administration co-operated the “office for re-inventing government” (Al Gore). This political ideology incorporates ‘though reform, mind control, brain washing, values

---

<sup>81</sup> Infraspect’s Profiling Intrusions on community action groups, activists and citizens identifies, documents, and provides perspective on “movements.” Movements are reciprocal offensives, controlled oppositions and structured conflicts. The movement ‘groups’ use *authorities* to exercise the group participants *hidden proxy*. Their function is cloaked in “education,” and “discussion” sessions. Collaborative governance is intentional and protracted. The grass roots locals are led to believe they ‘own the process’ [ideology]. (*Cross reference: Ho Chi Minh—communist/socialist “nation building,” Viet Nam, hamlets, 1965-1975*). The deep field audits address conservative, progressive and liberal factions. The “Wise Use Movement” is the target of collectivist ‘groups,’ e.g. those pretending to be “environmentalists,” and the reciprocal is “a few right-wing extremists” (*See: The Wise Use Movement, Overview, p.1*). The acknowledgements shows the Wilderness Society compensated MacWilliams Cosgrove Snider, a media, strategy and political communications consulting firm, in collaborations with its “advisory committee” Mary Hanley, Jim St Pierre and Kathy Kilmer, The Wilderness Society; Geoff Webb, staff, New Mexico State Land Commission, Brooks Yeager and Dave Miller, National Audubon Society; Brandt Calkin, Southern Utah Wilderness *Alliance*; Sharon Newsome, The National Wildlife Federation; Tom Novick, Western States Center; Ann Prunuske, Montana Alliance for *Progressive* Policy; Lousia Wilcox, Greater Yellowstone Coalition, Bruce Hamilton, Sierra Club; Pete Schenkkan, University of Texas Law School, Jennifer Melville, Appalachian Mountain Club, and Ned Farquhar, Vermont Natural Resource Council. The Wise Use Movement is characterized and disenfranchised as “anti-environmental.” As protracted the “growing political movements” (1992) mutated and merged to become “collaboratives” (private government organizations). The present day ‘enviropacs,’ those using their not-for-profit hidden proxy follow the mandates of the UN ISO EMS *global democratic strategy*, global *“social justice,”* under the specification of group *formal consensus*, while applying the Delphi techniques of thought reform, mind control, values and brain washing (re-education). Those persons, especially youth, not getting ‘on board’ are subjected to classification- “oppositional defiance disorder” as defined in the UN DSM IV manual, previously developed for the US military. The federal ‘partnership’ has implemented “source contracting” and “stewardship contracting,” which yields benefits throughout the ‘derivatives speculation’ matrix of “ecosystem services,” “cap & trade, global environmental off sets, land exchanges, protected land trusts, credit banking, carbon credits, carbon foot print taxation, subsidies, exemptions, exclusions and swaps.” The enviropac’s philosophy is *‘values neutral’ ‘market driven standards’ (solutions)*. The *‘flowers have bloomed’* and enterprise environmentalism’ relies on ‘failed mitigation’ (IPCC white papers), e.g. authorized depredation rates (acute toxicity) to ensure sustainable ‘interests.’ (*Cross reference: proof of concept, watershed councils, soviet style stakeholder councils*). The left/right wing globalist partnership derives benefit (global political prestige, funding) from the UN *Millennium Declaration, Global Democratic Strategy, and ICLEI* apparatus. In the publication, the Wise Use Movement, a political action manual in fact, the change agents and CLEAR specify their socio-political strategy and tactics to “attack” the other political movement, using the same methodologies their inner circle condemns, to wit: General Strategy Building Blocks- Mainstream Message, Redefine “Federal” land as “Public,” Identify Clearly Our Opponents, Address Economic and Environmental Concerns; Coalition Building- working people, agriculture, sportsmen and women, Mainstream Religious Denominations; Attack Wise Use- Find Ideological Divisions, wedges within the movement and Exploit Them; Explore the Connection Between Wise Use Leaders and Other Extremists (Unification Church), Wise Use/Japanese Connection, Pick a Legislative Fight; Use [various Acts] the Mining Act- draw a wedge between ranchers and the Wise Use Movement, tie Corporate Interests, put environmentalists on the side of people against taxpayer funded foreign corporations, against “back room deals.” (*Cross reference: Collaborators (stewardship and watershed councils, coalitions, alliances) use a “spirit of confidentiality” in their inner circle workings*). The Clearinghouse for Environmental Advocacy and Research’s secular political belief contains pretext, context, bias and prejudicial errors that rely on the target’s sentiments, e.g. “lend significant and specific support... fighting the environmental backlash movement... meet our goal, resource and property rights battles... information used for networking purposes... Opposition Research... fighting the WU and property rights movement [with] talking

washing, behavioral conformity and obedience,' e.g. 'community education' (resocialization). (*See: Participatory democracy, Deliberative Democracy, Proportional Democracy, social engineering, resocialization, WHO DSM-IV manual, Diagnosis: Oppositional defiant disorder, Negotiated Rulemaking Act, Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, Agenda 21: ICLEI, Watershed Council, Water Coalitions, Stakeholder council*). The doctrine<sup>82</sup> embeds the rationale that the "decision of the group" "enforcement of its decisions" "will not be undone by the next legislative session." (*See: Infraspect case file*). Those

