

- 22ND TERRA AUSTRALIS GRAND JURY -

AGENDA

11.11 am AWST 4.6.2023

Acknowledgement by Jurors to note these tools exist and have Lawful standing via *Crown:We The People of Superior Jurisdiction*

End of 2nd World War. September 2nd 1945

United Nations began October 24th 1945

A globalist government - created <u>without</u> the Free Will and Informed Consent of

Humanity.

The Rothschilds banking system. 23.12.1913 - 31.1.2023. 110 years.

The World Economic Forum by Klaus Rothschild Schwab - an unelected body of people who then infiltrated all governments around the world, except those who find themselves in war, as an outcome of not joining this private 'club'.



V1 Page 1/16



Peoples Alliance for Rule of Lore/Law

PAFROL. GLOBAL. Established 2021 Rule of Law/Lore: All Are Equal Before The Law All Superior Rights Reserved Under Rule of Lore/Law At All Times executiveorders.life E: wethepeople@executiveorders.life

Wishing to acknowledge Mabo Day yesterday. 3.6.2023.

31 years after a 10 year commitment on behalf of Eddie Mabo, and his team, to establish that Terra Nullius was a false claim.

Terra Nullius was established by the Proclamation of Governor Bourke, 10 October 1835, and is historically significant. It implemented the doctrine of Terra Nullius upon which British settlement was based, enforcing the notion that the land belonged to no one prior to the British Crown taking possession of it. The British Crown is a corporate Crown. It is a corporation of the East India Trading company with a long history of invading lands, and genociding the people.

Mabo established Terra Nullius as a falsehood. However, in 1992, as part of Mabo, The Native Title Act 1993 (Cth) (NTA) is legislation passed by the Australian Parliament that recognises the rights and interests of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in land and waters according to their traditional laws and customs.

The NTA remains a grossly inadequate **presumption** based on Parliament being the doctrine of Law on these lands. **'First in time, Best in Lore'**, renders Parliament to be the 'new kid on the block'. It had no right, nor Lawful capacity to wipe out thousands of years of traditional Lore and replace it with the Westminster class based slave system. That was claim of gross audaciousness, belligerence, and extreme ignorance.

V1 Page 2/16





The Westminster private BAR Guild is a private legal club on these lands. It is not Law. It never was, and never can be, because it is the privatisation of Law, into a class based legal system of low transparency, and the organised theft of CONSENT, by placing Humanity under Guardianship: Unum Sanctum of 1302, thus rendering it to be a doctrine of slavery.

Indeed, Humanity is positioned as the <u>Debtor</u> in this system. When in fact; Humanity is the <u>Creditor</u>. So by accounting standards alone, Parliament is an outdated mechanism that has been dismissed in prior Grand Jurys.

1. Order #1

1.1. These are stolen lands.

Planet EartH

Planet Heart

1.2. Despite the fact that First Nation and Peoples occupied the land, spoke their own languages and had their own laws and customs before the British arrived in 1788, 'Terra Nullius' was an attempt to give 'legitimacy' for the British and Australian governments to allow the dispossession of all Indigenous Peoples of their land.

There is no legitimacy to such a claim.

1.3. Mabo 2 in 1992 led to the Australian Parliament passing the Native Title Act in 1993. An Act which places the land under the management and decision making mechanisms of Parliament. It has resulted in long and arduous cases whereby tribes allegedly needed to prove their provenance to the land, to this invading

V1 Page 3/16



force.

It is completely farcical. Another word for farcical being ludicrous. Also known as an *'absurdity in law'.*

- 1.4. The Native Title Act 1993 (NTA) gives recognition that "Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people have rights to land, water and sea, including exclusive possession in some cases, but **does not provide ownership**".
- 1.5. Under Native Title, commercial rights are generally not recognised. This means that First Nations people are <u>unable to make money from their Native Title</u> <u>rights</u>. There are also no rights to minerals, gas, or petroleum that might be found on their lands.
- 1.6. Thus the land Use and management of Terra Australis has remained under the management of a belligerent, greedy occupier, called the Australian Government. That being a globalist corporation. It is a land thief.
 - 1.6.1.Native Title is an inferior tool? And equitable use of Land remains with First Nation decision making, based on First In Time, Best in Lore of LAWs (Land, Air, Water), and the greater Humanity to decide how the land use will unfold in coming years. But will not be a decision made by the Australian Government

V1 Page 4/16



Planet EartH Planet Heart

and Native Title.

