

wethepeople@executiveorders.life

20/8/2021 1:00 PM

FMG - URGENT remedy required please.

To employment@fmgl.com.au <employment@fmgl.com.au> •  
communications@fmgl.com.au <communications@fmgl.com.au>

---

To Whom It May Concern,

Hi there,

Leith Masters here. I am not an FMG employee.

Just communicating please on behalf of distressed team members who are now subject to a 'mandatory' pre flight test, as per update #71.

I make the following allowances in this communication:

- i) Potentially the reader/s believe the covid19 landscape is 100% authentic. Please create a space for those who are highly researched and know this landscape to have a different dialogue attached to it.
- ii) I make a further allowance that the reader/s undoubtedly do not know that there is a difference between law and legal. Two vastly different realities. Legal is a subset of law and may indulge itself in fictional concepts like 'mandatory'. However legal is always answerable to law, in which no such thing as 'mandatory' exists, because it is really just called 'slavery'. Criminal Code 270, 25 years jail. Particularly when it involves a claim of alleged jurisdiction over the body and mind of others.
- iii) I make a further allowance that the reader/s undoubtedly do not know that humanity exists in an undisclosed trust and guardianship, called the Board of the Guardians, established under the Poor Law Amendments Act 1834.
- iv) I make a further allowance that the reader/s may believe that the rules inside a corporation can claim superior jurisdiction over the free will of an individual if that individual is employed within the corporation. This is wholly false. A corporation, as a legal fiction, can never have superior jurisdiction over the free will of an individual, so long as that individual is not causing harm to others.

Thus it is noted that the dialogue generated by the Board of the Guardians, also called the Australian Government, via the Public Health Act is all about 'keeping your fellow community safe'. However, as this dialogue is generated by the guardians/public servants, it is a version of a reality, and may not be the version of health the beneficiaries of the trust hold, and which the trustees are beholden to.

v) Further, it is advised that 'corporate immunity' is a further construct of a legal system. Corporate

immunity does not exist in law. All are answerable for the actions. 'I was just doing my job' is not a lawful position, and does not negate liability.

Can you please advise on the following:

1) As, in law, there is no such thing as 'mandatory', as that does constitute slavery and violates every human right ever fought for and recorded for future generations to call upon, what options are provided please for someone who does not choose to participate in this direction of pre-flight testing? Where is this option written pls for easy access by team members?

2) As the PCR test has been debunked countless times by lawyers, doctors and scientists as being useless, and never designed to be detecting such a matter as CV19, why is this being used? Please note, there are now literally mountains of interviews debunking this entire dialogue, so pls do request some of them if you are not clear that this dialogue is a paradigm, generated by a particular profit generating enterprise called the Board of the Guardians, of which FMG presents a 11% contribution to the \$226B Futures Fund. The knowledge and law around this matter is extensive and not a subject for this brief email.

3) What is a rapid antigen test? Please disclose this to the general population on your website. We do not have corporations in our landscape that cannot be transparent at all times to the general populace, particularly those mining First Nation lands and generating massive profits. I urge you to check your First Nation relations and ensure all contracts are fulfilled fairly and appropriately.

4) I have experienced the following: your health agenda is password protected. Why can the general populace not see it? Aside from 'mandatory, which does not exist in law, are there other matters Australians be concerned about regarding FMG conduct?

5) If I call the front desk of FMG, I cannot speak to anyone because I am not an employee. If all business is being conducted appropriately, there should be no issue to speak with individuals who are not employees. Why is there a firewall in place?

6) I have raised concern over the newspaper print of Mr Forrest claiming 'anti-vaxxers' were not welcome and did not fit with the mining giants values. This is obviously discrimination and a gross violation of rule of law. Why is data like this being printed and why is FMG engaged in discriminatory dialogues and practices?

I did send it to a contact I had in FMG - Adam - he tells me he is no longer in that department and has passed the message on, but didn't know to who, or have a name, or any contacts. He did not inform me that he had passed it on. I only know because I have followed it up. Really? This all sounds very

unprofessional and like FMG has lots to hide. Do we need to launch an investigation?

As far as Mr Forrest 'arguing' and 'challenging opponents' regarding the science of immunisation, I assure you there is mountains on this subject, so we are more than happy to open a very professional forum to bring Mr Forrest up to speed on that subject. Currently, however, we are not dealing with immunisation, we are dealing with a tool, deceptively called a vaccination, but is instead an mRNA.

7) You are advised to meet the standards of rule of law: all are equal before the law. And create an immediate clause that provides an option for those who choose to research beyond the dialogues of the Board of the Guardians, also known as the Australian Government. And thus who may have vastly different reference points for the covid19 landscape but have no interest in sharing them with their work landscape, because they simply would like to work and provide for their families without being subjected to this new multi billion dollar enterprise.

8) As the start date of 'mandatory' testing begins August 24, the window is tiny to reply, and to create remedy that is in alignment with Rule of Law: All are equal before the law.

If by midday, Monday 23rd August 2021, I have not had a reply outlining an option based in law, and thus providing an option to opt out of 'mandatory' testing, and associates are subjected to this, clearly, in law, the consequences are significant for FMG.

Thank you for your time attending to this urgent matter.

Best regards,

Leith Masters  
PAFROL Ambassador  
Peoples Alliance For Rule of Law

Crown Leith Masters  
All Superior Rights Reserved, in accordance with Rule of Law, At All Times.  
"All Are Equal Before The Law".

The Age of '1000 Golden Years of Peace' is emerging.  
We wish you only the greatest joy, grace and ease,  
at this transformational time on Planet Earth.