---

<sup>82</sup> Concept and speech encoding are applied to disguise the preeminent *common purpose* of the political collective, as illustrated by, [1] "collaborations is the only way to solve problems," [2] "community visioning," [3] "participatory budgeting," [4] "in parallel with traditional government," [5] "democratic governance," [6] "new spaces" (shadow government), [7] "change governance on the basis of collaboration," [8] "public policy consensus building," [9] "new space created within the formal organization of *regulatory* practices," [10] "dialogue and deliberation," [11] "*interdependence* creates the need," [12] "shared problem definitions," [13] "New Emergent Collaborative governance Practices," [14] "consensus rule making," [15] "actors must collaborate," [16] "new practices must be invented," [17] "collective problem-solving," [18] "public policy consensus building," [19] "capacity to collaborate," [20] "political capital among the stakeholders," "full range of stakeholders," [21] "innovations," [22] "meaningful to the participants: participants who establish their own ground rules for behavior, agenda setting, making decisions," [23] "representatives of all the relevant interests," [24] "stakeholders to regularly consult with their constituencies," [25] "dialogue: storytelling, role playing and acceptance of emotion," [26] "acceptable to all," [27] "stakeholders build consensus," [28] "leadership team," [29] "to gain participation," [30] "goal statements agreed upon," [31] "creating working groups," [32] "process phases for consensus-based regulatory rule making are similar to those for public policy consensus building," [33] "the key to gaining participation of all the stakeholders and to the success of the process was negotiating round rules to prevent efforts to circumvent an agreement by means of going to the White House or Congress," [34] "obligating stakeholders not to litigate a final agreement and to work together to oppose any stakeholder who defected," [35] "relationship building," [36] "need to commit to joint, overriding goals," [37] "Members are independent. Requirements: Changing perceptions, Seeing the whole picture, New values, New attitudes," [38] "the importance of building interpersonal relationships and joint learning, participants... need training on how to operate in new structure," [39] "learned new *theories*, unlearned old behavior, cultivate *shared language [speech encoding]* and skills," [40] "Collaborative governance practice is not legitimate because it is outside of the traditional [*audit notation: Lawful*] institutions of authority and accountability," [41] "a strategy to coopt potential opposition" [*audit notation: participationism: isolate, alienate, terminate internal critical discourse, and consensus blocking*]," [42] "methods of collaborative governance practice as mediation and facilitation [*audit notation: Alternative dispute resolution [ADR] co-mingles branches and functions of constitutional government*]," [43] "institutions have unintended perverse effects that discourage deliberative dialogue," [44] a university providing a graduate certificate course to the participants," "strategies public officials and community leaders can use to change or manage around existing practices to create a space for collaborative governance *practices*," [45] "To be authentic requires the use of appropriate organization, methods, and tools; facilitative leadership; and deliberative space free of coercion [*audit notation: 'spirit of confidentiality,' 'what happens in the group stays in the group'*, e.g. *Infraspect case file demonstration- McKenzie stewardship group*]," [46] CSU, Department of Public Policy, "integrated collaborative policy making into its program for graduate students... scholars can undertake research and theory building, to strengthen the capacity of democratic governance... Collaborative Democratic Network, a network of international and interdisciplinary scholars began in 2003 to collaborate toward this end... collaborative governance practice into democracy... a new order of things." (*See: Collaborative governance Practices and Democracy, The Sacramento Water Forum, David E. Booher (Client: Center for Collaborative Policy, CSU.); Hajer, Wagenaar, 2003; Murray, Greer, 2001; Jossey-Bass, 2002; Scott, 1973; McClung, 2002; McKearman, Thomas-Larmer, 1999; Connick, Innes, 2003; Innes, 2004*).

embedded federal, state, tribal, county city and municipal authorities press “regional” government (councils of government). Masked in social community “partnerships”<sup>83</sup> the ‘stakeholder networks’ used “sign on

---

<sup>83</sup> The Uniform Partnership Act, §6(1) provides “An association of two or more person to carry on, as co-owners, a business for *profit*... a synallagmatic and commutative contract made between tow or more persons for the mutual participation in the *profits* which may accrue from property, credit, skill, or industry... and there shall be a proportional sharing of the profits and losses between them. *Burr v. Greenland, Tex.Civ.App. 356 S.W.2d 370, 376*. *Preston v. State Industrial Accident Commission, 174 Or. 553, 149, P.2d 957, 961, 962*. The partnership itself is not subject to taxation, treated as a conduit flowing to the individual partners. The types of partnerships are: collapsible partnership, family partnership, general partnership. Implied partnership, limited partnership, mining partnership, partnership at will, partnership in commendam, secret partnership. Special partnership, subpartnership, statutory partnership association, trading partnership, universal partnership. Partnerships require disclosures on state and federally adopted forms. The non-profit corporation partnerships are *entrenched* (global to local) as Private Government Organizations, mutating from 501 (c)(3)(6) to claiming management rights over public domain, aggregate re-allocation of resources and recovery monitoring.