- 1.6.2. The newly established First Nation Sovereign Council (FNSC), which passed it first Orders yesterday, which we will look at in Order #3, and in conjunction with the greater Humanity, will be the primary Voice for First Nation, who will decide the 'right way' of land use, and give instructions to the Australian Government, who are our administrative body that Crown, We The People of Superior Jurisdiction, 100% own.
- **1.6.3.**The FNSC is a sentient Council. It is <u>not</u> a corporation with no heart, coupled with a 'maximise profits' motivation.

1.7. What say you Jurors?

1.8. Unanimous Yes.

Planet EartH

Planet Heart

2. Order #2

- 2.1. Further, it is acknowledged that the Native Title Act of Parliament requires First Nation individuals to have a Prescribed Body Corporate in order to interface with the Government. Thus corporatising the decision making of Land Use, under the jurisdiction of the Australian Government: a corporation.
- 2.2. The Australian Government is inferior jurisdiction, due to its failure to ever establish hospitable relations on these lands, and therefore does not have the capacity to

V1 Page 5/16

PAFROL. GLOBAL. Established 2021 Rule of Law/Lore: All Are Equal Before The Law All Superior Rights Reserved Under Rule of Lore/Law At All Times executiveorders.life E: wethepeople@executiveorders.life

Peoples Alliance for Rule of Lore/Law

claim to be the authority of Land Use under the Native Title Act, nor is it the creator of the framework in which the Land Use sits.

2.3. That authority remains with the Elders of these Lands, and their Sentient Being decision making process: the FNSC and associated Sentient Councils, which will issue instruction to the Australian Government, for Equitable Land Use and management.

2.4. What say you Jurors?

2.5. Unanimous Yes.

Planet EartH

Planet Heart


3. Order #3:

- 3.1. It is acknowledged that yesterday the FNSC passed the first Orders for the management of First Nation matters.
- 3.2. Understandably, in the face of the stolen generations, established 1860 : 163 years ago, those Orders, also known as Terms and Conditions, were focused on the theft of children from First Nation families. And bringing an end to that.
- 3.3. For the record, we will look at those Terms and Conditions, and request the Jurors of the 22nd Grand Jury, to acknowledge them, and give further blessing to them, in the form of a yes vote to the establishment of such standards upon these lands.
- 3.4. What say you Jurors: Do you further endorse these Terms and Conditions as the method of bringing a true end to the Stolen Generations?

3.5. What say you Jurors?

V1 Page 6/16





3.6. Unanimous Yes.

- 4. Order #4:
 - 4.1. Further to the Orders of the FNSC, and following some hours in the Children Courts on Monday 29.5.2023, it is easy to see this is a highly manipulated environment.
 - 4.2. Thus; Department of Child Protection workers inside the court, who are not engaged in a case, and are taking up seats in the court, to just play on their phones, should not be permitted into the courtroom.
 - 4.3. Seating is to be made available for family as witness, support members of community as witness, and the Common Law Sheriffs, as witness, and with authority, as per Grand Jury 21, to remove any party interfering in the Lawful Due Process of ensuring children remain with their family and are given any appropriate resources to ensure harmonious relations.

4.4. What say you Jurors?

4.5. Unanimous Yes.

5. Order #5:



V1 Page 7/16



5.1. Use by the private legal system of the private BAR Guild; the British Accredited Registry, of the terms 'you are not a registered practitioner, so we cannot see you or hear you', only serves to highlight that this is indeed a private Club, that has privatised Law, and is therefore, not only subject to the Order of \$5000 per refusal to engage, but will now have their name publicly listed on the new Sheriff gazette, as a public servant, paid on the public purse, conducting themselves as a tyrannical ruler. And thus positions themselves for dismissal.

