



Notice to Produce - Onus of Proof.

**Notice To Principle is Notice to Agent
Notice To Agent is Notice to Principal
Service Upon One is Service Upon Both**

Darrell of the family Foote
57 High Street
Ardrossan
South Australia
5571

To: Rebecca Kurlinkus
Country Health Connect
10 Digby Street
Kadina
5554
Date: 18-07-2023

Hereafter: *Acronym, You, Your, Yourself, Employees, Contractors, The corporation, Firm, Addressee* shall mean: Rebecca Kurlinkus.

This is a perpetual notice that affects all successors to the above-mentioned Rebecca Kurlinkus Demand for absolute lawful response of Onus of Proof

To Rebecca Kurlinkus

Produce proof that you have lawful authority.

For clarity, this is not a standard letter, it has estopples involved, your response may also be used in a court of law against Rebecca Kurlinkus and any claim against your personal commercial liability. This notice has within it references to fraud, extortion and impersonating Government Officials and other serious breaches of the law. Furthermore, for clarity, if you will be relying on any STATE legislation or FEDERAL Government Act that has been passed after 1973 understand that Imperial Law-making Seals and the Great seal of the Commonwealth (ceremonial seal) were, without Referendum or the peoples blessing, replaced by The Great Seal of Australia being the Kangaroo and Emu therefor none of these legislation's and Acts are with-in the boundaries of Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia's authority. Also have your legal team whom are qualified to look

very closely at the creation of the Australia Act as there was no lawful process for its introduction, please govern your actions and response with great care.

Firstly let the Sender introduce you to what is called Maxims at Law,

A. In commerce, truth is sovereign. See Exodus 20:16; Psalms 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8 Legal maxim: "To lie is to go against the mind." Oriental proverb: "Of all that is good, sublimity is supreme." Truth, as a valid statement of reality, is sovereign in commerce, equity, admiralty, Lex Mercatoria and public policy. Truth is always superior to fiction.

B. "No one is above the law."; "Commerce, by the law of nations, ought to be common, and not to be converted into a monopoly and the private gain of a few."

C. A matter must be expressed to be resolved. See Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:5; Eph. 6:19-21. Legal maxim: "He who fails to assert his rights has none."

D. *Agentes et consentientes pari poena plectentur*,
Acting and consenting parties are liable to the same punishment,

A person aiding and abetting the actual commission of a crime, either at the scene of its commission or else-where, is equally liable with the perpetrator, the former being a principal in the second degree, and the latter in the first degree. If A., with intent to murder, inflicts on B. an injury dangerous to life, aided and abetted by C., who is aware of the intent, they are both equally guilty and punishable.

"A-PRETTENDED-LAW-MADE-IN-EXCESS-OF-POWER-IS-NOT-AND-NEVER-HAS-BEEN-A-LAW-AT-ALL".

ANYBODY-IN-THE-COUNTRY-IS-ENTITLED-TO-DISREGARD-IT" CHIEF-JUSTICE-LATHAM, HIGH-COURT-OF-AUSTRALIA -1942

I, the Sender Darrell of the family Foote, am a shareholder, holding a single share in the COMPANY THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA commencing 1st January 1901, and held to the Common Law of England as held to Laws of Church and State, as held to the Laws of God as held to the Church of England and the Holy See, and held to the Judiciary Act No.6 of 1903, and in the Deed, of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act (UK) as do you, I am a British subject without citizenship with-in the meaning of Nationality and Citizenship Act 1948, to which I am asserting my rights, I the Author am not a member of any registered Political Party, nor can I vote inside the private Constitution of the registered Political Parties or held to the private philosophies of the registered Political Parties or hold a person to person signed contract under seal with any member of a registered Political Parties, nor appear in the register of Partnership Act 1891, nor hold any shares in THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT corporation as registered in the United States Security and Exchange THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 150 E 42ND ST FL 33, NEW YORK, NY (subject to U.S. Civil Law), with its main office in Canberra in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT.). This is to inform you that the sender has detected many apparent attempts and successful actions to circumvent the laws of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901 by Rebecca Kurlinkus. The Author is writing to you to clear up the following questions so as to discover how best to deal with you personally Rebecca Kurlinkus and the incorporated body you are employed by. Fraud vitiates everything, Referendum also eliminated the 1986 Australia Act and; Subject to you refuting all the items contained within this notice forensically at law within 28 days and sending such response to the sender, consideration will be given if the sender is able to do business with your organisation in the future. Your non-response will be considered as your acceptance that all points are valid and correct as per implied consent. The Author retains the right to publicise your answers for the public to critique and to see.