5.2. What say you Jurors?

5.3. Unanimous Yes.

6. Order #6

- 6.1. Public Servants currently on the list for dismissal:
 - **6.1.1.**Magistrate Andretich 4 times had Terrence Rodd come before her, and jailed him for approximately 40 nights, despite there being no claimant in the court, and no evidence to support the claim. This is a dangerous and incompetent Magistrate.
 - 6.1.2.Registrar Nelson of the Supreme Court Western Australia. Failed to acknowledge the Executor and Beneficiary and ruled over the top of. This affected the Lawful Due Process of the case. Registrar Nelson is therefore deemed incompetent in Law and behaved as a slave trader. 28 April 2023

V1 Page 8/16





- 6.1.2.1.12 days later, the same Registrar Nelson failed to accept the filing of critical papers for a possession case, thus manipulating the case and failing Lawful Due Process. 10.5.2023
- 6.1.3. This facilitated Master Sanderson to sit at the bench in a completely incompetent manner, missing 12 of the 14 filings because Registrar Nelson had manipulated the case by deciding what the Executor could file. <u>This is a known practice.</u>

Master Sanderson then followed the cues of the bank legal practitioner and moved against the safety of a \$1.5M property, simply because the Executor has asked to see proof that the Trust holding the asset was in good order. 12 months later, that bank has still failed to provide that proof. The bank then moved in to steal the property.

Master Sanderson is incompetent and complicit in stealing assets.

- 6.1.4. What say you Jurors:
- **6.1.5.** Judiciary of this calibre render themselves a danger to community and should be stood down on the new Sheriff gazette.

6.2. What say you Jurors?6.3. Unanimous Yes.

V1 Page 9/16





- 7. Further to bank fraud and courts of banking stealing properties:
 - 7.1. It is acknowledged that the matters of :

Unit 12/9 O'Conner Close, North Coogee, W.A. [6163]

7.2. Continue to escalate, with Westpac and Landgate, and the legal practitioner Shani Claassen having no sense of Lawful Due Process.

7.3. What say you Jurors:

7.3.1.This case is an example of a continued Lawless process of stealing properties in which the bank assumes to have rights that it surrendered in the securitisation process. And in which the standards are within the statutory legal framework of Parliament, banking and courts, but not Law.

7.4. What say you Jurors?

7.5. Unanimous Yes.

Planet EartH

Planet Heart

8. Order #8:

- 8.1. It is acknowledged that the Statutory framework of Parliament, which does position Humanity to be the debtor, when Humanity is the Creditor, can only process communication in organisations such as Synergy electric, as a complaint. It has no other dialogue.
- 8.2. Likewise, the bank can only process communication as a 'hardship complaint'. It does not have any other dialogue or tools to cope with how Humanity may be

V1 Page 10/16



communicating with it and holding it accountable to Lawful Due Process.

8.3. What say you Jurors?

Do we render these inadequate frameworks as obsolete mechanisms of a Slave system and hold these organisations accountable for being able to communicate at a Sentient level, and not just an Al level, and can measure Lawful Due Process to provide Law based outcomes.

8.4. What say you Jurors?8.5. Unanimous Yes.

- 9. In the matter of the WHO https://australiaexitsthewho.com/
 9.1.4815 and 4832
 - Notice 1 25/5/2022
 - Notice 2 26/5/2022

Notice 3 14/6/2022

Planet EartH Planet Heart

- 9.1.1.https://executiveorders.life/press-release-record/entry/4815/?gvid=2748&pagenum=5
- 9.1.2. https://executiveorders.life/press-release-record/entry/4832/?gvid=2748&pagenum=5

V1 Page 11/16





- **9.2.** What say your Jurors: do we further enforce our will to reject a global system of governance such as the World Health Organisation as an absurdity in Health standards?
- 9.3. https://executiveorders.life/press-release-record/?pagenum=3
- 9.4. What say you Jurors? 9.5. Unanimous Yes.
- 10. Order #10 OPCA: bringing clarity.
 - 10.1. Judges and Magistrates who wish to follow the *Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Arguments (OPCA)* argument; that is the Organised Pseudo-legal
 Commercial Argument, render themselves as incompetent:
 - 10.1.1. **Pseudo-law** consists of statements, beliefs, or practices that are claimed to be based on accepted law or legal doctrine, but which deviate significantly from most conventional understandings of law and jurisprudence, or which originate from non-existent statutes or legal principles the advocate or adherent incorrectly believes exist.^[1] Canadian legal scholar Donald J.