1. [The Sender now produces HCA 11. 2015 ... “If you have an ABN and provide services for money, you are a Trading Corporation” That cannot be changed or over-ruled by any Statute or Act or by-law, produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

2. Fair Work Act Sec 35 ... “If you have an ABN and you employ people, you are a Corporation. Under the Australian Consumer law, Corporations require a written contract with a wet ink signature of all parties with-in the meaning of Body Corporate Contracting Act 1960 (UK) with full and total disclosure of all aspects of the contract to do business with anyone. Once a Government body converts to a corporation it cannot revert back to a Government So says the Rules of the High Court. Ref: R v Kirby; Ex-parte Boilermakers, a corporation can’t create laws, enforce laws or raise tax, also the Clearfield Doctrine states that once incorporated a Government cannot go back to being a de jure Lawful Government, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

3. Rebecca Kurlinkus Prior to 1900, there were no States, they were known as Colonies and were all independent under British military law. Those independent Colonies had agreements for trade, etc under the Federal Councils of Australasia Act 1855. As free settlers began to grow in number, the People decided to unite under one form of government. Years of conventions and referendums were held and in 1898–1900 culminated in the Draft Constitution of the People which went to England for ratification. On July 9, 1900, Queen Victoria signed the amended draft Constitution and returned it to Australia. It was approved by the people and Deed of Grant of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK) was brought into Australian law on 1st January 1901 in the first Commonwealth parliament in Melbourne to become and still is the Founding and Primary law for all now named States and Governments, Courts, Police and People, over and above anything in previous State or Colony laws, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

4. It is as follows: CHAPTER 12.
An Act to constitute the Commonwealth of Australia. [9th July 1900]
WHEREAS the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and under the Constitution hereby established: (and no other), [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

5. Clause 5 This Act and all laws made by the parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution shall be binding on the courts and judges and the people of every State and every part of the Commonwealth, not with-standing anything in the laws of any State, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

6. Rebecca Kurlinkus. The states DID-NOT-HAVE-AND-CURRENTLY-DO-NOT have Authority or power to make Acts or Laws that are repugnant to the Commonwealth of the Australia Constitution Act, PERIOD.... Sections 106, 107, 108, and 109 CREATED the States. Read them, they are ALL bound by Clause 5, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

7. The Parliament has no powers except for those given by us, the people of the Commonwealth of Australia. Section 116 ss 462 The Federal Parliament is a legislative body capable only of exercising enumerated powers. Its powers are determined and limited by actual grants to be found within the Constitution. Anything not granted to it is denied to it, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

8. The Commonwealth Parliament cannot add new laws that give any powers to any private corporation without a Referendum and then it must be Proclaimed and gazetted under the Royal Seal showing the dates of such, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

9. State Governments have no authority to create any laws which contravene anything in the true Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901 commencing First of January 1901 Sec 109. Nor do they have any powers to give to ABN corporations any powers the State itself does not have. Section 109 removes the “anything” and everything whatsoever in any previous Acts regarding Doctors being any part of government. They remain to this day as private ABN corporations as stated in their own documents – nothing more, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

10. The Sender now claims under Common law, **ONUS-OF-PROOF**, we have the right to request proof of authority at any time without anyone's approval. And that, under Common Law process known as Notice Quo Warranto, we are entitled to request proof of authority from anyone claiming it over us at any time - without any leave/permission of any purported "court"- and, as per **page 299 (chapter 8)** of "*The Description of the Common Laws of England*", by Henry Finch, of *Gray's Inn*, their failure to satisfy the Notice within 40 days, means that they forfeited their right forever to claim that authority again- either in this case or ANY other future cases. (**Aff-page 5, point 27**) , [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

11. The Sender now states that Section 109 also nullifies every new “law” since 1900 in any State contrived “Mental Health Act 2014,” (none of which have been lawfully proclaimed, nor gazetted, nor approved by Referendum, including the notorious Australia Act 1986.) THIS-IS-SPELLED-OUT-CLEARLY-IN –Sec 9A of the Acts interpretation Act 1954 which is still current, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

12. Rebecca Kurlinkus there are some terms that you should be familiar with firstly, TITULAR: meaning, having the title of a position but not the responsibilities, duties, or power; in name only, this is the lawful term to describe the organisation called Hospitals, secondly, To Purport: to present, especially deliberately, the appearance of being; profess or claim, falsely: a document purporting to be official these terms both apply, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