V1 Page 12/16





Netolitzky defined pseudo-law as "a collection of legal-sounding but false rules that purport to be law",^[2] a definition that distinguishes pseudo-law from arguments that fail to conform to existing laws such as novel arguments or an ignorance of precedent in case law.^{[3][4]} Pseudo-legal arguments are sometimes referred to as "legalistic gibberish".^[5] Netolitzky has compared pseudo-law to "a form of legal quackery or snake oil";^[6] lawyer Colin McRoberts has called it "law in a Post-Truth Era".^[4] The term **Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Arguments** (OPCA) was coined in a 2012 Canadian court decision as an umbrella term for pseudo-legal tactics and arguments, and has since been used by lawyers and legal scholars in Commonwealth countries.^[7]

10.2. Indeed, the validity of OPCA is as follows:

- 10.2.1. The Organised: yes Humanity is organised to reclaim their planet.
- 10.2.2. Pseudolegal : it is not legal that belongs to the private BAR Guild. This is Lawful Due Process.
- 10.2.3. Commercial: absolutely it is commercial. Because within the POSOC: the privately owned system of commerce; Humanity is positioned as the debtor. When in fact Humanity is the creditor. Thus this Commonwealth system is one of slavery. And the slave traders do not want the People to become the Creditors. Because then they lose their powers and their gravy train, provided by the monetisation of the Birth Certificate.
- 10.2.4. Argument: **Crown: We The People of Superior Jurisdiction**, do not need to argue. We are inherently in Superior Jurisdiction. The current system

V1 Page 13/16





loves it when People argue. It is a time waster. The current system is one of slavery and that is abundantly clear for all to see.

10.2.5. Yes, as Humanity moves from the debtor to the Creditor position: those attempting to disorientate and discredit, will find themselves listed for dismissal.

10.2.6. What say you jurors?

10.2.6.1. Those attempting to argue with who the Creditor is, are incompetent and should be removed from their public servant pay cheque?

10.3.What say you Jurors? 10.4.Unanimous Yes.

11. Order #11 Audit teams for 'Prisons for Profit'.

- 11.1.Further to discussion in Grand Jury 20, related to Prisons for Profit, it is proposed that audit teams, of Superior Jurisdiction; of 6 individuals be established, to hold a Court by Zoom to hear cases that have failed Lawful Due Process.
- 11.2.To mud map the case, and establish release for the individual.11.2.1.To determine if the judicial Officer was incompetent, and should be stood down.

V1 Page 14/16





11.2.2.To establish a tier of damages to be assigned to the prison, for accepting the individual with incomplete paperwork.

11.3.One individual would convene the case, and the other 5 would determine the outcome.

11.4.What say you Jurors? 11.5.Unanimous Yes.

12. Order #12.

- 12.1. The land of Daniel Kickett : 40 acres at Henley Brook, is back under his care and Land Use of Daniel Kickett. And will be identified in Landgate as such.
- 12.2. The lease he holds with the Australian Government, was signed under duress, and via misrepresentation of him as the lessee. He is the lessor. Therefore the lease with the Australian Government is **Null and Void.**
- 12.3. As the Terms and Conditions for the Australian Government to conduct its commercial affairs on these lands, it will need to facilitate access to all building requirements, including the replacement of a house that burned down, due to faulty electrical and now sits as zombie house on the land.

12.4.What say you Jurors?

Planet EartH

Planet Heart

V1 Page 15/16



12.5.Unanimous Yes.

We recognise the 40 acres to be back under the care of Daniel Kickett, and is not in the Aboriginal Land Trust, or any other Trust, and is available to be passed on to future generations for commercial and cultural heritage purposes.



THE MEEK SHALL INHERIT THE EARTH.

MATTHEW 5:5



V1 Page 16/16