13. The Sender submits, **Quick and Garran – page 795, point 1 – Onus of Proof**: There is no presumption in favour of the existence of a power; on the contrary; the burden of proof lies on those who assert its existence, to point out something in the Constitution which, either expressly or by necessary implication, confers it; And that the search for the power will be conducted in a spirit of “**strict exactitude**”, and if there be found in the Constitution nothing which directly or impliedly

conveys it, then whatever the executive or legislature of the National government, or both of them together, may have done in the persuasion of its existence, must be deemed null and void, like the act of any other unauthorised agent. [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

14. Rebecca Kurlinkus so as to leave you with a full understanding of the depth of the deception that you are participating in and could lead to you being involved in numerous legal actions understand that those in higher positions than yourself will probably not be able to protect you, here is The Oath or Affirmation of office is as follows, Oaths and affirmations taken by the executive and members of federal parliament since 1901 Members of Parliament, no referendum has ever been held to alter any Oath/Affirmation lawfully.

The Commonwealth of Australian Constitution requires that those elected to the Senate and the House of

Representatives swear or solemnly affirm their allegiance to the Crown. Senators and members are required to both 'make and subscribe' (sign) an oath or affirmation. The same oath and affirmation have been used since Federation and can only be changed by constitutional referendum.

Section 42 of the Constitution states:

Every senator and every member of the House of Representatives shall before taking his seat make and subscribe before the Governor-General, or some person authorised by him, an oath or affirmation of allegiance in the form set forth in the schedule to the Constitution.³⁸ The Schedule to the Constitution contains the wording of the oath and affirmation:

Oath

I, A.B., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Victoria, Her heirs and successors according to law. So, Help Me God!

Affirmation

I, A.B., do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Victoria, Her heirs and successors according to law.

39 NOTE - The name of the King or Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland for the time being is to be substituted from time to time.

This is what was affirmed by what is being falsely called the Prime minister: *"I, Anthony Norman Albanese do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will well and truly serve the Commonwealth of Australia, her land and her people in the office of Prime Minister,"* **Nothing**

Close to a lawful Affirmation. This is impersonating a Commonwealth Official along with every sitting member all are in **TREASON**, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

15. It is not a threat to publish a name in a newspaper, if it was the newspapers would not be able to publish any names, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

16. Rebecca Kurlinkus you have issued threats towards me with regard to publishing your name in the public notices section of the local newspaper for the purposes of collecting on the Commercial Lien I have against you, as such you have committed two crimes against me, one is the threat to do harm to me and the other is extortion which is to seek to obtain a financial advantage by issuing threats, that is to say that you have tried to stop me collecting on the Commercial Lien against you by threatening to take action against me, if you continue to threaten me I will bill you \$1,000,000.00 per threat as well as \$1,000,000.00 per extortion attempt, should you act on those

threats I will bill you \$10,000,000.00 per action which I will collect on using another Commercial Lien against you, I may also take further action against you in a Common Law Court which those of us at the Adelaide Common Law Assembly are in the process of setting up which is our Lawful right, this is not negotiable, [produce the at law documents that evidence this is not truth and fact in law, and](#)

17. Conclusion: Rebecca Kurlinkus The Sender as previously stated demands your response within 28 days of your office receiving this notice, failure on your behalf to produce lawful response that is not in breach of The Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901 point for point for each and every point with written proof for each and every point will be taken and understood by all parties and agencies concerned that you Rebecca Kurlinkus agree with each and every point the Sender has put forward, at law if a document can't be produced it is deemed not to exist, also as there are a number of other serious potential breaches of law will come under Sect 42 CRIMES ACT 1914 – SECT 42

Conspiracy to defeat justice

(1) A person commits an offence if:

(a) the person conspires with another person to obstruct, to prevent, to pervert or to defeat the course of justice in relation to a judicial power; and

(b) the judicial power is the judicial power of the Commonwealth. Penalty: Imprisonment for 10 years.

Also in Crimes Act Sec 80 1. AA Life Imprisonment – deprived of assets

Claiming a “Commission from the Government” is an admission of TREASON

The 1999 Referendum removed the Australia Act 1985 and 1986 and all Acts regarding Local Government, and the attempt to establish a Republic. All Hospital Staff, CEOs and Doctors are accessories to the

Crime and guilty of Misprision of Treason, to lessen any potential criminal charges that may arise from this notice you may wish to consider resigning your position.

The sender awaits your Reply

Autograph this day
AD 18-07-2023 WITHOUT Malice, Vexation,
Argument and or Merriment.
Darrell of the family Foote

.....
Darrell of the family Foote Only in capacity as
Beneficiary of the original De Jure
Jurisdiction, as agent for
DARRELL FOOTE
(and any and all
uppercase derivatives and variations
in the spelling and or format of said
names, including but not limited to,
prefixes, suffixes, titles, appendages,

and the like). All Rights Reserved, no
Loss or Liability